BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost) DOCKET NO. 900001-EI Recovery Clause and Generating) ORDER NO. 23394 Performance Incentive Factor.) ISSUED: 8-22-90

Pursuant to Notice, a Prehearing Conference was held on August 6, 1990, in Tallahassee, before Commissioner Betty Easley, Prehearing Officer.

APPEARANCES:

192

JAMES A. McGEE, Esquire, Florida Power Corporation, P.O. Box 14042, St. Petersburg, Florida 33733 On behalf of Florida Power Corporation

MATTHEW M. CHILDS, P.A., Steel Hector & Davis, 215 South Monroe, Suite 601, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1804 On behalf of Florida Power & Light Company

ROBERT S. GOLDMAN, Esquire, Messer, Vickers, Caparello, French, Madsen & Lewis, P.O. Box 1876, Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876 On behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

G. EDISON HOLLAND, JR., Esquire, and JEFFREY A. STONE, Esquire, Beggs & Lane, 700 Blount Building, 3 West Garden Street, P.O. Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida 32576-2950 On behalf of Gulf Power Company

LEE L. WILLIS, Esquire, and JAMES D. BEASLEY, Esquire, Ausley, McMullen, McGehee, Carothers and Proctor, P.O. Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302 On behalf of Tampa Electric Company

VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, Esquire, Lawson, McWhirter, Grandoff and Reeves, 522 East Park Ave., Suite 200, Tallahassee, Florida 32301 On behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group

JOHN ROGER HOWE, Esquire, Assistant Public Counsel, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 W. Madison Street, Suite 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 On behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 07588 AUG 22 1950 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

> MARSHA E. RULE, Esquire, ROBERT V. ELIAS, Esquire, Florida Public Service Commission, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0863 On behalf of the Commission Staff

> PRENTICE P. PRUITT, Esquire, Office of the General Counsel, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0861 Counsel to the Commissioners

PREHEARING ORDER

Background

As part of the continuing fuel and energy conservation cost recovery proceedings, a hearing is set for August 22 - 24, 1990, in this docket and in Dockets Nos. 900002-EG and 900003-GU. The following subjects were noticed for hearing in such dockets:

- Determination of the Proposed Levelized Fuel Adjustment Factors for all investor-owned utilities for the period October, 1990 through March, 1991;
- 2. Determination of the Estimated Fuel Adjustment True-Up Amounts for all investor-owned electric utilities for the period April, 1990 through September, 1990, which are to be based on actual data for the period April, 1990 through May, 1990, and revised estimates for the period June, 1990 through September, 1990;
- 3. Determination of the Final Fuel Adjustment True-Up Amounts for all investor-owned electric utilities for the period October, 1989 through March, 1990, which are to be based on actual data for that period;
- Determination of the Projected Conservation Cost Recovery Factors for certain investor-owned electric and gas utilities for the period October, 1990 through March, 1991;

- 5. Determination of the Estimated Conservation True-Up Amounts for certain investor-owned electric and gas utilities for the period April, 1990 through September, 1990, which are to be based on actual data for the period April, 1990 through May, 1990, and revised estimates for the period June, 1990 through September, 1990;
- Determination of the Final Conservation True-Up Amounts for certain investor-owned electric and gas utilities for the period October, 1989 through March, 1990, which are to be based on actual data for that period;
- 7. Determination of any Projected Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factors for the period October, 1990 through March, 1991, for the cost of approved oil backout projects to be recovered pursuant to the provisions of Rule 25-17.16, Florida Administrative Code;
- 8. Determination of the Estimated Oil Backout Cost Recovery True-Up Factors for the period April, 1990 through September, 1990, for the costs of approved oil backout projects to be recovered pursuant to the provisions of Rule 25-17.16, Florida Administrative Code, which are to be based on actual data for the period April, 1990 through May, 1990, and revised estimates for the period June, 1990 through September, 1990;
- Determination of the Final Oil Backout True-Up Amounts for the period October, 1989 through March, 1990, which are to be based on actual data for that period;
- Determination of Generating Performance Incentive Factor Targets and Ranges for the period October, 1990 through March, 1991;
- Determination of Generating Performance Incentive Factor Rewards and Penalties for the period October, 1989 through March, 1990; and

> 12. Determination of the Purchased Gas Adjustment True-Up Amounts for the period October, 1989 through March, 1990, to be recovered during the period September, 1990 through March, 1991.

Use of Prefiled Testimony

All testimony which has been prefiled in this case will be inserted into the record as though read after the witness has taken the stand and affirmed the correctness of the testimony and exhibits, unless there is a sustainable objection. All testimony remains subject to appropriate objections. Each witness will have the opportunity to orally summarize his testimony at the time he or she takes the stand.

Use of Depositions and Interrogatories

If any party seeks to introduce an interrogatory or a deposition, or a portion thereof, the request will be subject to proper objections and the appropriate evidentiary rules will govern. The parties will be free to utilize any exhibits requested at the time of the depositions, subject to the same conditions.

Order of Witnesses

The witness schedule is set forth below in order of appearance by the witness' name, subject matter, and the issues which will be covered by his or her testimony.

Witnesses whose names are preceded by an asterisk (*) have been excused. The parties have stipulated that the testimony of such witnesses will be inserted into the record as though read, and cross-examination will be waived.

Witness	Subject Matter	Issues
(Direct)		
1. J.R. Rowe (TECO)	Confidentiality of Documents	14
2. W.N. Cantrell (TECO)	Market Price Benchmark Analysis	7j, 7k, 71, 7m, 7n, 7o, 7p, 7q
3. K.H. Wieland (FPC)	Fuel adjustment true-up and projections	1-7, 7c, 9a
*4. W.C. Micklon (FPC)	GPIF - Reward/Penalty and Targets/Ranges	8, 9
*5. G.L. Whiting (FPL)	Fuel Adjustment, True- Up and Projections	3,4
*6. D.L. Babka (FPL)	Fuel Adjustment, True- Up and Projections	1-3
*7. K.M. Dubin (FPL)	Fuel Adjustment, True- Up and Projections	4-7
8. R. Silva (FPL)	Fuel Adjustment, True- Up and Projections	8
9. J.K. Hays (FPL)	Fuel Adjustment, True- Up and Projections	9
10.M.J. Barrios (FPL)	GPIF, reward/penalty and targets/ranges	10, 11

** The testimony and exhibits of this witness have been stipulated by the parties, but the witness will be available at hearing to answer questions regarding Issue 9a.

*ll.D.L. Babka (FPL)	Oil Backout, True-up and Projections	10-13
*12.S.S. Waters (FPL)	Oil Backout, True-Up and Projections	10-13

Witness	Subject Matter	Issues
*13.Bachman (FPUC)	Purchased power cost recovery; true- up (Marianna and Fernandina Beach)	1-4, 6, 7
*14.D. Ranney (Gulf)	Fuel adjustment, true-up and projections	1-4, 6, 7
15. M.L.Gilchrist (Gulf)	Fuel adjustment, true-up and projections	1-3

** The testimony and exhibits of this witness have been stipulated by the parties, but the witness will be available at hearing to answer questions regarding fuel forecasts.

16.G.D. Fontaine	GPIF, reward/penalty and	8, 9, 9a
(Gulf)	targets and ranges	

** The testimony and exhibits of this witness have been stipulated by the parties, but the witness will be available at hearing to answer questions regarding Issue 9a.

*17.M.W. Howell (Gulf)	none		
*18.J.E. Mulder (TECO)	Fuel Adjustment, True-up and Projections	1-4, 6, 7, 7a	
*19.G.A. Keselowsky (TECO)	8,9		
*20.R.F. Tomczak (TECO)	Oil Backout Cost Recovery True-Up and Projections	10 - 13	
*21.A.D. Remmers (TECO)	Oil Backout Cost Recovery True-Up and Projections	10 - 13	

EXHIBIT LIST

The parties have stipulated that exhibits marked with an asterisk (*) will be inserted into the record by agreement.

