## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application of West Florida Natural Gas Company for a Rate Increase.

DOCKET NO. 871255-GU
ORDER NO. 20638
ISSUED: $1 / 24 / 89$

Pursuant to Notice, a Prehearing Conference was held on January 19, 1989, in Tallahassee, Florida, before Commissioner Gerald L. Gunter, Prehearing Officer.

APPEARANCES: ROBERT GOLDMAN, Esquire, Messer, Vickers, Caparello, French and Madsen, P. O. Box 1876, Tallahassee, Florida 32301 On behalf of West Elorida Natural Gas Company.

| JOHN W. | IRTER, | Esquire, |  | Lawson, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| McWhirter, | Grandoff and | Reeves, | 201 | 1 East |
| Kennedy | Blvd. Suite | 800. | P.O. | . Box |
| 3350, Tampa, | Florida, 33601 | 3350 |  |  |
| On behalf | f Arizona Chen | 1 Comp |  |  |

MARSHA E. RULE, Esquire, Florida Public
Service Commission, 101 East Gaines Street,
Tallahassee, Florida $32399-0863$
On behalf of the Commission Staff.
PRENTICE P. PRUITT, Office of General
Counsel, Florida Public Service Commission
101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida
32399-0861
Counsel to the Commissioners.

## PREHEARING ORDER

## Background

This proceeding was initiated on November 9, 1987, when West Florida Natural Gas Company (WFNG) requested test year approval. On March 15, 1988, the utility filed its Minimum Filing Requirements, requesting authority to increase rates and charges and for approval of a flexible interruptible rate. The proposed rates were designed to generate a rate increase of $\$ 1,109,227$, based on the test year 12 -months ended June 30, 1987 and an attrition year 12 -months ended June 30, 1989. By Commission Order No. 19239 dated April 28, 1988, the Commission suspended the utility's proposed permanent rates, but granted an interim increase of $\$ 584,212$, based on a 13 -month average rate base on a 12 -month test period ended June 30, 1987. Arizona Chemical Company (ACC) was granted leave to intervene in this docket on May 16,1988.

On August 19, 1988, in compliance with Order No. 19750, West Florida Natural Gas Company filed revised direct testimony and schedules addressing three issues: refinancing of outstanding debt; reconciliation of capital structure on a pro-rata basis; and the cost of the environmental clean-up of a prior manufactured gas plant site located in WFNG's Ocala Division. The revised schedules are designed to generate an additional $\$ 705,407$ for a total rate increase of $\$ 1,814,634$, based on the original test year and attrition year. Due to the extra time required to process the revised schedules, WFNG agreed to waive the statutory time requirements specified in Ch. 366.06, F.S.
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## Use of Prefiled Testimony

All testimony which has been prefiled in this case will be inserted into the record as though read after the witness has taken the stand and affirmed the correctness of the testimony and exhibits, unless there is a sustainable objection. All testimony remains subject to appropriate objections. Each witness will have the opportunity to orally summarize his testimony at the time he or she takes the stand.

## Use of Depositions and Interrogatories

If any party desires to use any portion of a deposition or an interrogatory, at the time the party seeks to introduce that deposition or a portion thereof, the request will be subject to proper objections and the appropriate evidentiary rules will govern. The parties will be free to utilize any exhibits requested at the time of the depositions subject to the same conditions.

## Order of Witnesses

The witness schedule is set forth below in order of appearance by the witness's name, subject matter, and the issues which will be covered by his or her testimony.

Witness
Direct

1. James E. McIntyre (President, WFNG)
2. Gregory E. Sowder (WFNG Regulatory Accountant)
3. Patti A. Smith (Controller, WFNG)
4. Larry B. Brockman (WFNG Consultant)

Subject Matter
Issues.

| Need for rate relief, | $\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{6 7}, 75,58$, |
| :--- | :--- |
| WFNG's proposed flexi- | 80 |
| ble interruptible rate |  |
| methodology, and recent |  |
| refinancing of out- |  |
| standing debt. |  |

Rate base, net operating income, capital structure, revenue deficiency, cost of service method employed by WFNG, support schedules which he prepared.

```
Support schedules
she prepared.
Cost of service
study and rate design, including flexible rate methodology; Arizona Chemical contract.
```

1-12, 14-22,
27, 28, 3156, 58, 59, 61-63. 66, 67, 70-77. 79

23-26, 29.
57, 60, 64,
65, 68
75, 77, 80
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Witness
5. Glen P. McIsaac (WFNG Consultant)

Subject Matter
Removal of non- 31
rate base items from capital structure pro rata rather than from equity entirely, cost of common equity.

Ocala manufactured gas plant site.

Corporate Operations and No issue energy plans.

Cost of service 71-77, 80 methodologies and rate design.

Findings contained Supplemental Audit Reports, inactive service lines and plant allocations.

Staff Audit Finding

Meter testing.

Rate of return on $30,32,69$, common equity.

Flexible interrupible: 80

No issues identified 13 81 70
3, 5, 15,
$35,37,62$
33, 34, 43-
47, 57, 59

30, 32, 69, -
70

81
Issues identified

1, 2, 14, 15
in Staff Audit Report and Supplemental Audit Report.

Cost of equity, reconciliation of rate base to capital structure.

WFNG's violation of meter testing require-

Respond to findings in Staff Audit and (Staff)
ments. No. 4. rate.

## Rebuttal

12. Gregory E. Sowder (WFNG)
13. Patti A. Smith (WFNG)
14. Daniel W. Hughes (WFNG)
15. Glenn P. McIsaac (WFNG)
16. Larry B. Brockman (WFNG)
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EXHIBIT LIST
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| 107 | Brockman | Examples of Flex Rate Proposal Operation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108 | Sowder | Notices of <br> publicationof <br> service <br> (composite, two pages)  |
| 109 | Sowder | Notices to customers (bill stuffers) |
| 110 | McIsaac | Comparison of <br> Earnings Volatility <br> (Second Revised <br> Exhibit GPM-1to <br> McIsaac <br> Testimony)$\quad$ Direct |
| 111 | McIsaac | Stability of Growth in Revenues (Exhibit GPM-2 to McIsaac Direct Testimony) |
| 112 | McIsaac | Comparison of Sales Concentration (Exhibit GPM-3 to McIsaac Testimony) |
| 113 | McIsaac | Calculation of Stock Price in Staff's DCF (Exhibit <br> GPM-4 attached to McIsaac Rebuttal Testimony) |
| 114 | McIsaac | Risk Characteristics of Natural Gas Distribution (Exhibit Companies attached to GPM-5 Rebuttal McIsaac Testimony) |
| 115 | McIsaac | DCF Cost of Equity Based Upon Value Line Stock Price Projections (Exhibit GPM-6 attached to McIsaac Rebuttal Testimony) |
| 116 | McIsaac | DCF Cost of Equity  <br> Based Upon Stock   <br> Price Projected at   <br> Current P/E Ratio  <br> (Exhibit  GPM-7 <br> attached to McIsaac  <br> Rebuttal Testimony)  |
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| 117 | McIsaac | DCF Cost of Equity Based Upon Projected Constant Growth Rate After Year 4 (Exhibit GPM-8 attached to McIsaac Rebuttal Testimony) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 118 | McIsaac | Stock price Projections Used in DCF Analysis (Exhibit GPM-9 to McIsaac Rebuttal Testimony) |
| 119 | Pence, McIntyre | Manufactured <br> documents <br> to Pas plant <br> (attached  <br> Testimony - Direct <br> exhibit)  |
| 120 | Hughes | Meter Change-Out <br> Monthly Report <br> (Exhibit DWH-1 to <br> Hughes Rebuttal <br> Testimony)  |
| 121 | Smith | Distribution List and Employee Gross and FICA (Exhibit PAS-1 to Smith Rebuttal Testimony; composite; eight pages total) |
| 122 | Smith | Reconciliation of  <br> Allocated Salaries <br> (Exhibit PAS-2 to <br> Smith Rebuttal <br> Testimony)  |
| 123 | McIntyre Sowder | Interrogatory 44 and response |
| 124 | Sowder | Projected Benefits <br> for New Employee <br> (Sowder Deposition <br> Exhibit GES-4) |
| 125 | Sowder | Manufactured gas <br> plant (Coal Tar Pit) <br> Payments (Sowder <br> Deposition Exhibit <br> GES-12)  |
| 126 | Sowder | Month Average13 CostsEnvironmentalCososition(Sowder DeporExhibit GES-13) |
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| 127 | Sowder | Breakdown ofIssuance <br> (Sowder  <br> Expenses Exhibit <br> Deposition  <br> GES-23; two pages)  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128 | Sowder | Interrogatory 74 and response |
| 129 | Sowder | Interrogatory 75 and response including accompanying 3 page Exhibit GES-17) |
| 130 | Sowder | Interrogatory 76 and response |
| 131 | Sowder | Interrogatory 69 and five page schedule provided in response |
| 132 | Sowder | Property Taxes <br> Associated with <br> Utility Plant <br> Allocated to <br> Non-utility Books <br> (Sowder Deposition <br> Exhibit GES-2) |
| 133 | Seery, McIsaac | "A Note on the <br> Flotation Costs of <br> New Equity Capital <br> Issues of Electric <br> Companies", cited in <br> Seery <br> Direct <br> Testimony <br> (Seery <br> Deposition Exhibit 5) |
| 136 | Seery | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deposition of Scott } \\ & \text { Seery, } \quad \text { pages } \\ & 12-15, ~ 28-34, \\ & 51-54, \\ & 56,57, \end{aligned}$ |
| 137 | Seery | ```Cicchetti Memorandum dated August 10, 1988 (Seery Deposition Exhibit 1)``` |
| 138 | Seery | Non-Constant Growth, Quarterly Compounded DCF Analysis (Seery Deposition Exhibit 2) |
| 139 | Seery | PSC Staff Memorandum June 14, 1988 (Seery Deposition Exhibit 3) |
| 140 | Seery | Direct Testimony of  <br> Mark Cicchetti in  <br> Docket No. $880006-$ WS  <br> (Seery Deposition <br> Exhibit 4)  |
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| 141 | McIsaac | McIsaac deposition <br> pages 15-17, 19-21 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 142 | Brockman | Brockman deposition <br> pages 8-10, 12-13, |
| 143 | Brockman | $15-17,19-22$ |

NOTE: Exhibit numbers 201-299 have been reserved for Arizona Chemical Company. However, Arizona Chemical Company has identified no exhibits at this time.

