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October 28, 1992
VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Steve Fribble, Director
Division of Records and Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Re: Parkland Utilities, Inc.
Application for Limited Proceeding Increase in Water Rates Our File No. 14873.03

Dear Mr. Tribble:
On October 26, 1992, we filed on behalf of Parkland Utilities, Inc., and Application for a Limited Proceeding Rate Increase in its water system. We have since discovered that there was one typographical error contained on Exhibit B, under Roman Numeral I. We have corrected that typographical error and have attached hereto fifteen copies of the corrected Exhibit B, which should now replace that Exhibit as filed on October 26, 1992.

Should you or any members of the Staff have any questions in this regard, please let me know.
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PARELAND UTILITIES, INC.

# CALCULATIOA OF REVENUE BHORTFALL RESULTING FROM CITY's REPUSAL TO PAY PUBLIC FIRE PROTECTION CHARGES 

I. Annual Revenue Shortfall- $\$ 109.99$ per hydrant/per year $x$ 81 hydrants (Note 1)
\$ 8,909.19
II. Amortization of Rate Case Expense -

$$
\$ 4,500 \div 4 \text { yrs. } \div .975 \text { (Note 2) }
$$

Total Increase Required
$\$ 10,063.04$

## NOTES:

(1) The revenue shortfall total is based upon application of the rates proposed in the recent Notice of Application of Index Provisions of Section $367.081(4)(a)$, Florida Statutes, to increase the existing charge for Public Fire Protection to $\$ 109.99$ per year.
(2) It is estimated that approximately 25 hours of attorney's time will be necessary in order to prepare and process this Application through the Florida Public Service Commission. At $\$ 135$ per hour this equates to $\$ 3,375$ in attorney's fees. In addition, a filing fee of $\$ 900$ and estimated costs of $\$ 225$ will have to be expended by the Utility for a total of approximately $\$ 4,500$ in rate case expenses. Amortized over the statutory period of 4 years, tnis equates to a $\$ 1,125$ rate case expense amortization cost.

This annualized rate case amortization cost then must be grossed-up for regulatory assessment fees. Since the revenue shortfall already includes recognition of regulatory assessment fees, no gross-up of that figure is necessary. The revenue impact of the rate case expense is therefore $\$ 1125+.975+\$ 1,153.85$.
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Mr. Steve Tribble, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

October 26, 1992

$$
921101-104
$$

Re: Parkland Utilities, Inc.
Application for Limited Proceeding Increase in Water Rates Our File No, 14873.03

Dear Mr. Fribble:

$$
\omega 5189
$$

Enclosed please find the original and fifteen (15) copies of the Application for Limited Proceeding Increase in Water Rates filed on behalf of Parkland Utilities, Inc. All correspondence, pleadings, and orders related to this matter should be sent to the following address:

```
```

F. Marshall Deterding, Esquire

```
```

F. Marshall Deterding, Esquire
Rose, Sundstrom \& Bentley
Rose, Sundstrom \& Bentley
P.O. Box 1567
P.O. Box 1567
Tallahassee, FL 32302-1567

```
```

Tallahassee, FL 32302-1567

```
```

Should you have any questions regarding the enclosed, please do not hesitate to contact me.

ROSE, SUNDSTROM \& BENTLEY

FMD/kkj
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In re: Application of Parkland ) Utilities, Inc., for Limited ) Proceeding to increase water rates )

Docket No. $\qquad$ $921101 \cdot \mathrm{Wu}$

## APPLICATION FOR LIMITED PROCEEDING INCREASE IN WATER RATES

Applicant, Parkland Utilities, Inc. (hereinafter "Applicant" or "Parkland"), pursuant to Section 367.0822, Florida Statutes, files this application for a limited proceeding increase in rates for water service provided to the public in Broward County, Florida, based upon a need to recover those revenues previously authorized for Public Fire Protection charges, which are no longer collectable effective October 1, 1992.

Applicant is a Class $C$ water company defined by Rule 25$30.110(4)$, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). The Applicant's average annual revenues from water operations for the most recent 3 year period is $\$ 100,307$.
I.

