1 BEFORE THE 2 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 3 : DOCKET NO. 891345-EI In The Matter of 5 Application of GULF POWER : HEARING COMPANY for an increase in rates : FIFTH DAY 6 AFTERNOON SESSION 7 and charges. VOLUME - XI 8 RECEIVED Pages 1561 through 1656 9 Division of Records & Reporting 10 FPSC Hearing Room 106 JUN 15 1990 Fletcher Building 11 101 E. Gaines Street Florida Public Service Commission Tallahassee, Florida32399 12 Friday, June 15, 1990 13 Met pursuant to adjournment at 12:57 p.m. 14 15 COMMISSIONER MICHAEL MCK. WILSON, CHAIRMAN BEFORE: COMMISSIONER GERALD L. GUNTER 16 COMMISSIONER THOMAS M. BEARD COMMISSIONER BETTY EASLEY 17 APPEARANCES: 18 19 (As heretofore noted.) REPORTED BY: JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR 20 SYDNEY C. SILVA, CSR, RPR Official Commission Reporters 21 and LISA GIROD-JONES, CPR, RPR 22 Post Office Box 10195 Tallahassee, Florida 32302 23 24 DOCUMENT NO. 25

05 328.90

## INDEX

## WITNESSES

WITHESSES	
Name:	Page No.
CHARLES E. JORDAN	
Direct Examination by Mr. Stone	1566
Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	1569
Cross Examination by Mr. Palecki	1586
Redirect Examination by Mr. Stone	1597
ERNEST C. CONNER, JR.	
Direct Examination by Mr. Stone	1599
Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	1601
	1618
	1655
Cross Examination by Mr. Vandiver Redirect Examination by Mr. Stone	1618

1	Inde	ex Continu	ied:	EXHIBITS		
2	Numb	er:			Identified	Admitted
3			138 (Jordan)	•	1568	
4	H		(Late-Filed)		1589	
5		(Jordan)	(		1590	1597
6		(Conner)			1600	
7		II.N 50	(Late-Filed)		1632	
8	l		(Late-Filed)		1641	
9	H		(Late-Filed)		1647	
10	298	(Conner)	(Late-riled)		1047	
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	(CONTINUED FROM VOLUME X.)
2	(Hearing reconvened at 4:02 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN WILSON: Mr. Burgess? (Pause) Mr.
4	Burgess, I'm trying to get an inventory of time on
5	these next witnesses.
6	MR. BURGESS: Oh, okay.
7	CHAIRMAN WILSON: What kind of cross do you
8	have for Mr. Jordan in terms of time?
9	MR. BURGESS: You want me to evaluate the
10	cross? Oh, in terms of time?
11	CHAIRMAN WILSON: I wasn't speaking
12	qualitative.
13	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Let us be the judge of
14	that. (Laughter)
15	MR. BURGESS: I'd rather not ask then.
16	CHAIRMAN WILSON: We have some flip cards
17	(Laughter)
18	MR. BURGESS: I have no cross for Mr. Jordan.
19	MR. PALECKI: Ten minutes.
20	CHAIRMAN WILSON: How about Mr. Conner?
21	MR. VANDIVER: Half an hour.
22	CHAIRMAN WILSON: Is that maybe 30 or less, or
23	maybe 30 or more?
24	MR. VANDIVER: Maybe 30 or less.
25	CHAIRMAN WILSON: What about Mr. Kilgore?

MR. PALECKI: Extensive cross examination, at 1 2 least an hour, maybe more. COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Why don't we do Mr. 3 Jordan and Mr. Conner? 4 CHAIRMAN WILSON: Definitely do those. I'm 5 starting to get a little bit nervous about the number 6 of witnesses we have to go through the next week. 7 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Mr. Chairman, we're 8 halfway through, and it won't do us any good to go 9 until ten o'clock tonight. 10 CHAIRMAN WILSON: No. I don't intent to go 11 until ten o'clock tonight. I just want to use up until 12 13 about 5:30. MR. PALECKI: Kilgore, O'Sheasy and Haskins 14 are all rate design witnesses. They are all pretty 15 16 much covering similar areas. CHAIRMAN WILSON: Okay. So we would not get 17 to Mr. Kilgore -- we couldn't even approach finishing 18 him this afternoon. 19 MR. PALECKI: I don't think we'd be able to 20 scratch the surface. 21 CHAIRMAN WILSON: Let's Do Mr. Jordan and Mr. 22 Conner then, but I'm telling you, next week we may be 23 in here at 7:30 in the morning and we may be leaving 24 here at midnight at night. 25

1	MR. STONE: We understand, and with that note
2	I'm advising those that may be listening that Mr.
3	Kilgore is free to go about his business for the
4	weekend.
5	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: You might want to tell
6	him what time we're going to reconvene Monday morning.
7	CHAIRMAN WILSON: Nine? Do you want to come
8	in at 8:30?
9	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: 8:30 is fine with me.
10	CHAIRMAN WILSON: Let's convene at 8:30 Monday
11	morning.
12	MR. STONE: Very good.
13	Commissioner, I don't believe Mr. Jordan has
14	been sworn.
15	CHAIRMAN WILSON: Would you raise your right
16	hand, please?
17	(Witness Jordan sworn.)
18	CHARLES E. JORDAN
19	was called as a witness by Gulf Power Company and,
20	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. STONE:
23	Q Would you please state your name, your
24	occupation and your business address for the record?
25	A Yes. My name is Charles E. Jordan. I am

1	Director of Power Delivery for Gulf Power Company. My
2	business address is 500 Bayfront Parkway, Pensacola,
3	Florida.
4	Q Are you the same Charles E. Jordan that has
5	prefiled direct testimony in this docket dated December
6	15, 1989?
7	λ Yes, I am.
8	Q Mr. Jordan, is your microphone on? Is the red
9	light in front of you on?
10	A Yes, it is.
11	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: We were having trouble
12	with that microphone this morning. You might want to
13	switch mikes.
14	WITNESS JORDAN: Is that better? Use both of
15	them?
16	MR. STONE: If the court reporter can hear
17	you, I guess that's the most important.
18	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: That's right.
19	Q (By Mr. Stone) Mr. Jordan, do you have any
20	changes or corrections to your prefiled testimony?
21	A Yes, I have two changes. On Page 5, Line 1.
22	"1990" should be "1993." On Page 16, Line 8, "26"
23	should be "21."
24	Q Does that complete your changes?
25	A Yes, it does.

1	Q If I were to ask you the questions contained
2	in your prefiled direct testimony, as changed, would
3	your responses be the same?
4	A They would.
5	MR. STONE: We ask Mr. Jordan's testimony be
6	inserted into the record as though read.
7	CHAIRMAN WILSON: Without objection, it will
8	be so inserted into the record.
9	MR. STONE: Mr. Jordan's exhibits have
10	previously been identified and stipulated.
11	(Exhibit Nos. 134 through 138 previously
12	stipulated into the record.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1		GULF POWER COMPANY
2		Before the Florida Public Service Commission Direct Testimony of
3		Charles E. Joidan
4		In Support of Rate Relief Docket No. 891345-EI
		Date of Filing December 15, 1989
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name, address, and occupation.
7	λ.	My name is Charles E. Jordan, and my business address
8		is 500 Bayfront Parkway, Pensacola, Plorida 32501.
9		am Director of Power Delivery of Gulf Power Company.
10		
11	Q.	Please describe your educational and business
12		background.
13	Α.	I graduated from Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama,
14		in 1965 with a Bachelor of Electrical Engineering
15		degree. I joined Gulf Power Company in June of 1965
16		as a Field Engineer. I held the engineering
17		positions of Relay Engineer and Division Distribution
18		Engineer, and in 1970 I was promoted to the position
19		of Eastern Division Engineer. In 1975 I was promoted
20		to Superintendent of Western Division Operations, and
21		in 1978 became Manager of Western Division
22		Operations. In 1980 I was promoted to Director of
23		Purchasing and General Services, and in 1989 was
24		appointed to my present position as Director of Power
25		Delivery.

1	٥.	Have you prepared an exhibit that contains
2		information to which you will refer in your
3		testimony?
4	Α.	Yes. Schedule 1 is an index to the subsequent
5		schedules to which I will refer. Each schedule
6		of this exhibit was prepared under my supervision
7		and direction.
8		Counsel: We ask that Mr. Jordan's Exhibit,
9		comprised of 5 Schedules, be
10		marked for identification as
11		Exhibits 134-138 (CEJ-1).
12		
1 3	Q.	Are you the sponsor of certain minimum filing
14		requirements?
15	λ.	Yes. These are listed on Schedule 5 at the end
16		of my exhibit. To the best of my knowledge, the
17		information in these minimum filing requirements
18		(MFRs) is true and correct.
19		
20	Q.	What are your areas of responsibilities within
21		Gulf Power Company?
2 2	Α.	I have responsibility for Power Delivery, which
23		includes System Planning and Protection,
24		Distribution, Land Rights, and Division
25		Services. These areas include system relaying

1		and protection; telecommunications; generation,
2		transmission, and distribution planning;
3		distribution standards and services; land
4		acquisition and right-of-way functions;
5		transformer and vehicle repair services; and
6		materials and inventory control. In connection
7		with these areas, I have responsibility for
В		requesting and directing the assistance performed
9		by Southern Company Services, Inc. (SCS). I am
10		also responsible for work performed through
11		Southern Electric International (SEI) by Gulf
12		Power Company personnel.
13		
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this
15		proceeding?
16	λ.	I will discuss the continuing need for the
17		distribution equipment associated with Greenhead
18		Substation, some specific productivity
19		improvements within my area of responsibility,
20		and Gulf's distribution Operation and Maintenance
21		(O & M) expenses as they compare to the
22		benchmark.
23		
24	Q.	Mr. Jordan would you please explain the
25		circumstances concerning the facilities at

Greenhead Substation?

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 The Greenhead Substation was constructed and Α. 3 placed into service in late 1983 in order to provide reliability support to the load being 5 served out of the Sunny Hills Substation as well as to provide service to new load being developed 7 in the Leisure Lakes subdivision. It was 8 determined by the Commission in Docket No. 9 830484-EU that the cooperative in the area was 10 entitled to serve the new load at Leisure Lakes 11 subdivision. In light of the Commission's 12 finding, Gulf subsequently sold the distribution 13 line to the cooperative. The Greenhead 14 Substation has provided and continues to provide 15 reliability support to Sunny Hills, as well as to 16 a portion of the customer load served by the 17 Vernon Substation.