| 301 | Johe | Staff Audit Report |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 302 | Johe | ```Staff Supplemental Audit Report``` |
| 303 | Seery | Moody's Natural Gas Distribution Index Risk Characteristics (Seery Direct Testimony Schedule 1) |
| 304 | Seery | Discounted Cash Flow <br> Model Equation (Seery Direct Testimony Schedule 2) |
| 305 | Seery | Non-Constant Growth Quarterly Discounted Cash Flow Model (Seery Mirect Testimony Schedule 3 |
| 306 | Seery | Non-Constant Growth, Quarterly Compounded Discounted Cash Flow Analysis for Moody's Natural (Seery Testimony Schedule 4) |
| 307 | Seery | 1987 Revenues (Seery Schedule 5) |
| 308 | Seery | Risk Premium Model(SeeryDirect <br> Testimony Schedule 6 ) |
| 309 | Seery | Bond Yield <br> (Seery <br> Differentials (Srect <br> Dimestimony <br> Schedule 7)  |
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| 310 | Seery |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 311 | Seery | Ratemaking Rate of Return (Seery Direct Testimony Schedule 9) |
| 312 | Seery | Derivation of the Non-Constant Growth Quarterly Compounded Discounted Cash Flow Model (Seery Direct Testimony Append. 10) |
| 313 | Fletcher | Meter Test Program  <br> Evaluation $6 / 8 / 88$ <br> (Fletcher Direct <br> Testimony Exhibit  |
| 314 | Fletcher | Vehicle Usage <br> Allocation <br> Report <br> 6/8/88 (Fletcher <br> Direct Testimony <br> Exhibit 2)  |
| 315 | Fletcher | Physical Retirement of Inactive Service Lines Report 5/23/88 (Fletcher Direct Testimony Exhibit 3) |
| 316 | Fletcher | Plant Allocation/ Schedules B-5 and B-25 of MFR's $5 / 23 / 88$ (Fletcher Direct Testimony Exhibit 4) |
| 317 | Fletcher | Plant-in-Service Attrition Year Assumption $\quad 5 / 23 / 88$ (Fletcher Testimony Exhibit 5 ) |

## PARTIES' STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

## Staff's Statement of Basic Position

West Florida Natural Gas Company should be allowed to increase its rates and charges to reflect an increase of $\$ 1,518,271$. This reflects a test year deficiency of $\$ 442,018$ and an attrition year deficiency of $\$ 1,076,253$. The utility's proposed contract with Arizona Chemical Company, which is basically a "take or pay" contract for interruptible gas
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service, should be approved. Further, the utility should be fined $\$ 10,000$ for past violations of the Commission's Gas Meter Testing Rule 25-7.064, F.A.C., which should be recorded "below the line". Finally, the utility should submit to staff within 90 days following final order in this docket, an economic study to ascertain the period of inactivity at which it is most cost effective to cut a service line at the main, in order to determine how best to treat future service line retirements.

## WFNG's Statement of Basic Position

1. West Florida Natural Gas Company should be awarded increased revenues of $\$ 1,518,271$, consisting of $\$ 442,018$ for the test year ended June 30,1987 and $\$ 1,076,253$ for the attrition year ended June 30, 1989. Although WFNG does not agree to Staff's positions on all issues in this docket, for the purposes of settlement the utility is willing to accept those positions with which it does not agree.
2. The proposed contract submitted by WFNG and Arizona Chemical Company should be approved.
3. WFNG's proposed new flexible interruptible rate methodology should be approved.
4. The revenue increase should be allocated among the customer classes in the manner proposed by WFNG.

## Arizona Chemical Company's Statement of Basic Position

Arizona Chemical Company does not propose to present witnesses on revenue issues in this case. Arizona Chemical Company agrees with the cost of service methodology presented by the company, and agreees that the proposed large service interruptible contract is satisfactory to this intervenor.

## STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

NOTE: The utility has agreed with Staff's position on most issues, and has agreed to accept Staff's position on all other issues for purposes of settlement.

## Test Year Rate Base Issues

1. ISSUE: Should test year Plant-In-Service, and Accumulated Depreciation, be increased to reflect an error in booking of meter purchases?

STAFF: Yes. Plant-In-Service should be increased \$1,296, and Accumulated Depreciation by $\$ 5$. (Johe)

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
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2. ISSUE: Should an Adjustment be made to reduce Utility plant. Accumulated Depreciation, and the related Depreciation Expense to correct the Administrative and General Transfer?

STAFF: Yes. An adjustment should be made to reduce Utility Plant by $\$ 20,148$, Accumulated Depreciation by $\$ 127$, and Depreciation Expense by $\$ 415$. (Johe)

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
3. ISSUE: Should Utility Plant, the related Accumulated Depreciation, and Depreciation Expense be reduced in the test year to reflect a change in the Common plant Allocation Factor?

STAFF: Yes. Utility Plant, Accumulated Depreciation, and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by $\$ 20,143, \$ 513$, and $\$ 388$, respectively.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
4. ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to reduce test year Plant-In-Service and Accumulated Depreciation to remove non-utility house piping?

STAFF: Yes. Plant-In-Service should be reduced by $\$ 136,639$. Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by \$6,640.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
5. ISSUE: Should Utility Plant, the related Accumulated Depreciation and Depreciation Expense be reduced to reflect both a change in the allocation factor and to remove unsubstantiated items.

STAFF: Yes. Utility Plant should be reduced by $\$ 83,221$, Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by $\$ 16,843$ and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by $\$ 4,079$.

## WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)

ACC: No position at this time.
6. ISSUE: Should adjustments be made to test year Plant-In-Service, Accumulated Depreciation, and Depreciation Expense to remove property in Account 320, "Other Equipment," which is unidentifiable and/or no longer used and useful?
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STAFF: Yes. Adjustments should be made to reduce Plant-In-Service by $\$ 82,995$, Accumulated Depreciation by $\$ 40,507$, and Depreciation Expense by $\$ 2,064$.

WFNG; WFNG agrees with Staff for purposes of settlement. (Sowder)

ACC: No positicn at this time.
7. ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to record the utility's acquisition adjustment in the proper account?

STAFF: Yes. The utility's acquisition adjustment should be removed from Account 399, "Other Tangible Property," and properly recorded in Account 114, "Gas Plant Acquisition Adjustments." The associated Accumulated Depreciation should also be removed and properly recorded in Account 115, "Accumulated Provision for Amortization of Gas Plant Acquisition Adjustments."

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
8. ISSUE: Should test year Construction-Work-In-Progress (CWIP) be reduced to reflect over-allocation of common property?

STAFF: Yes. Test year CWIP should be reduced by $\$ 72,828$.
WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
9. ISSUE: What is the appropriate test year Depreciation Reserve?

STAFF: The appropriate test year Depreciation Reserve is $\$ 4,735,940$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
10. ISSUE: What is the appropriate test year Working Capital Allowance?

STAFF: The appropriate test year Working Capital Allowance is zero.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
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11. ISSUE: What is the appropriate test year rate base?

STAFF: The appropriate test year rate base is $\$ 14,098,547$.
WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.

## Net Operating Income Issues

12. ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to the test year revenues and associated other taxes to remove the effect of the Company's pro forma adjustments?

STAFE: Yes. Test year revenues and associated taxes should be increased by $\$ 192,359$ and $\$ 3,126$ respectively. The adjustments made by the utility reflect items which occured outside the test year, and therefore, are more appropriately addressed in the attrition year.

WFNG: The amounts in question relate to the elimination of interruptible revenues due to loss of Stone Container as an Interruptible customer, and to the addition of firm revenue from the residential classes due to the relief granted in Docket No. 870227-GU. The utility is of the view that it has properly treated these amounts as pro forma adjustments to the test year, but is willing to accept Staff's treatment of these items in the attrition year as there is no net effect on the end result. (Sowder).

ACC: No position at this time.
13. ISSUE: Are the payroll allocation factors used by the Company to determine the utility portion of payroll expense appropriate?

STAFF: No. Staff does not believe the payroll allocation factors used by the utility are appropriate. Most of the factors applied by the utility were determined in 1985 and may or may not be appropriate. The utility did not supply documentation in support of the factors applied. Since payroll expense is approximately $44 \%$ of total $O \& M$ expense, Staff is of the opinion that supporting documentation is necessary. However, since the utility's total payroll expense is not unreasonable compared to prior cases and since staff cannot determine whether an adjustment is necessary, Staff will accept the utility's payroll expense, subject to other Staff adjustments. Staff recommends that in the absence of supporting documentation in the utility's next rate case, all payroll expenses be eliminated.

WFNG: WFNG believes payroll expense has been fairly allocated in this case, but agrees to develop improved documentation for future rate cases. (Smith)

ACC: No position at this time.
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14. ISSUE: Should test year O\&M Expense be reduced for banquet expenses, dues, and business entertainment charges to include dinners, lunches, LP items and cover charges for night clubs?

STAFF: Yes. O\&M Expense should be reduced by \$20,009.
WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
15. ISSUE: Should demonstrating and selling expenses be removed from the test year O\&M Expense?

STAFF: Yes. O\&M Expense should be reduced by $\$ 4,253$. (Johe)

WFNG: Agree with Staff for purposes of settlement. (Sowder)

ACC: No position at this time.
16. ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to allocate 23.7 percent of vehicle maintenance expense to non-utility?

STAFE: Yes. Vehicle Maintenance should be reduced by \$9,170.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
17. ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to allocate 37 percent of General Plant Maintenance Expense to non-utility?

STAFE: Yes. General Maintenance expense should be reduced by $\$ 11,561$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
18. ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to allocate 38.9 percent of office equipment repairs and maintenance expense to non-utility?

STAFE: Yes. Test year office equipment repairs and maintenance expense should be reduced by $\$ 1,811$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
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19. ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to eliminate the allocation of home office insurance expense of $\$ 5,063$ ?

STAFE: Yes. Test year operating expenses should be reduced by $\$ 5,063$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
20. ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to legal and professional fees to correct for the effect of an out of period entry made by the utility's outside auditors?

STAFE: Yes. Test year legal and professional fees should be increased by $\$ 13,084$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
21. ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to Miscellaneous General Expenses to remove an out of period entry made to correct the balance in Accounts Payable?

STAFF: Yes. Test Year Miscellaneous General Expenses should be increased by $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 2 9 5}$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
22. ISSUE: What is the appropriate amount of the test year depreciation and amortization expense?

STAFE: The appropriate amount of test year depreciation and amortization expense is $\mathbf{\$ 5 8 7 , 9 5 2}$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
23. ISSUE: Should other taxes include $\$ 8,250$ for the Florida Emergency Excise Tax?
STAFF: No. $\$ 8,250$ should be removed from Other Taxes since the Florida Emergency Excise Tax has been included as part of the income tax expense.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Smith)
ACC: No position at this time.
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24. ISSUE: Should Taxes - Other be increased to include property taxes associated with the allocation of commonly used property owned by West Florida LP Gas Company?

STAFE: Yes. Taxes - Other should be increased by $\$ 1,352$.
WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Smith)
ACC: No position at this time.
25. ISSUE: What is the appropriate time frame over which the excess deferred taxes should be written back?

STAFE: The excess deferred taxes should be written back over the remaining life of the associated assets or 24 years. This requires a reduction in income tax expense of $\$ 2,656$ beginning in the attrition year.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Smith)
ACC: No position at this time.
26. ISSUE: What is the appropriate amount of Deferred Tax Expense to be included in the test year NOI?

STAFF: The appropriate amount of Deferred Tax Expense to be included in the test year NOI is $\mathbf{\$ 2 3 9 , 4 4 1}$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Smith)
ACC: No position at this time.
27. ISSUE: What is the appropriate amount of test year NOI? (This is a calculation based on the resolution of test year Net Operating Issues above.)

