

BEFORE THE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO 891345-EI

AND EXHIBITS

OF

D. P. GILBERT



DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
04457 MAY 21 ISSO
EPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

1		GULF POWER COMPANY
2		Before the Florida Public Service Commission
3		Rebuttal Testimony of D. P. Gilbert
4		In Support of Rate Relief Docket No. 891345-EI
5		Date of Filing May 21, 1990
6	Q.	Mr. Gilbert, have you previously submitted testimony
7		in this proceeding?
8	A.	Yes. I submitted prefiled direct testimony in this
9		proceeding in support of the filed rates for Gulf
0		Power Company. In addition, I have sworn to and have
11		been deposed on these same matters taken at the
12		request of the Office of Public Counsel (OPC).
13		
14	Q.	Have you reviewed the testimony and exhibits of the
15		witnesses intervening in this proceeding?
16	Α.	Yes.
17		
18	Q.	Does the testimony of Helmuth W. Schultz, III address
19		subjects that fall in your area of responsibility?
20	Α.	Yes.
21		
22	Q.	Are there any viewpoints expressed in the testimony
23		of Mr. Schultz that cause you concern?
24	Α.	Yes. Several of Mr. Schultz's points are based on
25		incorrect information. I will comment on

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
04457 MAY 21 1990

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Mr. Schultz's testimony as it relates to Gulf's 1 operations and maintenance budget process. 2 3 Have you prepared an exhibit that contains 4 information to which you will refer in your 5 testimony? 6 7 A. Yes. We ask that Mr. Gilbert's Exhibit 8 Counsel: DPG-2, comprised of 2 schedules, 9 be marked as Exhibit Please explain how the Reference Level is used in 10 Q. Gulf's budget process. 11 The Reference Level is a level of O & M expenses 12 established by the Budget Committee during each 13 year's budget process which is used to determine the 14 amount of documentation required to be submitted to 15 the Budget Committee for review in the budget 16 approval process. The planning units must provide 17 documentation justifying increases or decreases from 18 the Reference Level. 19 20 Q. Please describe what is meant by the term Corporate 21 Controlled as used in Gulf's budget process. 22 A. Items included in Gulf's budget as Corporate 23 Controlled represent large dollar expenditures which 24 require the action of either an individual other than 25

the individual responsible for monitoring the item, a group of individuals, or other companies' input to control the expenditure. Gulf removes the Corporate Controlled expenses for the purposes of calculating the Reference Levels of specific planning units to properly reflect in the Reference Level only those expenditures over which the department head has direct control.

Mr. Schultz is concerned that Company adjustments

9

10

made to the 1989 Budget Reference Level were not 11 appropriate and have flowed forward into the 1990 12 Reference Level. Were the adjustments inappropriate 13 or in violation of the Company's budget policy? 14 No. The corrections were appropriate and do not 15 represent violations of the Company's budget policy. 16 As Mr. Schultz stated, the 1989 Reference Level was 17 supposed to be the 1988 budget less 1988 Corporate 18 Controlled and 1988 non-recurring items. The 19 corrections to the Reference Levels of the various 20 planning units were made to reflect as accurately as 21 possible the level of expenses related to normal 22 operations that are under the direct control of the 23 department heads of those planning units. 24

25

- Q. Did these adjustments to the Reference Level affect 1 the total 1989 Budget?
- These corrections to the Reference Level did affect 3
- the level of documentation required to be submitted 4
- by a planning unit but did not affect the final level 5
- of the budget. 6

7

2

- Q. Mr. Schultz stated on page 5 of his testimony that 14 8
- of 21 planning units had 1989 Reference Levels that 9
- were not equal to the 1988 budget less 1988 Corporate 10
- Controlled and 1988 non-recurring items. Was there 11
- an adjustment which accounted for most of these 12
- changes? 13
- Yes. Of the 14 planning units to which Mr. Schultz 14
- referred, corrections were made to the Reference 15
- Levels of 13 of the planning units to reflect the 16
- repeal of the Florida sales tax on services. The 17
- increased sales taxes had been approved in the 1988 18
- budgets as a recurring cost and had to be removed to 19
- ensure that the 1989 budgets would not include this 20
- level of expense since the tax was repealed. The 21
- total correction amounted to a total reduction to the 22
- affected Reference Levels of \$431,041. As 23
- Mr. Schultz stated on page 6 of his testimony, this 24
- correction was disclosed in the 1989 25

Budget Message.