A rejent evaluation of the Vernon distribution area has indicated a need to increase the transformer capacity in the Vernon substation. This study also reveals that, from a long-term economic and service level standpoint, the Vernon distribution system should be converted to 25 kv. In response to this study, Gulf will relocate the Greenhead transformer to

		****
1		1943 the Vernon Substation in 1990. By installing the
2		Greenhead transformer at Vernon, Gulf will
3		provide the most cost-effective increase to the
4		transformer capacity while at the same time
5		improving the service to the Vernon area
6		customers and maintaining the backup source to
7		Sunny Hills Substation.
8		
9	Q.	What productivity improvement programs have been
10		instituted by any of the sections under your area
11		of responsibility in recent years?
12	λ.	We had programs put into place for cost saving
13		efforts in the transformer repair and truck
14		maintenance areas.
15		
16	Q.	How has Gulf's Work Management System improved
17		productivity and efficiency in distribution
18		construction and maintenance activities?
19	Α.	The Transmission and Distribution (T & D) Work
20		Management System designed by Southern Company
21		Services at the request of Gulf in 1983 was made
22		fully operational in January 1988. The total
23		project cost through 1989 will be \$1.7 million.
24		Gulf's line crew and service crew productivity
25		performance in 1989 is expected to be 4.6 percent

1 over that of 1988. This improved performance 2 will result in avoided contractor costs of 3 \$780,000. After deducting system costs of 4 \$200,000, net savings in 1989 are estimated to be \$580,000. The projected goal for 1990 is 2 5 6 percent over 1989 (or 6.6 percent better than 7 1988) which will amount to an additional \$220,000 in avoided contractor costs. 8 9 10 Q. What improvements has the company made in controlling its fleet transportation cost? 11 A. In response to a request by the Company, a study 12 was performed by the consulting firm of Ernst and 13 Whinney during 1984. The study recommended that 14 15 the Company implement a comprehensive preventive maintenance program to extend the life of 16 mechaniz 3 equipment and improve the reliability 17 of the entire fleet. The study was approved by 18 19 management and implementation began the later 20 part of 1986. As a result, equipment reliability has improved and the Company is realizing savings 21 of approximately \$2,000,000 annually. 22 23 24 Please compare your current maintenance practices Q. to the years prior to the implementation of this 25

new program.

Prior to the new program, the company operated Α. 2 one garage which was located in Pensacola. The 3 garage performed maintenance on all vehicles in the Pensacola area and major maintenance for the 5 remaining company locations. Preventive maintenance was, for the most part, left up to 7 the user. As recommended by the Ernst and Whinney study, a minor garage was constructed in 9 the Eastern and Central Divisions in 1985 and 10 1986, respectively, and in 1986, a General Garage 11 was constructed in Pensacola. The previous 12 garage in Pensacola became the Western Division 13 garage and, along with the new garages in the 14 Eastern and Central Divisions became responsible 15 for performing preventive maintenance on all 16 mechanized equipment, class 4, 5, and 6 17 vehicles. Each vehicle now receives scheduled 18 preventive maintenance every six months. All 19 cars, pickup trucks and vans, which comprise 20 classes 1, 2, and 3 vehicles, receive preventive 21 maintenance every six months through outside 22 vendors. Rebuilding mechanized equipment which 23 was previously contracted out is now performed at 24 the new General Garage. Units requiring 25

rebuilding which exceed the Company's manpower level are continuing to be contracted out.

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Because of current preventive maintenance, rebuilding and the purchasing of diesel engines, the life of cab and chassis and aerial lifts for mechanized equipment has been extended. For service aerial lift trucks (class 4), the previous policy was to replace the cab and chassis and rebuild aerial lifts every five years and completely replace the entire unit at ten years. The current program provides a minor rebuild at three years and a major rebuild at six years. This cycle is continued and the cab and chassis is considered for replacement the ninth year. The aerial lift continues its rebuilding cycle, thereafter, until economics determine when it should be replaced. For line aerial lift trucks 'class 5 and 6) and digger derricks (class 5 and 6), the previous policy was to replace the cab and chassis and rebuild the aerial lift every seven years and completely replace the entire unit at fourteen years. The current program provides a minor rebuild at three and six years and a major rebuild at nine years. This cycle is continued and the cab and chassis

1		are considered for replacement the twelfth year.
2		The aerial lift continues its rebuilding cycle,
3		thereafter, until economics determine when it
4		should be replaced.
5		
6	Q.	Do these savings reflect the increased
7		maintenance costs?
8	λ.	Yes. Since the new program has increased
9		preventive maintenance requirements, associated
0		maintenance cost has increased. However, due to
1		extending the life of mechanized equipment, the
1 2		capital budget has been decreased. The
1 3		\$2,000,000 is the net reduction when both costs
14		are added together. These figures are shown on
15		Schedule 2 of my exhibits.
16		
17	Q.	You discussed the savings realized through
18		extending the life of mechanized equipment. How
19		has reliability improved?
20	λ.	The Company annually employs the services of an
21		independent testing firm to test all mechanized
2 2		equipment. The test rates the condition of the
23		fleet in determining optimum reliability.
24		Reliability has increased from 21 percent in 1987
25		to 38 percent in 1988 to 85 percent in 1989.

1 This has resulted in less equipment breakdown, 2 thereby improving line crew personnel productivity. These figures are shown on 3 Schedule 3 of my exhibits. 4 5 6 Has the Company made improvements in the Q. 7 operations of the General Repair shop? 8 Yes. In 1984, the General Repair shop, which λ. 9 maintains transmission and distribution electric 10 equipment, occupied a facility which was over 25 11 years old, was overcrowded, and lacked current technology. The major activities included the 12 repair of overhead transformers, oil circuit 13 14 reclosers, and voltage regulators. Smaller pad mounted transformers could not be repaired 15 in-house and were scrapped. Large three-phase 16 17 pad-mountc transformers, which were of high dollar value, were contracted out for repair. 18 1986, the General Repair shop moved into a newly 19 constructed facility. The new facility provided 20 technological advances and sufficient work space 21 22 and parts area. Since 1984, productivity of the 23 General Repair Shop has increased as well as the number of units repaired and returned to service, 24 primarily due to this new facility. Now, all 25

1		pad-mounted transforme	rs are be	eing repa	ired
2		in-house.			
3					
4	Q.	Can you tell me how mu	ch your i	epair wo	rk output
5		has increased?			
6	λ.	Yes. Shown below are	the major	functio	ns of the
7		General Repair Shop, c	omparing	the numb	er of
8		units repaired in 1984	versus p	rojected	year-end
9		1989.			
10			UNITS RE	PAIRED	PERCENT
11		EQUIPMENT	1984	1989	INCREASE
12		Pole Mounted	665	1,500	126%
13		Pad Mounted	0	110	N/A
14		Oil Circuit Reclosers	167	255	53%
15		Voltage Regulators	42	75	798
16		A more detailed tabula	tion of t	hese fig	ures
17		appears on Schedule 4	of my exh	ibits.	
18					
19	Q.	What are the economics	in repai	ring tra	nsformers
20		versus purchasing new	ones?		
21	Α.	In 1988, a total of 1,	389 trans	formers	were
22		repaired and returned	to servic	e. Cons	idering

all maintenance costs, the Company realized

approximately \$700,000 savings in 1988.

23

24

25

Q. Why did public safety inspection and maintenance programs increase our benchmark to the level referred to in MPR C-57?

Α.

Since 1984, Gulf has developed and implemented several new public safety programs designed to reduce the risk of personal injury and property damage situations at or near our facilities. One program involves relocating utility poles away from street edges where there is a concern that they may be hit by motorists. Another program examines the vertical clearance on all power lines that cross navigable waterways to reduce the likelihood that a sailboat could make contact with the conductor.

In 1987, we began an aggressive public safety program to inform our customers about proper behavior around energized electrical lines. We presented our program to the Commission and received your support for our efforts. Through September 1989, 921 presentations have been made by Gulf employees to 48,000 citizens of Northwest Plorida. The Company continues to include safety related information in bill inserts. It has implemented a program to perform field engineering audits of

1		samples from its new transmission and
2		distribution construction each year to ensure
3		that the Company is complying with the National
4		Electrical Safety Code and other appropriate
5		federal and state regulations.
6		The sum total of the public safety measures,
7		of which the above are representative examples,
8		requires increased funding to a variety of
9		overhead and underground maintenance accounts in
10		excess of the amount allowed by the 1984
11		benchmark. The benefits of these actions will be
12		reduced death, injury, and property damage to the
13		public, as well as reduced future liability
14		exposure to the Company. Gulf will continue its
15		efforts in maintaining public safety.
16		
17	Q.	What areas under your responsibility have
18		variances that fall above the benchmark?
19	Α.	In the Distribution O & M expense area, there are
20		deviations that result from Distribution System
21		Work Orders Clearance accounting and underground
22		line expansion.
23		
24	٥.	Would you briefly explain what is meant by "DSO
25		Clearance" and how it contributed to the overall

Distribution O & M deviation from benchmark?

A. DSO clearance describes the allocation process for operation and maintenance costs associated with distribution line construction accumulated on Distribution System Work Orders (DSO). Labor is allocated to O & M when it is cleared from the work order in Construction Work in Progress (CWIP) to O & M accounts after the work order is signed off and classified in the Company's Plant Accounting System.

Prior to 1983, the method for clearing O & M costs from work orders in CWIP was based on the engineer's final estimate. This estimate was subtracted from the total cost of the job and the remaining deviations adjusted within plant accounts and cost-of-removal. After implementation of a new Plant Accounting System in January, 1983, the total actual cost of the job was allocated over all items on the work order based on work standards for plant installed, plant removed, O & M, etc. This process more equitably spreads the job costs over all estimated elements.

In 1985, a study of line construction and maintenance manhour standards provided

documentation for manhour requirements for both plant and O & M which was far superior to previous estimates. These new manhour standards more accurately reflected the actual labor required to do either activity. The relative amount of dollars spent to do the work did not increase, but rather the mix of charges between plant and O & M changed. O & M began receiving a more equitable share of the job cost.

In Gulf's 1984 rate case, the amount budgeted for CWIP clearance to 0 & M was not changed to reflect the change in the Company's Plant Accounting System. Beginning in 1986, this change was reflected in the 0 & M budgets, including 1990.

In 1984, the budgeted amount cleared from Distribution System Work Orders to 0 & M amounted to \$1,190,000, whereas the 1990 budget estimate is \$2,745,000, or 131 percent over 1984 and 53 percent over the 1990 benchmark.

- Q. Please explain why underground line extensions are a part of the excess deviation from the 1990 benchmark.
- 25 A. Our underground facilities are increasing at a

1 rate far greater than customer growth and 2 inflation which the benchmark allows. Between 3 1984 and September 1989, our miles of underground primary distribution lines increased 67 percent 5 from 344 miles to 573 miles, and this trend is expected to reach 620 miles of underground by 7 year-end 1990. This 80 percent increase in 8 underground line expansion is compared to a 26 9 percent customer growth rate for the 1984-1990 10 period. The cost to operate and maintain this 11 increased mileage, plus new programs installed to 12 mark and locate underground cables for safety and 13 efficiency and to repair prematurely failing 14 primary cable has caused our expenses in this area to increase by 70 percent or \$351,000 over 15 16 the 1990 benchmark.

17

18 Q. Mr. Jordan does this conclude your testimony?

19 A. Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q (By Mr. Stone) Mr. Jordan, would you please summarize your testimony?

A Yes. My testimony describes a number of specific productivity improvement programs and provides justification for certain distribution expenses that exceed benchmark analysis. Since 1984, Gulf's management has encouraged and supported a number of programs to increase the productivity and reduce costs. For instance, through these programs Gulf has increased its line crew productivity, extended the life of transportation equipment, and is rebuilding and reusing electrical equipment. All of these programs have long-term benefits for our customers.

