

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Purchased Gas Adjustment)	DOCKET NO. 910003-GU
(PGA) Clause.)	ORDER NO. 24981
_____)	ISSUED: 8/27/91

ORDER ON CONFIDENTIALITY

BY THE COMMISSION:

On August 19, 1991, St. Joe Natural Gas Company, Inc. (SJNG) filed a request (Document No. 8334-91) for specified confidential treatment of certain line items in its schedules A-1 and A-7P, and in its invoices from third party vendors for the purchase of natural gas for system supply use during the month of July 1991.

Florida law provides, in Section 119.01, Florida Statutes, that documents submitted to governmental agencies shall be public records. The only exceptions to this law are specific statutory exemptions, and exemptions granted by governmental agencies pursuant to the specific terms of a statutory provision. This law derives from the concept that government should operate in the "sunshine." In the instant matter, the value that all parties would receive by examining and utilizing the information contained in this document must be weighed against the legitimate concerns of SJNG regarding disclosure of business information which it considers proprietary. It is our view that parties must meet a very high burden when requesting confidential classification of documents.

Pursuant to Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, SJNG has the burden to show that the material submitted is qualified for confidential classification. Rule 25-22.006, Florida Statutes, provides that the Company may fulfill its burden by demonstrating that the information falls under one of the statutory examples set out in Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, or by demonstrating that the information is proprietary confidential information, the disclosure of which will cause the Company or its ratepayers harm.

The Florida Legislature has determined that "[i]nformation concerning bids or other contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the public utility or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms" is proprietary confidential business information. Section 366.093(3)(d), Florida Statutes.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08555 AUG 27 1991

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. 24981
DOCKET NO. 910003-GU
PAGE 2

To establish that material is proprietary confidential business information under Section 366.093(3)(d), Florida Statutes, a utility must demonstrate (1) that the information is contractual data, and (2) that the disclosure of the data would impair the efforts of the utility to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. We have previously recognized that this latter requirement does not necessitate the showing of actual impairment, or the more demanding standard of actual adverse results; instead, it must simply be shown that disclosure is "reasonably likely" to impair the company's contracting for goods or services on favorable terms.

We note that Florida Gas Transmission Company's (FGT) demand and commodity rates for transportation and sales service are set forth in FGT's tariff, which is on file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and which is a matter of public record. Rates for purchases of gas supplies from persons other than FGT, however, are based on negotiations between SJNG and third party vendors (vendors). Since "open access" became effective in the FGT system on August 1, 1990, gas supplies became available to SJNG from vendors other than FGT. Purchases are made by SJNG at varying prices, depending on the term during which purchases will be made, the quantities involved, and whether the purchase will be made on a firm or interruptible basis. The price at which gas is available to SJNG can vary from vendor-to-vendor.

SJNG argues that lines 1-5, 7-12, 20-24, 26-33, 39-43, and 45-51 of columns A-H on Schedule A-1 is contractual information, the disclosure of which would impair SJNG's efforts to contract for goods and services on favorable terms. We agree. The information shows the price or weighted average price which SJNG has paid to its vendors for specific months and period dates. Knowledge of the prices that SJNG pays to its vendor(s) during a month would give other competing vendors information with which to potentially or actually control the pricing of gas, by either all quoting a particular price, or by adhering to a price offered by SJNG's current vendor(s). Despite the fact that this information is the price, or weighted average price paid by SJNG during the involved month, a vendor which had sold gas at a price less than such weighted average cost could refuse in the future to make price concessions previously made, and refuse to sell at a price less than such weighted average price. The end result is reasonable likely to be increased gas prices, and, therefore, an increased cost of gas which SJNG must recover from its ratepayers. We find the above-mentioned lines on Schedule A-1 to be proprietary

ORDER NO. 24981
DOCKET NO. 910003-GU
PAGE 3

confidential business information.

In addition, SJNG argues that the information in lines 1-11 of columns A-K on Schedule A-7P is contractual data which should be afforded confidential treatment. We Agree. The information delineates the number of therms purchased for system supply, the number of therms purchased for end use, the commodity costs/pipeline, the demand costs, and FGT's GRI, ACA, TRC, and TOP costs for purchases by SJNG from its vendor(s). These figures are algebraic functions of the price per therm paid to vendors in the column entitled "Total Cents Per Therm." Thus, the publication of these columns together, or independently, could allow other vendors to derive the purchase price of gas paid by SJNG to its vendor(s). We find that this information would permit other vendors to determine contractual information which, if made public, would impair SJNG's efforts to contract for goods and services on favorable terms.

Finally, SJNG requests confidential classification of the name, address, phone number, fax number, remittance person's name, bank account number, company logo, customer number, contract number, and contract date found on its vendor(s) invoices. SJNG argues that this is contractual data, the disclosure of which could impair SJNG's ability to contract for goods and services on favorable terms. We agree. Knowledge of the name of SJNG's vendor(s)'s contract number(s) and contract date(s) would give other competing vendors knowledge of the expiration dates of SJNG's contracts, which would enable other suppliers to know when a particular contract needs to be replaced or continued. If this information were made public, SJNG would be at a disadvantage, because suppliers may expect SJNG to pay a higher price because of the suppliers' knowledge of SJNG's circumstances. SJNG also argues that the MCF, MMBTU, Rate, and amount on its vendor invoice(s) is contractual information, the disclosure of which could impair SJNG's ability to contract for goods and services on favorable terms. We agree. The information on the invoice shows the actual quantity and price per therm of gas purchased. Knowledge of the FGT assigned points of delivery (POI), price, and quantity received by SJNG would give other competing vendors information with which to potentially or actually control the pricing of gas by either all quoting a particular price, or adhering to a price offered by SJNG's current vendor(s), thus impairing the competitive interests of SJNG and its current vendor(s). The end result is reasonably likely to be increased gas prices, and therefore, an increased cost of gas which SJNG must recover from its ratepayers. Accordingly,

ORDER NO. 24981
DOCKET NO. 910003-GU
PAGE 4

we find this information to be proprietary confidential business information.

We find that by affording the above contractual information confidential treatment, others will be able to calculate the PGA factor without suppliers being able to back-in to the price paid by the company to its vendor(s). We note that we are approving the confidential classification of this information for the month of July, 1991, only.

We also find that this information is treated by SJNG and its affiliates as confidential information, and that it has not been disclosed to others.

SJNG requests that this information not be declassified until February 1, 1993. We find that this information shall be held as proprietary confidential business information until this date, and that this will enable SJNG to negotiate future gas purchase contracts without other vendors having access to information which could impair SJNG's ability to make natural gas purchases on favorable terms. We note that this declassification period will ultimately protect SJNG and its customers.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the contractual information discussed in the body of this Order concerning St. Joe Natural Gas Company's confidential filing of its A-1 and A-7 Schedules and Invoice(s) for the month of July, 1991 (Document No. 8335-91) is proprietary confidential business information, pursuant to Section 366.093, Florida Statutes. It is further

ORDERED that this information shall be classified as proprietary confidential business information until February 1, 1993.

ORDER NO. 24981
DOCKET NO. 910003-GU
PAGE 5

By ORDER of Commissioner Betty Easley, as Prehearing Officer,
this 27th day of AUGUST, 1991.


BETTY EASLEY, Commissioner
and Prehearing Officer

(S E A L)

sjngjuly.mb
MAB:bmi

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: 1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; 2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or 3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or sewer utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.