	Exhibit	Witness	Description
	(KHW-)	Wieland (FPC)	True-up: Variance Analysis,Schedules Al - Al3
	(KHW-)	Wieland (FPC)	Projections: Fore- cast Assumptions (Parts A-C), Schedules El - Ell Hl and COG
*	(WCM-)	Micklon (FPC)	Reward/Penalty: Standard Form GPIF Schedules
*	(WCM-)	Micklon (FPC)	Targets/Ranges: Standard Form GPIF Schedules
*	(DLB-)	Babka (FPL)	Levelized Fuel Cost Recovery Final True-Up Oct. 1989 through March 1990, Schedules Al-Al3
*	(MB-)	Barrios (FPL)	GPIF - Document 1 Oct. 1989 through March 1990
*	(DLB/SSW-)	Babka Waters (FPL)	Levelized Oil-Backout Cost Recovery True- up, Oct. 1989 through March 1990, Oil Backout Schedules

	Exhibit	Witness	Description
*	(RS/GLW/ DLB/KMD-)	Silva Whiting Babka Dubin (FPL)	Levelized Fuel Recovery Factor, Oct. 1990 - March 1990, Schedules Al-Al3, April 1990- May 1990, Schedules El-Ell and Hl, Oct. 1990 - March 1991
*	(MB-)	Barrios (FPL)	GPIF - Document 1 Oct. 1990 through March 1990
*	(DLB/SSW-)	Babka Waters (FPL)	Levelized Oil-Backout Cost Recovery Factor Oct. 1990 through March 1991, Oil Backout Schedules (Appendix 1 and 2)
*	(GMB-1) (composite)	Bachman (FPUC)	Schedules E, El, Elb, E2, E4, E8, El0, Ell, Hl & Ml; (Marianna Division) Schedules E, El, Elb, E2, E4, E8, E8A, El0, Ell, Hl & Fl; (Fernandina Beach Division)
*	(DR-1)	Ranney (Gulf)	True-up Calculation; Contract Recovery Calculation
*	(DR-2)	Ranney (Gulf)	Schedules E-1 through Ell; 12; H-1; and A-1 through A-2, Dec. 1989 through May 1990
*	(MLG-1)	Gilchrist (Gulf)	Coal Suppliers Oct. 1989 through March, 1990
*	(MLG-2)	Gilchrist (Gulf)	Projected vs. Actual Fuel Cost

ORDER NO.	23394
DOCKET NO.	900001-EI
PAGE 9	

	Exhibit	Witness	Description
*	(GDF-1)	Fontaine (Gulf)	GPIF Results Schedules
*	(GDF-2)	Fontaine (Gulf)	GPIF Targets and Ranges
*	(JEM-1)	Mulder (TECO)	Levelized Fuel Cost Recovery Final True-up, Oct. 1989 - March 1990
*	(JEM-2)	Mulder (TECO)	Fuel Adjustment Projection Oct. 1990 -March 1991
*	(GAK-1)	Keselowsky (TECO)	Levelized Fuel Cost Generating Performance Incentive Factor Results, Oct. 1989 - March 1990
*	(GAK-2)	Keselowsky (TECO)	GPIF Targets and Ranges for Oct. 1990 - March 1991
*	(RFT/ADR-1)	Tomczak Remmers (TECO)	Schedules Supporting Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor - Actual, Oct. 1989 March 1990
*	(RFT/ADR-2)	Tomczak Remmers (TECO)	Schedules Supporting Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor, Oct. 1990 - March 1991
*	(RFT/ADR-3)	Tomczak Remmers (TECO)	Gannon Conversion Project Comparison of Projected Payoff with Original Estimate as of May 1990

PARTIES' STATEMENTS OF BASIC POSITIONS

It is not necessary for parties to provide a statement of basic position on generic, recurring issues. Parties who elected not to provide a statement of basic position are indicated by "N/A".

Florida Power Corporation (FPC):

Florida Power Corporation's basic position is that its fuel cost factors and GPIF targets and ranges for the projection period have been properly calculated in accordance with the methods prescribed by the Commission based on reasonable projections, and that its fuel cost factors incorporate a true-up balance of prudently incurred actual costs, and a GPIF incentive amount derived from actual generation performance data in a manner consistent with the Commission's GPIF Implementation Manual. Accordingly, FPC's fuel cost factors and GPIF targets and ranges should be approved.

Florida Power & Light Company (FPL):

Florida Power & Light Company requests this Commission to approve 2.182 cents per kwh as its levelized fuel recovery charge for non-time differentiated rates and 2.354 cents per kwh and 2.114 cents per kwh as its levelized fuel cost recovery charges for the on-peak and off-peak periods respectively as its time differentiated rates, and to approve a charge of .569 cents per kwh as its oil backout cost recovery factor, all charges being for the October 1990 through March, 1991 billing period effective starting with meter readings scheduled to be read on or after October 1, 1990 through March, 1991, and to continue these charges in effect until modified by subsequent order of this Commission. FPL also requests this Commission to approve the proposed generation Performance Incentive Factor (GPIF) targets of 74.2% for weighted system equivalent availability and 9988 BTU/kwh for weighted system average net operating heat rate for the period October 1, 1990 through March 31, 1991.

Florida Public Utilities Company (FPUC):

The Commission should approve Florida Public Utilities Company's calculation of its fuel adjustment projections and true-up calculations, including the proposed fuel adjustment factors of 2.906 ¢/kwh (Marianna) and 4.967 ¢/kwh (Fernandina Beach) before adjustment for demand cost recovery and line losses.

Gulf Power Company (Gulf):

It is the basic position of Gulf Power Company that the proposed Fuel factors present the best estimate of Gulf's Fuel expense for the period October, 1990 through March, 1991, including the true-up calculations, GPIF and other adjustments allowed by the Commission.

Tampa Electric Company (TECO):

The Commission should approve Tampa Electric's calculation of its fuel adjustment, GPIF, and oil backout cost recovery true-up calculations and projections, including the proposed fuel adjustment factor of 2.579 cents per KWH before application of factors which adjust for variation in line losses; a GPIF penalty of \$89,000; and an oil backout cost recovery factor of .154 cents per KWH.

Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG):

FIPUG's positions are set out under the individual issues.

The Office of Public Counsel (OPC):

Only prudent and reasonably incurred fuel costs should be allowed cost recovery.

Gulf Power Company should be ordered to make the appropriate adjustments to remove all costs (and revenues) related to the 198 railcars disallowed cost recovery by the Commission vote at the February 1990 hearing. This adjustment should be made for prior periods with interest.

202

203

ORDER NO. 23394 DOCKET NO. 900001-EI PAGE 12

Tampa Electric Company should be ordered to justify its entering into new contracts with Gatliff and TECO Transport and Trade without bid solicitations, at higher prices than the pre-existing cost-plus agreements, at significantly higher tonnage commitments.

Florida Power Corporation should be ordered to refund certain amounts charged to its customers for replacement fuel costs attributed to outages at the Crystal River #3 nuclear unit during the period November 1988 through 1989. Refunds should be ordered to the extent FPC is unable, by a preponderance of evidence, to establish that its replacement fuel costs were rudent in amount and did not result from imprudent management of Crystal River 3.

Staff:

None at this time.

STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

Stipulated issues are indicated with an asterisk (*).

Generic Fuel Adjustment Issues

 <u>ISSUE:</u> What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period October, 1989 through March, 1990?

The parties have agreed to all true-up amounts, subject to adjustment for Commission vote on specific issues herein which affect FPC and TECO.

<u>Staff</u>: (Items not in dispute are indicated with an asterisk.)

*FPC:	Agree wi	th utility:	\$ 6,535,708	underrecovery.
*FPL:	Agree wi	th utility:	\$ 3,551,192	underrecovery.
*FPUC:	Agree wi	th utility:	\$ 196,386	overrecovery. (Marianna).
	(Fernand	dina Beach)	\$ 96,385	overrecovery.

> Agree with utility: \$ 2,802,753 underrecovery. *GULF: *TECO: Agree with utility: \$ 976,475 underrecovery. \$6,535,708 under-recovery (Wieland) FPC: \$3,551,192 underrecovery. (Babka) FPL: \$ 196,386 overrecovery (Marianna). FPUC: 96,385 overrecovery (Fernandina Beach). \$ (Bachman) \$2,802,753 underrecovery. (Ranney) GULF: TECO: \$ 976,475 underrecovery. (Mulder) FIPUG: No position. OPC: FPC: Agree with company, adjusted for Commission vote on specific issues. FPL: Agree with company. FPUC: Fernandina: Agree with company. Agree with company. Marianna: GULF: Agree with company. TECO: Agree with company, adjusted for Commission vote on specific issues.

2. ISSUE: What are the estimated fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period April, 1990 through September, 1990?

The parties have agreed to all true-up amounts, subject to adjustment for Commission vote on specific issues herein which affect FPC and TECO.

<u>Staff</u>: (Items not in dispute are indicated with an asterisk.)

*FPC: Agree with utility: \$ 5,063,719 underrecovery. *FPL: Agree with utility: \$15,453,797 underrecovery.

	*FPUC:	Agree	with	utility:	\$ 104,53	2 underrecovery
						(Marianna).
						5 underrecovery
			i i e stat	and states in the	(Fernandin	
	*GULF:			utility:		8 overrecovery.
	* <u>TECO</u> :	Agree	with	utility:	\$ 7,879,22	5 underrecovery.
FPC:	\$ 5,	063,719	under	recovery.	(Wieland)	
FPL:	\$15,	453,797	under	recovery.	(Babka)	
FPUC:	\$	104,532	under	recovery	(Marianna).	
					(Fernandina	Beach).
	(Bachm	an)				
GULF:	\$ 3,	250,788	over	ecovery.	(Ranney)	
TECO:	\$ 7,	879,225	under	recovery.	(Mulder)	
FIPUC	: No	position	h .			
OPC:						
	FPC:	Agree w	ith c	ompany, a	djusted for	Commission vote
		cific is				
		Agree w			h company.	
					h company.	
		Agree w			n company.	
					diusted for	Commission vote
		cific is				
	ou ope			이 이 이 같은 것 같아.		