STAFE: The appropriate test year NOI is $\$ 1,054,353$.
WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
28. ISSUE: What is the appropriate test year deficiency?

STAFF: The appropriate test year deficiency is $\mathbf{\$ 4 4 2 , 0 1 8}$.
WFNG: Agree with Staff. (McIntyre, Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.

Test Year Cost of Capital Issues
29. ISSUE: What is the appropriate amount of deferred taxes to be included in the Capital Structure for the historic test year?
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STAFF: The appropriate amount of deferred taxes to be included in the test year capital structure is $\$ 592,761$ before reconciliation. This includes an adjustment to increase deferred income taxes associated with ITC carryforwards of $\$ 252,538$ with a corresponding decrease in ITCs of $\$ 86,923$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff (Sinith).
ACC: No position at this time.
30. ISSUE; What is the cost of common equity for the test year?

STAFF: The cost of common equity for the test year is 13.5 percent. (Seery)

WFNG: 14.35\%, but WFNG accepts $13.5 \%$ for purposes of settlement. (McIsaac)

ACC: No position at this time.
31. ISSUE: In reconciling capital structure to rate base, should non-utility items be allocated specifically to equity, or should non-utility items be allocated on a prorata basis?

STAFF: WFNG's investment in non-utility properly should be removed from the capital structure directly from equity and debt.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (McIsaac, Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
32. ISSUE: What is the weighted average cost of capital including the proper components, amounts and cost rates associated with the capital structure for the test year?

STAFF: The weighted average cost of capital for the test year is 9.40 percent. The proper components, amounts, and cost rates are shown on Schedule 3. (Seery)

WFNG: Agree with Staff for purposes of settlement. (Sowder, McIsaac)

ACC: No position at this time.

## Attrition Year Rate Base Issues

ISSUE: Should attrition year Plant-In-Service,
Accumulated Depreciation, and Depreciation Expense be
increased to reflect an error in booking of meter
purchases?
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STAFF: Yes. Plant-In-Service should be increased by $\$ 8,425$, Accumulated Depreciation by $\$ 620$, and Depreciation Expense by $\$ 371$. (Johe)

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
34. LSSUE: Should an Adjustment be made to reduce attrition year Utility Plant, Accumulated Depreciation, and the related Depreciation Expense to reflect correction of the Administrative and General transfer in the test year?

STAFF: Yes. An adjustment should be made to reduce Utility Plant by $\$ 20,433$, Accumulated Depreciation by $\$ 1,488$, and Depreciation Expense by $\$ 712$. (Johe)

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
35. ISSUE: Should Plant-In-Service, the related depreciation reserve, and the Depreciation Expense be reduced in the attrition year to reflect a change in the common plant factor?

STAFF: Yes. Plant-In-Service, the depreciation issue, and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by $\$ 20,323$, \$903. and \$393, respectively.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
36. ISSUE; Should an adjustment be made to attrition year Utility Plant, Accumulated Depreciation, and related Depreciation Expense to remove non-utility house piping?

STAFF: Yes. Utility Plant should be reduced by $\$ 136,639$, Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by $\$ 6,640$, and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by $\$ 3,617$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
37. ISSUE: Should Utility Plant, the related Accumulated Depreciation and Depreciation Expense be reduced to reflect both a change in the allocation factor and the removal of unsubstantiated items?

STAFE: Yes. Utility Plant should be reduced by $\$ 22,848$, Accumulated Depreciation by $\$ 9,036$, and Depreciation Expense by $\$ 1,203$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
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ACC: No position at this time.
38. ISSUE: Should adjustments be made to attrition year Plant-In-Service, Accumulated Depreciation, and Depreciation Expense to reflect corrections in the Company's test year plus one budget?

STAFF: Yes. Attrition year Plant-in-Service, Accumulated Depreciation, and Depreciation Expense should be increased by $\$ 56,490, \$ 59,511$, and $\$ 16,029$, respectively.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
39. ISSUE: Should the attrition year Common Plant Allocations to Plant-in-Service and Accumulated Depreciation be adjusted in the attrition year for the effect of adjustments made in issue 38 (above)?

STAFF: Yes. Attrition year Plant-in-Service and Accumulated Depreciation, should be decreased by $\$ 18,074$, and $\$ 11,207$, respectively.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
40. ISSUE: Should attrition year Plant-in-Service, Accumulated Depreciation, and Depreciation Expense be reduced to remove property in Account 320, "Other Equipment," which is unidentifiable and/or no longer used and useful?

STAFE: Yes. Plant-in-Service should be reduced by $\$ 82,995$. Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by \$44,659, and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by $\$ 2,076$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff for purposes of settlement. (Sowder).

ACC: No position at this time.
41. ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to record the utility's acquisition adjustment in the proper account?

STAFF: Yes. The utility's acquisition adjustment should be removed from Account 399, "Other Tangible Property," and properly recorded in Account 114, "Gas Plant Acquisition Adjustments." The associated Accumulated Depreciation should also be removed and properly recorded in Account 115, "Accumulated Provision for Amortization of Gas Plant Acquisition Adjustments."
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WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
42. ISSUE: What is the appropriate attrition year depreciation reserve?

STAFF: The appropriate attrition year depreciation reserve is $\$ 5,849,726$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
43. ISSUE: Should attrition year Working Capital Allowance be reduced by $\$ 1,262$ to remove an interest-bearing Notes Receivable account?

STAFF: Yes. Working Capital Allowance should be reduced by $\$ 1,262$. (Johe)

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
44. LSSUE: Should Miscellaneous Current and Accrued Assets be reduced in the attrition year working capital to remove interest-earning items?

STAFF: Yes. Miscellaneous Current and Accrued Assets should be reduced by $\$ 2,280$ in the attrition year Working Capital Allowance. (Johe)

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
45. ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to Customer Accounts Receivable in Working Capital to remove receivables associated with non-utility operations?

STAFF: Yes. An adjustment should be made to reduce Accounts Receivable by $\$ 28,525$. (Johe)

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
46. ISSUE: Should Miscellaneous Deferred Debits be adjusted to remove items already recovered through other regulatory means?

STAFF: Yes. Miscellaneous Deferred Debit. should be adjusted as follows: (Johe)
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Unrecovered Conservation Expense
Unamortized Rate Case
Unrecovered Gas Costs
\$126,120
$16,597)$
104.486)

TOTAL
5.037

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
47. ISSUE: Should attrition year Working Capital be increased to reflect the removal of a take-or-pay liability recorded in Other Deferred Credits?

STAFF: Yes. Other Deferred Credits should be reduced by the take-or-pay liability, thus increasing the Working Capital Allowance by $\$ 32,073$. (Johe)

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
48. ISSUE: What is the appropriate working capital allowance to be used in the attrition year rate base?
STAFF: The appropriate attrition year working capital allowance is $\$ 1,188,944$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
49. ISSUE: What is the appropriate attrition year rate base?

STAFF: The appropriate attrition year rate base is $\$ 16,362,103$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
50. ISSUE: Should the Company's leased appliance be included in rate base?

STAFF: No. Staff does not believe that the Commission has the authority to require leased appliances to be included in rate base.

WFNG: The utility agrees with Staff for several reasons. First, it questions the legality of including leased appliances (water heaters) in rate base, as the appliances are not used and useful in providing utility. service (i.e.. natural gas to the outlet side of the customer meter). Second, the utility does not "lease" the appliances in the conventional sense; rather, the transactions are vehicles for financing customer purchases
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#### Abstract

of the water heaters. Third, the utility's competitors are not regulated. Fourth, the Commission has not in the past attempted to mandate regulation of the appliance business and including appliances in rate base would be inconsistent with the Commission's treatment of customer premise equipment in the telephone industry. Finally, including leased appliances in rate base would appear to occasion a comprehensive new body of regulation, including the terms and conditions of transactions, prescribing tariffs, and repair and maintenance of the appliances. (Sowder).


ACC: No position at this time.

## Attrition Year Net Operating Income Issues

51. ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to the attrition year Revenues for the effect of customer growth and changes in consumption?

STAFF: Attrition Year Revenues should be increased by $\$ 89,434$ to reflect changed consumption.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
52. ISSUE: What is the appropriate amount of attrition year Revenues?

STAFF: The appropriate amount of attrition year operating revenues is $\$ 5,608,040$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
53. ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to the attrition year Operating \& Maintenance Expense for the effect of applicable test year adjustments?

STAFF: Yes. Attrition year Operating and Maintenance Expense should be reduced by $\$ 33,488$ for the effect of applicable test year adjustments.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
54. ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to the attrition year Operating and Maintenance Expense to eliminate the trend effect of test year adjustment?

STAFF: Yes. Attrition year Operating and Maintenance Expense should be reduced by $\$ 7,501$ for the trend effect of test year adjustments.
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WENG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
55. ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to reduce the attrition year Operating and Maintenance Expense for Company trending errors?

STAFF: Yes. Attrition year Operating and Maintenance Expense should be reduced by $\$ 40,269$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
56. ISSUE: Should the attrition year Operating and Maintenance Expense be reduced for the effect of changing the trend factors applied to data processing charges, communication expenses, and outside services expenses?

STAFF: Yes. Attrition year Operating and Maintenance Expense should be reduced by $\$ 33,473$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
57. ISSUE; Should the attrition year insurance expense be adjusted for the effect of a known refund?

STAFF: Yes. Attrition year insurance expense should be reduced by $\$ 3,661$. (Johe)

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Smith)
ACC: No position at this time.
58. ISSUE: Should the attrition year Operations and Maintenance Expense and associated payroll tax be adjusted for an additional clerical position to be added in November, 1988 ?

STAFE: Yes. Attrition year Operations and Maintenance Expenses and associated taxes, and employee benefits should be increased by $\$ 8,516$ and $\$ 601$ respectively.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (McIntyre, Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
59. ISSUE: Should the attrition year Rent Expense be adjusted for known rent increases?

STAFF: Yes. Attrition year Rent Expense should be increased by $\$ 20,973$. (Johe)
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WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
60. ISSUE: What is the appropriate amount of property, liability, and vehicle insurance expense to be included in the attrition year operating expenses?

STAFF: The appropriate amount of property, liability, and vehicle insurance expense to be included in the attrition year operating expense is $\$ 225,097$. An adjustment should be made to reduce attrition year operating and maintenance expense by $\$ 52,906$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Smith)
ACC: No position at this time.
61. ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to remove one month of amortization expense for the environmental clean-up project?

STAFF: Yes. An adjustment should be made to reduce amortization expense by $\$ 14,621$.
WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
62. ISSUE: Commission Order No. 16269, issued on June 29, 1986, states that inactive service lines that have been inactive for more than two years should be physically abandoned as well as retired from plant. Is the Company in compliance with this Order?