During the late '80s, Gulf recognized the need to increase expenditures in public safety. As a result, we are do'ng a much better job of locating and correcting safety-related problems and educating the public. Simply put, Gulf's safety programs have been designed to reduce injuries and deaths of the public and our employees. Although these expenditures exceed benchmark, we feel that they are fully justified and are in the best interests of our customers.

In conclusion, these, as well as the other items addressed in my testimony, are clearly justified and are needed to provide Gulf's customers reliable

service and, therefore, warrant favorable treatment 1 before this Commission in these proceedings. Thank 2 3 you. MR. STONE: Tender Mr. Jordan for cross 4 examination. 5 CROSS EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MR. PALECKI: Mr. Jordan, the 1982-83 peak demand of Sunny 8 Hills was 1440 kilowatts, the service count of 351 9 customers. What is the peak demand and service count 10 11 for 1989? (Pause) 2.448. That's in KVA. 12 What is the quality of service of the Sunny 13 Q Hills substation today that justify the need for backup 14 15 service? The Sunny Hills substation is Gulf's only 16 25,000-volt system, other than the Greenhead 17 substation. The 115,000-volt to 25,000-volt 18 transformer that is at the Sunny Hills substation, we 19 do not have a spare in our system that can back up such 20 a substation. Most of our voltages are in 115,000-voit 21 to 12,000-volt classification. 22 It was determined that for the Greenhead 23 substation to back up the Sunny Hills substation, Gulf 24 would have to build connecting lines along State Road 25

77 to the junction of Highway 279 and have switching 1 gears installed. Has this been accomplished? 2 Yes. That was accomplished initially when we 3 built Sunny Hills. The line that you just mentioned 4 was the source of backup. We put a small auto 5 transformer in the Vernon area and stepped the voltage 6 up from 12,000 volts to 25,000 volts, and that's the 7 way we backed up Sunny Hills for a number of years. 8 In 1984, the capacity of the Sunny Hills 9 Q substation was 12 MVA. What is the capacity today? 10 11 It is the same. You stated in your testimony that the Vernon 12 0 substation would be converted to 25 kV. What will the 13 capacity of this substation be after conversion? 14 The capacity of the existing substation at 15 Vernon is 5,10. After we complete the conversion we 16 will be removing the Greenhead transformer to Vernon 17 and using that transformer. The Greenhead transformer 18 is rated at 10,000. It was determined in Docket No. 830484-EU that 20 21 Gulf Power's cost to provide service to Leisure Lakes was 200,480. How much of this amount is Gulf seeking 22 23 to recover? The Leisure Lakes portion of that docket, the 24 Commission determined in that docket that another 25

1	utility would more appropriately serve the Leisure
2	Lakes subdivision. Gulf subsequently sold all of its
3	facilities serving Leisure Lakes. We no longer serve
4	Leisure Lakes, and have no facilities in that area.
5	The cost remaining, depreciated value of the
6	remaining substation is \$138,534.
7	Q The next questions refer to Issue 81.
8	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Let me, before you leave
9	that I thought you were going to ask the next
10	question.
11	When you sold that facility, what was the
12	sales price?
13	WITNESS JORDAN: I don't have that
14	information. I'll be glad to get it for you.
15	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Do you know if it was at
16	book?
17	WITNESS JORDAN: I believe it was at cost, but
18	I would have to verify that. That would be subject to
19	check.
20	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Could we have
21	late-filed exhibit on that, Mr. Chairman? The sale of
22	would that be characterized as the sale of
23	distribution facilities to service Leisure Lakes?
24	WITNESS JORDAN: That would be correct, sir.
25	CHAIRMAN WILSON: 594.

COMMISSIONER GUNTER: I'd Like to have the 1 2 original cost and the sales price and who you sold it 3 to. WITNESS JORDAN: Yes, sir. 4 (Late-filed Exhibit No. 594 identified.) 5 (By Mr. Palecki) These next questions refer 0 6 to Issue 81. Gulf has -- and that issue is that Gulf 7 has budgeted a million-plus for its public safety 8 inspection and maintenance program, and whether that 9 expense is reasonable. And I'd like you to please look 10 at Schedule C-57 from the MFRs, and specifically at 11 Page 61 of 94. This is an explanation of why the 12 public safety inspection and maintenance function is 13 14 above benchmark. 15 Yes, it is. Now, is it true that Gulf's justification 16 states that the reason for the variance is the 17 implementation of the new programs under this function? 18 That's correct. 19 Would you please provide us with a listing of 20 O programs existing in 1984 and their actual expenses, as 21 well as a listing of programs budgeted for 1990 and 22 their projected expenses? 23 A Yes, sir. 24 And if you don't have it readily available, 25 Q

•	
2	MR. STONE: Is that something you have readily
3	available, Mr. Jordan?
4	WITNESS JORDAN: I have it categorized, yes, I
5	do, and I will be glad to hand it out right now if
6	you'd like.
7	MR. PALECKI: Yes, we would.
8	We would ask that this be marked as the next
9	consecutive exhibit.
10	CHAIRMAN WILSON: What would be Exhibit No.
11	595.
12	(Exhibit No. 595 marked for identification.)
13	WITNESS JORDAN: Counselor, that has a little
14	more information on it than you requested, I believe.
15	I has also claims that we had paid during that period.
16	Q (By mr. Palecki) Now, you've asked for
17	increased funding in excess of the 1984 benchmark for
18	safety measures. Shouldn't the amount of funding you
19	need for this program be offset for savings from the
20	program?
21	A Yes, and we think we are realizing that in the
22	area of claims.
23	Q Are you speaking of claims such as lawsuits
24	and
25	A Yes, sir.

1	Q Well, if this program then is cost effective,
2	why is it in the need of increased funding?
-7 -7	A The exhibit I just handed out shows that the
4	if you look at their cost of claims, if you would,
5	which is towards the bottom of the sheet, claims paid,
6	notice in '84 we paid 753,000; '85, 1.6 million; '86,
7	2.7 million, 2.6 million. And then you see it's gone
8	back down again to about a million. What has happened,
9	Counselor, is if you add the if you get a six-year
10	average that runs about \$2 million, \$2.2 million, to
11	pay for claims, as well as public safety, back in 1984
12	we were only spending about 20% to prevent accidents,
13	and about 80% to pay for them.
14	Our present budget spends about half and
15	half. We're spending about million dollars to prevent
16	it and about a million dollars to pay for it.
17	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Does this show on the
18	claim paid, is that the year that the settlement was
19	reached?
20	MR. JORDAN: Yes, it does, either reached or
21	settled out of court or whatever the determination was.
22	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: When I say settlement,
23	either a court award or agreed to.
24	<ul> <li>What year did the sailboat incident get</li> </ul>
25	settled?

MR. JORDAN: Subject to check, I believe it 1 was 1987. Our attorneys would know more than I would. 2 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Wasn't that the one 3 where the guy was sailing across at high tide or 4 something and contacted a line? 5 MR. JORDAN: Yes, sir. 6 MR. STONE: Unfortunately, we were not the 7 attorneys in the lawsuit so we don't know what year, 8 but I think he is correct, '87. We'll be happy to 9 verify that for you. 10 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Okay. Just sometime in 11 that '85 to '87 time period. 12 MR. JORDAN: Yes, sir, that's subject to 13 14 check. (By Mr. Palecki) I recognize from this 15 exhibit that your total claims paid and, therefore, 16 your total safety and claims expenses, have gone way 17 down from 1986 and '87; down substantially. And I 18 19 quess the question I have is if you have incurred the savings, significant savings, why you need additional 20 funding for the programs rather than why can't you --21 22 it would seem that -- and it seems that your funding is in excess of the 1984 benchmark. Wouldn't these 23 savings that you've made help you fund the program? 24 25 MR. JORDAN: Yes, they do have an effect of

helping you fund the program. But let's understand that we have approximately 6,000 miles of line that are in service 24 hours a day, 365 days a year, and the weather, going through thunderstorms and that sort of thing, and are in continuous need of repair, so it's an ongoing process.

what we've done, have now, is a better balance between the public safety and the amount of claims we have; before it's 20/80, now it's 50/50.

Q I note that your total savings between 1987 and 1988 is in excess of \$2 million. The savings of 2 million, what was done with that? Was it plowed back into the program? How was that accounted for?

A Counsel, you'll notice just looking at the expenses, in 1986 Gulf's management, we started in --Gulf's management wanted to increase the public safety effort at Gulf Power Company. We spent 566,000 in '86 and then went to 1.4 million in 1987. That's also the year we had the largest payout. In '86 we had 2.7 and '87 we had 2.66, so those two years is what really got Gulf's management's attention. In that one year, in '87 and then again in '88, it looks like it's taken hold.

Another program, and if you don't mind me mentioning it, is one that the Florida Senate started,

I believe, in 1980. They required this Commission in 1 1988 or '87, I believe, to start inspecting the 2 facilities. And on a quarterly basis we meet with 3 Staff in the field where they come and inspect. We 4 also do that inspection ourselves. 5 If you'll notice on the third line there it ó says "public safety inspection of facilities." We have 7 substantial increase funding in that area to inspect 8 these facilities; along with Staff we inspect those 9

COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Let me ask a question on that. Weren't you supposed to -- prior to the passage of the bill by the Senate, weren't you supposed to be doing all your construction according to the Code anyway?

MR. JORDAN: That's correct.

facilities.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Okay, so the Senate didn't create that cost, you were supposed to be doing it, all utilities were supposed to be doing their construction and whatever according to the Code.

> MR. JORDAN: That's correct. Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Okay.

(By Mr. Palecki) I guess where the focus of my questioning is, is that it does seem that the program has proven effective, it does seem that you

1	have saved some money, but the savings that you've
2	two million plus between '87 and '88 would be to the
3	benefit of the Gulf Company and its stockholders,
4	correct?
5	A Yes. I believe it's beneficial to the
6	public. We're having less injuries, less deaths and
7	less public property damage. That's the beneficiary of
8	the program.
9	Q I notice in your testimony that your
10	qualifications include the fact that you were in charge
11	of materiels in inventory control. When did you
12	perform those functions?
13	A Primarily through the '80s.
14	Q Did you supervise Kyle Croft during that
15	time?
16	A Not directly. Indirectly; he was underneath
17	my organization.
18	Q And one of your functions was also the
19	running of the garage, is that correct?
20	A Yes. I have had the garage primarily through
21	the '80s.
22	Q And were you familiar with various incidents
23	where individual employees' automobiles and executives'
24	personal cars were repair in the garage?

25

A No, I was not.

