

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Proposed tariff filing to)
introduce billed number screening at)
volume rates to Direct-In-Dialing (DID))
customers by CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY)
OF FLORIDA)

DOCKET NO. 910795-TL
ORDER NO. 25046
ISSUED: 9/12/91

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

THOMAS M. BEARD, Chairman
SUSAN F. CLARK
J. TERRY DEASON
BETTY EASLEY
MICHAEL MCK. WILSON

ORDER APPROVING TARIFF FILING

BY THE COMMISSION:

On June 20, 1991, Central Telephone Company of Florida (Centel or Company) filed proposed revisions to its General Customer Services Tariff. The Company proposes to offer Billed Number Screening (BNS) to individual DID station numbers. Also, the Company proposes to identify the method by which restricted codes are established for Centrex users.

BNS is available from all LECs on a per line or trunk basis. The feature prevents third number and collect calls from being billed to a subscriber's line. Centel has had requests from Camp Blanding, FSU, TCC, and other large PBX users for an option that will prevent third number and collect calls from individual station line numbers in a PBX system. Centel's current tariff does not offer BNS on a station line basis.

Centel plans to charge customers a \$39.50 nonrecurring charge and a \$19.00 recurring charge per unlimited block of consecutive numbers. GTE, the only other LEC with a per number BNS rate in its tariff, charges nonrecurring fees of \$15.00 per request plus \$.10 per number, and recurring charges of \$4.00 per trunk as opposed to per number. An example of the two companies' charges for a PBX system of 10 trunks/100 stations is as follows:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

09066 SEP 12 1991

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. 25046
DOCKET NO. 910795-TL
PAGE 2

| 10 Trunk/100 Station BNS Rate Comparison | | |
|------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | Nonrecurring | Recurring |
| Centel | \$39.50 | \$19.00 |
| GTE | \$25.00 | \$40.00 |

It should be noted that the rate elements do not compare directly. If a Centel customer had a PBX system with 100 stations that were not all in consecutive order, that customer would pay additional charges for each block.

Centel also proposes to add Centrex customer groups and DID blocks to its Restricted Sent Paid Service (RSPS). RSPS enables a customer to restrict outgoing toll calls to only operator assisted and charged to the called number, a third number, or a credit card. The Company plans to charge the same rate to Centrex customers as it currently does on other lines (per line/trunk:\$10.00 nonrecurring, \$1.50 recurring). Also, the Company plans to charge DID blocks the same rate for RSPS as it does for BNS.

The Company did not perform an individual cost study for these changes. Centel does not expect a large demand for these features; the annual revenue is expected to be \$2,280. The rate used for BNS on DID blocks is 1/10 of the rate for BNS that screens an entire NXX. The Company believes that this rate will cover the minimal costs to provision the service.

We find the tariff filing to be appropriate. Specific customers that are experiencing fraud problems have requested these services, and the Company will not have to make any additional investment for these few customers. For these reasons, we approve the tariff filing.

This Tariff shall become effective on September 2, 1991. If a timely protest is filed the tariff shall remain in effect with any increases held subject to refund pending resolution of the protest. If no timely protest is filed, this docket shall be closed.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDER NO. 25046
DOCKET NO. 910795-TL
PAGE 3

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that tariff filing to provide billed number screening service for DID customers and restriction codes for Centrex customers by Central Telephone Company of Florida is hereby approved. It is further

ORDERED that this tariff shall become effective on September 2, 1991. If a timely protest is filed, the tariff shall remain in effect with any increase held subject to refund pending resolution of the protest. If no timely protest is filed this docket shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission, this 12th day of SEPTEMBER, 1991.

STEVE TRIBBLE, Director
Division of Records and Reporting

(S E A L)

CWM

by: Kay Selman
Chief, Bureau of Records

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

ORDER NO. 25046
DOCKET NO. 910795-TL
PAGE 4

The Commission's decision on this tariff is interim in nature and will become final, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed files a petition for a formal proceeding, as provided by Rule 25-22.036(4), Florida Administrative Code, in the form provided by Rule 25-22.036(7)(a)(d) and (e), Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting at his office at 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870, by the close of business on 10/03/91

In the absence of such a petition, this Order shall become final on the day subsequent to the above date.

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this Order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

If this Order becomes final on the date described above, any party adversely affected may request judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or by the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or sewer utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days of the date this Order becomes final, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

MEMORANDUM

September 6, 1991

TO: DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM: DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (MURPHY) *Can* *TR*

RE: DOCKET NO. 910795-TL

25046

Attached is an ORDER APPROVING TARIFF FILING in the above-referenced docket, which is ready to be issued.

CWM/mgf
Attachment
cc: Division of Communications

910795a.mgf

*Protests
due 10/3/91*