STAFE: Yes. However, with respect to the approximately 2800 service lines which were identified in the utility's last rate case as having been inactive for more than two years, the utility agrees to cut services at the main within three years following the date of the final order in this rate case. The $\$ 268,800$ cost will also be amortized over three years, at $\$ 89,600$ per year, and included in amortization expense in this case. In addition, the utility agrees to submit to Staff within 90 days following the final order in this case an economic study to ascertain the period of inactivity at which it is most cost effective to cut a service line at the main, in order to determine how best to treat future service line retirements.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.

```
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63. ISSUE: What is the appropriate amount of attrition year depreciation and amortization expense?
STAFF: The appropriate amount of attrition year depreciation and amortization expense is \(\mathbf{\$ 9 1 9 , 4 1 7 .}\)
WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
64. ISSUE: What is the appropriate amount of current tax expense to be included in the attrition Net Operating Income?
STAFF: The appropriate amount of current tax expense to be included in the attrition Net Operating Income is zero.
WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Smith)
ACC: No position at this time.
65. ISSUE: What is the appropriate amount of deferred taxes to be included in the attrition year NOI?
STAFF: The appropriate amount of deferred taxes to be included in the attrition year NOI is \(\$ 68,172\).
```

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Smith)
ACC: No position at this time.
66. ISSUE: What is the appropriate attrition year NOI? (This is a calculation based on the resolution of Net Operating Issues above.)

STAFE: The appropriate amount of the attrition year NOI is $\$ 1,150,018$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.
67. ISSUE: What is the appropriate attrition year deficiency?

STAFE: The appropriate attrition deficiency is $\$ 1,076,253$.
WFNG: Agree with Staff. (McIntyre, Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.

## Attrition Year Cost of Capital Issues

68. ISSUE: What is the appropriate amount of deferred taxes to be included in the capital structure for the attrition year?
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STAFF: The appropriate amount of deferred taxes to be included in the capital structure for the attrition year is $\$ 1,496,207$ before reconciliation. This includes adjustments to deferred income taxes associated with net operating losses and ITC carryforwards of $\$ 318,917$ and \$327,000, respectively, with a corresponding decrease in ITCs of $\$ 105,136$.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Smith)
ACC: No position at this time.
69. ISSUE: What is the cost of common equity for the attrition year?

STAFF: The cost of common equity for the attrition year is 13.5 percent. See Schedule 7. (Seery)
WFNG: 14.35\%, but WFNG accepts Staff's position for purposes of settlement. (McIsaac)

ACC: No position at this time.
70. ISSUE: What is the weighted average cost of capital including the proper components, amounts, and cost rates associated with the capital structure for the attrition year?
STAFF: The weighted average cost of capital for the attrition year is 11.06 percent. The proper component, amounts, and cost rates are shown on Schedule 7. (Seery)

WFNG: Agree with Staff for purposes of settlement. (McIsaac, Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time.

## Rate Design Issues

71. ISSUE: What are the billing determinants to be used in the Attrition Year?

STAFF: The billing determinants to be used in the attrition year are those shown on Schedule 9.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time. (Snapp)
72. ISSUE: Should the revenue increase, if any, be allocated between the classes so as to move toward equal rates of return for all classes?