3. <u>ISSUE:</u> What are the total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected during the period October, 1990 through March, 1991?

The parties have agreed to true-up amounts for FPC, FPUC, GULF, and TECO, subject to adjustment for Commission vote on specific issues herein which affect FPC and TECO. FIPUG may dispute FPL's true-up amount.

206

<u>Staff</u>: (Items not in dispute are indicated with an asterisk.)

*FPC: Agree with utility: \$11,599,427 underrecovery. FPL: Agree with utility: \$19,004,989 underrecovery. *FPUC: Agree with utility: \$ 91,854 overrecovery. (Marianna) \$ 11,270 overrecovery.

(Fernandina Beach)
*GULF: Agree with utility. \$4,619,551 overrecovery
*TECO: Agree with utility: \$8,855,700 underrecovery

FPC: \$11,599,427 underrecovery. (Wieland)

FPL: \$19,004,989 underrecovery. (Babka)

FPUC: \$ 91,854 overrecovery. (Marianna).
\$ 11,270 overrecovery. (Fernandina Beach).
(Bachman)

GULF: \$ 4,619,551 overrecovery. (Ranney)

TECO: \$ 8,855,700 underrecovery. (Mulder)

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position on the utilities other than FPL. As to FPL, FIPUG currently has no position but reserves the right to take a position pending evidence on FPL's projected oil costs and its voluntary removal of Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 from service in November.

OPC:

FPC: Agree with company, adjusted for Commission vote on specific issues.

FPL: Agree with company.

FPUC: Fernandina: Agree with company.

Marianna: Agree with company.

GULF: Agree with company.

TECO: Agree with company, adjusted for Commission vote on specific issues.

4. <u>ISSUE:</u> What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period October, 1990, through March, 1991?

The parties have agreed to true-up amounts for FPC, FPUC, GULF, and TECO, subject to adjustment for Commission vote on specific issues herein which affect FPC and TECO. FIPUG may dispute FPL's true-up amount.

Staff: (Items not in dispute are indicated with an asterisk.)

*<u>FPC</u>: Agree with utility. <u>FPL</u>: Agree with utility. *<u>FPUC</u>: Agree with utility. *<u>GULF</u>: Agree with utility. *<u>TECO</u>: Agree with utility.

FPC: See attachment A. (Wieland)

FPL: 2.182 cents/kwh is the levelized recovery charge for non-time differentiated rates and 2.354 cents/kwh and 2.114 cents/kwh are the levelized fuel recovery charges for the on-peak and off-peak periods, respectively, for the differentiated rates. (Dubin)

FPUC: 2.906 cents/kwh (Marianna).

4.967 cents/kwh (Fernandina Beach).

(Bachman) These factors are calculated to include true-up and revenue tax, exclude demand cost recovery, and have not been adjusted for line losses (See Issue 7).

GULF: 2.167 cents/kwh. (Ranney)

TECO: 2.579 cents/kwh before application of the factors which adjust for variations in line losses. (Mulder)

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position on the utilities other than FPL. As to FPL, FIPUG currently has no position but reserves the right to take a position pending evidence on FPL's projected oil costs and its voluntary removal of Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 from service in November.

OPC:

FPC: Agree with company, adjusted for Commission vote on specific issues.

FPL: Agree with company, adjusted for Commission vote on specific issues.

FPUC: Fernandina: Agree with company.

Marianna: Agree with company.

<u>GULF</u>: The appropriate adjustments should be made to remove 198 of the Plant Daniel railcars from recoverable expenses as the Commission ordered at the February 1990 fuel hearing. This adjustment should include appropriate refunds for prior periods with interest.

TECO: Agree with company, adjusted for Commission vote on specific issues.

*5. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE</u>: What should be the effective date of the new fuel adjustment charge, oil backout charge and conservation cost recovery charge for billing purposes?

The factor should be effective beginning with the specified fuel cycle and thereafter for the period October, 1990, through March, 1991. Billing cycles may start before October 1, 1990, and the last cycle may be read after March 31, 1991, so that each customer is billed for six months regardless of when the adjustment factor became effective.

*6. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE</u>: What are the appropriate fuel recovery loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class?

The appropriate fuel recovery loss multipliers are listed in Attachment A hereto.

*7. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE</u>: What are the appropriate Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for each rate group adjusted for line losses?

The appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate group, adjusted for line losses, are shown in Attachment A hereto. Unless the Commission objects, this issue may be omitted from future hearings as it is a "fall out" issue.

Company-Specific Fuel Adjustment Issues

Florida Power Corporation

- 7a. (This issue will not be heard during the August, 1990 hearing, and will be set for hearing at a later date.) <u>ISSUE</u>: Is it appropriate for FPC to recover replacement fuel cost for the Crystal River Unit 3 outages? (OPC -This issue was deferred from August 1989 hearing.)
- 7b. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the proper amount of FPC's Billing Credit True-Up based on the flow-through of unprotected excess deferred taxes in accordance with Commission Order No. 18627? (FPC)

STAFF: No position at this time.

FPC: In accordance with Commission Order No. 18627 in Docket No. 870220-EI (the company's last rate case), a Billing Credit True-Up charge of \$1,614,295 has been included in the calculation of the company's levelized fuel cost factors to recover an excess flow-though of certain "unprotected" deferred income taxes in calendar years 1988 and 1989. Order No. 18627 specifies that any difference between the predetermined amount of deferred taxes and the amount of the actual flow-through "shall be subject to true-up by including the difference (either debit or credit) with interest in FPC's fuel costs recovery charge." (Wieland)

FIPUG: No position.

OPC: No position.

Florida Power & Light Company

7c. <u>ISSUE</u>: Is it appropriate for FPL to recover for the cost associated with the Orimulsion Test through the Fuel Adjustment Clause? (FPL)

STAFF: Agree with FPL.

> FPL: Yes. Assuming that the test proves that Orimulsion can be used economically in the FPL system, FPL's customers will receive the following benefits once the units are permanently converted to use Orimulsion: 1) Lower total energy costs; 2) A reduction in dependence on oil and natural gas, and; 3) An increase in the overall diversity of the fuel supply in the FPL system, which reduces the risk of dependence on any particular fuel. FPL's customers would see these benefits in a lower fuel cost recovery charge after the units are permanently converted to use Orimulsion. Recovery of the costs of the Orimulsion tests through the Fuel Adjustment Clause would be consistent with the treatment granted by the Commission in Docket No. 790898-EU, Order No. 9224 for costs incurred in testing Coal-Oil Mixture at the Sanford Plant in 1981 and 1982. (Silva)

FIPUG: No position.

<u>OPC</u>: No. Research and development costs are not appropriate costs for recovery through the fuel cost recovery clause. These costs should be recovered through base rates.

Tampa Electric Company

7d. <u>ISSUE</u>: Was it prudent for TECO to sign a new contract with TECO Transport and Trade implementing a higher transportation cost than TECO was obligated to pay under the previous cost-plus contracts? (OPC)

STAFF: No position at this time.

TECO: Tampa Electric disagrees with the argumentative manner in which this issue is worded. Tampa Electric believes it was prudent for the company to enter into this agreement as evidenced by the market based benchmark procedure which the Commission approved. (Cantrell and Rowe)

FIPUG: No position.

OPC: No.

7e. <u>ISSUE</u>: Under the stipulated methodology approved in Order No. 20298, issued in Docket No. 870001-EI-A on November 10, 1988, should the amounts paid by Tampa Electric for coal and coal transportation provided by its affiliates be approved for recovery through the fuel adjustment clause? (TECO)

STAFF: Yes.

TECO: Yes. (Cantrell and Rowe)

FIPUG: No position.

<u>OPC</u>: While TECO's average cost of coal and transportation may meet the market price benchmark, TECO has imprudently entered into new contracts with its affiliates Gatliff and Teco Transport & Trade, causing increased costs to be passed on to its customers.

7f. ISSUE: Are TECO's payments to its affiliated coal and transportation suppliers automatically deemed prudent by meeting the market price benchmark? (OPC)

STAFF: No.

TECO: Tampa Electric objects to Public Counsel's use of the term "automatically" in phrasing this issue because of the connotation that term has in the context of rate regulation. These payments are and have been deemed prudent by the Commission since the issuance of Order No. 20298 in Docket No. 870001-EI-A as long as the contracts between Tampa Electric and its affiliated suppliers are properly administered by Tampa Electric and the resulting prices do not exceed the benchmark zone of reasonableness, or if they do, Tampa Electric adequately justifies the excess. (Cantrell and Rowe)

FIPUG: No position.

<u>OPC</u>: No. Meeting the market price benchmark shows that TECO's average cost of coal purchased from Gatliff and the average transportation cost paid to TECO Transport and Trade is reasonable. This does not mean that all costs incurred are prudent. The opportunity still exists to

challenge any component of those costs or management decisions if there are prudence issues relating to them.

