#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application for amendment of	)	DOCKET NO.	900713-WS
Certificates Nos. 444-W and 462-S to include additional territory in	)	ORDER NO. ISSUED:	24245 3-18-91
Charlotte County by GENERAL DEVELOPMENT	r )		
UTILITIES, INC.	)		
	_)		

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

THOMAS M. BEARD, Chairman
J. TERRY DEASON
MICHAEL McK. WILSON

# ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS OBJECTION, GRANTING AMENDMENT OF CERTIFICATE, AND CLOSING DOCKET

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

General Development Utilities, Inc. (GDU) is a Class A utility. It provides service to approximately 33,300 water customers and approximately 15,200 wastewater customers in its West Coast Division. GDU's West Coast Division was issued Certificate No. 444-W in Desoto County in 1985, when the system obtained its original certificate. The rest of the West Coast Division (Charlotte and Sarasota Counties) became subject to jurisdiction effective October 1, 1989, by virtue of the enactment of Section 367.171(7), Florida Statutes.

GDU filed an application on August 22, 1990 to amend its Certificates Nos. 444-W and 462-S in Charlotte County to include an area to serve a general service customer called "Home Depot" that was inadvertently omitted in a prior amendment application.

El Jobean Water Association, Inc. (El Jobean), a homeowners association, receives potable water service from GDU under a bulk service agreement and resells that water to its service territory. El Jobean does not serve in the disputed area. El Jobean timely filed an objection on November 9, 1990. A consent agreement between GDU and the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation (DER) (#90-0548) was attached as an exhibit to the objection.

El Jobean alleges in its objection that El Jobean purchases potable water from GDU in such amounts to provide service to existing and planned developments, that the water provided by GDU to El Jobean does not meet unspecified state standards, and that a consent agreement between GDU and DER requires GDU to correct the

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02615 MAR 18 ISSI

VESC-RECORDS/REPORTING

deficiencies. El Jobean cites Section 367.111(3), Florida Statutes, which states the legislative intent that the Commission's regulation of water and wastewater utilities pursuant to Chapter 367 is an exercise of police powers to protect public health, safety and welfare and that the provisions of Chapter 367 shall be liberally construed. Further, El Jobean states that it believes the Commission should not approve GDU's application to add new service until GDU complies with the water quality standards because, in El Jobean's opinion, allowing GDU to serve additional territory "encourages a misplacement of priorities and emphasizes expansion over quality of service." Finally, El Jobean's objection alleges that GDU is not technically able to provide sufficient and adequate service to El Jobean and other existing customers, much less those in the proposed new area, until GDU is in full compliance with "all state standards."

#### MOTION TO DISMISS

GDU's Motion to Dismiss, filed February 8, 1991, alleges that El Jobean has failed to allege that El Jobean has standing pursuant to Section 367.045(4), Florida Statutes, stating that El Jobean's objection does not allege that it will be "substantially affected" by GDU's requested extension. GDU asserts that El Jobean's objection does not allege that the addition of the Home Depot as a new customer will cause El Jobean to suffer any injury in fact. Finally, GDU's motion to dismiss alleges that DER has primary jurisdiction over the issues raised in El Jobean's objection, including the subject secondary water quality standards.

All factual allegations in El Jobean's objection are taken as true for the purposes of this Order. El Jobean filed a timely response to GDU's Motion to Dismiss and therein alleges that the Commission does not require objectors to adhere to technical rules of pleading and that the objection shows that El Jobean has standing because the objection alleges injury per se as a result of receiving substandard water from GDU. While it is true that the Commission does not require objectors to adhere to technical rules of pleading, Section 367.045(4), Florida Statutes, requires that a written objection from a customer to a utility's amendment request must allege that the customer will be "substantially affected" by the requested amendment. Agrico Chemical Company v. Department of Environmental Regulation, 406 So.2d 478, 481 (Fla. 2d DCA 1981), requires that before a person or entity can be considered to be substantially affected by agency action in an administrative

proceeding, the person or entity must allege in its objection (1) that the agency action will cause the objector to suffer injury in fact of sufficient immediacy to be entitled to a hearing pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, and (2) the injury is of a type or nature which the particular proceeding is designed to protect.

After a careful and detailed review of its allegations, we find that El Jobean's objection taken in its entirety does not allege sufficient facts that, it taken as true, would show that El Jobean will suffer any injury in fact, immediate or otherwise, if the Commission authorizes GDU to serve the requested additional service territory. The objection does not allege facts, which if taken as true, show any nexus between the additional service and the quality of water served by GDU to El Jobean. Therefore, we find that El Jobean's objection fails to meet the first prong of the two pronged test of Agrico Chemical Company. Accordingly, the objection does not show that El Jobean will be substantially affected if GDU serves the Home Depot. Therefore, we grant GDU's motion to dismiss and dismiss El Jobean's objection.