STAFF: The revenue increase should be allocated between classes so as to move all rate classes. rates of return as close to parity as practical as shown on Schedule 9.

```
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WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time. (Snapp)
73. ISSUE: Should the Company's originally proposed revenue requirement allocation be approved?

STAFF: No. Revenue requirements have changed due to prior adjustments. The revenue requirements should be allocated as shown on Schedule 9.

WFNG: Agree with Staff that revenue requirements have changed due to prior adjustments, and with methodology reflected in Staff's schedule. The utility would apply the methodology to different numbers, but will accept Staff's numbers for the purpose of settlement. (Sowder)

ACC: No position at this time. (Snapp)
74. ISSUE: What rates should the Commission approve?

STAFF: The rates as shown on Schedule 9.
WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time. (Snapp)
75. ISSUE: Should the special contract between the utility and Arizona Chemical Company be approved?

STAFF: Yes. The contract (attached hereto as Attachment 11) is essentially a "take or pay" contract which covers more than the cost to serve ACC. It therefore serves to insulate the general body of ratepayers from any revenue deficiency which might otherwise result if the utility were to flex its rates downward in order to retain this customer. Further, the contract accommodates the customer's desire for certainty in its base gas cost. The contract rate is based on the cost to serve and comes under the interruptible rate schedule as an optional provision. (See Issue 80 and Attachment 11.)

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (McIntyre, Sowder, Brockman)
ACC: Agree with Staff. (Snapp)
76. ISSUE: What should the related service charges be?

STAFE: The service charges should be as shown on Schedule 9.

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder)
ACC: No position at this time. (Snapp)
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77. ISSUE: What is the appropriate Cost of Service methodology to be used in allocating costs to the various rate classes?

STAFF: Staff's Cost of Service Study as used by the Company. (Schedule 9)

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Sowder, Brockman)
ACC: No position at this time. (Snapp)
78. ISSUE: Should any portion of the interim increase granted by Commission Order No. 19239, issued on April 28, 1988, be refunded to the customers?

STAFF: If the interim increase is greater than the increase granted by the Commission's final vote, the difference should be refunded pursuant to Rule 25-7.091, Florida Administrative Code.

WFNG: Agree with Staff.
ACC: No position at this time.
79. ISSUE: If leased appliances are to be included in rate base, what is the appropriate monthly rate to be charged?

STAFF: Staff believes that the Commission does not. have the authority to require leased appliances to be included in rate base. However, if the Commission orders the inclusion of leased appliances in the Company's rate base, Staff recommends that the current lease rates be adopted.

WFNG: As explained in Issue 50, the utility does not believe its appliances are "leased" in the conventional sense or that they should be included in rate base. However, if the Commission were to mandate such regulation, the utility agrees with Staff that rates for existing customers, which vary among them, should not be altered. With respect to new customers, the rates in effect January 1, 1989 should be adopted. (Sowder)

ACC: No position at this time.
80. ISSUE: What is the appropriate flexible rate for the interruptible class?

STAFF: The flexible rate for the interruptible class, as proposed by WFNG's Tariff Sheets 7.104, 7.105, and 7.5027.504 is an appropriate flexible rate, which provides for the option of a contract rate, subject to PSC approval. The Tariff Sheets are attached hereto as Attachment 12. The utility agrees that any shortfalls or surpluses it experiences under these provisions will be treated "above the line," that is, included in the determination of the utility's earnings for regulatory purposes. (See Issue 75)
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WFNG: WFNG has proposed the appropriate flexible rate in its Tariff Sheets No. 7.104, 7.105 and 7.502 - 7.504. (McIntyre, Brockman)

ACC: Agree with Staff and the utility. (Snapp)

## Quality of Service Issues

81. ISSUE: Has the Company complied with the Commission's Gas Meter Testing Rule 25-7.064, Florida Administrative Code?

STAFE: No. The utility's Ocala Division did not correctly sample the meters in the 250 cfh category, and also failed to test some larger meters in a timely fashion. However, the utility, at its own expense, has corrected the deficiencies and has instituted new procedures to ensure compliance in the future. The quality of service to utility ratepayers has not been impaired by this noncompliance, which is the result of neglect and not a refusal to comply or a willful violation. The utility should pay a penalty in the total amount of $\$ 10,000$ for this violation. The penalty should be paid within ten days of the Commission's approval of this penalty, and should be recorded below the line. (Fletcher)

WFNG: Agree with Staff. (Hughes)
ACC: No position at this time.

## LEGAL ISSUE

82. ISSUE: Should the Company's current non-jurisdictional leased appliances be required to be included in rate base.

STAFF: No. Staff does not believe the Commission has the authority to require leased appliances in the rate base as jurisdictional items.

WFNG: Agree with Staff, for the reasons stated in Issue 50.

ACC: No position at this time.

## AGREED ISSUES

Staff met with representatives of West Florida Natural Gas Company and Arizona Chemical Company in order to identify and discuss all issues involved in this docket. As a result of such discussions, WFNG has agreed to Staff's positions on most issues, and has agreed to accept Staff's positions on all other issues for purposes of settlement. ACC has taken no position on most issues, and has agreed with Staff's positions on those issues with which it is concerned. The utility and intervenor have stipulated to Staff's positions. If granted, Commission approval of the Stipulation will resolve all issues in this docket.
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## MOTIONS

There are no pending Motions.

## REOUIREMENTS

All applicable procedural orders and rules have been complied with.

Based on the foregoing, it is
ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that these proceedings shall be governed by this order unless modified by the Commission.

By ORDER of Commissioner Gerald L. Gunter, as Prehearing Officer, this 24th_day of January 1989 $\qquad$


GERALD L. GUNTER, Commissioner and Prehearing Officer
(SEAL)
MER
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## SCHEDULE 3

> West Florida Natural Cas Conpany
> Capital structure
> Docket No. 871255-GU
> 13 month average
> Test year ended 6/30/87

COMPANY REVISED POSITION


STAFF POSITIOM

| Class of Capital | Amount <br> Per Books | Specific Adjustments | Staff . Adjusted | Prorata Adjustments | Staff Adjusted | Ratio | Cost <br> Rate | Weighted Cost of Capital |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comnon Equity | 35,559,063 | (3628,715) | \$6,930,348 | 8348,309 | 35,278,657 | 37.46\% | 13.50\% | 5.05\% |
| Long Term Debt | 7,140,120 | $(1,138,875)$ | 6,001,245 | 423,966 | 6,425,209 | 45.57\% | 8.55\% | 3 3.90\% |
| Customer Deposits | 739,733 |  | 739,733 | 52,259 | 791.992 | 5.62\% | 7.977 | 0.65\% |
| Tax Credits | 991,098 | $(86,923)$ | 906,175 | 63,876 | 968,051 | 6.87\% | 0.00x | 0.00\% |
| Deferred Income Taxes | 361,223 | 251,538 | 592,761 | 41,876 | 636,637 | 4.50\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Total Capital | 816,771, 237 | ( $81,602,975$ )s | \$13,168,262 | 3930,285 | \$14,098,547 | 100.00\% |  | $9.40 \%$ |
|  | -amazan | ละละละ.ะ | -nexemex | - |  | ExEma* |  | -ases |

Specific Adjustments:

1) 8373,600 unamortized debt expense removed directly from long Term Debt.
2) $81,393,990$ represents $\$ 529,796$ in Mon-utility Appliances, 8723,070 removal of common plant associated with tP business, and a $\$ 113,580$ inventory adjustment. This adjustment was prorated over equity 8 debt.
RATE RASE (AVERAGE)
RATE OF RETURM
RECUIRED WOI
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PLANT IN SERVICE UTILITY PLANT 33 METER PURCMASE 36 OVERMEAD ALLOCATIOM
35 CONHON PLANT ALLOCATED 36 ADJ REMOVE NOUSE PIPING AQUISITION ADJUSTMENT PROPERTY FRON LP
PROPERTY FRON LP
38 ADJ TO TY +1 BUDCET
39 COMHON PLANT ALLOCATION 40 OTMER EOUIPWEMT
61 OTHER TANGIBLE PROPERTY G1 AOUISITION ADJUSTMENT


TOTALS

ACCUM. DEPR. E ANORT. ACC PROV-DEPR \& AMORT 33 METER PURCHASE
36 OVERHEAD ALLOCATION 35 CONHON PLANT ALLOCATED 36 ADJ REMOVE MOUSE PIPING ACUISITION ADJUSTMENT AOUISITION ADJUS 37 PROPERTY FRON LP
38 ADJ TO TY 1 BUDGET 38 ADJ TO TY\& 1 BUDCET
39 CONHON PLANT ALLOCATIOM 40 OTHER EOUIPNENT 61 OTMER TANGIBLE PROPERTY 1 AOUISITION ADJUSTMENT
42 TOTALS
NET PLANT IN SERVICE


$\square$

Ett uruly | mur |
| ---: | :--- |

HORKING CAPITAL
TOTALS
TOTAL RATE BASE

UEST FLORIDA MATURAL GAS DOCKET NO. 871255-GU COMPARATIVE AVERAGE RATE BASES AYE 6/30/89
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|  | STAFF TREND RATES: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TEST YEAR * } 1 \\ & 6 / 30 / 88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ATTRITIOH YEAR } \\ 6 / 30 / 89 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Payroll ONLY | 7.00\% | 6.008 |
| 12 | CUSTOMER GROUTM $\times$ PAY CMANCE | $16.86 \%$ | 8.53 x |
| 13 | CUSTOMER GROUTM X INFLATIOM | $11.62 x$ | 9.26\% |
| 14 | thflation owly | 6.00\% | 6.708 |
|  | CUSTOMER CROUTM | 7.33x | $4.36 \%$ |

account
TEST YEAR TEST YEAR * 1 TEST YEAR * 2
trend basis FROH ABOVE
DISTRIBUTION EXPENSE
-

| 870 | Payroll-trended Other trended Other not trended | $\begin{aligned} & 36,793 \\ & 42,696 \\ & 48,126 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39,369 \\ & 47,655 \\ & 50,069 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40,943 \\ & 52,068 \\ & 52,401 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 127,611 | 137,073 | 145,612 |
| 876 | Payroll-trended Other trended other not trended | $\begin{array}{r} 9,069 \\ 67,183 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,706 \\ 52,666 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,092 \\ 57,563 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
|  | Total | 56,252 | 62,369 | 67,636 |
| 879 | Payroll-trended Other trended Other not trended | $\begin{array}{r} 86,808 \\ 47,676 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90,745 \\ 53,216 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94,374 \\ 58,164 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
|  | Total | 132,486 | 163,961 | 152,518 |
| 880 | Payroll-trended Other trended Other not trended | $\begin{array}{r} 6,774 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,248 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,538 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
|  | Total | 6,776 | 7,268 | 7,538 |
|  | total distribution expemse | 323,121 | 350,651 | 373,103 |

### 4.92
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account

CUSTOMER ACCT. \& COLLEC.


| 901 | Payroll-trended Other trended Other not trended | $\begin{array}{r} 14,566 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,586 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,209 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 16,566 | 15,586 | 16,209 |
| 902 | Payroll-trended Other trended Other not trended | $\begin{array}{r} 82,536 \\ 48,430 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 88,311 \\ 56,058 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91,864 \\ 59,063 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
|  | Total | 130,966 | 142,369 | 150,907 |
| 903 | Payroll-trended Other trended Other not trended | $\begin{aligned} & 151,188 \\ & 26,465 \\ & 158,859 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 161,771 \\ 27,308 \\ 170,503 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 168,242 \\ 29,837 \\ 177,937 \end{array}$ |
|  | Total | 334,512 | 359,582 | 376,016 |
| 906 | Payroll-trended Other trended Other not trended | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 22,680 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0 25,315 0 | 0 27,660 0 |
|  | Total | 22,680 | 25,315 | 27,660 |
|  | TOTAL CUSTOMER ACC'T EXPEMSES | 8502,722 | 3542,852 | 8570,792 |
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| 920 | Payroll-trended Other trended Other not trended | $\begin{array}{r} 661,749 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 686,671 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 722,656 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 661,749 | 686,671 | 722,656 |
| 921 | Payroll-trended <br> Other trended <br> Other trended <br> Other not trended | $\begin{array}{r} 306,066 \\ 66,711 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 361,629 \\ 74,463 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 373,263 \\ 81,358 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
|  | Total | 372,775 | 416,091 | 456,622 |
| 922 | Payroll-trended Other trended Other not trended | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ (132,462) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ (80,318) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ (87,755) \end{array}$ |
|  | Total | $(132,462)$ | (80,318) | (87,755) |
| 923 | Payroll-trended Other trended Other not trended | 0 87,138 0 | 0 90,626 0 | 94,883 ${ }^{0}$ |
|  | Total | 87, 138 | 90,626 | 94,883 |
| 926 | Payroll-trended Other trended Other not trended | 0 0 261,423 | 0 0 281,666 | 0 0 225,097 |
|  | Total | 261,423 | 281,666 | 225,097 |
|  | sub total | 1,230,623 | 1,396,732 | ,409,501 |

### 4.96
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UEST FLORIDA MATURAL GAS
OSM FORECAST WORKSHEET - ATTRITION CALCULATIOM
schedule 7

|  | Staff <br> treno rates: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TEST YEAR } \\ & 6 / 30 / 8 \mathrm{~s} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ATTRITION YEAR } \\ & 6 / 30 / 89 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | PAYkOLL OwLy | 7.00x | 4.00x |
| - 2 | CUSTONER GROUTM $\times$ PAY CMAMGE | 16.84\% | 8.538 |
| 13 | CUSTONER GROUTM X INFLATIOM | 11.62\% | $9.26 \%$ |
| 14 | Imflatiom Owly | 4.00\% | $4.70 \%$ |
|  | CUSTOMER CROUTM | 7.33\% | 4.36\% |



ADNINISTRATIVE B GENERAL EXPENSE CONT.
doninisirative 3 GENERAL EXPENSE
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LEST FLORIDA MATURAL GAS
OLA FORECAST MORKSMEET
ATTRITIOM CaLCULATIOM

|  | STAFF <br> tREND RATES: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TEST YEAR }+1 \\ & 6 / 30 / 88 \end{aligned}$ | AITRITION YEAR 6/30/89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\\|$ | Payroll OwLy | 7.00x | 4.00x |
| 12 | CUSTOTER CRONTM X PAY CMAMCE | 14.86x | 8.53x |
| 13 | CUSTOMER GROUTM X ImFlatiow | $11.62 x$ | 9.26x |
| 06 | ImFlation owiy | 4.00\% | $4.70 \%$ |
|  | CUSTOMER CROUTM | 7.33x | 6.36x |

## *************************

 TOTAL EXPEMSES***********************e**e*
Payroll-trended
Other trended
other not trended
Total
test vear test vear - 1 test year * 2
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SCHEDULE 8

West Florida Watural Gas Company
Capital Structure
Docket Mo. 871255-GU
13 month average
Attrition year ended 6/30/89

## COMPAKY REVISED POSITIOM



STAFF POSITIOM

specific Adjustments:

1) $\mathbf{s 7 2 0 , 1 2 7}$ unemortized debt expense removed directly from Long term Debt.
2) $\$ 1,366,466$ represents $\$ 529,796$ in Won-utility Appliances, $\mathbf{8 7 2 3 , 0 7 0}$ removal of common plant associated with LP business, and a $\$ 113,580$ inventory adjustment. This adjustment was prorated over equity 8 debt.
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|  | COMPANY PER FILING | company PER AMENDED | Staff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rate base (averace) | \$15, 262,525 | \$116,579,655 | $16,362,103$ |
| rate of retury | 10.35x | 11.92x | 11.06\% |
| REQuIRED WOI | 1,57,601 | $1,976,295$ | 1,809,060 |
| Operating Revenues | 55,963,903 | 85,385,366 | 35,608,040 |
| Operating Expenses: |  |  |  |
| Operating a Mointenence | 3,181,246 | 3,203,055 | 3,061,266 |
| Depreciation 6 amortization | 673,364 | 836,039 | 919,417 |
| Taxes other than Income | 373,160 | 371,876 | 367,971 |
| Current income taxes - federal | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - state | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Deferred income texes | 333,466 | 151,721 | 68,172 |
| Investment tax credits | $(38,923)$ | 0 | 0 |
| Interest Reconciliation | 0 | (37,146) | 41,216 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \%,522,311. | 86,525,567 | \$4,458,022 |
| achieved met operating incone | $\begin{aligned} & 81,41,592 \\ & =0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81,359,797 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $81,150,018$ |
| mot deficiencr (Required - achieved) | 8136,009 | \$616,498 | 8659,630 |
| mol muttiplier | 1.6316 | 1.6316 | 1.6316 |
| attrition revenue imcrease | $8221,912$ | \% $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 0 5 , 8 7 8}$ | \%31,076,253 |
| test tear imcrease | 8887,315 | 8808,736 | 2462,018 |
| attritiow revenue increase | 221,912 | 1,005,878 | 1,076,253 |
| total increase | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,109,227 \\ & =\boldsymbol{z e n} \end{aligned}$ | $81,814,636$ | $\mathbf{8 1 , 5 1 8 , 2 7 1}$ |
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COMPANY MANE:LESST FLA.MAT.GAS CORP. DOCKET NO. 871255-GU
scuedule - a PROPOSED RATE DESIGM

total residemtial comercial imoustrial imterupt

| $\begin{aligned} & 5,033,096 \\ & 132,920 \\ & 5,166,016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,698,162 \\ 131,120 \\ 2,829,282 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,609,220 \\ 1,800 \\ 1,611,020 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 269,827 \\ 269,827 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 455,887 \\ 455,887 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5.36 x \\ & 1.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.47 x \\ -.276 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.69 \% \\ 2.179 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.58 x \\ & 13.159 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.25 x \\ & 17.964 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 6,533,933 \\ 150,360 \\ 6,686,293 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,940,616 \\ 147,353 \\ 4,087,967 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,081,492 \\ 3,007 \\ 2,086,499 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 269,827 \\ 269,827 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 262,000 \\ 262,000 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1,518,277 \\ 29.39 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,258,685 \\ 46.49 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 473.479 \\ 29.39 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -213,887 \\ -46.92 x \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 11.06 x \\ 1.000 \end{array}$ | 8.038 .726 | $15.48 \%$ 1.400 | $51.72 \%$ 4.676 | $11.55 x$ 1.065 |

## $500-A$
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COMPANY MANE: LEST FLA. MAT. GAS CORP. DOCKET MO. 871255-GU


COMPANY MAME: UEST FLA.EAT.GAS CORP. DOCKET NO. 871255-GU
COST OF SERVICE BY CUSTONER CLASS

TCTAL RESIDENTIAL COWHEREIAL IMDUSTRIAL
INTERUPT

| CUSTOMER COSIS | 4,082, 196 | 3,260,669 | 804,495 | 11,496 | 5,535 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAPACITY COSTS | 2,272,770 | 1,007,259 | 919,805 | 132,265 | 213,461 |
| COMmCOITY COSTS | 70,348 | 17,360 | 25,566 | 10,116 | 17,326 |
| revenue cosis | 108,619 | 72,442 | 29,581 | 2,601 | 3,995 |
| TOTAL | 6,533,933 | 4,357,711 | 1,779,467 | 156,478 | 240,297 |
| less:revenue at present rates (in the attrition year) | 5,033,096 | 2,698,162 | 1,609,220 | 269,827 | 455,887 |
| Cquals: GAS SALES REVEMUE DEFICIENCY | 1,500,837 | 1,659,549 | 170,227 | -113,369 | -215,590 |
| plus ideficiency im otmer operating rev. | 17,440 | 16,233 | 1,207 |  |  |
| equals:TOTAL BASE-REVENUE DEFICIENCY | 1,518,277 | 1,675,781 | 171,435 | -113,369 | -215,590 |
| UWIT Cosis: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer | 14.9985892 | 13.02613126 | 36.9576962 | 319.342559 |  |
| Capacity | . 562840582 | .7013778190 | . 666857507 | . 290361256 | . 278259095 |
| Comsodity | . 001883303 | . 0018833031 | . 001883303 | . 001883303 | . 001883303 |
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COMPANY MANE: :WEST FLA.MAT.GAS CORP. DOCKET NO. 871255-GU
schedule - o
rate of return by customer class (Page 1 of 2:PRESENT RATES)
total residential commercial industrial imterupt
REVENUES: (in the attrition year) Gas Sales (including growth) Other Operating Revenue Total

EXPENSES:

| $5,033,096$ | $2,698,162$ | $1,609,220$ | 269,827 | 455,887 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 132,920 | 131,120 | 1,800 | 0 | 0 |
| $5,166,016$ | $2,829,282$ | $1,611,020$ | 269,827 | 455,887 |

Purchased Gas Cost on Expenses
Depreciation Expenses
Amortization Expenses
Taxes Other Than Income--Fixed
Taxes Other Than Incomer Revenue Total Expses excl. Income Taxes

PE TAX MOI:
INCOME TAXES:
met operating income:
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COMPANY RANE: MEST FLA. NAT.GAS CORP.
schedule - D
RATE OF RETURN BY CUSTONER CLASS (Page 2 of 2:fROPOSED RATES)


PRE TAX NOI:
IMCONE TAXES:
MET OPERATING INCOME:
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SCHEDUlE - E
classification of rate base (Page 1 of 2:PLANT)

COMPANY MANE: HEST FLA.MAT.GAS CORP. DOCKET MO. $371255-G U$
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SChEDULE - E classification of rate base
COMPANY MANE: WEST FLA.MAT.CAS CORP. (Page 2 of 2:ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATICN) DOCKET NO. 871255-GU

| LOCAL Storace plant: | TCTAL | CUSTOMER 0 | CAPACITY | сонмооITY | CLASSIFIER related plant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IWTANGIBLE PLANT: | 36791 | 0 | 36791 | 0 | rel.plant account |
| PRCOUCTION PLANT | 62761 |  | 62741 |  | rel.plant accout |
| DISTRISUTION PLANT: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 375 Structures and tmprovements | 3356 | 0 | 3356 | 0 | " |
| 376 Mains 377 Compressor Sta. Eq. | 3137402 | 0 | 3137402 | 0 | " |
| 377 Compressor Sta. Eq. 378 Meas. 4 Peg.Sta. Eq.-Gen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | " |
| 378 Hees. 2 Reg.Sta. Eq.-Gen 379 Meas. 2 Reg.Sta. Eq.-CG | 2209 | 0 | 2209 | 0 | " |
| 379 Meas. 28 Reg.Sta. Eq.-C6 | 40477 | 0 | 40677 | 0 | - |
| 380 Services 381 -382 Heters | 698873 | 698873 | 0 | 0 | " |
| 383-386 House Regulators | 795487 286281 | 795687 284281 | 0 | 0 | " |
| 385 Indust.Meas.1 Reg.Sta.Eq. | 284281 | 284281 | 0 | 0 | " |
| 386 Property on Customer Premises | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 387 Other Equipnent Total A.D. on Dist. Ptant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | " |
| Total A.D. on Dist. Plant | 4962083 | 1778641 | 3183642 | 0 | 4962083 |
| CENERAL PLAMT: | 458312 | 229156 | 229156 | 0 | general plant |
| PLANT ACOUISITIOWS: | 331799 | 0 | 331799 | 0 | plant acquisitions |
| Retirement lork in progress: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | distribution plant |
| total accumulated depreciation | 5849726 | 2007797 | 3841929 | 0 | 5849726 |
| MET PLANT (Plant less Accun. Dep.) | 15173159 | 6690378 | 8682782 | 0 | 15173159 |
| less:CuStomer advances | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 50x-50x cust--cap |
| plus:HORKING CAPITAL | 1188964 | 1031062 | 131996 | 25888 | oper. and maint. exp. |
| equals: TOTAL RATE BASE | 16362103 | 7521440 | 8814773 | 25888 | 16362103 |
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COMPANY MAME:LEST FLA.MAT.GAS CORP. CLASSIFICATIOH OF EXPENSES
DOCKET NO. 871255-GU
derivatiom of cost of service by cost classiricatiom (Page 1 of 2)
operations and maimtemance expenses

| LOCAL STORAGE PLANT: PRCOUCTIOM PLANT | TOTAL | CUSTOMER 0 | CAPACITY 0 0 | CONHODITY |  | CLASSIFIER <br> ec 301-320 <br> 100\% capecity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISTRIBUTIOW: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 870 Operation Supervision 2 Eng. | 165612 | 113963 | 31469 | 0 |  | ac 871-879 |
| 871 Dist.Load Dispetch | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 100\% capacity |
| 872 Compr.sta.Lab. ${ }^{\text {EEx. }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | ac 377 |
| 873 Compr. Sta. Fueltpower | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 100x commodity |
| 874 Mains and Services | 67636 | 18391 | 49243 | 0 |  | ac376+ac380 |
| 875 Meas. 2 Reg. Sta.Eq.-Gen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | ac 378 |
| 876 Meas. 2 Reg. Sta.Eq.-Ind. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | ac 385 |
| 877 Meas. 8 Reg. Sta.Eq.-CG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | ac 379 |
| 878 Meter and Mouse lieg. | 160056 | 160056 | 0 | 0 |  | ac381+ac383 |
| 879 Customer Instal. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | ec 386 |
| 880 Other Expenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | ac 387 |
| 881 Rents | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 100\% capecity |
| 885 Maintenance Supervision | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | ac886-896 |
| ${ }^{385}$ Maint. of struct. and Improv. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | ec375 - |
| 337 Maintenance of Mains | 49618 | 0 | 49618 | 0 |  | ec376 |
| 388 Maint. of Comp.Sta.Eq. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | ec 377 |
| 889 Maint. of Meas. 8 Reg. Sta.Eq.-G | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | ac 378 |
| 890 Maint. of Meas. 8 Reg. Sta.Eq. 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | ec 385 |
| 891 Maint. of Meas. 6 Reg.Sta.Eq.-CG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | ac 379 |
| 892 Maintenance of Services | 13353 | 13353 | 0 | 0 |  | ac 380 |
| 8893 Maint. of Meters and House Reg. | 66302 | 66302 | 0 | 0 |  | ec381-383 |
| 896 Maint. of Other Equipment Total Distribution Expenses | $502375$ | $372065$ | 130310 | 0 |  | sc387 502375 |
| CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 901 Supervision | 16209 | 16209 |  |  |  | 100\% customer |
| 902 Meter-Reading Expense | 150907 | 150907 |  |  |  |  |
| 903 Records and Collection Exp. | 376016 | 376016 |  |  |  |  |
| 906 Uncollectible Accounts | 27660 |  |  | 27660 |  | 100x commodity |
| 905 Misc. Expenses ${ }^{\text {Totai }}$ Customer Accounts | $570792$ | 543132 | 0 | 27660 |  | 100\% customer |
| (907-910) CUSTOMER SERV.8IMFO. EXP. | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| (911-916) SALES EXPEMSE | 175708 | 175708 |  |  |  | * |
| (932) MAIMT. OF GEW. PLANT | 21636 | 10717 | 10717 | 0 |  | general plant |
| (920-931) Admimistration and ceneral | 1792121 | 1556142 | 198957 | 39022 | 0 | OEM excl. ABG |
| TOTAL OLM EXPEMSE | 3062630 | 2655765 | 339983 | 66882 |  | 3062430 |
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COMPANY NAME: MEST fla. MAT.GAS CORP. DOCKET NO. 871255-CU
schidute CLASSIFICATION OF EXPENSES aND
derivation of cost of service by cost classificatiom (Page 2 of 2)

| DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATIOM EXPENSE: <br> Depreciation Expense <br> Amort. of Other Gas Plant <br> Anort. of Property Loss <br> Amort. of Limited-term Inv. <br> Amort. of Acquisitifion Adj. <br> Amort. of Conversion Costs <br> Total Deprec. and Amort. Expense | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TOTAL } \\ 919617 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 019617 \end{array}$ | CUSTOMER 393286 <br> 393286 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Capacity } \\ 526133 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 526133 \end{array}$ | COMNODITY | revemue | Classifier net plant 100\% capecity 100x capacity intangible plant intangible,dist. 8 ge 100\% capecity 919617 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| taxes other than incone taxes: Revenue Related Other Total Taxes other than Income Taxes | 108619 276861 385460 | $\begin{aligned} & 118620 \\ & 118620 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 158621 \\ & 158621 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 108619 108619 | 100\% revenue net plant |
| REV.CRDT TO COS(NEG.OF OTMR OPR.REV) | -150360 | - 150360 |  |  |  | 100\% customer |
| RETURN (RECUIRED MOI) | 1809631 | 831863 | 974905 | 2863 |  | rate base |
| incone taxes | 507355 | 233226 | 273328 | 803 | 0 | -return(noi) |
| total overall cost of service | 6533933 | 4082196 | 2272770 | 70348 | 108619 | 6533933 |