2

3 Q. Were any other changes made in calculating the 4 Reference Levels?

Yes. In the 1987 and 1988 budgets, the cost of 5 A. operating and maintaining the Corporate Office 6 Building was included in the budget as a Corporate 7 Controlled item. These costs were considered 8 Corporate Controlled in those years because the 9 Company had just completed construction of the 10 building and there were warranties on equipment and 11 machinery in the building which were expiring at 12 different times. These factors made it difficult to 13 budget exactly what the O & M costs would be. 14 Designating the new Corporate Office Building as 15 Corporate Controlled made it much easier for the 16 Budget Committee to analyze the budget requests of 17 the General Services Planning Unit during the 18 transition period. When the last of the warranties 19 expired in 1988, the Corporate Office O & M was no 20 longer considered Corporate Controlled and was, 21 therefore, included in the Reference Level of the 22 General Services Department. This change was made in 23 order to reflect that the General Services Department 24 Head was responsible for the costs associated with 25

the operation and maintenance costs of the Corporate 1 Office Building. This change places the budget 2 dollars with the responsible department head. This 3 change was also disclosed in the 1989 Budget Message. 4 5 Please discuss the other reference level adjustments 6 7 referred to by Mr. Schultz. Prior to the 1989 budget year, Gulf's cost of 8 administering the Pension Plan (\$48,673) and the 9 Employee Savings Plan (\$16,630) was included in the 10 Corporate Controlled amounts for these items. In 11 1989, Gulf removed the costs from Corporate 12 Controlled and included them in the Reference Level 13 of the Employee Relations Department. This change 14 was made to more properly reflect the costs which are 15 under the direct control of the Employee Relations 16 17 Department Head. Minor transfers in four planning units were made 18 to correct errors in the Reference Levels between 19 labor and other expenses. The total amount involved 20 in these corrections was \$38,000 (net) and had no 21 impact on the total Reference Level. 22 In summary, all of these changes were made by 23 the Corporate Planning Department in order to state 24 as accurately as possible the level of expense

25

representing normal operations in each planning unit. 1 2 Q. Were the above changes to the reference level 3 approved by the Budget Committee? 4 5 A. Yes. 6 O. Do you agree with Mr. Schultz's proposed reduction to 7 the non-labor, non-corporate controlled Employee 8 Relations Budget? 9 A. No. On page 10 of his testimony, Mr. Schultz 10 recommends that O & M expenses be reduced by \$728,826 11 due to adjustments to the Employee Relations 12 Reference Level. This recommended reduction is 13 without basis and should not be made. 14 15 Q. Do you have a schedule which shows the components of 16 the Employee Relations 1989 Budget and that of 17 historical years? 18 A. Yes. Schedule 8 of my exhibits shows 1986 through 19 1989 expenses for Employee Relations separated into 20 Labor, Corporate Controlled, and Other expenses. 21 22 Q. Which items in Employee Relations are defined as 23 Corporate Controlled for the 1989 budget process? 24 Employee Relations Corporate Controlled are post 25

