## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: PROPOSED TARIFF FILING BY UNITED )
1 ELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA TO MOVE A )
PART OF THE SPRING LATE EXCHANGE INTO )
THE LAKE PLACID EXCHANGE. (T-89-406 )
FILED 8/1/89)

DOCKET NO. 891032-TL ORDER NO. 22026 ISSUED: 10-10-89

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

MICHAEL McK. WILSON, Chairman THOMAS M. BEARD BETTY EASLEY GERALD L. GUNTER JOHN T. HERNDON

## ORDER APPROVING TARIFF

BY THE COMMISSION:

On August 1, 1989, United Telephone Company filed a tariff proposing to move a small part of the Spring Lake exchange into the Lake Placid exchange. The existing boundary places a portion of the subdivision, Hillside Lake Estates, in Spring Lake and a portion thereof in Lake Placid. Existing Lake Placid cable runs through the Spring Lake exchange to serve this subdivision thereby creating dual distribution and causing instances of boundary infractions. Relocating the entire subdivision to the Lake Placid exchange would eliminate this problem and would place the customers into the exchange out of which they currently receive service.

Currently, forty-eight customers reside in the proposed transfer area. Forty-four of these already have Lake Placid service. They are charged a \$5.39-monthly rate for 1-party residential service with no EAS and enjoy toll free calling to 8,681 access lines, four of the forty-eight have Spring Lake service. They are charged a \$6.00-monthly rate for 1-party residential service with EAS to Sebring and enjoy toll free calling to 21,239 access lines. Of those four, one has agreed to transfer his service to the Lake Placid exchange. United states that the remaining three customers wish to retain their Spring Lake service. The proposed boundary change will have no effect on the subscribers' E911 service as both exchanges are within Highlands County. Also, the customers will still retain

10088 DCI 10 ISS9

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. 22026 DOCKET NO. 891032-TL PAGE 2

the ability to call their local government as an FX line is provided by the County for Lake Placid subscribers to call the County Commission and/or school board located in Sebring.

If United were to provide service from the Spring Lake exchange, the estimated cost to serve these customers is \$19,300. However, it would only cost United \$763 to serve the proposed boundary change from Lake Placid.

We believe that it is in the public interest to provide service to the entire subdivision from one exchange. Based on cost support provided by United, it appears that it would be most cost efficient to move this area into the Lake Placid exchange. Further, since 90% of the subdivision currently receives their service from the Lake Placid exchange, we believe that it would be better for United to move the proposed area into the Lake Placid exchange. We are reluctant, however, to force people to change their exchange or their local calling scope. Therefore, we will allow the three customers now in the section of the Spring Lake exchange which is to be transferred, who wish to receive service from Spring Lake, to do so for a period not to exceed five years, or until they move or disconnect service, whichever comes first. However, new customers in this area will receive service from the Lake Placid exchange.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED that United's tariff proposal to move that part of the Spring lake exchange described herein into the Lake Placid exchange is approved effective October 2, 1989. It is further

ORDERED that the three customers who wish to retain their Spring Lake service may do so for a period not to exceed five years. It is further

ORDERED that this docket is closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission, this 10th day of October , 1989 .

STEVE TRIBBLE, Director Division of Records and Reporting

(SEAL)

JSR

by: Kay Flynn Chief, Bureau of Records ORDER NO. 22026 DOCKET NO. 891032-TL PAGE 3

## NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes (1985), as amended by Chapter 87-345, Section 6, Laws of Florida (1987), to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or sewer utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.