```
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COMPANY MAME:MEST FLA.NAT.GAS CORP. ALLOCATIOM OF COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSES SCMEDULE 9
(Page of service to custoner classes (Page 1 of 2)
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## Schedule 9
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ante comparisom

DOCKET * 871255-CU
RATE SCHEDULE: COWMERCIAL

PROPOSED RATES
Customer Charge
15.00
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Attachment 11

## WEST FLORIDA NATURAL GAS COMPANY

## POST OFFICE BOX 1460 PANAMA CITY, FLORIDA 32402

## AGREEMENT FOR INTERRUPTIBLE NATURAL GAS SERVICE

This Agreement, entered into effective $\qquad$ by and between West Florida Natural Gas Company, hereinafter referred to as "Seller," and Arizona Chemical Company, hereinafter referred to as "Buyer,"

## WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Seller operates a natural gas distribution system in the City of Panama City, Florida and makes direct sales of gas for use of industrial and commercial cusiomer̄s, and

WHEREAS, Buyer is the owner and/or operator of certain industrial/commercial facilities located at $\qquad$ in Panama City, more particularly described as follows (Buyer's Facility):

NOW THEREFORE, Seller and Buyer agree as follows:

## ARTICLE L - DEEINITIONS

As used herein, the following terms shall have the meanings set forth below.
a. "Day" shall mean a period of twenty-four (24) consecutive hours beginning and ending at 8:00 a.m. local time.
b. "Month" shall mean a period of time beginning at 8:00 a.m. local time on the first day of a calendar month and ending at 8:00 a.m. local time on the first day of the next succeeding calendar month.
c. "Contract Year" shall mean a period of twelve consecutive months beginning on the effective date of this Agreement or beginning on any anniversary of the effective date of this Agreement.
d. "Gas" shall mean natural gas.
e. "Cubic foot of gas" shall mean the amount of gas which occupies one (1) cubic foot of space when the gas is at an absolute Pressure of 14.73 pounds per square inch and at a temperature of sixty degrees Fahrenheit $\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.
f. "British thermal unit" or "Btu" shall mean the amount of heat required to raise the temperaturc of one (1) pound of water one (1) degree Fahrenheit (at sixty degrees Fahrenheit $\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ ).
g. "Therm" shall mean a unit of heat equal to one hundred thousand $(100,000)$ British thermal units.
h. "Mcf" shall mean one thousand $(1,000)$ cubic feet of gas as defined above.
i. "Psia" shall mean "pounds per square inch absolute."
j. " ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ " shall mean "degree( s ) Fahrenheit."
k. "FPSC" shall mean "Florida Public Service Commission."