Retirement Benefits consisting of Pensions, Employee 1 Group Life and Medical Insurance, and Supplemental 2 Pension Benefits; Employee Group Insurance paid by 3 the Company and the Employee Contribution to 4 Insurance; and the Company's matching contribution to 5 the Employee Savings Plan. 6 7 Q. How do you calculate the proper 1989 Reference Level 8 for Employee Relations non-labor, non-corporate 9 controlled expenses? 10 A. Start with the 1988 budget of \$9,973,884, subtract 11 \$7,722,550 Corporate Controlled and \$1,457,453 Labor 12 and the Reference Level Other is \$793,881. 13 14 Q. Why did this other amount appear to be \$114,534 per 15 the 1988 Resource Request B-3 form? 16 The \$114,534 was a miscalculation and was given to A . 17 Employee Relations in the 1988 Budget Message. They 18 then used it on their Budget Request (B-3) Form. 19 20 Q. What caused the miscalculation? 21 A. The 1987 budget amount for Employee Group Insurance, 22 a Corporate Controlled item, was \$1,882,139. That 23 amount consists of the gross payout for insurance of 24 \$2,530,139 found in account 926-200 and the employee 25

contribution which offsets the expense to the Company 1 of \$648,000 in account 926-201. The gross amount of 2 \$2,530,139 was backed out in the budget message 3 calculation of Employee Relations 1988 Reference Level instead of the net amount of \$1,882,139. This 5 caused the understatement of the Reference Level on 6 Employee Relations Resource Summary Form (B-3). 7 8 O. How did your department correct this error? 9 A. The correction of \$648,000 was added back to Employee 10 Relations budget on the approval letter. 11 12 Q. What other way could you have corrected this error? 13 The B-3 Form Reference Level could have been corrected 14 and the effect would have been exactly the same. 15 16 Q. What was the purpose of the correction? 17 A. The purpose was to correct an error made in the 18 Budget Message to more accurately state the Employee 19 Relations Budget. 20 21 Q. Did the Budget Committee approve this correction? 22 A. Yes. 23 24 Q. Was the 1989 Reference Level of \$793,881 for the 25

Employee Relations Planning Unit overstated by 1 \$728,826 as alleged by Mr. Schultz? 2 A. No. Mr. Schultz did not thoroughly review the 1989 3 Reference Level and prior year actual expenses to 4 determine the appropriateness of Gulf Power's 5 Employee Relations Department Budget. My Schedule 8 6 shows this historical perspective. 7 8 Did Mr. Schultz or the OPC staff seek to discover the 9 nature of the changes made to the Reference Level? 10 To my knowledge, there were no requests made seeking 11 explanations regarding the changes made to the 12 Reference Levels for the 1989 budget. 13 14 Q. Do you agree with Mr. Schultz's assessment of the 15 Company's 1990 labor budget? 16 No, although I agree that labor must be adjusted, I 17 A. disagree with the methods used to calculate his 18 adjustment and I feel that his adjustment is 19 overstated. 20 21 Q. With what parts of Mr. Schultz's calculation 22 methodology do you disagree? 23 A. First, he has used a one month sample to judge the 24 annual vacancy rate. Also, he has attempted to 25

develop an average salary of all existing employees
in order to price the vacancies, when a better method
would be the average salaries of the vacancies or the
average salaries of all new hires.

5

6 Q. Are you providing more current vacancy numbers than 7 those provided by Mr. Schultz?

Yes, Schedule 9 of my exhibits shows Gulf's vacancies 8 9 as of May 9, 1990. The total vacancies as of that time were 49, of which three are unbudgeted positions 10 and therefore are not included in this case. Our 11 vacancies through May 9 for the purpose of this case 12 are 46. The vacancy rate is a fairly volatile 13 number. During the eight month sample period, 14 January to August 1989, on which my hiring lag 15 adjustment is based, the approved vacancy rate varied 16 from a high of 49 to a low of 39 for a weighted 17 18 average of 42. Through May 9 the total vacancy rate is within the range as established for the purpose of 19 20 calculating the hiring lag adjustment.

21

22 Q. Mr. Schultz states on page 14 of his testimony that
23 failure to use the Company's labor model in certain
24 planning units shows a lack of consistency in the
25 operation of the Company's formal budgeting process.