We are aware that Docket No. 900211-WS was opened to address a complaint filed by El Jobean against GDU. In that complaint, El Jobean has raised the same concerns regarding quality of service as expressed in its objection to this application. We believe that the concerns raised by El Jobean are more appropriately addressed in the complaint docket. Because the complaint docket affords El Jobean with a forum where its concerns can be addressed, and because an amended objection could operate as an injunction to the requested utility service and thereby unduly prejudice GDU and the individuals and entities that need and desire the requested service, in addition to the fact that an allowance of an amendment would be statutorily inappropriate, the dismissal is with prejudice.

## AMENDMENT OF CERTIFICATE

As previously stated, on August 22, 1990, General Development Utilities, Inc. filed its application for amendment of water and wastewater certificates to include additional territory in Charlotte County which was inadvertently omitted from a prior certificate amendment application. The application is in compliance with the governing statute, Section 367.045, Florida Statutes, and other pertinent statutes and administrative rules

concerning an application for an amendment. In particular, the notarized application contains:

- A check in the amount of \$300 which upon calculation, equates to the correct filing fee as prescribed by Rule 25-30.020, Florida Administrative Code.
- 2) Adequate service territory and system depiction maps and a territory description, as prescribed by Rule 25-30.035(3)(h), Florida Administrative Code. The territory description is appended to this Order as Attachment A.
- 3) Evidence that the utility owns the land upon which the utility's facilities are located as required by Rule 25-30.035(3)(f), Florida Administrative Code.
- 4) Proof of notice of application to all interested governmental/regulatory agencies, and all utilities within a four-mile radius of the territory, and proof of advertisement in a newspaper of general circulation in the county, as prescribed by Rule 25-30.030, Florida Administrative Code.

Also, the utility has demonstrated its financial ability to provide reliable service to its customers. Pursuant to Section 367.111, Florida Statutes, the Commission has concurrent jurisdiction with DER regarding enforcement of water quality standards. DER has primary jurisdiction over those environmental standards, which are DER rules published in Chapter 17, Florida There is nothing unique regarding the ion. According to the consent agreement Administrative Code. Commission's jurisdiction. entered into between DER and GDU, high sulfates and excess total dissolved solids (TDS) have been a recurring problem from GDU's Northport water plant, in violation of DER's secondary water standards, which are not health-related. GDU is in compliance with the Consent Agreement. The current agreement shows that DER is aware of GDU's intermittent failure to always meet the secondary standards at the Northport plant and is issuing GDU construction permits to add new customers. The consent agreement acknowledges

that GDU's failure to meet secondary standards regarding excess sulfates and TDS's has been exacerbated by drought conditions during 1989 and 1990. The agreement requires that GDU must complete a study and make plant improvements that will bring it into compliance. Also GDU must submit Milestone reports to DER.

We find that the consent agreement between GDU and DER and the granting of construction permits to add new customers by DER show that the public interest regarding the secondary standards is being protected by DER.

We are aware that there is an immediate need for service to the Home Depot in the requested territory. We find the utility is acting in the public interest in responding to the request for service by filing the instant application for amendment.

Based on the above, we conclude it is in public interest to grant the application of General Development Utilities, Inc. for amendment of Certificates Nos. 444-W and 462-S for the area set forth in Attachment A to this Order. GDU should return the certificates for entry reflecting the additional territory within thirty days of the date of this Order. Revised tariff sheets should be filed reflecting the additional territory within thirty days of the date of the order.

#### RATES AND CHARGES

In accordance with Rule 25-9.004, Florida Administrative Code, the present rates and charges approved for GDU's West Coast Division shall be applicable to customers within the territory granted herein.

No further action is required by the Commission in this docket and it shall be closed.

It is, therefore

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the motion to dismiss the objection of El Jobean Water Association, Inc. filed by General Development Utilities, Inc. is granted and the objection is hereby dismissed with prejudice. It is further

ORDERED that Certificates Nos. 444-W and 462-S held by General Development Utilities, Inc. are hereby amended to include the

territory described in Appendix A to this Order which by reference is incorporated herein. The utility is directed to return said certificates to this Commission within 30 days of the date of this Order for appropriate entry. It is further

ORDERED that the utility shall submit revised tariff sheets reflecting the additional territory within 30 days of the date of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that the customers in the added area shall be charged the same water and wastewater rates and charges approved in the utility's tariffs. It is further

ORDERED that this docket is hereby closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this <u>18th</u> day of <u>MARCH</u>, 1991.

STEVE TRIBBLE, Director

Division of Records and Reporting

(SEAL)

TCP

## NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or sewer utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900 (a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

#### ATTACHMENT A

## GENERAL DEVELOPMENT UTILITIES, INC.

The following described lands located in portions of Section 8, Township 40 South, Range 22 East, Charlotte County, Florida:

## WEST COAST DIVISION

#### WATER - TERRITORY TO BE SERVED

## Township 40 South, Range 22 East

#### Section 8

The East 650 feet of the Southwest 1/4 of Section 8 lying Northerly of U.S. Highway No. 41.

## SEWER - TERRITORY TO BE SERVED

## Township 40 South, Range 22 East

## Section 8

The East 650 feet of the Southwest 1/4 of Section 8 lying Northerly of U.S. Highway No. 41.