BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Request by PASCO COUNTY BOARD) DOCKET NO. 910529-TL
OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS for Extended)
Area Service between all Pasco County) ORDER NO. 24858
exchanges) ISSUED: 7/29/91

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME

This docket was initiated pursuant to Resolution No. 91-217 filed with this Commission by the Pasco County Board of County Commissioners, requesting that we consider requiring implementation of extended area service (EAS) between all exchanges located in Pasco County. These exchanges are served by GTE Florida, Incorporated (GTEFL), Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell), and United Telephone Company of Florida (United), all of which are subject to regulation by this Commission pursuant to Chapter 364, Florida Statutes.

By Order No. 24718, issued June 26, 1991, we directed GTEFL, Southern Bell, and United to perform traffic studies on the affected routes to determine whether a sufficient community of interest exists, pursuant to Rule 25-4.060, Florida Administrative Code. The companies were to prepare and submit these studies to us within sixty (60) days of the issuance of Order No. 24718, making the studies due by August 26, 1991.

On July 17, 1991, GTEFL filed a Motion for Extension of Time, requesting an extension through and including September 26, 1991, in which to prepare and to submit the required traffic studies. As grounds for its request, GTEFL cites the requirement in Order No. 24718 to analyze foreign exchange (FX) usage data on a per-call and time-of-day basis. GTEFL asserts that it does not have readily available FX usage data and call data by time-of-day and will need additional time in which to compile this information. GTEFL further asserts that no party will be prejudiced or harmed by granting it such an extension of time.

Upon consideration, I find it appropriate to grant the Motion for Extension of Time in which to comply with Order No. 24718, filed by GTEFL. Accordingly, GTEFL shall have until the close of business on September 26, 1991, to file the subject studies.

Based on the foregoing, it is

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07591 JUL 29 1991

PSC-RECORDS/REPORTING.

ORDER NO. 24858 DOCKET NO. 910529-TL PAGE 2

ORDERED by Commissioner Michael McK. Wilson, as Prehearing Officer, that the Motion for Extension of Time filed by GTE Florida, Incorporated on July 17, 1991, is hereby granted. It is further

ORDERED that GTE Florida, Incorporated shall file the required traffic study data on or before September 26, 1991.

By ORDER of Commissioner Michael McK. Wilson, as Prehearing Officer, this 29th day of ___july _____, __1991 ___.

MICHAEL McK. WILSON, Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

ABG

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

ORDER NO. 24858 DOCKET NO. 910529-TL PAGE 3

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: 1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; 2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or 3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or sewer utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.