1	Q When did you become aware of those problems
2	in the garage?
3	A I'm not sure I'm aware of the problems you're
4	talking about.
5	Q You've never heard of any incidence where
6	employees' vehicles were repaired using the Gulf
7	garage?
8	A Not that I recall.
9	Q Were you here on Monday during the testimony?
10	A No, I was not.
11	Q On Page 7 of your direct testimony you refer
12	to the rebuilding of mechanical equipment. Were these
13	projects competitively bid?
14	A Yes. Are you talking about the
15	transportation equipment?
16	Q That's correct.
17	A Yes. When we contract out rebuilding of
18	equipment, we competitively bid that with several
19	vendors. Now, there are not any vendors in our service
20	area that do these rebuilding activities, so they are
21	primarily done to the north of us, Alabama, Georgia.
22	Q But they are all competitively bid?
23	A Yes, they are. Excuse me, there is some
24	single source type things where you would have like a
25	high ranger bucket truck and you only have one in our

1	area. The statement stands correct.
2	Q No further questions.
3	A Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN WILSON: Questions, Commissioner?
5	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: I only have one
6	question. There was a previous issue, somebody help me
7	with it; what was the issue number having to do with
8	the question of the propriety of the bonus to the Levin
9	firm?
10	MR. PALECKI: I think that came under Issue
1	38, which was the corporate mismanagement issue.
12	MR. VANDIVER: It's in the Audit Report,
١3	Commissioner. I believe that was in 1987 and it is
4	under Issue 38.
15	COMPISSIONER GUNTER: Okay. All right. I
۱6	don't have any other questions.
٦.	CHAIRMAN WILSON: All of the exhibits to this
L <b>8</b>	witness were either stipulated no, we had one. 595.
19	Without objection that's admitted into evidence.
20	(Exhibit No. 595 received into evidence)
21	CHAIRMAN WILSON: Do you have any redirect.
22	MR. STONE: I have one question.
23	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. STONE:
25	Q Mr. Jordan, is it your understanding that
- 1	

1	claims are paid out of the injuries and damages
2	reserve?
3	A I'm not sure of the accounting process of
4	that. That sounds correct.
5	Q So it would be someone other than you that
6	would respond to questions about the accrual to that?
7	A That's correct.
8	Q Thank you.
9	MR. STONE: No further redirect.
10	CHAIRMAN WILSON: All right. Thank you very
11	much. You may be excused. Call your next witness.
12	MR. STONE: We would call Ernest C. Conner.
13	CHAIRMAN WILSON: Has this witness been
14	sworn?
15	MR. STONE: No, he has not.
16	CHAI! MAN WILSON: Would you raise your right
17	hand, please? Oh, you have?
18	WITNESS CONNER: I was sworn Monday morning.
19	MR. STONE: My mistake.
20	CHAIRMAN WILSON: We can swear him again, if
21	you want to. Double the credibility of his witness.
22	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Does the swearing last
23	five days?
24	MR. STONE: It just proves how honest he is.
25	He's already been sworn.

1	CHAIRMAN WILSON: That's true.
2	ERNEST C. CONNER, JR.
3	having been previously duly sworn as a witness on
4	behalf of Gulf Power Company, testified as follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MP. STONE:
7	Q Mr. Conner, would you state your name and
8	your occupation with Gulf Power Company?
9	A My name is Ernest C. Conner, Jr., I am
10	Manager of General Services with Gulf Power Company.
11	Q Are you the same Ernest C. Conner, Jr. that
12	had prefiled direct testimony in this docket dated
13	December 15, 1989?
14	A Yes, I am.
15	Q Do you have any changes or correction to your
16	prefiled direct testimony?
17	A Yes, I do. On Page 4, Line 5, at the end of
18	the line, change the year "1976" to read "1975."
19	Q Is that all of your changes?
20	A That is correct.
21	Q With those changes with that change, if I
22	were to ask you the questions contained in your
23	prefiled direct testimony, would your responses be the
24	same?
25	A It would.

1	MR. STONE: I would ask that Mr. Conners'
2	testimony be inserted into the record as though read.
3	CHAIRMAN WILSON: Without objection, it will
4	be so inserted into the record.
5	MR. STONE: Commissioners, all of Mr. Conners
6	exhibits have been identified and stipulated by the
7	parties, and I believe I've handed out a packet to
8	everyone in the hearing room. If someone finds they
9	did not receive a packet, if they'll just let me know.
10	(Exhibit No. 146 stipulated into evidence.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1		GULF POWER COMPANY
2		Before the Florida Public Service Commission
3		Direct Testimony of Ernest C. Conner, Jr.
		In Support of Rate Relief
4		Docket No: 891345-EI
5		Date of Filing: December 15, 1989
6	Q.	Please state your name, address and occupation.
7	A.	My name is Ernest C. Conner, Jr., and my business
8		address is 500 Bayfront Parkway, Pensacola, Florida
9		32501. I am Manager of General Services of Gulf Power
10		Company.
11		
12	Q.	Please describe your educational and business back-
13		ground.
14	A.	I graduated from Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama,
15		in 1973 with a Bachelor of Science degree in Building
16		Construction. In 1985, I completed a Master of
17		Science degree in Management from Troy State
18		University, Florida Region, Pensacola, Florida.
19		Following completion of my Master's degree, I served
20		as an adjunct instructor at the University of West
21		Florida from 1986 through 1988 teaching a senior level
22		course in construction administration. From June of
23		1973 until April of 1982, I worked for two general
24		contracting firms in Auburn, Alabama managing various
25		commercial and industrial construction projects. In

1		April of 1982, I joined Gulf Power Company as
2		Supervisor of General Plant Services. In February of
3		1984, I became Supervisor of Buildings. My title was
4		changed to Manager of Buildings in January of 1989. I
5		became Manager of General Services in June of 1989.
6		
7	Q.	Have you prepared an exhibit that contains information
8		to which you will refer in your testimony?
9	A.	Yes. This exhibit was prepared under my supervision
10		and direction.
11		
12		Counsel: We ask that Mr. Conner's Exhibit
13		be marked for identification as Exhibit 146 (ECC-1).
14		
15	Q.	What are your areas of responsibility within Gulf
16		Power Company?
17	λ.	I have responsibility for providing budgeting,
18		planning, design, construction, operations,
19		maintenance, and administrative support to all Company
20		buildings supporting Division operations and the
21		Corporate Office.
22		
23	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this
24		proceeding?
25	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to provide information

1	relating to the Corporate Headquarters project and the
2	Graceville and Bonifay buildings
3	
4 Q.	Can you summarize the need for the new Corporate
5	Office Building?
6 A.	The decisions made to build a new office building were
7	based upon a long term process of careful review of
8	all factors affecting the Company's space requirements
9	and their cost impacts. A summary of this process
10	begins with the 1958 decision to construct Gulf
11	Power's General Office building at 75 North Pace
12	Boulevard to support its 89,860 customers.
13	The four story Pace Boulevard structure originally
14	housed not only General Office functions, but also
15	the administrative, engineering, marketing, customer
16	service, and appliance sales operations of the Western
17	Division. Over the years, customer growth and
18	increasing governmental regulation brought the need
19	for increases in the number of employees. By the mid
20	1970's, available space in the building was not
21	adequate to meet the Company's requirements of
22	supporting 174,000 customers.
23	Consideration was given to alternatives for
24	facility expansion. Constructing a second four story
25	building northwest of and adjacent to the existing

Pace Boulevard building was one alternative. Overcrowding at the Pace si e and the opportunity to provide a more centralized location for Western Division line service work led to the decision in 1976 to purchase land at Pine Forest noar Interstate 10 for the construction of support facilities for the functions of the Western Division. The Pine Forest facility was constructed to house the Western Division's engineering, line service, and garage functions. The old line service and garage building which was vacated behind the 75 N. Pace Boulevard building was then renovated to allow relocation of the Western Division's administrative, customer service, marketing, and appliance sales functions from the 75 N. Pace building. This relocation project was completed in 1979.

1

2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with the construction of the Pine Forest project completed and the relocation of other Western Division functions in process, work was begun in 1979 to provide for space needs within the General Office. By renovating the existing 75 N. Pace building and implementing an open office concept, available space was used to accommodate more employees in the building. Remodeling took place on a floor by floor basis and was completed in 1981.

By 1982, employee growth had resulted in the 1 building once again thing filled to capacity. Gulf's 2 management commissioned a study, titled "Corporate and 3 Western Division Survey," which in April, 1982 found that this situation was brought on in large part by the Company's hiring of sixty new employees to meet 6 the expanding needs brought on by increases in 7 regulatory activity. These new employees were in addition to those that had been included in the 9 planning for remodeling which was completed in 1981. 10 The 1982 study also found that existing employees had 11 been crowded into unproductive, below average work 12 spaces in order to accommodate as much growth within 13 the existing building as possible. The study 14 identified the net result of this new growth as a 15 combined deficiency of thirty thousand square feet of 16 office space for the General Office and Western 17 Division functions. 18 The 1982 study evaluated several alternatives in 19 response to the space problems identified: 20 21 ALTERNATIVE I Maintain both the Western Division and 22 General Office functions at the Pace 23 Boulevard site. This alternative involved 24 25 major construction additions to the two

1	existing buildings.
2	ALTERNATIVE II
3	Construct a new Western Division Headquarters
4	Building on a different site and maintain
5	the General Office functions at Pace
6	Boulevard. This alternative would not have
7	avoided construction additions to the 75 N.
8	Pace building.
9	ALTERNATIVE III
10	Construct a new Corporate Headquarters
11	Building at a new site to house the General
12	Office functions and relocate Western
13	Division personnel to the 75 N. Pace
14	building. This alternative eliminated the
15	need for office construction additions on
16	the Pace Boulevard site.
17	Alternative III was approved by the Company as being
18	the most cost effective solution for meeting the
19	Company's space needs. This decision immediately
20	produced two needs for action. Recognizing that the
21	planning and construction of a new building would take
22	years to accomplish, the Company began planning to
23	meet its short term space needs through providing
24	temporary office space relief. Also, the Company
25	began the process of determining the best site for the

new Corporate Office building.

A si in off from the 1982 space requirements study was the recognition of the Company's deficiencies in providing adequate facilities to meet its training needs. A 1983 training study, titled "Gulf Power Company Training Center," evaluated several alternatives. Recognizing the plan already begun to provide space for the General Office functions and the Western Division, the training study recommended utilizing the Chase Street building at the Pace Boulevard site as the Company's training center. This use would be achieved after the Western Division relocated from this building to the 75 N. Pace building. These three projects were all interdependent requiring timely coordination and scheduling.

Another factor affected these three projects.

Along with the growth of office space needs over the years, other functions on the Pace Boulevard site were also experiencing the response to the Company's increased requirements which comes with customer growth. The General Warehouse, General Repair Shop, and Communications Shop were all located at the Pace Boulevard site and were all growing and further impacting the site. An automotive rebuild center and

general garage were also planned for the site to consolidate all company wide industrial functions at one
location. Resulting additional land purchases were
made to support the present and future needs of these
Company functions. The land parcels purchased
contained a mix of open land and residential
structures.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The temporary office space needs of the Company were addressed in a 1982 study titled "Space Allocation Plan." This study identified the need to secure 62,000 square feet of temporary office space and recommended that the space be obtained as leased office space in the Pensacola market. In order to hold the line on costs for such a temporary measure, the Company's budget committee rejected this alternative. Employees were asked to endure crowded substandard office space conditions until the new Corporate Headquarters building could be constructed. As an alternative to the leased space study recommendation, the decision was made to take the residences located on land recently purchased at the Pace Boulevard site for the growth needs of the industrial functions and convert them into temporary office space. In all, fifteen such structures were used together with the lease of two other buildings to

provide approximately 40,000 square feet of temporary space relief. While inadequate in many respects as office space, and thus not an appropriate long term solution, the overall costs to the Company for this temporary means of providing office space were significantly less than leasing available office space to fully meet the Company's needs in Pensacola.