The following information is provided in accordance with the requirements of Rule 25-22.036(7), F.A.C.:
(a) The name and address of the Applicant is:

Parkland Utilities, Inc. 7501 S. Cypress Head Drive Parkland, Florida 33067-1299
(b) Applicant's interest:

Applicant is a water utility as defined by Section 367.021(12), Florida Statutes, and is not exempt from regulation by the Commission pursuant to Section 367.022, Florida Statutes. Except as provided in Section $367.081(4)$, Florida Statutes, Applicant's rates and charges may not be changed without approval of the Commission.

As a result of water rates established by the Florida Public Service Commission for this Utility since its inception, Parkland Utilities, Inc., is authorized to collect Public Fire Protection charges from those local governmental units receiving such public fire protection. The rate for this service currently in effect is $\$ 105.89$ per year/per fire hydrant. The Utility currently has pending with the Florida Public Service Commission a Notice of the Utility's intent to increase these charges based upon application of the price index rate increase provisions of Section $\mathbf{3 6 7 . 0 8 1 ( 4 ) ( a ) , ~ F l o r i d a ~ S t a t u t e s . ~ U n d e r ~ t h e s e ~}$ rates, ( $\$ 109.99$ per year/per hydrant) the Public Fire Protection charge generates gross annual operating revenues of $\$ 8,909.19$ for the Applicant's water system.

Such revenues have been considered in determining the appropriate overall revenue level, and in providing a fair rate of return to the Utility in each proceeding in which the Applicant's rates have been reviewed by the Florida Public Service Commission.

While the Commission has in many recent cases determined that the appropriate method for recovery of Public Fire Protection
services was through the rates charged to the general body of rate payers, in the case of Parkland the Commission has continued to provide for recovery of such costs through a separate charge as outlined above.

The city of Parkland is the sole customer of such public fire protection services provided by the Applicant, and as such, all revenue for such public fire protection services are derived from billings to the City of Parkland.

The city of Parkland recently considered the question of whether or not it was liable for payment of such Public Fire Protection charges, and whether the City would continue to pay the bills for such services rendered by Applicant. The Applicant participated in these discussions and informed the city that should they decide to discontinue payment of such charges, the Applicant would have no choice but to seek recovery of the resulting revenue shortfall from the general body of ratepayers through an increase in charges to those customers.

Despite the Applicant's pleas, the City ultimately decided to discontinue all such payments immediately, effective October 1 , 1992.

Based upon these facts, the Applicant has no choice but to seek to recover these charges through an increase in rates to the general body of ratepayers. Because of the substantial cost in seeking such increase through a general rate increase, under the provisions of Section $367.081(2)$ and (3), Florida Statutes, the Applicant seeks the Commission's approval and authorization to
implement such increase through the Limited Proceeding provisions of Section 367.0822, Florida Statutes.
II.

Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a copy of a letter from an Officer of the city of Parkland informing the Applicant of the City's decision to immediately discontinue payment of all such Public Fire Protection charges imposed by the Applicant.
III.

Attached hereto as Exhibit "B" is a schedule which calculates the revenue shortfall resulting from the city's refusal to pay Public Fire Protection charges and the estimated expenses and filing fees expended by the company in seeking this rate increase. These calculations result in an annualized revenue increase which must be passed onto the general body of ratepayers in order for Parkland to recover its expenses of providing water service and allow the Applicant an opportunity to earn a fair return on its investment in plant and facilities utilized in providing such service.

Attached hereto as Exhibit "c" is a schedule that calculates the percentage increase in water rates necessary in order to recover the revenue shortfall which results from the city's refusal to pay Public Fire Protection charges. This schedule incorporates a mechanism similar to that utilized by the commission under the index and pass-through provisions of Section 367.081 (4) (a) and (b), Florida Statutes, and Section $25-30.420$ and $25-30.425$, F.A.C.

## v.

Attached hereto as Exhibit "D" is a calculation of the annualized water revenues for the calendar year 1991. This annualizes the increase recently granted to the Utility and water rates resulting from the now pending indexing of such rates filed with the Commission on October 2, 1992.
VI.