7g. <u>ISSUE</u>: Was it prudent for TECO to enter into a new contract with Gatliff, substantially increasing the minimum tonnage commitment to Gatliff, without bid solicitations? (OPC)

STAFF: No position at this time.

TECO: Yes. As Tampa Electric demonstrated in Docket No. 870001-EI-A, the renegotiation of the Tampa Electric Gannon coal supply contract from one-half million tons per year to 1.1 million tons per year was fully justified on the basis of the conversion of Gannon Units 1-4 to burn coal instead of oil. The contract minimum had been rendered inadequate by the conversion of these units. A new contract minimum was needed to satisfy the company's policy of maintaining long-term contracts whose minimums cover approximately 80% company's retail load requirements. Bid of the solicitations would not have been appropriate. This was a renegotiation of an existing contract that had nine years remaining. Moreover, Tampa Electric's experience indicates that there are no other reliabile suppliers who could meet the company's volume and quality requirements on a long-term basis.

The prices paid by Tampa Electric for coal pursuant to the renegotiated agreement have compared favorably with the Commission approved benchmark and, thus, qualify for cost recovery pursuant to Order No. 20298, issued in Docket No. 870001-EI-A on November 10, 1988. (Cantrell and Rowe)

FIPUG: No position.

<u>OPC</u>: No. As Mr. Pyrdol testified, in Docket No. 870001-EI-A, Gatliff only produced approximately one half of the coal that was being delivered to TECO under the cost-plus agreement. If Gatliff could go out and purchase coal (of the quality needed for Gannon) and resell it as Gatliff coal to TECO, TECO could just as easily purchase the same coal. It is Public Counsel's understanding that Gatliff has been purchasing coal from Reading & Bates at spot price and selling it to TECO at the contract price.

It also appears that some coal from the Rich Mountain coal reserves is being sold as Gatliff coal. If TECO's commitment wasn't so high, TECO could purchase spot coal directly, or possibly supplement its purchase for Gannon at a lower cost.

7h. <u>ISSUE</u>: Is the actual cost of coal FOB Gatliff for the year 1989 below the zone of reasonableness as determined by the "Market Based Coal Calculation" outlined in Order No. 20298 issued on November 10, 1988 in Docket No. 870001-EI-A?

STAFF: Yes.

TECO: Yes. (Cantrell and Rowe)

FIPUG: No position.

<u>OPC</u>: While TECO's average cost of coal and transportation may meet the market price benchmark, TECO has imprudently entered into new contracts with its affiliates Gatliff and Teco Transport & Trade, causing increased costs to be passed on to its customers.

7i. <u>ISSUE</u>: Is the actual cost of waterborne movements of coal for the year of 1989 below the zone of reasonableness as determined by the "Transportation Benchmark Calculation outlined in Order No. 20298 issued on November 10, 1988 in Docket No. 870001-EI-A?

STAFF: Yes.

TECO: Yes. (Cantrell and Rowe)

FIPUG: No position.

<u>OPC</u>: While TECO's average cost of coal and transportation may meet the market price benchmark, TECO has imprudently entered into new contracts with its affiliates Gatliff and Teco Transport & Trade, causing increased costs to be passed on to its customers.

214

Generic Generating Performance Incentive Factor Issues

*8. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE</u>: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period October, 1989 through March, 1990?

FPC: \$1,047,893 reward. FPL: \$1,697,370 reward. GULF: \$ 130,155 reward. TECO: \$ 89,000 penalty.

*9. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE</u>: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period October, 1990 through March, 1991?

STAFF: Agree with utilities: the appropriate targets and ranges are shown in Attachment B hereto.

FPC See Attachment B.

FPL See Attachment B.

Gulf See Attachment B.

TECO See Attachment B.

FIPUG: No position.

OPC: No position.

9a. <u>ISSUE:</u> Have the four investor owned utilities completed sufficient planning to alleviate the problems that occurred in the state during the December, 1989 freeze?

STAFF: No position at this time.

FPC: Yes. (Wieland)

FPL: Yes.

GULF: Yes.

TECO: Yes. (Cantrell and Rowe))

FIPUG: No position.

OPC: No position.

Company-Specific GPIF Issues

No company-specific GPIF issues were raised by the parties.

Generic Oil Backout Issues

*10. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE</u>: What is the final oil backout true-up amount for the October, 1989 through March, 1990 period?

<u>FPL</u>: \$1,084,557 overrecovery. <u>TECO</u>: \$1,606,204 underrecovery.

*11. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE</u>: What is the estimated oil backout true-up amount for the period April, 1990 through September, 1990?

<u>FPL</u>: \$4,097,339 overrecovery. <u>TECO</u>: \$ 702,845 overrecovery.

*12. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE</u>: What is the total oil backout true-up amount to be collected during the period October, 1990 through March, 1991?

<u>FPL</u>: \$5,181,896 overrecovery. <u>TECO</u>: \$1,431,698 underrecovery.

*13. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE:</u> What is the projected oil backout cost recovery factor for the period October, 1990 through March, 1991?

FPL: .569/kwh. TECO: .154 cents/kwh.

216

Company-Specific Oil Backout Issues

No company-specific oil backout issues were raised by the parties.

CONFIDENTIALITY ISSUES

14. <u>ISSUE:</u> Are the documents which were preliminarily denied confidential classification in Order No. 22596, issued by the Commission on February 26, 1990, actually entitled to such classification pursuant to Section 366.093, Fla. Stat.? (TECO)

STAFF: Yes, for the reasons set forth in Order No. 22596.

TECO: Yes. (Rowe)

FIPUG: No position.

OPC: No.

STIPULATED ISSUES

Issues 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, and 13 were stipulated by the parties.

MOTIONS

There are no motions pending at this time.

OTHER MATTERS

At the prehearing conference the parties were placed on notice that Commission Staff intends to question the fuel forecast witness for each witness regarding fuel forecasts in light of the recent Iraqui invasion of Kuwait.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that these proceedings shall be governed by this order unless modified by the Commission.

By ORDER of Commissioner Betty Easley, Prehearing Officer, this ______ day of __AUGUST _____, _____, _____.

elus

BETTY EASLEY, Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

(7594L)MER:bmi



ORDER NO.

		PROPOSED		COST FOR	THE PERIOD:	October 1 PRESENT	990 - Marc	1991		IFFERENCE	D I DA PA	VISION OF ELECTRIC A TE: 8/6/90 GE 1 of 9	UND GAS
	October 199	0 - Marc			April 1990 TOTAL FUEL) - Septem	ber 1990 TS PER KWH		TOTAL FUEL	COST CENT	S PER KWH	L	NE LOSS
MPANY	Levelized	On/Peak	Off/Peak		Levelized				Levelized	On/Peak	Off/Peak	H	JLTIPLIER
la. Power & Light la. Power Corp. ampa Electric ulf Power	2.182 2.309 2.579 2.167		2.114 2.200 2.466 2.123		2.278 2.449 2.493 2.436	2.475 3.408 2.967	2.181 2.135 2.267		-0.096 -0.140 0.086 -0.269	-0.121 -0.815 -0.153 -0.331	0.199		1.00136 1.00270 1.01470 1.01228
la. Public Marianna (1) Fernandina (1)(5)	4.931 5.839	0.000	0.000		4.972 5.946		0.000		-0.041 -0.107	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000		1.01260 1.00000
				COST FOR	1000 KWH RESIDENT	IAL SERVIC	ε						
				PRESENT	April 1990 - Sep	ptember 19							
	Fla. Power & Light		Fla. Power Corp.		Tampi Electi	ric	Gulf P Winter	ower (3) Summer			Fernandina		
ase uel (2) il Backout nergy Conservation	47.38 22.80 4.82 0.44	(7)	44.33 24.57 0.00 1.92		50.34 25.30 1.21 1.11	(9)	38.65 24.66 0.00 0.07	44.46 24.66 0.00 0.07	(8)	17.22 50.35 0.00 0.03	19.20 59.46 0.00 0.08		
otal	\$75.44		\$70.82				\$63.38			\$67.60			
				PROPOSED:	October 1990 -	March 1991	I						
	fla. Power & Light		Fla. Power Corp.		Tamp Elect	ric				Fla Marianna	.Public Fernandina		
lase uel (2) Dil Backout Energy Conservation	47.38 21.85 5.69 0.84	(7)	44.33 23.15 0.00 2.33		50.34 26.17 1.54 1.07	(9)	38.65 21.94 0.00 0.13	44.46 21.94 0.00 0.13	(8)	17.22 49.93 0.00 0.17	19.20 (58.39 0.00 0.07	6)	
lotal	\$75.76		\$69.81		\$79.12		\$60.72	\$66.53		\$67.32	\$77.66		
					DIFFERENCE								
	Fla. Power & Light		Fla. Power Corp.			tric	Winter	ower (3) Summer		Marianna	Public Fernandina		
lase uel (2) Dil Backout Energy Conservation	0.00		0.00 -1.42 0.00 0.41		0.00 0.87 0.33		0.00 -2.72 0.00	5.81	(4)	0.00	0.00		
lotal	0.32		-1.01		1.16	,	-2.66	-2.66		-0.28	-1.08		