1

2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

While temporary office space problems were being resolved, Daniels Realty conducted a study in 1982 to examine feasible sites for the new Corporate Headquarters building. Their study evaluated two sites: the Hawkshaw site located in downtown Pensacola and a site in the University Mall area outside the city. Location criteria were determined by addressing the function of the Corporate Office work and analyzing sites against cost considerations. The need to locate the new building in, or very near, downtown Pensacola grew out of the combined existence of land use regulations, a substantial transportation network system, adequate supporting utilities, proximity to the central business district, and adequate fire and police protection. Gulf's ownership of a 1.2 acre former substation site in the underdeveloped Hawkshaw area of the city, combined with below average land prices in the surrounding

area, made the Hawkshaw area an ideal location for the building. Because this location is within the City of Pensacola's newly established Gateway Redevelopment District, created to provide a positive image for Pensacola at the eastern entrance into the city, the Company also provided with the opportunity to be a leading corporate citizen in the community. By designing its building in full compliance with the developing guidelines for construction in the area, Gulf has helped set the stage for the City's revitalization of the underdeveloped area of Pensacola. In addition to all of these advantages, land costs in the Hawkshaw area were comparable to acquisition costs at the current Pace Boulevard site and the northeast section of Pensacola.

Upon evaluating the Daniels study, Gulf Power approved the Hawkshaw site and began acquisition of additional property in 1982. In 1984, Marshall Associates, Inc., an independent appraisal consultant, was employed to reevaluate the chosen Hawkshaw location prior to the initiation of final building design. This study reviewed the actual costs incurred versus current costs for land in other locations considered previously in the Daniels study. The conclusions were that the Hawkshaw site was still the

best alternative since it could be obtained at cost comparable with the other prospective locations and it provided the ideal corporate site.

The new building most economically meets the needs of the Company and the 285,000 customers we now serve through providing adequate space for the Company's employees to work productively. Efficient operations were also once again achieved by combining under one roof, corporate functions which had been spread out to seventeen different locations. The new Corporate Office building represents Gulf Power's strong commitment to the City of Pensacola in aiding its revitalization efforts. This leadership role helps to set the tone and pace of the future redevelopment of the Pensacola Gateway District, helping to enhance the largest community we serve through wise implementation of our Corporate Office investment.

20 Q. What were the total investment costs of building,
21 furnishing, and moving into the new Corporate Office
22 building?

23 A. The total costs for the building, design fees, site
24 work, plant in service land, building equipment
25 costs, overheads, and furnishings were \$31,645,000.

1	Q.	How has the Company provided for future needs in the
2		Corporate Headquarters?
3	A.	Gulf developed a phased approach to the building.
4		Phase I included construction of the present 5 story
5		structure providing its growth space both in vacant
6		workstation spaces on the 1st, 2nd, 4th, and 5th
7		floors, and through a future duplicate of the 4th
8		floor layout on the 3rd floor. The third floor was
9		left unfinished in order that it could be used as a
10		maintenance and storage area until needed by Gulf for
11		office space. This approach postponed the need for
12		construction of a warehouse to house building storage
13		needs and a building maintenance shop to support the
14		needs of the Company. Exhibit ECC-1 details the
15		present use of the building.
16		
17	Q.	Has the Commission staff reviewed Gulf's need for and
18		use of the Corporate Office building and associated
19		land?
20	A.	Yes. The Commission staff thoroughly reviewed these
21		aspects of the building and land resulting in the
22		document entitled "Final Report on Corporate Office
23		Building, Gulf Power Company Project PE-872 (3336) AW
24		408 951 (E-84-14)." This report is dated May 23,
25		1989. In virtually all respects, this report is

1		supportive of my testimony.
2		
3	Q.	Should the total cost of the Bonifay and Graceville
4		offices be allowed in rate base?
5	Α.	Yes. The total cost of the Bonifay and Graceville
6		offices should be allowed in the rate base. The cost
7		per square foot adjustment made in the 1984 rate case
8		decision did not represent a valid method for
9		determining a reasonable cost for these small
10		buildings.
11		Both of these buildings were competitively bid.
12		The resulting contract costs for construction
13		represent the true market value for construction of
14		the building in the given market and economic
15		conditions, given economies of scale for such small
16		commercial construction, geographic location, and
17		functional needs of the facility as reflected in the
18		building design. The 1984 adjustment did not consider
19		these relevant facts.
20		
21	Q.	Can you summarize your testimony?
22	A.	Yes. Gulf Power Company's management philosophy is to
23		provide adequate facilities to meet the needs of our
24		customers and to provide a productive work environment
25		for our employees. The Company utilizes long range

1	planning to prudently acquire the necessary property
2	to support needed facilities. Such property additions
3	help to ensure that our facilities provide long term
4	solutions to our Company and customer needs. Concern
5	for our customers is also exhibited through wisely
6	implementing our facility investments in a method
7	which enhances the communities that we serve. Great
8	pride is taken in the contribution made in helping to
9	revitalize downtown Pensacola through our Corporate
10	Office building. On a much smaller scale, but no less
11	important, the local offices in Bonifay and Graceville
12	also serve to enhance those communities. Long range
13	planning together with a facility design which
14	enhances the community at reasonable costs all combine
15	for an effective facilities planning program.

17 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

18 A. Yes.

Q Mr. Conner, would you please give a summary of your testimony?

A Yes. The purpose of my testimony is to provide information on Gulf Power Company's office facilities. I will include the corporate office building, land held for future use at the corporate office and at Pace Boulevard, justify the total cost of Bonifay and Graceville, and address the planning used to ensure that we meet the future needs of the Company. We are very proud of our facilities at Gulf Power Company. They reflect the commitment that we have to our customers and the communities that we serve and reflect our confidence about the future of northwest Florida.

The headquarters building of Gulf Power

Company has always been in Pensacola for over 80 years.

In the 1950s, a new building was constructed at Pace

Boulevard that served the needs of the Company for over

30 years until we moved to our new corporate

headquarters building in 1987. That building on Pace

Boulevard had become inadequate as the customer base

that the Company served grew from 50,000 customers in

the 1950s to over five times that number today.

Our management recognized the problems with the Pace Boulevard facility and in 1982 commissioned a

1 stud
2 the
3 a la
4 of 6
5 lac
6 the
7 or 6

study which found that we had severe overcrowding in the building. We had noisy working conditions, we had a lack of adequate support facilities, including a lack of conference rooms, a lack of training rooms and a lack of storage. We had no rooms in that building for the auditors of this Commission or the Public Counsel or anyone else to visit with us. In short, we had a very unproductive work environment.

As a temporary measure, while the planning for the new corporate headquarters building was under way, Gulf Power relocated its employees to 17 different locations in Pensacola. This added to the problems.

Our mail room and duplicating facilities were located in a warehouse. Our Public Relations Department worked out of a creosote plant office. Our Purchasing and General Services Department worked out of an old finance company which was later converted to a funeral home.

Security, Insurance, Communication, Land and other departments worked out of residences which were temporarily converted to makeshift office space. Even our Employment Center worked out of an old service station building at Pace Boulevard.

Our management saw the need for positive action. In planning the corporate office building,

they established some goals for the project. Those goals were: To combine the employees under one roof; to provide an efficient place of business; to create an invigorating work environment; to give our employees something to be proud of, thereby stimulating their productivity; and to enhance our community through our investment. Doing all of the above at reasonable cost.

We're very proud of the results. We solved the long-term growth needs of the Company, we consolidated our employees into a productive work environment, and we have made a major contribution to the revitalization of downtown Pensacola. All of these results were achieved with land and building costs comparable to the local Pensacola market.

positives has been the feedback from our customers.

Over 3,000 customers have toured the building since it opened in March of 1987. The overwhelming majority of the feedback we've gotten from customers, once they came and saw the results, has been positive.

Results such as our corporate headquarters building do not just happen. Long-range planning is required for this type of success. This same planning is used today to provide land to meet the needs of the corporate office through the year 2010, to provide land

1	at the Pace Boulevard site through the same time
2	period, and to keep our other facilities up to date for
3	the future. The results of this planning ensure that
4	the Company provides superior customer service on a
2	long-term basis, whether that customer service takes
6	the form of a corporate office building plan for 30
7	years, a Pace Boulevard plan that will have extended 60
8	years, or a Bonifay and Graceville offices.
9	Our Bonifay and Graceville offices have the
10	lowest complaints filed with the PSC of any offices in
11	the Company. Our Bonifay and Graceville offices have
12	the best record of uncollectibles of any office in the
13	Company. And those offices in Bonifay and Graceville,
14	built for the long term, will be still serving the
15	needs for the customers in the year 2010.
16	In summary, Gulf's customer services and
17	support facilities are planned for the long term to
18	meet the needs of our customers.
19	That concludes my summary.
20	MR. STONE: We tender Mr. Jordan for Mr.
21	Conner for cross examination.
22	CROSS EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. VANDIVER:
24	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Conner.

COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Hold on just a second.

1	Let me ask a question about a late-filed. Is
2	Late-filed 561 done yet?
3	MR. HOLLAND: 561 or 559?
4	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Well, 559 was a piece,
5	that was the nonutility employees, and that might have
6	been included as part of The Southern Company buildings
7	total activity where we added cost. We might have put
8	those two together.
9	MR. HOLLAND: I thought we did.
10	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: It doesn't matter. As
11	long as the information is in. There are two listed,
12	559 and 561.
13	(Mr. Stone hands out Exhibit 559.)
14	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: The only thing that's
15	not in here is the total cost. And that was included
16	in 561.
17	MR. HOLLAND: Total cost for
18	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: For each of the
19	buildings.
20	MR. HOLLAND: I'm sorry. Okay, we'll have to
21	get that. I missed that. I didn't have that in my
22	notes.
23	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Okay. Well, that's
24	listed here. I didn't even do that, that's Southern
25	Company

1	CHAIRMAN WILSON: That was for the building,
2	that was cancelled.
3	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Oh, okay. Excuse me,
4	that was for the building that it was cancelled. If we
5	could just have the cost of each one of those
6	facilities as an addendum to this, this will be fine.
7	MR. HOLLAND: The investments included in the -
8	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: The investments
9	included in the rate base in each one of these.
10	Q (By Mr. Vandiver) Hello, Mr. Conner.
11	In looking through your prefiled testimony, I
12	didn't notice that information on the Bonifay and
13	Graceville offices having the lowest customer complaint
14	ratio in the country. Can you direct my attention to
15	that, please, sir?
16	A That is not covered in the written portion of
17	my testimony.
18	Q You just decided to add it here orally today?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q Thank you. I would like to direct your
21	attention to Page 13 of your testimony. At this time I
22	would like to pass out the last rate case one page
23	of the last rate case order.
24	CHAIRMAN WILSON: What page of his testimony
25	did you refer to?