## ARTICLE II - TERM OF AGREEMENT

This Agreement shall be effective as of $\qquad$ ("Effective Date"), and the service hereunder (including the sales of gas by Seller to Buyer and the purchases thereof by Buyer from Seller, as contemplated hereunder) shall continue for an initial term of three years, that is, until $\qquad$ . Thereafter, this Agreement shall continue from year to year, and Buyer or Seller may terminate this Agreement as of the expiration of the initial term or any subsequent anniversary of the Effective Date by delivering written notice of termination to the other at least six months prior to such expiration or subsequent anniversary.

## ARTICLE III - SALE OF GAS

Seller desires to sell and Buyer desires to purchase from Seller gas on an interruptible basis for Buyer's own use (and not for resale) in Buyer's facility. Buyer agrees to purchase and receive from Seller, and Seller agrees to sell and deliver to Buyer, subject to the terms and conditions herein contained, such quantity of gas as Seller may have available for delivery hereunder.

Buyer shall, upon request, furnish Seller estimates of daily, monthly, and annual gas requirements, as far in advance as reasonably possible.

## ARTICLE IV . CUSTOMER CHARGE

Seller will charge Buyer and Buyer will pay to Seller a monthly Customer Charge of $\$ 20,167$, regardless of Buyer's actual consumption of gas. It is the intent of the parties to enter into a separate contract for the transportation of natural gas by Seller for use in Buyer's facility. The parties agree that no additional customer charge will be required of

## Attachment 11

Buyer in such a contract, unless such contract or the provision of transportation service causes Seller to incur additional costs.

In the event that Buyer ceases all operations at Buyer's facility for a continuous period of not less than seven nor more than sixty days in any Contract Year, the Customer Charge prescribed herein will be reduced by $\$ 663$ for each such day of cessation in excess of seven days, up to a maximum of sixty days in any Contract Year.

## ARTICLE V - CHARGES FOR GAS

For the first $9,200,000$ therms delivered by Seller to Buyer pursuant to this Agreement in any Contract Year, Seller shall charge and Buyer shall pay to Seller the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) and all other applicable adjustments contained in the General Applicability Provisions of Seller's Tariff on file with the FPSC. For all gas in excess of $\mathbf{9 , 2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ therms delivered by Seller to Buyer pursuant to this Agreement in any Contract Year, Seller shall charge and Buyer shall pay to Seller one cent per therm, plus the PGA and all other applicable adjustments contained in the aforementioned General Applicability Provisions of Seller's Tariff. The parties recognize that Buyer expects to take delivery of interruptible gas hereunder through two existing meters, and agree that the aggregate consumption of both meters shall be used to determine the applicability of the one cent per therm charge prescribed in this paragraph.

Charges for gas prescribed in this Article are in addition to the monthly Customer Charge prescribed in Article IV. No charges shall be imposed on Customer for gas service under this Contract other than those contemplated in this Article and in Article IV.

## ARTICLE VI. BILLING AND PAYMENT

Seller shall render bills to Buyer on or about the first day of each month for all gas delivered hereunder during the preceding month. The bill so rendered is due and payable upon receipt and will become past due after the expiration of twenty (20) days from the date of mailing or delivery by Seller. If any default in payment continues past the delinquent date, Seller, in addition to any other remedy it may have, may without damage and without terminating this Agreement, suspend further delivery of gas until such amount is paid.

All statements, billings and payments shall be subject to correction of any errors contained herein for a period of one (1) year following their respective date of origin.

## ARTICLE VII - ALTERNATIVE FUELS

Nothing herein shall be deemed to preclude Buyer from burning combustible byproducts or alternate fuels or to require Buyer to take delivery of any minimum quantity of natural gas from Seller. However, neither Buyer's use of other fuels, its failure to take natural gas,
nor any other circumstance shall relieve Buyer from payment of the Customer Charge prescribed in this Agreement, except as otherwise expressly provided herein.

## ARTICLE VIII - QUALITY OF GAS

Seller shall deliver gas with a total heating value of not less than 950 Btu per cubic foot on a dry basis and which is free of dangerous or objectionable quantities of impurities such as hydrogen sulfide or other impurities (which may cause excessive corrosion of mains or piping) and from noxious or harmful fumes when burned in a properly designed and adjusted burner. This provision is intended to protect the health and safety of the public and in no manner does it guarantee compatibility with the operation of delicate or sensitive machinery, instruments, or other types of apparatus which may be damaged by moisture, grit, chemicals, or other foreign substances which may be present in the gas but which are nevertheless within limits recognized as allowable in good practice.

## ARTICLE IX - MEASUREMENT AND MEASURING EQUIPMENT

The unit of volume for the purpose of measurement shall be one (1) cubic foot of gas at a base temperature of $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and at a pressure of 14.73 psia with correction for deviation from Boyle's Law. Subject to other provisions thereof, computation of such volumes shall be in accordance with the American Gas Association Gas Measurement Committee Report No. 3, as amended from time to time.

When deliveries are made at flowing pressures in excess of the Standard Delivery Pressure, metered volumes shall be corrected for such variation through utilization of recorded flowing pressure data, correction devices which are an integral part of the Meter (base pressure indexes) or by correction factors for fixed pressure deliveries.

The sales unit of the gas shall be the therm. The number of therms billed to Buyer shall be determined by multiplying the number of cubic feet of gas delivered as the sales volume ( 14.73 psia and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) by the total heating value of such gas (in Btu's), and dividing the product by 100,000 .

Unless determined to be otherwise by a gravity balance, the specific gravity of the flowing gas shall be assumed to be 0.6 .

The total heating value of the gas sold to Buyer hereunder shall be determined as that reported monthly by Seller's gas supplier, provided such value is applicable to the gas sold to Buyer, or such value shall be determined by Seller by use of a calorimeter or other instrument suitable for heating value determination. The total heating value shall be corrected to and expressed as that contained in the Unit of Sales Volume as defined above.

The average absolute atmospheric pressure for purposes of determining absolute static pressure for chart computations shall be assumed to be 14.73 psia, irrespective of actual

elevation or location of the point of delivery above sea level, or variations in such atmuspheric pressure from time to time.

Seller shall maintain and operate, at or near the point of delivery hereunder, a measuring station properly equipped to measure the delivered volumes.

Buyer may install, maintain, and operate, at its expense, such operating equipment, pressure regulators and check measuring equipment as Buyer shall desire; provided however, that such equipment shall not be installed or operated in a manner that would affect the accuracy or operation of Seller's measurement facility. Seller shall have access to such check measuring equipment at reasonable hours, but the reading, calibrating and adjusting thereof, and any changing of charts, shall be done only by Buyer.

Measurements on Seller's meter or meters shall be conclusive on both parties except where the meter is defective or fails to register (in either of which cases Seller shall repair or replace the meter). If the meter is found defective or fails to register, the quantity of gas delivered while the meter was out of order or failed to register shall be estimated by:
a. Using the registration of any check meter if installed and accurately registering or, in the absence of (a)
b. Correcting the error if the percentage of error is ascertainable by calibration, test, or mathematical calculation or, in the absence of both (a) and (b) then
c. Estimating the quantity of delivery from deliveries during periods under similar conditions when the meter was registering accurately.
d. Any method mutually agreed by both parties.

An appropriate billing adjustment shall be made for such period during which Seller's meter was defective or failed to register.

Seller will maintain its meter or meters in good order and to this end will make periodic tests of its meter or meters to ensure they will accurately measure the gas delivered to the Buyer.

Upon written request of Buyer, Seller will test a meter used in providing service hereunder.
Applicable rules of the FPSC and provisions of Seller's Tariff shall govern such requests.

## ARTICLE X - DELIVERY PRESSURES

Buyer and Seller agree that the pressure at which Seller shall be obligated to deliver the gas sold hereunder shall not exceed 100 pounds per square inch gauge.

## ARTICLE XI - DELIVERY POINT

The point of delivery shall be at the outlet side of such meter or meters as shall be installed by Seller upon or adjacent to Buyer's property upon which Buyer's facility is located, as Seller shall determine (such meter or meters and other facilities of Seller, if located on property of Buyer, to be on an easement furnished to Seller by Buyer). Buyer shall install and maintain at its expense its facilities from the point of delivery of the gas delivered hereunder to the point of use in good condition at all times. Seller shall be deemed to be in control and possession of the gas up to such point of delivery, after which Buyer shall be deemed to be in control and possession thereof, and Seller shall have no responsibility with respect thereto or on account of said delivery, and Buyer shall indemnify and hold harmless Seller from any and all loss or damage in this connection. Buyer shall have no responsibility with respect thereto or on account of anything which may be done, happen, or arise with respect to said gas before said delivery, and Seller shall indemnify and hold harmless Buyer from any and all loss or damage in this connection.

## ARTICLE XII - CURTAILMENT AND/OR INTERRUPTION

It is specifically agreed that delivery of gas by Seller hereunder is subject to curtailment and/or interruption. Seller shall not be liable for any damage or injury to any person or for penalties, alternate fuel subsidies, price adjustments or other claims of whatever kind or type, resulting from or arising out of Seller's curtailment or interruption of deliveries hereunder. Seller agrees to provide Buyer, if reasonably practicable, with at least two (2) hours' notice of curtailment or interruption of service, either orally or in writing, provided that Seller shall not be so obligated when interruption or curtailment of service is caused by conditions of force majeure.

If, during an interruption or curtailment situation Seller's supplier of gas imposes on Seller a penalty for an unauthorized overrun, and the overrun was caused wholly or in part by Buyer taking more than $105 \%$ of the volume allocated to Buyer during interruption or curtailment, Seller will assess and collect the penalty or portion of the penalty for which Buyer is responsible from Buyer, in addition to applicable charges for the gas. The payment of an overrun penalty shall not, under any circumstances, be deemed to give Buyer the right to take unauthorized overrun gas, nor shall such payment be deemed to preclude or limit any other remedies available to Seller against Buyer for failure to comply with interruption or curtailment orders issued by Seller hereunder.