FUEL ADJUSTMENT CENTS PER KWH BASED ON LINE LOSSES BY RATE GROUP

ATTACHMENT A

DIVISION OF ELECTRIC AND GAS DATE: 18-Jul-90 PAGE 2 of 9

October 1990 - March 1991 FOR THE PERIOD:

COMPANY	GROUP	RATE SCHEDULES	WITHOUT LINE Levelized*	LOSS MUL On/Peak	TIPLIER Off/Peak	LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER			NE LOSS MULTIPLIER On/Peak	Off/Peak
FP&L	A A-1 B C D E F	RS-1,GS-1,SL-2 SL-1,OL-1 GSD-1 GSLD-1,CS-1 GSLD-2,CS-2,OS-2,MET GSLD-3,CS-3 IST-1,ISST-1	2.182 2.152 2.182 2.182 2.182 2.182 2.182	2.354 0.000 2.354 2.354 2.354 2.354 2.354 2.354 2.354	2.114 0.000 2.114 2.114 2.114 2.114 2.114 2.114	1.00136 1.00136 1.00132 1.00048 0.99475 0.97155 0.99805		2.184 2.155 2.184 2.183 2.170 2.119	2.357 0.000 2.357 2.355 2.342 2.287 2.349	2.117 0.000 2.117 2.115 2.103 2.054 2.110
FPC	A-1 B C	Distribution Secondary Delivery OL-1,SL-1 Distribution Primary Delivery Transmission Delivery	2.309 2.273 2.309 2.309	2.593 0.000 2.593 2.593	2.200 0.000 2.200 2.200	1.00270 1.00270 0.99180 0.98150		2.315 2.280 2.290 2.266	2.600 0.000 2.572 2.545	2.206 0.000 2.182 2.159
TECO	A A-1 B C	RS,GS,TS SL-1,2,3,OL-1,2 GSD,GSLD IS-1,IS-3	2.579 2.518 2.579 2.579	2.814 0.000 2.814 2.814	2.466 0.000 2.466 2.466	1.01470 1.01470 0.99750 0.96860		2.617 2.555 2.573 2.498	2.855 0.000 2.807 2.726	2.502 0.000 2.460 2.389
GULF	A B C D	RS,GS,GSD,OS-3 LP PX OS-1,OS-2	2.167 2.167 2.167 2.158	2.281 2.281 2.281 0.000	2.123 2.123 2.123 0.000	1.01228 0.98106 0.96230 1.01228		2.194 2.126 2.085 2.185	2.309 2.238 2.195 0.000	2.149 2.083 2.043 0.000
FPUC										
Fernandina	A B C D E	RS GS GSD OL, OL-2, SL-2, SL-3, CSL GSLD	5.839 5.679 5.565 5.214	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 (1)	(2) (2)	5.839 5.679 5.565 5.214 4.810 \$3.77	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000
Marianna	A B C D E	RST, RS GSD OL, OL-2 SL-1, SL-2, SL-3	4.931 4.674 4.250 2.905 2.906	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	1.01260 0.99630 0.99630 1.01260 0.98810		4.993 4.657 4.234 2.942 2.871	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000

Group line losses reflected on schedule E1
 Informational Purposes Only-GSLD class is billed actual fuel cost

 σ -

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVE ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF : Octobe		DATE: 8	
CLASSIFICATION	Classification Associated	ORIDA POWER & LIGHT CO Classification (Associated KWH	Classification
1.Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3)		***********************	
2.Spent NUC Fuel Disposal Cost (E2) 3.Coal Car Investment 4.Adjustments to Fuel Cost	7,647,000 253,475 11,633,000	7,645,661,000 (a) 0 0	0.10002 0.00000 0.00000
5.TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER	405,332,846	20,420,742,000	1.98491
5.TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER 6.Fuel Cost of Purchased Power - Firm (E8) 7.Energy Cost of Sch.C,X Economy Purchases (Broker) (E 8.Energy Cost of Economy Purchases (Non-Broker) (E9) 9.Energy Cost of Sch.E Purchases (E9) 10.Capacity Cost of Sch.E Economy Purchases (E2) 11.Payments to Qualifying Facilities (E8A) 12.TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	184,803,200 (9) 30,445,900 38,440,200 0 19,898,100	9,596,200.000 1,408,200,000 1,597,700,000 0 790,700,000	1.92580 2.16204 2.40597 0.00000 0.00000 2.51652
12.TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	273,587,400	13,392,800,000	2.04279
13.TOTAL AVAILABLE KWH		33,813,542,000	
14.Fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E7) 15.Gain on Economy Sales - 80% (E7A) 16.Fuel Cost of Unit Power Sales (SL2 Partpts) (E7) 17.Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (E7)	(5,321,600) (1,532,640) (1,802,900) (2,554,600)	(170,000,000) (170,000,000)(a) (250,700,000) (87,900,000)	3.13035 0.90155 0.71915 2.90626
18.TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES 19.Net Inadvertant Interchange (E4)	(11,211,740)	(508,600,000)	2.20443 0.00000
20. TOTAL FUEL AND NET POWER TRANSACTIONS	667,708,506	33,304,942,000	2.00483
21.Net Unbilled (E4) 22.Company Use (E4) 23.T & D Losses (E4)	26,802,193 (a) 2,003,129 (a) 48,943,029 (a)	1,336,879,000 99,915,000 2,441,252,000	
24.Adjusted System KWH Sales 25.Wholesale KWH Sales	667,708,506 7,788,352	32,100,654,000 374,431,000	2.08005 2.08005
26.Jurisdictional KWH Sales	659,920,154	31,726,223,000	2.08005
27.Jurisdictional KWH Sales Adjusted for Line Loss - 1.00035	660,151,126	31,726,223,000	2.08077
28.True-up * (derived in Attachment C)	19,004,989	31,726,223,000	0.05990
29.Total Jurisdictional Fuel Cost 30.Revenue Tax Factor	679,156,115	31,726,223,000	2.14070 1.01652
31.Fuel Cost Adjusted for Taxes			2.17610
32.GP1F*	1,697,370	31,726,223,000	0.00540
33.Total fuel cost including GPIF	680,853,485	31,726,223,000	2.18150
34.Total Fuel Cost Factor Rounded to the Nearest .001 cents per KWH (used in attachmen	nt B, pages 1 and 2 of	9)	2.182

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION DIVISION OF ELECTRIC AND GAS

*Based on Jurisdictional Sales (a) included for informational purposes only. Effective dates for billing purposes: 10/1/90-3/31/91

ATTACHMENT A

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF : October 1990 - March 1991

DIVISION OF ELECTRIC AND GAS DATE: 8/6/90 PAGE 4 OF 9

CLASSIFICATION	Classification	ORIDA POWER CORPORATIO Classification C Associated KWH	lassification
1.Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3) 2.Spent NUC Fuel Disposal Cost (E3A) 3.Coal Car Investment	240,942,253 2,448,623 0	12,491,466,000 2,448,623,000 (a) 0	1.92885 0.10000 0.00000
4.Adjustments to Fuel Cost	0	0	0.00000
4. Adjustments to fuel cost			
5.TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER	243,390,876	12,491,466,000	1.94846
6.Fuel Cost of Purchased Power - Firm (E8) 7.Energy Cost of Sch.C.X Economy Purchases (Broker) (E9) 8.Energy Cost of Economy Purchases (Non-Broker) (E9) 9.Energy Cost of Sch.E Purchases (E9) 10.Capacity Cost of Sch.E Economy Purchases (E9B) 11.Payments to Qualifying Facilities (E8A)	279,982) 12,325,000 1,289,152 15,163,582 10,974,000 12,231,372	4,460,000 350,000,000 44,450,000 709,520,000 709,520,000 (a) 362,800,000	6.27762 3.52143 2.90023 2.13716 1.54668 3.37138
12.TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	52,263,088	1,471,230,000	3.55234
13.TOTAL AVAILABLE KWH		13,962,696,000	
14.Fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E7) 14a.Gain on Economy Sales -80% (E7A) 15.Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (E7) 15a.Gain on Other Power Sales (E8) 6.Fuel Cost of Seminole Backup Sales (E7) 6.(a)Gain on Seminole Back-up Sales (E7B) 7.Fuel Cost of Seminole Supplemental Sales (E7)	(10,406,400) (1,068,480) (190,328) (31,099) (487,012) (1,671,171) (4,868,678)	(530,000,000) (530,000,000)(a) (8,405,000) (8,405,000)(a) (14,700,000) (14,700,000)(a) (119,809,000)	1.96347 0.20160 2.26446 0.37001 3.31301 11.36851 4.06370
18.TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES 19.Net Inadvertant Interchange (E4)	(18,723,168)	(672,914,000)	2.78240
20.TOTAL FUEL AND NET POWER TRANSACTIONS	276,930,796	13,289,782,000	2.08379
21.Net Unbilled (E4)	(7,022,114)(a) 1,906,677 (a)	336,986,000 (91,500,000) (670,841,000)	-0.05459 0.01482 0.10866
24.Adjusted System KWH Sales 25.Wholesale KWH Sales(Excluding Seminole Supplemental)	(11,025,170)	12,864,427,000 (515,543,000)	2.15269 2.13855
26.Jurisdictional KWH Sales	265,905,626	12,348,884,000	2.15328
27. Jurisdictional KWH Sales Adjusted for		12,348,884,000	
28.Prior Period True-Up *	11,599,427	12,348,884,000	0.09393
28a.Cost Plus Phase II Refund *	1,614,295	12,348,884,000	0.01307
28b.TOU True-Up *	0	0	0.00000
29.Total Jurisdictional Fuel Cost 30.Revenue Tax Factor	279,491,616	12,348,884,000	2.26329 1.01652
31.Fuel Cost Adjusted for Taxes			2.30068
2.GPIF*	1,047,893	12,348,884,000	0.00849
3.Total fuel cost including GPIF	280,539,509	12,348,884,000	2.30917
34.Total Fuel Cost Factor Rounded to the Nearest .001 cents per KWH (used in Attachment	t B, pages 1 and 2 of	9)	2.309

