

Harris R. Anthony General Attorney-Florida

Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company Legal Department c/o Marshall Criser Suite 400 150 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Phone (305) 530-5555

July 22, 1991

Sincerely yours,

Harris R. Anthony

roc. C

Mr. Steve C. Tribble Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Re: Docket No. 910163-TL - Repair Service Investigation

Dear Mr. Tribble:

Enclosed please find an original and fifteen copies of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company's Response to Public Counsel's Response and Opposition to Southern Bell's Motion for Confidential Treatment and Permanent Protective Order, ACK which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

AFA \_\_\_\_\_ A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to APP \_\_\_\_\_\_ indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached CAF \_\_\_\_\_\_ Copies to for the correction of the service.

CMU CTR EAG LEG LIN 6 Enclosures OPC ------All Parties of Record RCH A. M. Lombardo R. Douglas Lackey SEC 1 WAS \_\_\_\_\_ OTH -**RECEIVED & FILED** SC BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 07413 JUL 22 1991 PSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

A BELLSOUTH Company

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 910163-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by United States Mail this and day of July, 1991,

to:

Charles J. Beck Assistant Public Counsel Office of the Public Counsel 111 W. Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Suzanne Summerlin Division of Legal Services Florida Public Svc. Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

Harris K. anthony

## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

In re: Investigation into the Integrity of Southern Bell's Repair Service Activities and Reports

. . .

Docket No. 910163-TL Filed July 22, 1991

## SOUTHERN BELL'S RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COUNSEL'S RESPONSE AND OPPOSITION TO SOUTHERN BELL'S MOTION FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT AND PERMANENT PROTECTIVE ORDER

COMES NOW Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company ("Southern Bell" or "Company"), pursuant to Rule 25-22.037, Florida Administrative Code, and files its Response to the Office of Public Counsel's ("Public Counsel") Response and Opposition to Southern Bell's Motion for Confidential Treatment and Permanent Protective Order.

1. On February 18, 1991, Public Counsel filed its First Set of Requests for Production of Documents in which it requested Southern Bell's internal network review reports of installation and maintenance centers in Florida. On April 9, 1991, Southern Bell produced the internal review reports to Public Counsel. These documents were subject to a Motion for Temporary Protective Order, which Motion was based upon the confidential nature of the documents. Subsequent to that Motion, Public Counsel notified Southern Bell that it intended to use these documents during the hearing, whereupon Southern Bell filed, on June 24, 1991, its Motion for Confidential Treatment and Permanent Protective Order.

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 07413 JUL 22 1991

On July 8, 1991, Public Counsel filed its Response in Opposition to Southern Bell's Motion for Confidential Treatment and Permanent Protective Order.

•

2. Public Counsel argues in its July 8th Response that there is no "privilege for critical self-analysis" in Florida and that "the law concerning claims for privilege does not determine whether a document is confidential under Florida's Public Records Law." (Public Counsel's Motion, par. 6) Public Counsel also argues that while the Legislature, by enacting Section 364.183(3)(b), Florida Statutes, specifically excluded reports of internal auditors from disclosure to the public, the Legislature did not intend to exempt all self-critical documents from public disclosure.

3. Public Counsel's effort to construe Southern Bell's Motion as requesting a "privilege" for the network internal reviews is misplaced. Southern Bell has never requested that the documents in question be treated as privileged nor has it ever requested that the Commission apply the federal common law privilege in this case. Southern Bell discussed these privilege cases merely to demonstrate, by analogy, the especially sensitive nature of this type of document.

4. What Southern Bell did argue in its Motion was that the internal reviews are conducted for the same purpose that internal

2

audits are performed. The Commission should therefore treat these documents as confidential for the same reasons that the Legislature included internal audits in the Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, examples of confidential material. As Southern Bell noted in its Motion for Confidential Treatment, and as Public Counsel admits in its Response, the list of types of confidential documents found in Section 364.183(3), Florida Statutes, "<u>is not necessarily an exhaustive list of such</u> <u>documents</u>". (emphasis added) (Public Counsel's Response, par. 7) Thus, the Legislature gave the Commission the authority to determine in its discretion that other documents, such as the internal reviews, are confidential even though the documents are not specifically enumerated in Section 364.183.

5. As Southern Bell explained in its Motion, the difference between the network internal reviews and "internal audits" is that the internal reviews are performed by a network department review staff rather than a group of employees denominated as "auditors". Under these circumstances, and for the reasons set forth in more detail in Southern Bell's Motion for Confidential Treatment, the Commission should hold these reviews to be confidential.

3

WHEREFORE, Southern Bell requests that the Commission grant its June 24, 1991 Motion for Confidential Treatment and Permanent Protective Order.

• • • • •

Respectfully submitted,

SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY

HARRIS R. ANTHONY

nARKIS R. ANTHONY (22) General Attorney-Florida c/o Marshall M. Criser, III 150 So. Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301 (305) 530-5555

R. DOUGLAS LACKEY General Attorney Suite 4300 675 W. Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30375 (404) 529-3862