MR. VANDIVER: Page 13. 1 I'm not sure if you have taken judicial 2 notice of this order, it's 14030. I believe you should 3 4 if you haven't. CHAIRMAN WILSON: We have, already. 5 MR. VANDIVER: Okay. 6 (By Mr. Vandiver) What I'd like you to do, 7 Mr. Conner, I'd like you to turn your testimony in 8 9 half and put this order and your testimony on Page 13 side-by-side. And correct me if I'm wrong, this is the 10 only place in your direct prefiled testimony that you 11 even mention the Graceville and Bonifay deal, is that 12 13 correct? Just a minute. I believe my direct testimony 14 on Bonifay and Graceville extends over pages 13 and 14. 15 16 Q Okay. 17 In my summary. "They also serve to enhance those 18 communities," I apologize, I hadn't spotted that. I'm 19 kind of troubled by this because in that order, second 20 paragraph down under Graceville and Bonifay offices, it 21 says, "We shall allow a further opportunity to justify 22 the entire cost of these projects." In your mind, does 23 this do that? 24

Yes, it does.

- Q Can you tell me why?
- A We believe that the Bonifay and Graceville facility costs were reasonable and we have pointed out that those buildings were competitively bid. We have also pointed out that the square foot cost adjustment made in the 1984 case did not represent a true reflection of costs for those buildings.
- Q And that, in your mind, is adequate justification to justify the total inclusion of these in rate base?
- A Yes it is. I believe when you look at the testimony from the 1984 rate case, it was pointed out to the Commission by the witness on those facilities that the cost figures discussed in that hearing represented a building much larger and did not reflect a true cost for a building with reduced economies of scale, such as Bonifay and Graceville.
  - Q Uh-huh.
- A And we feel like the costs for Bonifay and
  Graceville were reasonable, they were competitively bid
  to six general contractors, they were advertised in
  Dodge reports, which goes out to all subcontractors --
- Q If I could interrupt just for a minute, sir,

  I'm just talking about your direct prefiled testimony,

  the stuff on Page 13. I understand that you can tell

me all this, but this is what you have put forward as a 1 justification. 2 That is correct. I put forward as a 3 justification the square foot cost adjustment. I put 4 forward as a justification the competitive bids on 5 those buildings. I also put forward as a justification 6 that those competitive bids represented the true market 7 value of those buildings and, as such, that the costs 8 were reasonable. 9 Okay. Now, I would just like to explore that 10 a little more if I could. What was the basis for your 11 decision to build the new office buildings in Bonifay 12 and Graceville? 13 The offices in Bonifay and Graceville were in 14 a dilapidated condition. They were part of a study 15 that was done at that time of the Eastern Division 16 facilities; and those buildings were built following 17 that study, which showed that we had a need for --18 Did you all own those original buildings that 19 you were in or were you leasing space, do you recall? 20 I believe those buildings were leased. 21 Okay. How far apart are Bonifay and 22 Graceville? 23

24

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I don't have an exact mileage on how far

apart the two cities are. I would guess approximately

15 miles, maybe 20 miles apart. The service area of those two towns, however, extends quite a bit beyond that 15-to-20-mile differential.

Q Does it go all the way up the Alabama line?

A I'm not sure if it goes all the way to the Alabama line. I do know that the Graceville office also serves customers in Campbellton, the Bonifay office also serves customers in the Caryville area.

And if you drew a line between Campbellton and Caryville, I'm certain it would be somewhere in the neighborhood of, subject to check, 40 miles, 50 miles.

Q Now you discuss that Means survey for the much larger buildings that the Commission relied on in the 1984 order. Who provided that survey to the Commission?

A The survey was provided, I believe, by Mr.

Parsons in his testimony in the 1984 case. And when it was provided, it was qualified to state that the survey results reflected costs for an office building of a size between 20,000 and 100,000 square feet and that they would not be reflective of the actual cost for Bonifay and Graceville but would serve as a measure for an indicator of the size. I think if we had been able to more clearly show those costs for a smaller building and to adjust them up for the reduced economies of

scale, that the Commission would have better understood our position on the reasonableness of the cost of those facilities.

Q Well, can you now provide the appropriate cost information to project the industry average per square foot cost for office buildings of about 1500 square foot?

A Yes, we can. I'm not sure if you need a late-filed on that, I can go through it verbally. But we have gone back and analyzed that and I would be happy to provide a late-filed that would lay that out.

The office buildings in Bonifay and

Graceville are customer service facilities. And as

such, they have the things that you would have for a

customer service facility: Drive-through windows, they

have office space, queueing areas and only really have

one office inside them.

They are similar to a branch bank-type facility which has a means cost of \$84 a square foot for a, I believe it was, a 4200 square foot building.

And you have to reflect or adjust that cost down -- excuse me, adjust the cost up for the reduced economies of scale. Bonifay and Graceville are 1582 square feet apiece. And if you take that \$84 a square foot figure, adjust it up by the cost modifier that is appropriate

1	for
2	the
3	
4	mod
5	
6	tak
7	var
8	up
9	try
10	bui
11	
12	nee
13	bui
14	buc
15	
16	foot
17	aga
18	the
19	wal
20	the
21	roo
22	And
23	per
24	lare

25

I

for reduced economy of scale of a smaller facility, then it gets it up around \$95 a square foot.

Q (By Mr. Vandiver) Who developed that cost modifier for reduced economies of scale?

A The R. S. Means Company developed that to take cost per square foot analysis that they give for various types of buildings and they adjust them either up or down based on how that building that you're trying to compare it to varies from their model building.

Q Is it your testimony, or is it -- I just need to understand maybe a little bit about the building industry. You basically get more bang for the buck if you're building a bigger building, is that --

A That's absolutely true on a cost per square foot basis. The buildings in Bonifay and Graceville, again, are 1582 square feet. They have, because of their small size, more linear footage of perimeter wall, and buildings, by their nature, on the inside there's a lot of air. The costs are in the walls, the roof, the components that hold the building together. And the small size of those buildings causes that cost per square foot to be higher than it would be for a larger building.

And I take it from your earlier answer that

there's just, like an office, a drive-through window,
no storage space or anything in these buildings?
You're not using them for anything else but collecting
customer bills, is that a fair statement?

They are customer service facilities. They

A They are customer service facilities. They would have restrooms, men's, women's restrooms to handicapped codes, they would have drive-through teller, have a walk-up space for paying bills. They would have a local office manager's office and they would have a mechanical room that would have your air handlers and possibly some storage located within the facility, but it would be minor and support the needs of that office.

Q F w many folks do you have working in those offices on a daily basis?

A I believe both in Bonifay and Graceville

there is a manager in the office and a customer

assistant. So two employees per office, and -- in each
building.

Q You were discussing earlier about the projections you all had done to do the 1984 costs. Do you have the approximate costs of what these offices would cost you today to build, or do you have a feel for how much that would be or what the difference would be?

1	A I have not run any numbers on that. I'll be
2	glad to provide that as a late-filed exhibit if you
3	would like. Again, it would depend on the local market
4	conditions in each of those towns. As to the
5	construction market fluctuates with the economy, with
6	the work that each contractor has, with the
7	subcontractor's work; and even if I could prepare that
8	exhibit, the real true test would be to bid those
9	buildings out again and see what they cost, just as we
10	did in 1984.
11	Q I don't think
12	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Let me ask a question
13	right here. Mr. Conner, my recollection, that's been a
14	long time ago, but local contractors didn't build
15	either one of these buildings, did they?
16	WITNESS CONNER: Both of the buildings were
17	built by Sam Sharp, who is a contractor located in
18	Pensacola, Florida. He did use local subcontractors.
19	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: I just said the
20	contractor, the guy that got the contract, was not
21	either from Graceville or Bonifay?
22	WITNESS CONNER: No, sir. The local bidder
23	on the buildings was Sam Sharp, located out of
24	Dengaçola Florida

COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Let me ask you a

question. Do you have a bidders' list?

witness conner: Yes. We developed the bidders' list on each project and we looked at the needs of that project, analyze the qualifications of the contractor for each individual job. Some contractors specialize in metal buildings, some contractors specialize in office buildings, and it's done on a building-by-building basis.

commissioner Gunter: So you -- when you talk about advertising, you go to your bidders' list and advertise within your bidders' list, isn't that correct?

WITNESS CONNER: That is correct.

commissioner Gunter: So if you --- you don't really have an indication about what the low price might be, or who the low bidder might be if you had advertised widely and said, "Hey, everybody come on in," and see what the best deal is you can get.

by putting the plans in the Dodge rooms, all of the subcontractors have access to those plans, and the Bonifay and Graceville buildings, the plans were put in the Dodge rooms, and with the general contractor's bid, probably 80 and up to 90% of it is subcontractor bids.

COMMISSIONER GUNTER: You didn't answer my

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

question.

2 WITNESS CONNER: I'm sorry.

commissioner Gunter: To get a contractor to bid, and there are general contractors that do it all, there are general contractors that do that, to have had a -- not a restricted bid list of folks that you may feel or Gulf may feel would be the appropriate ones to build. You don't know whether you got the best price or not.

we got a good price, and probably the best price for those buildings in that we used six bidders on that -- on each of the buildings. And six bidders from my -- excuse me, from my personal experience is that that would give you excellent competition on those buildings and would ensure that you get the lowest competitive bid.

COMMISSIONER GUNTER: How many of those bidders were located in Pensacola?

witness conner: Commissioner, I'd have to check that for you. Subject to check, it appears that four of the six were located in Pensacola, possibly three of the six.

COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Where would the other ones have been from generally?

WITNESS CONNER: Probably Fort Walton and 1 one from Panama City. All of the bidders were from our 2 service area. 3 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: How many of those 4 bidders, just from your recollection, have done other 5 business with Gulf? Four of them? 6 WITNESS CONNER: I believe it's four of the 7 six. 8 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Excuse me, I shouldn't 9 be lip-reading the counsel. Okay, I confess I do that 10 occasionally. You get hard of hearing, you learn how 11 12 to lip read. CHAIRMAN WILSON: What? 13 (Ry Mr. Vandiver) Mr. Conner, in your 14 original decision to build, did you consider asking the 15 landlord to refurbish the dilapidated buildings you 16 were in and did you do a cost benefit analysis of 17 leasing versus purchasing? 18 There was a study done by the company. I 19 believe it was around 1979, 1980. I'd be glad to 20 provide that study as a late-filed exhibit. I'm sure --21 MR. VANDIVER: I think I would like a copy of 22 that, sir. 23 WITNESS CONNER: Certainly. It may have 24 been filed with the '84 case. I can give it to you 25

1 again. CHAIRMAN WILSON: That would be Exhibit 2 3 No. 596. MR. VANDIVER: That would be, 4 "Bonifay-Graceville Cost Benefit Analysis." 5 (Late-Filed Exhibit No. 596 identified.) 6 WITNESS CONNER: Right, it was a study done 7 on those facilities and other facilities in the Eastern 8 9 Division. (By Mr. Vandiver) Also you indicated that 10 Bonifay, Graceville in the past had expressed the need 11 in past franchise negotiations to maintain an office in 12 the city. Do you know if that's still part of your 13 franchise in those cities? 14 Could you rephrase the question or restate 15 the question? Excuse me. 16 Sure. Your counsel just handed out Hearing 17 0 Exhibit No. 152. I think that would be a lot easier 18 for you to look at. And I somewhat inartfully asked 19 you about the second sentence of Gulf Power's answer to 20 that interrogatory. 21 Right. In the franchise negotiations, which 22 I was not involved in, my understanding is that the 23 communities did express a need for the company having 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

an office building in those facilities -- excuse me,

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	s	
1	4	
	5	
	6	
1	7	
ı	d	
ı	9	
2	0	
	1	
	2	
2	3	

24

25

and office building in those communities at the time of the franchise negotiations.