*Based on Jurisdictional Sales (a) included for informational purposes only. Effective dates for billing purposes: 09/27/90-3/26/91

FUFL	AND	PURCHASED	POWER	COST	RECOVERY	CLAUSE	CALCULATION	DIVISION OF	ELECTRIC AND GAS

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVER		DATE:	LECTRIC AND GAS 8/6/90
CLASSIFICATION	Classification Associated	Classification Associated KWH	OMPANY Classification Associated cents/KWH
1.Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3)	184,434,563	8,528,103,000	2.16267
2.Spent NUC Fuel Disposal Cost (E3A) 3.Coal Car Investment 4.Adjustments to Fuel Cost	0 0 0	0000	0.00000
5.TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER	184,434,563	8,528,103,000	2.16267
6.Fuel Cost of Purchased Power - Firm (E8) 7.Energy Cost of Sch.C.X Economy Purchases (Broker) (E9) 8.Energy Cost of Economy Purchases (Non-Broker) (E9) 9.Energy Cost of Sch.E Purchases (E9) 10.Capacity Cost of Sch.E Economy Purchases 11.Payments to Qualifying Facilities (E8A)	222,600 812,500 0 6,582,500	2,978,000 18,566,000 0	7.47482 4.37628 0.00000
12. TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	7,617,600	226,476,000	3.36354
13.TOTAL AVAILABLE KWH		8,754,579,000	
14.Fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E7) 15.Gain on Economy Sales - 80% (E7A) 16.Fuel Cost of Scedule D Sales (E7) 17.Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (E7)	29,405,000 7,462,240 6,549,200 0	1,632,301,600 1,632,301,000 388,542,000 0	(a) 1.80144
19a.Net Inadvertant Interchange (E4) 19b.Interchange and Wheeling Losses	43,416,440 0	2,020,843,000 37,042,000	2.14843
20. TOTAL FUEL AND NET POWER TRANSACTIONS	148,635,723	6,696,694,000	2.21954
21.Net Unbilled (E4) 22.Company Use (E4) 23.T & D Losses (E4)	0 (a) 426,152 (a) 4,664,652 (a)	0 19,200,000 210,163,000	0.00659
24.Adjusted System KWH Sales 25.Wholesale KWH Sales	148,635,723 0	6.467,331,000 0	0.00000
26.Jurisdictional KWH Sales	148,635,723		2.29825
27.Jurisdictional KWH Sales Adjusted for Line Loss - 0	148,635,723	6,467.331.000	
28.True-up * (derived in Attachment C)	8,855,700	6,467,331,000	0.13693
29.Pyramid Coal Contract Buyout Adjustment	6,650,930	6,467,331,000	0.10284
30.Total Jurisdictional Fuel Cost 31.Revenue Tax Factor	164,142,353	6,467,331,000	2.53802
32.Fuel Cost Adjusted for Taxes	166,853,985		2.57995
33.GPIF * (Already adjusted for taxes)	(89,000)	6,467,331,000	-0.00138
34.Total Fuel Cost including GPIF	166,764,985	6,467,331,000	2.57857
35.Total Fuel Cost Factor Rounded to the Nearest .001 cents per KWH (used in Attachme	ent B, pages 1 and 2	of 9)	2.579

*Based on Jurisdictional Sales (a) included for informational purposes only. Effective dates for billing purposes: 10/1/90 - 3/31/91

ATTACHMENT A

ORDER NO. 23394 DOCKET NO. 900001-EI PAGE 32

.

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF : October		DIVISION OF ELE DATE: PAGE 6 OF 9	
	Classification Associated	Classification Associated	Classification Associated
CLASSIFICATION	\$	кун	cents/KWH
1.Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3)	85,467,233	4,064,380,000	2.10284
2.Spent NUC Fuel Disposal Cost (E3A) 3.Coal Car Investment 4.Adjustments to Fuel Cost	0 0 0	0 0 0	
5.TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER	85,467,233	4,064,380,000	2.10284
5.Fuel Cost of Purchased Power - Firm (E8) 7.Energy Cost of Sch.C.X Economy Purchases (Broker) (E9) 8.Energy Cost of Economy Purchases (Non-Broker) (E9) 9.Energy Cost of Sch.E Purchases (E9) 10.Capacity Cost of Sch.E Economy Purchases (E2) 11.Payments to Qualifying Facilities (E8A)	10 553 050	0 1,036,200,000 0 0 0	0.0000
12. TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	19,563,059	1,036,200,000	1.88796
13.TOTAL AVAILABLE KWH		5,100,580,000	
14.Fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E7) 15.Gain on Economy Sales - 80% (E7A) 16.Fuel Cost of Unit Power Sales (E7) 17.Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (E7)	(244,900) (312,160) (13,128,720) (9,456,839)	(13,680,000) (82,500,000)(a (603,300,000) (639,481,000)	1.79020 0.37838 2.17615 1.47883
8.TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES 9.Net Inadvertant Interchange (E4)	(23,142,619)	(1,256,461,000)	1.84189
20.TOTAL FUEL AND NET POWER TRANSACTIONS		3,844,119,000	2.13021
21.Net Unbilled (E4) 22.Company Use (E4) 23.T & D Losses (E4)	0 (213.042)(a) (4.365,397)(a)	0 10.001.000 204.928.000	0.00000 -2.13021 -2.13021
24.Adjusted System KWH Sales 25.Wholesale KWH Sales	81,887,673 2,638,342	3,629,190,000 116,929,000	2.25636 2.25636
26.Jurisdictional KWH Sales	79,249,331	3,512,261,000	2.25636
27.Jurisdictional KWH Sales Adjusted for Line Loss - 1.00140		3,512,261,000	2.25952
28.True-up * (derived in Attachment C)	(4,619,551)	3,512,261,000	-0.13153
9.Total Jurisdictional Fuel Cost 0.Revenue Tax Factor	74,740,729	3,512,261,000	2.12799 1.01652
1.Fuel Cost Adjusted for Taxes			2.16315
2.GPIF *	130,155	3,512,261,000	0.00371
3.Total Fuel Cost including GPIF	74,870,884	3,512,261,000	2.16685
4.Total Fuel Cost Factor Rounded to the Nearest .001 cents per KWH (used in Attachme	nt B, pages 1 and 2 d	of 9)	2.167

*Based on Jurisdictional Sales (a) included for informational purposes only. Effective dates for billing purposes: 9/28/90-3/29/91

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF : October 1990 - March 1991

DIVISION OF ELECTRIC AND GAS DATE: 8/6/90 PAGE 7 OF 9

ATTACHMENT

2.906

Classification Associated \$	Classification	
0 0 0 0	300,000 0 0	0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000
0	300,000	0.00000
2,316,950) 0 2,420,270 1,660,100 (a) 760,170 (a)	109,850,000 0 0 109,850,000 (a) 0	2.10919 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 2.20325 0.00000
4,737,220	109,850,000	4.31244
4,737,220	110,150,000	4.30070
0 0 0	0 0 0	0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000
0	0	0.00000
4,737,220	110,150,000	4.30070
	1,770,000 60,000 4,405,000	0.07325 0.00248 0.18231
4,737,220 1,674,803	103,915,000	4.55875 0.00000
3,062,417	103,915,000	2.94704
3,062,417	103,915,000	2.94704
(91,854)	103,915,000	-0.08839
2,970,563	103,915,000	2.85865 1.01652
3,499,562	103,915,000	2.90587
0		
2,970,563	103,915,000	2.90587
	Classification Associated 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	S KWH 0 300,000 0 0 0 300,000 0 0 0 300,000 0 0 2,316,950 109,850,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 109,850,000 0 0 0 0 0 4,737,220 109,850,000 4,737,220 109,850,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 189,446 (a) 4,405,000 1,674,803 0

4.Total Fuel Cost Factor Rounded to the Nearest .001 cents per KWH (used in Attachment B, pages 1 and 2 of 9)

*Based on Jurisdictional Sales (a) included for informational purposes only. Effective dates for billing purposes: 10/1/90 - 3/31/91

224

.