- l Do you agree?
- 2 A. No. The labor model, or salary budget system, that
- 3 Mr. Schultz discussed is used by approximately
- 76 percent of the planning units. The use of this
- 5 model is not mandatory and is provided as a tool to
- 6 be used in preparing the labor budgets.
- 7 Several planning units have utilized other labor
- 8 budgeting tools and models for several years prior to
- 9 the introduction of the model referred to by
- 10 Mr. Schultz. Each of these alternatives, as well as
- 11 the salary budget system, produce essentially the
- 12 same estimates of labor costs.
- 13 As noted by Mr. Schultz in his testimony, the
- 14 Company reviews for reasonableness the labor budgets
- of each planning unit. There is no adverse effect on
- 16 the reasonableness of the Company's labor budget due
- 17 to the use of differing labor budget tools.

18

- 19 Q. Mr. Schultz believes that "the credibility of the
- 20 budget process must be considered, particularly when
- 21 the budget itself is being used as the test year to
- 22 determine rates." Has this budget been audited by
- 23 anyone else?
- 24 A. Yes. Mr. Mark R. Bell, an expert witness of
- 25 Arthur Andersen & Company, has provided testimony in

this case relating to his review of the accuracy with 1 which the system forecasts the test period financial 2 results, the overall reasonableness of the 3 assumptions made by the Company to develop those results, and the consistency of the data used in 5 applying those assumptions throughout the forecast. 6 Mr. Bell evaluated the financial forecast, of which 7 the O & M budget is a component part, against the 8 AICPA's "Guidelines for Prospective Financial 9 Statements." His testimony states that he found: 10 ... the system used by the Company conforms with 11 relevant professional standards, is adequate for its purpose, is complete and logically founded, 12 and can be relied upon to produce consistent, reliable results. 13 Beginning on page 15 of Mr. Schultz's testimony, he 14 states that the Company does not adjust its Reference 15 Level for variances between prior years' budget and 16 actual inflation rates or budget to actual 17 expenditures. Please discuss the effect on the 1990 18 Operations and Maintenance (O & M) budget. 19 Gulf's budget process begins with the development of 20 goals and objectives for the Company and the 21 individual planning units. Next, totally apart from 22 the Reference Level calculations, the O & M budget is 23 then prepared by each planning unit and represents 24 management's estimate of the resources necessary to 25

accomplish the goals and objectives. As mentioned 1 previously, the Reference Level is only utilized to 2 determine the amount of documentation submitted to 3 the Budget Committee. Any adjustment to the 4 Reference Level for prior year inflation or budget 5 variance would not affect the budget level but only 6 the level of documentation provided to the Budget 7 Committee. 8 9 Does Gulf utilize an across the board, mandatory 10 adjustment for prior year budget variances? 11 12 Α. No. 13 Does Gulf's budget process incorporate the budget 14 variances from the prior year into the budget 15 estimate for the upcoming budget year? 16 Yes. In July and August of each year as the planning 17 Α. units develop their O & M estimates, the budget 18 variance reports for the current and previous years 19 are utilized. These, along with the knowledge, 20 experience, and professional judgment of the 21 management of each planning unit determine the affect 22 the variances might or might not have on the budget 23 year. Also, utilizing the budget to actual variance 24 analysis in the preparation of the budget 25

management corrects the variances caused by 1 differences between the budget and actual inflation 2 rates. 3 Mr. Gilbert, did the Office of Public Counsel (OPC) 5 review detailed budget working papers of various 6 planning units? 7 A. Yes. Representatives of the OPC were given access to 8 the detailed working papers of every planning unit 9 that they requested be made available for their 10 review. In addition, copies of specified working 11 papers requested were provided in Gulf's response to 12 the Public Counsel's review of the workpapers. 13 14 Q. Mr. Schultz states on page 16 of his testimony that 15 "except for Plant Crist, only portions of the 16 necessary documentation were provided to us in 17 support of total budget costs in the 'other' 18 category." Is this a true statement? 19 Yes. Gulf provided to OPC only the detail that was 20 requested. During the OPC's review of the budget 21 workpapers, Gulf's personnel answered questions and 22 provided all documentation that OPC personnel 23 requested. The Office of Public Counsel personnel 24 requested documentation related to the total budgeted 25