- Q And are you aware if that franchise requirement, if in fact it was a requirement, is still in effect?
- A I don't believe it was ever a requirement.

  I think it was just an expression on their part that
  they have enjoyed excellent customer service from the
  company and that those buildings represent a part of
  that excellent customer service.
- Q I believe Gulf Power's position generally is their service territory is everything west of the Apalachicola River in Florida, is that correct?
  - A I couldn't answer that question.
- Q That's what's been expressed to me by -what I'm getting to is there's probably a zillion
  little towns between the Apalachicola River and
  Pensacola. How do you decide, what's the threshold for
  a little town getting a Gulf Power office? What do you
  have to do? How many folks do you have to have in town
  before you get a Gulf Power drive-through?
- A I would say the first thing you would look at is do you already have an office there. In the case of the Chipley, Graceville and Bonifay facilities, the Chipley office was established in the community when

Gulf Power Company became a company in 1926. The Graceville Facility, or the Graceville office, was opened in 1938. And the Bonifay office, I believe, was opened in 1940. So those offices have always been located, or from my perspective, they've always been located in those towns and have been a part of those communities, and that would be the reasons in those facilities. First thing is they've already got an office there, and we're going to maintain that customer service level. 

Q What about the guy in the little town that doesn't have one and he comes to Gulf Power and he says, "You know, I really would -- I hate driving all the way up to Marianna to pay my bills. I really need one here in Cowtown." How do you all react to that kind of request?

A I'm sure that I would do -- my department would do a study on it, would analyze the number of customers involved, analyze the cost, and look at the need, and determine whether it was a viable project or a customer relations situation that we could communicate with those customers on how could we serve them in methods other than an office in that community.

Q Can you give me the approximate number of customers in Bonifay and Graceville?

1	A Just a minute.
2	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: What's the issue
3	amount?
4	MR. VANDIVER: Excuse me?
5	CHAIRMAN WILSON: What is the total dollar
6	impact of this issue?
7	MR. VANDIVER: This is the last question,
8	not very much.
9	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Just out of curiosity,
10	what's the answer to the question?
11	MR. VANDIVER: 39,000.
12	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: How much?
13	MR. VANDIVER: 39,000.
14	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Okay.
15	WITNESS CONNER: To answer your question,
16	the customers in Bonifay in 1990 are 2,145. In the
17	Graceville community, it's 1,472.
18	MR. VANDIVER: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN WILSON: Do you have a field office
20	in Crestview?
21	WITNESS CONNER: Yes, we do.
22	CHAIRMAN WILSON: How many people there?
23	How many customers there? Do you have that data at
24	hand?
25	WITNESS CONNER: Just a minute. We can get

1	that for you. (Pause.)
2	In Crestview, which is one of the fastest
3	growing areas in the company, it's 8,177 customers in
4	1990.
5	CHAIRMAN WILSON: Does this list that has
6	"occupied buildings" on it basically reflect where you
7	have offices? Obviously you have offices where you
8	have occupied buildings. I wanted to make sure my
9	reasoning was correct on this.
10	MR. HOLLAND: Every building listed there is
11	not an office.
12	CHAIRMAN WILSON: Right.
13	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: That's right. I was
14	just going down through it. You have generating
15	plants; there's other kinds of things. That means I
16	have to do some more math.
17	CHAIRMAN WILSON: How many customers are in
18	DeFuniak Springs there?
19	WITNESS CONNER: Our DeFuniak Springs office
20	in 1990 has 3,753 customers.
21	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: How many in Chiply?
22	WITNESS CONNER: In Chiply it's 4,886
23	customers.
24	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: 23 employees over
25	there?

WITNESS CONNER: In Chiply.

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yes. That's an unusually high number by comparing the others to the number of employees.

witness conner: Commissioner, the Chiply office Line Service Department serves the needs of Bonifay and Graceville also, and it acts like a district office.

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Got you.

- Q (By Mr. Vandiver) That brought to mind another question. When you were talking about customer growth, is there an accepted industry ratio for as customer -- as the number of customers grows, so grows the number of employees for electric utilities?
  - A Not that I'm aware of.
- Q So we couldn't say if you've added 50,000 customers in a certain period of time, a well run utility ought to have X number of employees because of that increased customers?
- A I think there is a correlation between number of customers and number of employees, but I don't think it can be boiled down to one number. It can be just magically applied to them. It would have to be looked at on a case-by-case basis and study all of the factors involved in the facility.

1	Q I'd like to turn now, if I could, to your
2	Business Development Center, and I'd like for you to
3	describe it for me and its purpose.
4	A In the corporate office building in Pensacola
5	we have a Press Conference Room that is used for
6	hurricanes or for updating the press during hurricanes
7	on the status of the Company's restoration efforts.
8	That room also doubles, when we're not having
9	hurricanes, which hopefully we won't have a for a
10	while, as an Economic Development Center. It's a small
11	room.
12	Q 495 square feet, I believe.
13	A 495 square feet. It's designed with a podium
14	so that someone can call members of the press in. They
15	can be updated on the restoration efforts of the
16	Company or other critical matters at the Company.
17	And then when it is not being used for that
18	purpose, our Economic Development Department has used
19	it to present information to prospective people who may
20	be interested in coming to northwest Florida.
21	Q As I understand the amount of investment
22	that's been capitalized for furnishings and equipment
23	of this area it's \$54,098 for 1989. Do you have the
24	projected amount for 1990?

A I don't know of any increases between 1988 --

25

excuse me, between 1989 or 1990, and I would not be 1 able to verify that 1989 number. 2 I would point out, however, that the 3 furnishings in that room serve for press conference 4 meetings, and I'm not sure if there is an incremental 5 cost there for having an Economic Development Center 6 related to furnishings. 7 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Where did you hold that 8 type of activity prior to the construction of the 9 corporate headquarters? 10 WITNESS CONNER: That was a problem. We had 11 a lot of things in our old building that we couldn't do 12 because of the severe lack of space. 13 COMMY SIONER EASLEY: What did you do about 14 the hurricane briefings? 15 WITNESS CONNER: We would run people out of 16 the conference room and tell them we're going to have a 17 press conference there, or -- I was not involved in any 18 of those press conferences, so I'm not sure. But that 19 would have been the only alternative available to the 20 Company in the old building. 21 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: What space available 22 did you use? A conference room in the old building? 23 WITNESS CONNER: Right, we had one conference 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

room in each floor of the old building.

25

1	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: So you just take one of
2	those.
3	WITNESS CONNER: If someone was not already
4	meeting in it.
5	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Thank you.
6	Q So you're not aware of what's included in
7	that \$54,000?
8	A I do not have that with me here on the stand,
9	and I don't have that breakout. If you have a copy of
10	it I could look at it or study it; respond to you on
11	late-filed or however you would like me to handle that.
12	Q I don't think that will be necessary. (Pause)
13	I would like a late-filed on the projected
14	figure on that for 1990, please, sir. It's projected
15	expense on Business Development Center for 1990.
16	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: While everybody is
17	writing that down, let me ask you one more question on
18	that Business Development Center. When you were
19	talking about the uses of it, the tail end of the thing
20	was that you said took place in there, you included a
21	sentence that was something like "and to talk to people
22	who are interested in coming to north Florida."
23	WITNESS CONNER: Northwest Florida.
24	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Northwest Florida. You
25	mean people or you mean businesses?

1	WITNESS CONNER: Businesses.
2	MR. VANDIVER: Mr. Conner, I'm going to go
3	ahead and show you
4	MR. VANDIVER: That is the capitalized and
5	the expense amount in that category.
6	CHAIRMAN WILSON: That will be 597.
7	MR. PRUITT: That's correct.
8	(Late-Filed Exhibit No. 597 identified.)
9	Q (By Mr. Vandiver) Mr. Conner, I'm going to
10	go ahead and show you what I am reading from, which are
11	interrogatories in the tax docket, which may make this
12	go a little bit faster.
13	A Okay.
14	Q I'm jist curious what function the VCRs and
15	laser disks serve in your hurricane warning, or is that
16	exclusively for the economic development piece?
17	A Excuse me just a minute. Let me look at
18	something.
19	Q Certainly.
20	A I believe the laser disk players and VCRs
21	that you referenced are used with the economic
22	development efforts. I don't know that there would be
23	any restrictions in using with hurricanes, but they
24	were not installed for that purpose.
25	Q They weren't bought for that, okay.

1	Where is the center included in your exhibit
2	ECC-1 to your direct testimony? I believe you said it
3	was on the first floor.
4	A I believe it would be item under "Allocated
5	Space Breakdown for the First Floor, " the common area
6	that includes lobby of 12,609 square feet is where that
7	item would be included.
8	Q Okay. In the tax docket the Company removed
9	expenses associated with the area in economic
10	development. Do you know whether the expenses were
11	removed in this case?
12	A I do not.
13	Q Would you accept, subject to check, that they
14	were not removed?
15	A I couldn't respond to that because I'm just
16	not sure whether they were or were not.
17	Q Are there dollars projected for land
18	purchases at the corporate office site that have not
19	yet been spent?
20	A Yes, there are.
21	Q How much?
22	A Subject to check we have approximately
23	\$400,000 in the budget for 1990.
24	Q Is that to buy the new parking spaces?
25	A Could you repeat that, please?