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF: October 1		DATE:	ELECTRIC AND GAS 8/6/90
CLASSIFICATION	FLORIDA PUBLIC Classification Associated \$	UTILITIES (FERNAM Classification	Classification Associated cents/KWH
		0	
1.Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3)	0	0	
2.Spent NUC Fuel Disposal Cost (E3A)	0		
3.Coal Car Investment	0	0	0.00000
4.Adjustments to Fuel Cost	U	0	0.00000
5.TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER	0	0	0.0000
6.Fuel Cost of Purchased Power - Firm (E8)	2,899,416	72,485,000	4.00002
7.Energy Cost of Sch.C.X Economy Purchases (Broker) (E9)	0	0	0.00000
8.Energy Cost of Economy Purchases (Non-Broker) (E9)	0		
9.Energy Cost of Sch.E Purchases (E9)	ő	0	
10.Capacity Cost of Sch.E Economy Purchases (E2)		õ	(a) 0.00000
10a.Demand & Non Fuel Cost of Purchased Power	0 1,535,456	72,485,000	
Tot Demand & Non Fuel Cost of Purchased Power	943,200 (a)	10,100,000	
10b.Demand Costs of Purchased Power (E2)	545,200 (8)		
10c.Non Fuel Energy and Customer Costs	592,256 (a)		
of Purchased Power (E2)	1,218,000	30,000,000	4.06000
11.Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities (EBA)	1,210,000	50,000,000	
12.TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	5,652,872	102,485,000	
13.TOTAL AVAILABLE KWH		102,485,000	
		0	
14.Fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E7)	0		
5.Gain on Economy Sales - 80% (E7A)	0	0	0.00000
.6.Fuel Cost of Unit Power Sales (E7)	0	0	
7.Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (E7)	0	0	0.00000
			-
18.TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES 19.Net Inadvertant Interchange (E4)	0	0	0.00000
20.TOTAL FUEL AND NET POWER TRANSACTIONS	5,652,872	102,485,000	5.51580
21.Net Unbilled (E4)		(1,414,000)	-0.07985
22. Company Use (E4)	(77,993)(a) 4,192 (a) 339,111 (a)	76,000 6,148,000	0.00429
23.T & D Losses (E4)	339,111 (a)	6.148.000	0.34718
		97,675,000	
24.Adjusted System KWH Sales 25.Wholesale KWH Sales	5,652,872 0	0	
25. Wholesale NWH Sales			
26 Invisional KUN Salas	5,652,872	97,675,000	5.78743
26.Jurisdictional KWH Sales			

27. Jurisdictional KWH Sales Adjusted for	5,652,872	97,675,000	5.78743
Line Loss - 0	5,052,072	12,900,000	
27a.GSLD KWH Sales (E11)		84,775,000	
27b.Other Classes KWH Sales (E11)		66,000	
27c.GSLD CP KW		66,000	
28. GPIF	(11. 270)	97,675,000	
29.True-up * (derived in Attachment C)	(11,270)	97,675,000	-0.01134
30. Total Jurisdictional Fuel Cost	5,641,602	97,675,000	5.77589
SV. TOLOT BUTTSUTELIONAL THEI LUSI	0,011,000		

7

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVER		DIVISION OF EL DATE: PAGE 9 OF 9	
ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF : OCTOBER			
	FLORIDA PUBLIC Classification Associated	Classification Associated	Classification Associated
LASSIFICATION	\$	КШН	cents/KWH
Oa.Demand Purchased Power Costs (line 10a) Ob.Non-Demand Purchased Power Costs (lines 6+10b+11) Oc.True-up Over/Under Recovery (line 29)	943,200 (a) 4,709,672 (a) (11,270)(a)		
1. Total Demand Costs	943,200		
2.GSLD Portion of Demand Costs Including line losses (line 27c * \$3.708) 3.Balance to Other Customers	244.728 698,472	66,000 84,775,000	0.82391
4.Total Non-Demand Costs (line 30b) 5.Total KWH Purchased (line 12)	4,709,672	102,485,000	
S. Average Cost per KWH Purchased 7. Avg. Cost Adjusted for Transmission		102,403,000	4.59547
line losses (line 36 * 1.03)			4.73334
8.GSLD Non-Demand Costs (line 27a * line 37)	610,437	12,900,000 84,775,000	4.73207
9.Balance to Other Customers	4,099,235	84,775,000	4.83543
Da.Total GSLD Demand Costs (Line 32)	244,728	66,000	3.70800
Ob.Revenue Tax Factor Dc.GSLD Demand Purchased Power factor adjusted			1.01652
for taxes and rounded			3.76926
Od.Total Current GSLD Non-Demand Costs (line 38)	610,437	12,900,000	4.73207
Oe.Total Non-Demand Costs including true-up		12,900,000	
Of.Revenue Tax Factor			1.01652
Dg.GSLD Non-demand costs adjusted for taxes			4.81024
la.Total Demand and Non-Demand Purchased Power Costs			
of other classes (lines 33 + 39)	4,797,707	84,775,000	5.65934
lb.Less: Total Demand Cost Recovery lc.Total Other Costs to be Recovered	4 153 289 (a)	84 775 000	4,89919
Id.Other Classes' Portion of True-up (line 30 C)	(11,270)	84,775,000	-0.01329
le.Total Demand and Non-Demand Costs including True-up		84,775,000	4.88590
2.Revenue tax factor			1.01652
3.Other Classes Purchased Power Factor adjusted for tax	ae		4.96661
to the Nearest .001 cents per KWH (used in Attachmer		9)	4.967

*Based on Jurisdictional Sales (a) included for informational purposes only. Effective dates for billing purposes: 10/1/90 - 3/31/91

GPIF TARGETS

October 1990 to March 1991

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	uivalent .	Availabil		Heat	
Utility/ Plant/Unit		Company		Staff	Company	Staff
FPC	EAF	POF	EUOF		200 CE 410 210 Ce 710 AN 150 Pe	that will also due auto outo
Anclote 1	87.6	0.0	12.4	Agree	10,168	Agree
Anclote 2	92.1	0.0	7.9	Agree	10,101	Agree
Crystal River 1	74.9	15.4	9.7	Agree	10,021	Agree
Crystal River 2	64.2	18.1	17.7	Agree	9,994	Agree
Crystal River 3	75.5	0.0	24.5	Agree	10,373	Agree
Crystal River 4	86.2	8.8	5.0	Agree	9,272	Agree
Crystal River 5	80.8	15.4	3.9	Agree	9,330	Agree
FPL	EAF	POF	EUOF			
Cape Canaveral	94.7	0.0	5.3	Agree	9,449	Agree
Ft. Myers 1	78.5	17.0	4.5	Agree	10,115	Agree
Ft. Myers 2	72.3	22.5	5.2	Agree	9,340	Agree
Manatee 1	85.2	4.4	10.4	Agree	9,785	Agree
Manatee 2	74.3	17.0	8.6	Agree	9,527	Agree
Martin 1	63.2	31.9	5.0	Agree	9,844	Agree
Martin 2	81.8	8.2	10.0	Agree	9,809	Agree
Port Everglades 1	78.7	12.7	8.6	Agree	9,804	Agree
Port Everglades 2	78.8	12.6	8.6	Agree	9,839	Agree
Port Everglades 3	73.0	8.2	18.8	Agree	9,485	Agree
Port Everglades 4	91.9	0.0	8.1	Agree	9,640	Agree
Turkey Point 1	92.8	0.0	7.2	Agree	9,289	Agree
Turkey Point 2	91.8	0.0	8.2	Agree	9,250	Agree
Turkey Point 3	31.9	61.5	6.6	Agree	10,868	Agree
Turkey Point 4	18.1	74.7	7.2	Agree	10,873	Agree
St. Lucie 1	92.5	0.0	7.5	Agree	10,671	Agree
St. Lucie 2	77.2	16.5	6.3	Agree	10,734	Agree
GULF	EAF	POF	EUOF			
Crist 4	86.7	4.9	8.4	Agree	10,796	Agree
Crist 6	84.7	4.9	10.3	Agree	10,515	Agree
Crist 7	61.1	29.1	9.8	Agree	10,484	Agree
Daniel l	66.2	32.4	1.4	Agree	10,702	Agree
Daniel 2	68.9	28.0	3.1	Agree	11,634	Agree
Scherer 3	96.0	0.0	4.0	Agree	10,030	Agree
Smith 1	88.3	4.9	6.8	Agree	10,322	Agree
Smith 2	86.8	8.8	4.4	Agree	10,383	Agree
TECO	EAF	POF	EUOF			
Big Bend 1	80.3	3.8	15.9	Agree	9,917	Agree
Big Bend 2	74.0	10.4	15.6	Agree	9,931	Agree
Big Bend 3	63.7	20.3	16.0	Agree	9,589	Agree
Big Bend 4	87.6	3.8	8.6	Agree	9,957	Agree
Gannon 5	78.7	7.7	13.6	Agree	10,052	Agree
Gannon 6	80.6	3.8	15.6	Agree	10,201	Agree