costs in the other category only for Plant Crist. 1 Apparently, Mr. Schultz would like the 2 Commission to believe that the Plant Crist 3 documentation was the only information available 4 rather than the only information requested and 5 subsequently provided. 6 7 On page 28 of his testimony, Mr. Schultz questions 8 the amount of input which Gulf provides into the 4 development of its Southern Company Services (SCS) 10 budget. Please describe the SCS budget process and 11 Gulf's involvement in it. 12 Southern Company Services budget process is divided 13 into three phases: preparation, review, and 14 approval. Formal and informal communication between 15 Gulf and SCS personnel and system project committees 16 provide SCS with preliminary levels of service 17 requirements for planning and budgeting purposes. 18 During the preliminary phase, projects are evaluated 19 and prioritized, scope changes are identified, and 20 schedules are modified. 21 Gulf personnel are heavily involved in the 22 process. There are 17 Gulf employees who are 23 designated as SCS Budget Coordinators. These 24 employees are General Managers, Managers and Vice 25

Docket No. 891345-EI Witness: D. P. Gilbert Page 17

Presidents who are responsible for achieving the 1 Company's Goals and Objectives. The coordinators 2 provide direction to SCS for Gulf's SCS work level 3 requirements. In addition to the coordinators' input, Gulf's section managers, supervisors, and 5 staff personnel communicate frequently with SCS 6 management and staff to plan and analyze the 7 activities and services as well as the associated 8 costs. Gulf personnel participate on system-wide 9 committees like the System Planning Committee, the 10 Operating Committee, and the Information Resources 11 Sub-Plan Group. These committees provide valuable 12 input often through detailed work plans outlining 13 projects several years into the future. All of these 14 inputs are reviewed by department heads at both Gulf 15 and SCS. 16

17

Q. After this preliminary information about plans and budgets is developed by Gulf and SCS, what does SCS do?

21 A. The SCS budgeting department formalizes the amounts
22 into a work order budget which indicates the
23 preliminary budget estimates for each of The Southern
24 Company's subsidiaries.

25

Docket No. 891345-EI Witness: D. P. Gilbert Page 18

Q. Please explain Gulf's involvement in the budget
 review process.

The preliminary budget is sent to the operating 3 companies for review, while various levels of SCS 4 management also review the preliminary budget 5 amounts. The activities, services, and committee 6 recommendations may be reprioritized and changed in 7 scope or modified in amount based upon reviews by SCS 8 and Gulf management. These reviews focus on levels 9 of service and reasonableness of amounts. Because of 10 Gulf's and its sister companies' participation in the 11 process, SCS budgeting and monitoring control 12 practices, and continuous communication between SCS 13 and the operating companies, there is a broad base of 14 understanding of budget cost components. Budget 15 revisions subsequent to this review process 16 demonstrate the responsiveness of SCS and the 17 effectiveness of budget reviews as viable cost 18 control mechanisms. 19

20

21 Q. Does Gulf participate in the approval process?

22 A. Yes. After an agreement is reached at the
23 coordinator level, SCS senior level executives
24 present the budget to each of The Southern Company
25 subsidiaries' Vice Presidents and CEOs. Adjustments

made in these meetings are included in the final 1 approved SCS Billing Budget. 2 3 Who participates in this meeting at Gulf? 4 Gulf's Budget Committee, the President, and senior A. 5 level executives of SCS are involved in the meeting 6 to approve the SCS Billing budget. 7 8 Please summarize your testimony concerning the SCS 9 budget process. 10 Throughout the preparation, review, and final 11 approval, Gulf personnel continuously communicate the 12 work requirements, the service levels, and the 13 committee recommendations to ensure that goals and 14 objectives will be met at a reasonable cost to Gulf. 15 16 Mr. Gilbert, please summarize your rebuttal 17 Q. testimony. 18 My rebuttal testimony addresses several of 19 Mr. Schultz's assertions regarding the Company's 20 Operation and Maintenance (O & M) expenses. I have 21 explained the adjustments made in calculating the 22 1989 Reference Level and clarified several of the 23 points with which Mr. Schultz attempted to cast doubt 24 upon Gulf's budget process. 25

In summary, Gulf's budget process is straightforward and logical, and the resulting budget is based on the plans, goals, and objectives of the Company. Q. Mr. Gilbert, does that conclude your testimony? A. Yes.