In order to implement the increased rates necessary to recover the revenue shortfall shown in Exhibit "c," the Applicant has included as Exhibit "g" to this Application a Schedule of Present and Proposed Rates, and tariff sheets necessary to implement this rate change as Exhibit "r." Also included as Exhibit "G" is a Customer Notice proposed by Parkland to be utilized to inform the customers of this rate change.
VII.

Because of the material revenue shortfall which results from the recent action of the city of Parkland in refusing to continue payment of Public Fire Protection charges imposed by the Applicant and the immediate and substantial effect such action will have on the Applicant's ability to recover its expenses and earn a fair return through now existing rates, the Applicant requests that the Commission process and approve this requested rate increase as quickly as is possible. Because of the simple nature of the change to recover this revenue shortfall from the general body of ratepayers, no audit should be required, and the Applicant stands ready, willing, and able to provide any additional information
which the Commission Staff may feel necessary in order to immediately process this Application.
VIII.

Several factors lend support to the limited proceeding as the appropriate method to recognize this change in circumstances, including the following:
(a) The Applicant has had relatively little change in water service operating costs since its most recent rate case (PSC Docket No. 900598-WS);
(b) There has been relatively little growth in the two year period which has elapsed since the test year utilized in that last rate proceeding;
(c) the revenue shortfall resulting from the City of Parkland's action in refusing to pay Public Fire Protection charges is an extremely material loss to the applicant; and
(d) Until recovery through a change in rates charged to the general body of ratepayers is granted. This shortfall will result in substantial erosion of the Utility's ability to recover its expenses and earn a fair rate of return on its investment.
(e) While extremely material to this small Utility, the revenue shortfall resulting is not sufficient to make the cost and time expenditure necessary for a full revenue requirement rate increase under the provisions of Section 367.081(2) and (3), Florida Statutes, and the applicable provisions of Rules 25-30.430 through 25-30.441, F.A.C., cost effective.
(f) Based upon the Utility's annualized revenues under existing rates, it is also possible that the Utility would not qualify for a Staff Assisted Rate Case for its water system under the provisions of Section 367.0814 , Florida Statutes.
IX.

At this time, there are no disputed issues of material fact in this matter.

## x.

Other information which the Applicant deems relevant is as follows:
(a) The filing fee submitted herewith is a check in the amount of $\$ 900.00$ as the appropriate filing fee for this Application in accordance with the provisions of Rule 25-30.020, F.A.C., as the Applicant currently serves between 1,000 and 4,999 persons.
(b) In addition to the Applicant as listed in Section I hereof, other people to receive copies of orders, notices and other communications concerning this Application are as follows:
F. Marshall Deterding, Esquire

Rose, Sundstrom \& Bentley 2548 Blairstone Pines Drive Tallahassee, Florida 32301
XI.

Applicant requests that the Commission consider the information contained herein and approve this Application for Limited Proceeding, and the rates shown in Exhibit "E" hereof in an
expeditious and emergency manner so that they may be implemented in sixty (60) days.

Respectofilly submitted this 26 day of October, 1992 by:

ROSE, SUNDSTROM \& BENTLEY 2548 Blairstone Pines Drive Tallahassee, Florida 32301


## EXHIBIT A

LETTER FROM CITY OF PARKLAND TO UTILITY

# City of Parkland 6500 Parkside Drive Parkland, Florida 33087 <br> (306) 753.6040 <br> Fax (306) 341-6161 

September 28, 1992
Mr. John Jarvis Parkland Utilities, Inc. 7501 8. Cypresshoad Drive Parkland, Florida 33067

## Dear Mr. Jarvis:

Please be advised that in the 1992/93 City of Parkland Budget approved by the city commission at its September 23, 1992 meeting, funds for sire hydrant rental and water availability from Parkland Utilities has been deleted. Effective October 1, 1992, the City of Parkland will no longer pay this charge for the utility's services.

Please contact me should you require additional information on this matter.


## HJJ/abk

```
cc: Mayor sal Pagilara Parkland city commission Mrs. Judith Rilgore, Finance Director
```

```
92-719
```

PARKLAND UTILITIES, INC.