ATTACHMENT B

ADER NO. 23394 XXKET NO. 90001-EI AGE 37

FUEL ADJUSTMENT - DOCKET NO. 900001-EI FINAL AND PROJECTED TRUE-UPS OCTOBER 1989 - MARCH 1990 AND APRIL 1990 - SEPTEMBER 1990 TO BE INCLUDED DURING THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1990 - MARCH 1991 AUGUST 1990 HEARINGS

PD DOC	00106	ER 1989 - MARCH 1990		PROJECTED			EFFECT ON
	PROJECTED	ACTUAL	FINAL	TRUE-UP	TOTAL	MWH SALES	ADJ. FACTOR
	TRUE-UP	TRUE-UP	TRUE-UP	4/90 - 9/90	TRUE-UP	10/90 - 3/91	CENTS/KWH
FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY							
COMPANY	(\$55,102,275)(U)	(\$58,653,467)(U)	(\$3,551,192)(U)	(\$15.453.797)(U)	(\$19,004,989)(U)	34,112,981	0.0557
STAFF	(\$55.102.275)(U)	(\$58,653,467)(U)	(\$3,551,192)(U)	(\$15,453,797)(U)	(\$19,004,989)(U)	34,112,981	0.0557
PUBLIC COUNSEL (1)	(\$55,102,275)(U)	(\$58,653,467)(U)	(\$3.551.192)(U)	(\$15,453,797)(U)	(\$19,004,989)(U)	34,112,981	0.0557
FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION							
COMPANY	\$7,630,903 (0)	\$1,095,196 (0)	(\$6,535,707)(U)	(\$5,063,719)(U)	(\$11,599,426)(U)	13.030.272	0.0890
STAFF (1)	\$7,630,903 (0)	\$1,095,196 (0)	(\$6,535,707)(U)	(\$5,063,719)(U)	(\$11,599,426)(U)	13,030,272	0.0890
FUBLIC COUNSEL (1)	\$7,630,903 (0)	\$1,095,196 (0)	(\$6,535,707)(U)	(\$5,063,719)(U)	(\$11,599,426)(U)	13,030,272	0.0890
FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY							
FERNANDINA BEACH:							
COMPANY	\$121,246 (0)	\$217,631 (0)	\$95,385 (0)	(\$85,115)(U)	\$11.270 (0)	84,775	(0.0133)
STAFF	\$121,246 (0)	\$217,631 (0)	\$96,385 (0)	(\$85,115)(U)	\$11,270 (0)	84,775	(0.0133)
PUBLIC COUNSEL	\$121,246 (0)	\$217,631 (0)	\$96,385 (0)	(\$85,115)(U)	\$11,270 (0)	84,775	(0.0133)
MARIANNA:							
COMPANY	\$48,397 (0)	\$244,783 (0)	\$196.386 (0)	(\$104,532)(U)	\$91,854 (0)	103,915	(0.0884)
STAFF	\$48,397 (0)	\$244,783 (0)	\$196,386 (0)	(\$104,532)(U)	\$91,854 (0)	103,915	(0.0884)
PUBLIC COUNSEL	\$48,397 (0)	\$244,783 (0)	\$196,386 (0)	(\$104,532)(U)	\$91,854 (0)	103,915	(0.0884)
GULF POWER COMPANY							
COMPANY (*)	(\$4,900,029)(U)	(\$2,802,753)(U)	\$1,368,763 (0)*	\$3,250,788 (0)	\$4,619,551 (0)	3,512,261	(0.1315)
STAFF	(\$4,900,029)(U)	(\$2,802,753)(U)	\$1,368,763 (0)*	\$3,250,788 (0)	\$4,619,551 (0)	3,512,261	(0.1315)
PUBLIC COUNSEL (1)	(\$4,900,029)(U)	(\$2,802,753)(U)	\$1,368,763 (0)*	\$3,250,788 (0)	\$4,619,551 (0)	3,512,261	(0.1315)
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY							
COMPANY	\$3,693,745 (0)	\$2,717,270 (0)	(\$976,475)(U)	(\$7,879.225)(U)	(\$8,855,700)(U)	6,467,331	0.1369
STAFF (1)	\$3,693,745 (0)	\$2,717,270 (0)	(\$976,475)(U)	(\$7,879,225)(U)	(\$8.855.700)(U)	6,467,331	0.1369
PUBLIC COUNSEL (1)	\$3,693,745 (0)	\$2,717,270 (0)	(\$976,475)(U)	(\$7,879,225)(U)	(\$8,855,700)(U)	6,467,331	0.1369

(0) = OVERRECOVERY TO BE REFUNDED

5

(1) SUBJECT TO CHANGE BASED ON COMMISSION VOTE ON OTHER ISSUES.

(*) FINAL TRUE-UP INCLUDES \$728,513 FOR SPECIAL CONTRACT RECOVERY APRIL 1988 - MARCH 1989 (APPROVED IN ORDERS NO. 19613 AND 20178)

OIL BACKDUT DOCKET NO. 900001-EI AUGUST 1990 HEARINGS

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

				FLORIDA POWER &	LIGHT COMPANY			TO BE INCLUDED D	IDING THE
	FOR THE PERIO	FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1989 - MARCH 1990			OD APRIL 1990 - SEP	OCTOBER 1990 - MARCH 1991 PERIOD			
	Estimated/Actual	Actual	Difference	Projected	Estimated/Actual	Difference	Total True-up	Total Cost Recovery	\$184,900,348
1. Jurisdictional KWH Sales	30,732,255,434	30,050,620,984	(681,634,450)	34,112,976,000	33,968,492,627	(144,483,373)	(826,117,823)	Total MWH Sales Cost - Cents/KWH	32,100,656 0.5760
2. OBO Revenue Applicable to the Peri	\$200,020,819	\$195,604,820	(4,415,999)	\$188,434,553	\$186,382,670	(2,051,883)	(\$6,467,882)	True-up	(\$5,181,893)
3. Jurisdictional Oil Backout Cost Recovery Authorized	\$193,615,849	\$188.088.682	(5,527,167)	\$188,434,553	\$182,776,128	(5,658,425)	(\$11,185,592)	Retail MMH Sales Cost - Cents/KMH	31,726,225 -0.0163
4. True-up Provision for this Period	\$133,013,043	\$100,000,002	(3,327,107)	\$100,434,333	\$102,770,120	(3,630,423)	(\$11,103,352)	Total Cost - C/KWH Revenue Tax Factor	0.5597
Over/(Under) Collection	\$6,404.970	\$7,516,138	1,111,168	\$0	\$3,606,542	3,606,542	\$4,717,710	OBC Factor	0.5689
5. Interest Provision for this Period	\$899,317	\$872,704	(26,613)	\$0	\$490,796	490,795	\$464,183	000 10000	
 Adjustment to Reflect 13.6 ROE Effective April 1988 	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0		
7. End of Period Total Net True-up	\$7,304,287	\$8,388,842	1.084.555	\$0	\$4,097,338	4,097,338	\$5,181,893	OBC Factor Rounded	0.569
7. End of Period total Het froe-up	\$1,304,£01	\$0,300,040	1,004,200	************	\$4.037,330 EXERCISES	4,037,330		STAFF	AGREE

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

		FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1989 - MARCH 1990			FOR THE PERIOD APRIL 1990 - SEPTEMBER 1990				OCTOBER 1990 - MARCH 1991 PERIOD	
1.	Jurisdictional KMM Sales	Estimated/Actual 6,192,947,000	Actual 6,063,297,000	Difference (129.650.000)	Projected 6.950.015.000	Estimated/Actual 6,916,746,000	Difference (33,269,000)	Total True-up (162,919,000)	Total Cost Recovery Total MWH Sales Cost - Cents/KWH	\$8,366,456 6,467,331 0.1294
	OBO Revenue Applicable to the Period Jurisdictional Dil Backout Cost	\$8,324,446	\$8,140,787	(183,659)	\$8,264,032	\$8,869,902	605,870	\$422.211	True-up Retail MMH Sales Cost - Cents/KMH	\$1,431,698 6,467,331 0,0221
3.	Recovery Authorized	\$8,017,499	\$9,962,757	1,945,258	\$8,264,032	\$8,167.057	{96,975}	\$1,848,283	Total Cost - C/KM	0.1515
4.	True-up Provision for this Period Over/(Under) Collection	\$306,947	(\$1.821,970)	(2,128,917)	\$0	\$702,845	702,845	(\$1,426,072)	Revenue Tax Factor	1.0165
5.	Interest Provision for this Period	\$5,626	\$0	(5,626)	\$0	\$0	0	(\$5,626)	OBC Factor OBC Factor Rounded	0.1540
6.	End of Period Total Net True-up	\$312,573	(\$1,821,970)		\$0	\$702.845	702,845	(\$1,431,698)	STAFF	AGREE

TO BE INCLUDED DURING THE