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA)	Docket No. 891345-EI				
COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA)					
Before me the undersigned a	authority, personally appeared				
D. P. Gilbert	who being first duly sworn,				
deposes and says that he/she is	the Manager of Corporate				
Planning	of Gulf Power Company and that the				
foregoing is true and correct t	to the best of his/her knowledge,				
information and belief.					
	Grade & Sillet				
	Ou.				
Sworn to and subscribed before me this 9th day of					
May . 1990.					
Candace Klindesmill					
Notary Public, State of Florida	a at Large				
My Commission Expires: WY COMMISSION ETTERS HAY 12 1291					

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 891345-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: D. P. Gilbert Exhibit No._____ (DPG-2)

INDEX	SCHEDULE NUMBER	
Analysis of Budget and Actual Expenses for Employee Relations Planning Unit - 1986-1989	8	
Complement Vacancies as of May 8, 1990	9	

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 891345-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: D. P. Gilbert Exhibit No. ______(DPG-2) Schedule 8 Page 1 of 1

GULF POWER COMPANY Annlysis of Budget and Actual Expenses Employee Relations Planning Unit For the Years 1986 through 1989

EMPLOYEE RELATIONS PLANNING UNIT	1986 ACTUAL 1,234,051	1987 ACTUAL 1,367,383	1987 BUDGET 1,352,221	1988 ACTUAL 1,537,850	1988 BUDGET 1,457,453	1989 BLDGET 1,586,445
CORPORATE CONTROLLED:		•				
Post-Retirement Benefits: (A)						
Pensions (926-100)	3,687,563	1,508,489	1,565,000	1,385,000	1,623,000	300,000
Employee Group Life - Post-Retirement (926-209)	0	871,000	853,000	920,000	923,000	979,000
Employee Group Medical - Post-Retirement (926-210)	0	1,334,000	966,000	1,126,000	1,414,000	1,499,000
Gratuitous Pensions (926-150)	122,061	140,847	158,850	199,603	169,970	175,000
Sub-total Post-Retirement Benefits	3,809,624	3,854,336	3,542,850	3,630,603	4,129,970	2,953,000
Employee Group Insurance: (B)						
Employee Group Insurance (926-200)	2,761,812	2,591,444	2,530,139	2,152,409	2,359,580	2,499,005
Employee Contributions for Group Insurance (926-201)	(634,784)	(624,137)	(648,000)	0	0	0
Sub-total Employee Group Insurance	2,127,028	1,967,307	1,882,139	2,152,409	2,359,580	2,499,005
Employee Savings Plan (926-327)	1,027,606	1,201,475	1,129,000	1,237,512	1,233,000	1,308,235
TOTAL CORPORATE CONTROLLED	6,964,258	7,023,118	6,553,989	7,020,524	7,722,550	6,760,240
OTHER EMPLOYEE RELATIONS	932,321	1,219,859	1,219,126	1,046,401	793,881 (C) 1,132,980 (D)
TOTAL EMPLOYEE RELATIONS O & M	9,130,630	9,610,360	9,125,336	9,604,775	9,973,884	9,479,665

- (A) In 1986 Employee Group Life Post Retirement and Employee Group Medical Post Retirement were charged to Account 926-200. Seginning in 1987 these amounts were charged to Accounts 926-209 and 926-210 respectively.
- (B) In 1987 the Employee Contribution for Group Medical was originally credited to 926-201. A journal entry was then made to move the 926-201 credit to Account 926-200. Beginning in 1988 the Employee Contribution to Group Medical was credited directly to the Belance Sheet.
- (C) Prior to 1988 Gulf charged the administrative costs for the trustees of the pension fund to Account 926-110. Beginning in 1988 the administrative cost is being paid from the pension fund itself (926-100).
- (D) Increase in 1989 due to Relocation expenses and Training costs.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 891345-EI GULF POWER COMPANY Witness: D. P. Gilbert
Exhibit No.____ (DPG-2)
Schedule 9
Page 1 of 2