## CALCULATIOM OF REVENUE BHORTFALL RESULTING FROM CITY's REFUBAL TO PAY PUBLIC FIRE PROTECTION CHARGES

I. Annual Revenue Shortfall- $\$ 109.99$ per hydrant/per year $x$ 78 hydrants (Note 1)
\$ 8,909.19
II. Amortization of Rate Case Expense -

$$
\$ 4,500 \div 4 \text { yrs. } \div .975 \text { (Note 2) }
$$

$\$ 1,153.85$

Total Increase Required
$\$ 10,063.04$

## NOTES:

(1) The revenue shortfall total is based upon application of the rates proposed in the recent Notice of Application of Index Provisions of Section 367.081(4)(a), Florida Statutes, to increase the existing charge for Public Fire Protection to $\$ 109.99$ per year.
(2) It is estimated that approximately 25 hours of attorney's time will be necessary in order to prepare and process this Application through the Florida Public Service commission. At $\$ 135$ per hour this equates to $\$ 3,375$ in attorney's fees. In addition, a filing fee of $\$ 900$ and estimated costs of $\$ 225$ will have to be expended by the Utility for a total of approximately $\$ 4,500$ ir rate case expenses. Amortized over the statutory period of 4 years, this equates to a $\$ 1,125$ rate case expense amortization cost.

This annualized rate case amortization cost then must be grossed-up for regulatory assessment fees. Since the revenue shortfall already includes recognition of regulatory assessment fees, no gross-up of that figure is necessary. The revenue impact of the rate case expense is therefore $\$ 1125 \div .975+\$ 1,153.85$.

## PARELAND UTILITIES, INC. <br> REVEMUS ADJUBIMENT FOR PUBLIC PIRE PROTECTION REVENUE SHORTFALL

Total Revenue Shortfall Per Exhibit BDivide by Annualized Revenue Per Exhibit D\$ 10,063.04$\$ 129,344.00$Percentage Increase$7.78 \%$
## PARKLAND UTILITIES, INC. <br> CALCULATIOM OF ANNUALIZED REVENUES - WATER COMSUAPTION DATA FOR 1991

| Number of Bills/ |
| :---: |
| Gallons Sold | $\quad$| Current |
| :---: |
| Rates $(B)$ |$\quad$| Annualized |
| :---: |
| Revenues |

Residential Service:
Bills:
5/8" $\times 3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ Meters
5,434
\$ 6.54
\$ 35,538
$1^{\prime \prime}$ Meters
1 1/2" Meters
$2^{\prime \prime}$ Meters
Gallons Sold
45,604,200
(A)
1.94
88,472

General Service:

## Bills: <br> 5/8" $\times 3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ Meters 41

${ }^{11}$ Meters 12
${ }^{211}$ Neters 35
Construction $2^{\prime \prime}$ Gallons Sold $1,378,000$
(A)
6.54
16.35
52.32

1,831
52.32
1.94

366
2,673
Total Annualized Revenues for 1991
\$ 129,344
(A) For purposes of calculating revenue (000's) are omitted.
(B) These are the rates included in the Utility's index filing, dated October 2, 1992.

PARKLAND UTILITIES, INC.
SCHEDULE OT PRESENT AND PROPOSED RATES

## Water

## Residential

| Meter Size | Present Rates | Proposed Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/8" $\times 3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ | \$ 6.54 | \$ 7.05 |
| Full 3/4" | 9.83 | 10.59 |
| $1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 16.35 | 17.62 |
| $11^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 32.73 | 35.28 |
| 2" | 52.32 | 56.39 |

Gallonage Charge per 1,000 gallons

## General Service

## Meter Size

$5 / 8^{\prime \prime} \times 3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$
Full 3/4"
1 1/2"
$2^{\prime \prime}$
$3 "$
4"
6"
$8^{\prime \prime}$
Gallonage charge per 1,000 gallons

Present Proposed Rates

| 6.54 | $\$ 7.05$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 9.83 | 10.59 |
| 16.35 | 17.62 |
| 32.73 | 35.28 |
| 52.32 | 56.39 |
| 104.72 | 112.87 |
| 163.63 | 176.36 |
| 327.25 | 352.71 |
| 523.61 | 564.35 |

1.94

Rates
$\$ 7.05$
10.59
176.36
564.35
2.09

## EXHIBIT $F$

 REVISED TARIFF SHEETSPARKLAND UTILITIES, INC. WATER TARIFF

SEVENTH REVISED SHEET NO. 17.0 CANCELS SIXTH REVISED SHEET NO. 17.0

## GENERAL SERVICE

## RATE SCHEDULE GS

AVAILABILITX - Available throughout the area served by the Company. APPLICABTLTYY - For water service to all customers for which no other schedule applies.