## ARTICLE XIII - OTHER APPLICABLE PROVISIONS

This Agreement in all respects shall be and remain subject to the applicable rules of the FPSC and provisions of Seller's Tariff on file with the FPSC, as such rules and Tariff provisions may hereafter be legally amended or superseded.

## ARTICLE XIV - FORCE MAIEURE

In the event of either party hereto being rendered unable wholly or in part by force majeure to carry out its obligations under this Agreement, other than the obligation to make payment then due hereunder, it is agreed that, on such party giving notice in full particulars of such force majeure in writing or by telegraph to the other party as soon as reasonably possible after the occurrence of the cause relied on, then the obligations of the party giving such notice, so far as they are affected by such force majeure, shall be suspended during the continuance of any inability so caused but for no longer period, and such cause shall, as far as possible, be remedied with all reasonable dispatch.

The term "force majeure" as employed herein shall mean acts of God, strikes, lockout or other industrial disturbances, acts of the public enemy, wars, blockades, insurrections, riots, epidemics, landslides, lightning, earthquakes, fires, storms, floods, washouts, arrests and restraints of governments and people, civil disturbances, explosions, breakage or accidents to machinery or lines of pipe, the necessity for making repairs or alterations to machinery or lines of pipe, freezing of wells or lines of pipe, temporary or permanent failure of source of supply, not within the control of the party claiming suspension and which by the exercise of due diligence such party is unable to prevent or overcome: such term shall likewise include: (a) in those instances where either party hereto is required to obtain servitudes, rights-of-way grants, permits or licenses to enable such party to fulfill its obligations hereunder, the inability of such party to acquire, or the delays on the part of such party in acquiring, at reasonable cost and after the exercise of reasonable diligence, such servitudes, rights-of-way grants, permits or licenses; and (b) in those instances where either party hereto is required to secure grants or permissions from any governmental agency to enable such party to fulfill its obligations hereunder, the inability of such party to acquire, or the delays on the part of such party in acquiring, after the exercise of reasonable diligence, such materials and supplies, permits and permissions. It is understood and agreed that the settlement of strikes or lockouts shall be entirely within the discretion of the party having the difficulty, and the above requirement that any force majeure shall be remedied with all reasonable dispatch shall not require settlement of strikes or lockouts by acceding to the demands of an opposing party when such course is inadvisable in the discretion of the party having the difficulty.

## ARTICLE XV - EASEMENT

Buyer hereby grants to Seller suitable rights-of-way and easements over, on, in or under Buyer's property, necessary for or incidental to the installation, maintenance and removal of local distribution or supply lines, together with all appurtenances deemed necessary or desirable by Seller for the delivery of gas to Buyer.

## ARTICLE XVI - STANDBY FACILITIES

Prior to the delivery of any gas under this contract, Buyer shall provide, and maintain during the period of this contract or any extensions thereof, standby facilities, and the fuel
required for the operation thereof in sufficient quantities to make possible interruption or curtailment of natural gas service as contemplated under Article XII hereof.

## ARTICLE XVII-FPSC RATE CHANGES

This Agreement is subject to the approval of the FPSC, and the parties acknowledge the continuing jurisdiction of the FPSC over all charges prescribed herein. In the event the FPSC orders an increase in the Customer Charge or the per therm charge prescribed in Articles IV and V hereof, Buyer shall have the option, within 30 days following receipt of such FPSC order from Seller, to terminate this Agreement by written notice to Seller. Buyer's failure to exercise this option within such 30 day period shall constitute a waiver of the option, and this Agreement shall in that event remain in full force and effect. This paragraph shall not be deemed to confer an option to terminate this Agreement due to a change in any of the adjustments prescribed in the General Applicability Provisions of Seller's Tariff.

## ARTICLE XVII - MISCELLANEOUS

This Agreement shall be binding upon, and shall inure to the benefit of, the parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, and no assignment shall relieve either party of such party's obligations hereunder without written consent of the other party.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this contract to be signed and attested by their respective duly authorized officers and have caused their respective corporate seals to be affixed as of the date first above written.

## SELLER:

## WEST FLORIDA NATURAL GAS COMPANY

By: $\qquad$
Title: $\qquad$
Attest: $\qquad$

BUYER:
ARIZONA CHEMICAL COMPANY

By: $\qquad$
Title: $\qquad$
Attest: $\qquad$

## $500-z$

## RATE SCHEDULE IS

## INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE

## AVAILABILITY

Throughout the service area of the Company.

## APPLICABILITY

Customers using 3000 therms or more per day who have entered into a currently effective Agreement for Interruptible Natural Gas Sales Service ("Agreement") in the form prescribed on Sheet No. 8.007 for service under this Rate Schedule. In addition, a new customer desiring service under this Rate Schedule may be required to enter into a contract assuring a minimum level of payments for a specified period to permit Company to recover any system extension costs incurred to provide such service.

## CHARACTER OF SERVICE

Sale of natural gas having a nominal heating value of 1000 British Thermal Units per cubic foot. Gas service rendered under this Rate Schedule may be curtailed or interrupted at any time in Company's sole discretion. Company assumes no liability for loss or damage to any person caused by curtailment or interruption of gas service under this Rate Schedule.

The non-gas energy charge for service hereunder shall be subject to the flexible pricing mechanism described in the Rates for Service section of this Rate Schedule. It is the intention of Company that this charge shall be determined based upon competition with Customer's alternate fuel.

Notwithstanding the other provisions of this Rate Schedule, the Company may enter into a contract with an interruptible customer to provide service under terms other than those set forth herein; provided that any such contract shall be subject to approval by the Florida Public Service Commission, and the Commission shall have continuing jurisdiction over the rates charged therein.

## SPECIAL CONDITIONS

Customer must have and maintain in working order facilities which will burn an alternate fuel to be designated by Customer in its Agreement with Company. In
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addition, Customer shall have available and ready for use a sufficient quantity of alternate fuel to permit continued operations during periods of curtailment and interruption, or Customer shall certify that its operations are suitable for interruption and shall agree to discontinue them during such periods.

Customer will cooperate with Company in affording adequate advance notice of changes in Customer's requirements for gas hereunder.

## RATES FOR SERVICE

Customer Charge:
Non-gas Energy Charge

## \$612.00 per month

An amount not less than 0.00 ceñts pèr therm nor greater than 90 percent of the currently applicable firm rate. Unless changed by Company pursuant to this Rate Schedule, the base non-gas energy charge shall be $\qquad$ per therm.

The "currently applicable firm rate" as used herein means the non-gas energy charge prescribed in a rate schedule for which Customer qualifies, adjusted pursuant to the Firm Rate Adjustment Clause set forth on Sheet Nos. 7.104 and 7.105.

The non-gas energy charge to Customer shall be determined by Company based upon Company's evaluation of competitive conditions. Such conditions may include, but are not necessarily limited to: the cost of gas which is available to serve Customer; the delivered price of Customer's designated alternate fuel; the availability of such fuel; and the nature of Customer's operations. Company may from time to time increase or reduce the non-gas energy charge as it deems necessary or appropriate to compete with alternate fuel, but shall have no obligation to do so; provided, however, that the non-gas energy charge shall at all times remain within the limits set forth above.

Customer may at any time request a reduction in its non-gas energy charge by completing the form which appears on Sheet No. 8.015 and submitting the same to Company. During any period in which the non-gas energy charge is less than 90 percent of the currently applicable firm rate, Customer shall complete and submit the same form with then current information on the first day of each month and whenever information on the form most recently submitted has changed.

Company will notify Customer at least 48 hours in advance of any change in the non-gas energy charge under this Rate Schedule. The non-gas energy charge at the
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commencement of service shall be 90 percent of the currently applicable firm rate, unless Company allows a reduction pursuant to the provisions of the preceding paragraph.

## MINIMUM MONTHLY BILL

The customer charge.

## TERMS OF PAYMENT

Bills shall not be considered delinquent prior to the expiration of twenty (20) days from the date of mailing or delivery by Company.

## INTERRUPTION AND CURTAILMENT

Company may notify Customer at any time to reduce or cease consumption of gas, and Company will endeavor to give Customer as much notice as reasonably possible. If Customer fails to comply with a notice calling for complete or partial curtailment of consumption and by reason thereof Company is charged with overrun penalties, Customer shall be billed for the amount of such penalties due to its failure to comply with such curtailment notice. Such penalties shall be in addition to all charges otherwise prescribed by this tariff.

## BILLING ADJUSTMENTS

Rates under this Rate Schedule are subject to adjustment in accordance with Company's Purchased Gas Adjustment Clause set forth on Sheet No. 7.101, its Tax and Fee Adjustment Clause set forth on Sheet No. 7.102, and its Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Adjustment Clause set forth on Sheet No. 7.103.
E. FIRM RATE ADJUSTMENT. The non-gas energy charge for firm gas supplied after September 30, 1989 is subject to adjustment in accordance with the following provisions for prior shortfalls or surpluses in Company's interruptible revenues.
(1) For the purposes of this clause, the following definitions shall apply:
(a) "Actual revenue" means Company's actual non-gas revenue derived from service provide at rates prescribed under the Rates for Service section of Company Rate Schedule IS during a determination period.
(b) "Base revenue" means the non-gas revenue which Company would have derived had all gas sold at rates prescribed under the Rates for Service section of Company Rate Schedule IS during a determination period been billed at the base non-gas energy charge.
(c) "Surplus" means the amount, if any, by which Company's actual revenue exceeds its base revenue for a determination period.
(d) "Shortfall" means the amount, if any, by which Company's base revenue exceeds its actual revenue for a determination period.
(2) The existence of a shortfall or surplus shall be determined by comparing Company's actual revenue with its base revenue. This determination shall be made each year for the twelve months ending September 30 ("determination period").
(3) Adjustments to firm rates pursuant to this clause shall be implemented during an "adjustment period," which shall be the twelve months immediately following the determination period in the event of a surplus. In the event of a shortfall, any twelve successive months ending on a September 30 within five years following the determination period may be an adjustment period.
(4) In the event of a surplus, Company shall reduce rates to firm customers to credit them with revenues equal to one-half the surplus. In the event of a shortfall, Company may increase rates to firm customers to recover an amount not to exceed one-half the shortfall. The amount of any credit or recovery is governed by the following:

Credit to firm $=$ (Actual revenue - Base revenue) $\times 0.5$
Shortfall recovery $\leq$ (Base Revenue - Actual revenue) $\times 0.5$
(5) A credit or shortfall recovery shall be implemented during an adjustment period by reducing or increasing the non-gas energy charges prescribed in
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each firm rate schedule of this tariff by an adjustment factor computed as follows and rounded to the nearest .001 cent per therm:

In event of a surplus, subtract: Credit to Firm

## PFS

In event of a shortfall, add: Shortfall recovery
PFS
Where PFS is the projected therm sales to firm customers during the adjustment period. Any variation between the actual credit to firm customers and the amount calculated pursuant to the preceding paragraph, or between the actual shortfall recovery and the amount which Company elected to recover in an adjustment period, shall be "trued-up" during the succeeding twelve months pursuant to methodology approved by the Florida Public Service Commission.
(6) Company may defer all or any portion of a shortfall recovery to a subsequent adjustment period or portion thereof.