GULF POWER COMPANY Complement Vacancies as of May 8, 1990

	Position	Planning Unit	Auth. No.	Budget Status
	Associate Training Rep	Employee Relations	055200	Budgeted
	Clerk	Employee Relations	372500	Budgeted
3	Commercial Sales Administrator	Marketing	374501	(A)
4	Appliance Salesman	Marketing	402101	Budgeted
5	Serviceman A-Appliance	Marketing	402701	Budgeted
6	Associate Clerk (P/T)	Marketing	403600	Budgeted
9	Clerk	Accounting	148602	Budgeted
	Clerk	Accounting	145601	Budgeted
	Data Entry Operator Text Processing Operator	Sec/Treas/Info Svcs Sec/Treas/Info Svcs		Budgeted Budgeted
11	Staff Assistant	Rates & Reg Matters	394200	Budgeted
13	Project Engineer	Power Generation	369701	Budgeted
	Project Engineer	Power Generation	369801	Budgeted
	Performance Engineer	Power Generation	370301	Budgeted
15	Manager of Metering and Div Svc	Power Delivery	409300	Budgeted
17 18 19 20 21 22	Maintenance Planner-Scheduler Maintenance Planner-Scheduler Plant Equipment Operator Plant Equipment Operator Electrician-Plant Apprentice Mechanic-Plant Apprentice Electrician-Plant Utilityman	Plant Crist	340001 335501 093801 113101 107404 107705 108405 350602	Budgeted Budgeted Budgeted Budgeted Budgeted Budgeted Budgeted Budgeted
	Superintendent of Eng & Admin	Plant Smith	342402	Budgeted
	Plant Equipment Operator	Plant Smith	132002	Budgeted
	Assistant Plant Manager	Plant Scholz	120102	Budgeted
	Supervisor of Plant Stores	Plant Scholz	342002	Budgeted

This position was reclassified as Supervisor of Marketing Services. The Supervisor position was not budgeted and the Administrator position is not expected to be filled in 1990.

Florida Public Service Commission
Docket No. 891345-EI
GULF POWER COMPANY
Witness: D. P. Gilbert
Exhibit No._____ (DPG-2)
Schedule 9
Page 2 of 2

GULF POWER COMPANY Complement Vacancies as of May 8, 1990

	Position	Planning Unit	Auth. No.	Budget Status
29 30 31 32 33	Local Manager of Crestview	Central Division Central Division Central Division Central Division Central Division	355502 181602 404200	Budgeted Budgeted Budgeted Budgeted Budgeted
34 35 36 37 38 39	Resident Investigator Meter Reader Associate Power Sales Engineer Associate Residential Sales Rep Utilityman Supervisor of Line Service	Eastern Division Eastern Division Eastern Division Eastern Division Eastern Division Eastern Division	395700 390201 390801 353405	Budgeted Budgeted Budgeted Budgeted Budgeted Budgeted
41 42 43 44	Customer Assistant Customer Assistant Winch Truck Operator II Utilityman Lineman Clerk	Western Division Western Division Western Division Western Division Western Division	214101 246501 354304 240402	Budgeted Budgeted Budgeted Budgeted Budgeted Unbudgeted
46 47	Supv of Vision Design Projects External Communications Specialist	Corp Communication		Unbudgeted Unbudgeted

1990 BUDGETED ADDITIONS NOT ADDED TO COMPLEMENT:

48 Computer Anal	yst - Engineering	Western Division	N/A	Budgeted
49 Assoc Systems	Analyst	Employee Relations	N/A	Budgeted