Legal Department

NANCY B. WHITE General Attorney

Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company 150 South Monroe Street Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (404) 529-5387



June 17, 1992

Mr. Steve C. Tribble Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

> Docket No. 920260-TL RE:

Dear Mr. Tribble:

AFA 3

APP \_\_\_\_\_

CAF

Enclosed please find an original and fifteen copies of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company's Opposition to Public Counsel's Third Motion to Compel which we ask that you file in the above-captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. ACK Copies have been served on the parties shown on the attached

Sincerely yours,

Noncy B. White (Pf)

Nancy B. White

CMUD CTR Enclosures EAG LEG cc: All Parties of Record A. M. Lombardo LIN H. R. Anthony R. D. Lackey Rept. sto / 11 15 RECEIVED & FILED 010 and a state of the second s BUREAU OF RECORDS

BOOUMENT MUMBER-DATE

06372 JUN 17 1932 TPSC-RECORDS/REPORTE

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 920260-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by United States Mail this 17th day of June, 1992 to:

Robin Norton Division of Communications Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0866

Angela Green Division of Legal Services Florida Public Svc. Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

Joseph A. McGlothlin Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter, Grandoff & Reeves 522 East Park Avenue, Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 atty for FIXCA

Joseph Gillan J. P. Gillan and Associates Post Office Box 541038 Orlando, Florida 32854-1038

Patrick K. Wiggins Wiggins & Villacorta, P.A. Post Office Drawer 1657 Tallahassee, Florida 32302 atty for Intermedia

Floyd R. Self, Esq. Messer, Vickers, Caparello, Madsen, Lewis & Metz, PA Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302 atty for US Sprint Charles J. Beck Deputy Public Counsel Office of the Public Counsel 111 W. Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Michael J. Henry MCI Telecommunications Corp. MCI Center Three Ravinia Drive Atlanta, Georgia 30346-2102

Richard D. Melson Hopping Boyd Green & Sams Post Office Box 6526 Tallahassee, Florida 32314 atty for MCI

Rick Wright Regulatory Analyst Division of Audit and Finance Florida Public Svc. Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0865

Peter M. Dunbar Haben, Culpepper, Dunbar & French, P.A. 306 North Monroe Street Post Office Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32301 atty for FCTA

Chanthina R. Bryant Sprint 3065 Cumberland Circle Atlanta, GA 30339

Monay B. White (og)

## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

In re: Comprehensive Review of the Revenue Requirements and Rate Stabilization Plan of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Formerly FPSC Docket Number 880069-TL) Docket No. 920260-TL Filed: June 17, 1992

## SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY'S OPPOSITION TO PUBLIC COUNSEL'S THIRD MOTION TO COMPEL

COMES NOW BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company ("Southern Bell" or "Company"), pursuant to Rule 25-22.037, Florida Administrative Code, and herewith files its Opposition to the Citizens' of Florida ("Public Counsel") Third Motion to Compel with regard to Public Counsel's Third, Fourth and Fifth Production of Document Requests dated April 10, 1992, April 22, 1992 and April 27, 1992, respectively. In support of its Motion, Southern Bell shows the following:

1. On April 10, 1992, April 22, 1992 and April 27, 1992, Public Counsel served Southern Bell with its Third, Fourth and Fifth Requests for Production of Documents. These requests sought numerous BellSouth Corporation documents which were not in the possession, custody or control of Southern Bell.

2. On May 22, 1992, May 27, 1992 and June 1, 1992, Southern Bell filed its Responses and Objections to Public Counsel's Third, Fourth and Fifth Requests for Production of Documents. Southern Bell incorporates herein the contents of its Responses and Objections.

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 06372 JUN 17 1002 PSC-RECORDS/REPORTER

3. Turning to the specifics of Public Counsel's motion, Public Counsel first addresses Southern Bell's objection to Public Counsel's attempt to include BellSouth Corporation as a party to this proceeding. While Southern Bell does not object, assuming the request is not otherwise objectionable, to producing BellSouth Corporation documents it has in its possession, custody or control, it is entirely improper to attempt to subject BellSouth Corporation to discovery in this proceeding in the manner Public Counsel has utilized.

4. In <u>Medivision of East Broward County, Inc. v.</u> <u>Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services</u>, 488 So.2d 886 (Fla. 1st DCA 1986), the standard for compelling a parent corporation to produce documents in a proceeding where its subsidiary is a party is clearly articulated. In <u>Medivision</u>, the court said that the parent corporation could be required to respond to discovery when the parent and subsidiary acted "as one" with regard to the matter which was the subject of the proceeding. Significantly, this same standard has been applied by this Commission in Docket No. 850100-WS, <u>In re: Application</u> of Du-Lay Utility Company, Inc. for Authority to Increase Rates for Water and Sewer Service in Duval County, Florida.

5. In this case, Southern Bell and its parent have not "acted as one" with regard to the subject matter of this proceeding. The subject matter of this proceeding is a comprehensive review of the existing rate stabilization plan of Southern Bell. Public Counsel alleges it is seeking information

-2-

in its Production of Documents concerning charges for services rendered by BellSouth Corporation to Southern Bell. Southern Bell has given Public Counsel all documents it has in its possession, custody, or control which are responsive to these document requests. Furthermore, Public Counsel has not sought discovery from Southern Bell's parent with regard to specific interrogatories, but instead has simply included BellSouth Corporation in the definitional section of the request in a way that requires BellSouth to respond to every request posed by Public Counsel. Public Counsel has failed to carry its burden to show that Southern Bell and BellSouth Corporation have "acted as one" in this docket.

6. Public Counsel also objects to Southern Bell's position that the definition of the term "document" is overbroad and objectionable. Southern Bell disagrees. The definition offered by Public Counsel includes every possible form in which information could be retained, without regard to the practicality of searching for such information. The court in <u>Caribbean</u> <u>Security Systems v. Security Control Systems, Inc.</u>, 486 So.2d 654 (Fla.App. 3d Dist. 1986) considered a definition of "document", similar to that Public Counsel is using, to be inappropriate. Such a definition in this proceeding, especially in light of the extremely broad requests made by Public Counsel, is an unreasonable and perhaps even an impossible one with which to comply. Consistent with the Objection, Southern Bell has made reasonable efforts to comply with Public Counsel's requests and

-3-

has not deliberately withheld any "document" responsive to Public Counsel's request. Nevertheless, no one could be certain of having complied given the overreaching definition Public Counsel has used. Public Counsel's Motion to Compel should therefore be denied.

Respectfully submitted this 17th day of June, 1992.

ATTORNEYS FOR SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY

thony (PJ) Hams HARRIS R. ANTHONY

PHILLIP J. CARVER c/o Marshall M. Criser 150 South Monroe Street Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 530-5555

(01)  $(\mathbf{0}$ R. DOUGLAS

NANCY B. WHITE 4300 Southern Bell Center 675 West Peachtree St., N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30375 (404) 529-3862 (404) 529-5387