LIMITAMIONS - Subject to all Rules and Regulations of this tariff and General Rules and Regulation of the Commission.

## BILLING PERIOD - Monthly

## RATE -

Meter Size

5/8" $\times 3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$
Full 3/4"
$1^{\prime \prime}$
1 1/2"
2"
$3^{\prime \prime}$
4"
6"
$8^{\prime \prime}$

Base Facility Charge

| $\$ 7.05$ | $\$ .09$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 10.59 | 2.09 |
| 17.62 | 2.09 |
| 35.28 | 2.09 |
| 56.39 | 2.09 |
| 112.87 | 2.09 |
| 176.36 | 2.09 |
| 352.71 | 2.09 |
| 564.35 | 2.09 |

Gallonage Charge (Per 1,000 Gallons)
\$ 2.09
2.09
2.09
2.09
2.09
2.09
2.09

TERMS OF PAYMENT - Bills are due and payable when rendered and become delinquent if not paid within (20) days. After five (5) working days written notice is mailed to the customer separate and apart from any other bill, service may then be discontinued.

Ronald M. Nunes ISSUING OFFICER

Vice President TITLE

```
PARKLAND UTILITIES, INC.
WATER TARIFF
```

SEVENTH REVISED SHEET NO. 18.0 CANCELS SIXTH REVISED SHEET NO. 18.0

## RESIDENTIAL SERVICE

## RATE SCHEDULE RS

AVAILABILITX - Available throughout the area served by the Company. APPLTCABTLITX - For water service for all purposes in private residences and individually metered apartment units.

LIMITATIONS - Subject to all Rules and Regulations of this tariff and General Rules and Regulation of the Commission.

## BILLTNG PERIOD - Monthly

## RATE -

| Meter Size | Base Facility Charge |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| (Pallonage Charge |  |  |  |
| (Per 1,000 Gallons) |  |  |  |

TERYS OF PAYMENT - Bills are due and payable when rendered and become delinquent if not paid within (20) days. After five (5) working days written notice is mailed to the customer separate and apart from any other bill, service may then be discontinued.

## EXHIBIT G

 CUSTOMER NOTICE
## CUSTOMER NOTICE

Dear Customer:
As a result of a recent decision by the City of Parkland to discontinue all payments to Parkland Utilities, Inc., for Fire Protection Services, provided to the community through fire hydrants, the Utility has requested that the Florida Public Service Commission authorize it to increase rates to all customers to cover this revenue loss on an expedited basis. In recognition of the fact that this is revenue lost solely from actions of the city of Parkland and is outside of the control of the Utility, the commission has authorized this increase for the purpose of allowing recovery of this revenue loss to be charged to the general body of ratepayers by Parkland Utilities, Inc.

Even though these increases are allowed, the increased revenue will not allow any increase profit (rate of return), on the total capital investment of the Utility.

The actual increase in customer rates for water service resulting from this change is 7.78\%. A schedule of the newly approved rates is outlined on the back of this Notice. These new rates will go into effect on December 25, 1992, and will be applied to all meter readings taken 30 days on or after that date.

Sincerely,

Parkland Utilities, Inc.

## PARKLAND UTILITIES, INC. SCHEDULE OF APPROVED RATES

## Water <br> Residential

Approved Rates
\$ 7.05
10.59
17.62
35.28
56.39
Gallonage Charge per 1,000 gallons

$$
2.09
$$

## General Service

```
Approved Rates
\$ 7.05
10.59
17.62
35.28
56.39
112.87
176.36
352.71
564.35
Gallonage charge per 1,000 gallons
```