1	Q Is that to buy the new packing area?
2	A That's to buy the land to support the parking
3	required for the building by city ordinances.
4	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Let me ask you a
5	question about that. How many acres does that involve?
6	20-something acres?
7	WITNESS CONNER: The total project is 23.9
8	acres to support the building and the parking needs of
9	the facility through the Year 2010.
10	COMMISSIONGER GUNTER: All right. How many
11	parking places is that?
12	WITNESS CONNER: The City Code requires I
13	believe it's 1,200 parking spaces for the total
14	project. The Ciry has not required us to put in all of
15	those parking spaces. We went through site-specific
16	zoning on the project when it was approved by the City,
17	and they allowed us just to install just the parking
18	spaces that were needed for the employees at this point
19	in time, and then as employees are added, we will make
20	the further investment in more parking.
21	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: You have one parking
22	place per employee.
23	WITNESS CONNER: Commissioner, I need to
24	check that ratio for you. There are 712 total parking
25	spaces at the building, and I believe that when you

subtract out the visitor's parking, and the parking for 1 Company vehicles, it is probably pretty close to one 2 3 per employee. COMMISSIONER GUNTER: All right. And you're 4 talking 1,200 parking places as a requirement? 5 WITNESS CONNER: That's correct. I can give 6 you that number now. It's actually 439 employee 7 parking spaces at the building today. The number of 8 employees working in that building or approximately 480 9 to 490, and so it would be less than the number. They 10 each get to park each day because of the diversity 11 12 factor of employees on vacation. COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Well, the figure you've 13 given us on Exhibit 559 said you have 410 employees, 14 not 480. That's in 1990. 15 WITNESS CONNER: Excuse me. (Pause) 16 Commissioner, that number I believe, subject 17 to check, includes full-time employees from a buildings 18 perspective and a facility management perspective. I 19 also have to provide parking space for co-ops and 20 temporaries and other employees who may be working in 21 the building that are probably not included in that 22 number. 23 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Well, that poses a 24 interesting question then. That would say that you

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

1	have in excess of 1,625 employees, is that right?
2	WITNESS CONNER: I believe 1625 is the
3	correct number of full-time employees at the company
4	and
5	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Well, if we're looking
6	at space utilization and we ask for total employees,
7	then when we get to dollars, we'd probably need another
8	list of, you know, there's an addendum to 559. We'll
9	probably need another list of part-time employees.
LO	MR. HOLLAND: Mr. Gunter, let me
11	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Let me be sure I
12	understood.
L 3	MR. HOLLAND: All right.
14	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: You just said the 1625
15	showing is the total on this exhibit is the total
16	number of full-time equivalents full-time employees?
١7	WITNESS CONNER: Commissioner, that is the
18	1990 budgeted.
19	MR. HOLLAND: That's not the number of actual
20	full-time employees and it doesn't include the
21	temporaries. And if you want a breakdown as of actual
22	as of a certain date, I'm sure we can give you that.
23	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: All right. I think you
4	probably need to for the purposes that we need to look
5	at this. Because showing it this way and saying as of

1	6-15-90, occupied buildings and number of employees,
2	I'm afraid the conclusion you'd have to draw is that
3	these are the people who are physically occupying the
4	building as 6-15-90.
5	MR. HOLLAND: We're going to put the
6	investment associated with it. We'll just add we'll
7	change the number of employees
8	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Add actual employees.
9	MR. HOLLAND: actual, temporaries and
10	part-time.
11	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yeah. Break it down to
12	full-time, temporary and part-time.
13	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: And I hate to put you
14	to this effort, Lut you're going to have to do the same
15	thing for '84.
16	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yes. There's no
17	comparison, otherwise.
18	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Because then you're
19	really talking apples and oranges if you don't have the
20	date.
21	MR. HOLLAND: Do you want it year-end '84?
22	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: No, take the same date.
23	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: The same way that you
24	have done it for 559. You have to have the same
25	information for both time periods. If that's different

1	information, all of a sudden there's no comparison.
2	MR. HOLLAND: I understand.
3	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: That's like comparing
4	dollars to pesos, somehow they don't fit.
5	Now, let me finish my question.
6	CHAIRMAN WILSON: That would be Late-Filed
7	Exhibit 598?
8	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Yeah, you'd better do
9	that.
10	MR. HOLLAND: You want a different one?
11	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Yeah.
12	MR. HOLLAND: We'll stick with this one, all
13	right.
14	(Late-riled Exhibit No. 598 identified.)
15	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: How many acres do you
16	have in use with the 712 parking spaces you have today?
17	I'll tell you what I'm leading you up to. I went out
18	here and I walked down, stepped off how big that
19	parking lot is behind the Civic Center, how many
20	parking places are out there, and I can tell you how
21	many square feet out there. And I got kind of alarmed
22	at 20 plus acres for parking, because you can put a
23	whole lot of cars in 20 acres.
24	WITNESS CONNER: Commissioner, you can put a
25	lot of cars in 20 acres. The project we have in

Pensacola is located within the Gateway Redevelopment
District, which has some restrictions on land
utilization.

It has an impervious surface ratio that requires that no more than 75% of the land be covered with a impervious surface, or another way to say that is that 25% of it be left open. And so that affects the number of parking spaces that we can get. And from our calculations, it works out to 23.9 acres to support the long-term needs of the project and provide 1200 parking spaces.

Another factor that would influence that would be we would probably could have gotten more parking spaces ': we'd cut all the trees down, but we didn't do that.

COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Don't say that in Tallahassee, people will run up and chain themselves to you. In lack of chaining themselves to a tree, they'll chain themselves to you.

wanted to proserve those trees. Some of them have been there hundreds of years, beautiful live oaks and we feel like we've got a plan that enhances the beautiful trees that were already there, meets all the city codes and ordinances and will serve the long-term needs of

our Company.

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Let me ask you one more, as long as we've got this whole line of questioning interrupted. It seems to me I recall, either from the first service hearings that were held under the original rate case, wasn't there a plan when you built the corporate building to rent out part of it to other businesses or other tenants not related to the Utility?

witness conner: Commissioner, we did look at that. The plan was to provide growth space so that we didn't have to go into another construction cycle. And one of the alternatives was to lease out some of that space.

We elected, in lieu of going with that alternative, to use the space to offset construction of a building maintenance facility, which would have supported my operation in Pensacola in General Services. And we're using --

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: You moved something else in instead?

witness conner: -- that future third floor to support the building maintenance facility. It's fortunate we chose to do that because the lease market in Pensacola has been extremely poor.

At the time that we were studying that in 1982, Daniel Realty came in and looked at the Pensacola market and told us that you may be able to support as many as 40,000 square feet of lease space from the market if you go and get it within the Pensacola market, but that Pensacola was not at that point in time a big draw for outside people coming in.

They said under no conditions would it support more than 100,000 square feet of lease space in the market.

Since we had that study done, there have been three major office buildings that went in in the Pensacola market, two of which I believe have gone bankrupt. They had vacancies of about 80 to 90,000 square feet of vacant space even as late as last year.

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: When did you move into the corporate building? When was that decision taken?

WITNESS CONNER: When was --

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: When did they decide not to lease out the space and when did you move in?

WITNESS CONNER: We moved in in March of 1987. During the planning in 1984, we made the decision to go ahead and utilize that floor for purposes other than leasing.

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Am I -- I'm sorry.

WITNESS CONNER: I'm through. 1 2 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Am I remembering incorrectly then from the 1989 period that you had one 3 or two floors that were still totally unoccupied and 5 totally unused? WITNESS CONNER: Commissioner, all of the 6 building is used today. The third floor of the 7 building does not have office space built in it now and 8 it's used to store my building maintenance facility, my 9 furniture storage, my shop. And that is in lieu of 10 building a building to accomplish that. 11 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Got you, thank you. 12 MR. HOLLAND: Commissioner Easley, let me add 13 one thing. I'm not even sure that Mr. Conners is aware 14 of it. There's a serious question today under the 15 Holding Company Act as to whether Gulf Power Company 16 could in fact sublease any space to any third party. 17 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I was just trying to 18 clear up my own memory of this because I wasn't sure I 19 was remembering correctly. Thank you. 20 (By Mr. Vandiver) Mr. Conner, relative to the 21 \$400,000 that's in the 1990 rate case to buy this 22 additional land for the parking spaces, where are you 23

in those negotiations?

24

25

That's something that's handled by our Land

1	Department and I don't stay in touch with them every
2	day. But we're to the point in the project that we
3	have obtained most of the land that we need to support
4	parking and we don't have to pursue it as aggressively
5	as we did when we first went after the project. And we
6	anticipate that we will be able to acquire all of those
7	properties by year end.
8	Q So it will all take place in 1990?
9	A That's my understanding. As we met with the
10	Staff and reviewed their questions on that when they
11	were in Pensacola, we explained to them that that's a
12	fluctuating thing, it's looked at twice a year at both
13	the February budget revisions and the October budget
14	revisions. A-1 that is our current feeling on it.
15	Q As I understand the third floor, it's
16	basically a shell right now and later on you all can
17	convert it to offices at some future time, is that
18	correct?
19	A That is correct. The third floor is designed
20	to be a duplicate of the fourth floor and to be
21	converted to office space identical to the fourth
22	floor.
23	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Let me ask one question
24	about the land just for a second.

For acquisition of land for parking for an

25

1	office building, I realize you're not in the Land
2	Department, but does the Utility have the authority to
3	condemn land for those purposes? Is it condemnation or
4	trying to negotiate folks to sell it to you?
5	WITNESS CONNER: Commissioner, we did not
6	condemn any land.
7	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Okay.
8	WITNESS CONNER: I would have to defer to my
9	legal counsel on whether we had that authority or not.
10	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: I didn't think that
11	there would be, I thought that the Utility had some
12	condemnation authority to deliver electric service but
13	not for these purposes.
14	MR. HOLLAND: I'm not sure it would stretch
15	that far.
16	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Yeah, okay
17	Q (By Mr. Vandiver) And this are you all
18	through? I'm sorry.
19	CHAIRMAN WILSON: Yes, go ahead.
20	Q And this third floor is presently used as a
21	warehouse, is that correct?
22	A The third floor is used as a combination for
23	several things. It's used to house my furniture
24	storage company-wide, it's used as a shop for my
25	building maintenance function which reports to me, it

also serves to support some of the storage needs of
departments that may have in the past gone out and
leased warehouse space to store some of their
departmental needs. It's a multi-function floor that
is meeting the needs of our customers in postponing
investment in a facility to meet those needs.
Q Do you store anything at all on that floor
related to the Appliance Division?
A Not to my knowledge.
Q Nothing?
A Not to my knowledge.
MR. VANDIVER: No further questions.
CHAIRMAN WILSON: Questions?
COMMIJSIONER GUNTER: No. I'm going to save
my questions. And if Mr. Conner comes back up on
rebuttal, that will be an adequate opportunity for us
to explore some areas I'd like to explore.
COMMISSIONER EASLEY: We will have that
late-filed by that time?
CHAIRMAN WILSON: We'll have that late-filed
by then?
WITNESS CONNER: We'll work it up.
MR. HOLLAND: Yes.
CHAIRMAN WILSON: All right, good.
Okay, all of his exhibits are either

1	stipulated or late-filed.
2	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Except the last one.
3	CHAIRMAN WILSON: What last one? Oh yes,
4	that's
5	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: 559.
6	CHAIRMAN WILSON: No, 559 was earlier. This
7	was a late-filed.
8	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: That was a late-filed
9	MR. STONE: Commissioners, I have just one
10	question on redirect.
11	CHAIRMAN WILSON: All right.
12	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. STONE:
14	Q Mr. Conner, would the facility that Mr.
15	Vandiver referred to as the business development
16	center would it also be utilized by the Company for
17	any weather-related emergency and the public
18	communications needs associated with such a weather-
19	related emergency?
20	A Yes, it would.
21	Q Would that include a cold weather emergency
22	such as that endured by the Company over this past
23	Christmas break?
24	A It certainly would be.
25	MR. STONE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WILSON: Okay. You may be excused, thank you. (Witness Conner excused.) We will -- is there anything we need to do further this afternoon? No? Good. All right then we will resume Monday morning at 8:30. Thank you. (Thereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 5:35 p.m., to reconvene at 8:30 a.m., Monday, June 18, 1990, at same location.)