J. Phillip Carver General Attorney

Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company c/o Marshall M. Criser III Suite 400 150 So. Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Phone (305) 530-5558

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORT

November 23, 1992

Mr. Steve C. Tribble Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Re: Docket No. 910163-TL - Repair Service Investigation

Dear Mr. Tribble:

Enclosed please find an original and fifteen copies of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company's Notice of Filing Amendatory Page, which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

ACK Sincerely yours, AFA ____ Phillip Canver of APP _____ CAE CV) Enclosures X-rey DN 13617-92 CT: cc: All Parties of Record E A. M. Lombardo H. R. Anthony R. Douglas Lackey 1 - 6 Cert ____ R10 (SE' WAS DOCUMENT AUGGER-DATE 01h ____ A BELLSOUTH Company 13772 NOV 23 198/

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 910163-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by United States Mail this 23rd day of November, 1992, to:

> Charles J. Beck Assistant Public Counsel Office of the Public Counsel 111 W. Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Tracy Hatch Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

J. Phillip Conner

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

In re: Petition on behalf of Citizens of the State of Florida to initiate investigation into integrity of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company's repair service activities and reports. Docket No. 910163-TL Filed: November 23, 1992

SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY'S NOTICE OF FILING AMENDATORY PAGE

COMES NOW BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph ("Southern Bell" or "Company"), by and through its attorneys, and hereby gives notice of the filing of the attached amendatory page, and states as grounds in support thereof the following:

1. Page 4, paragraph 5 of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company's Response and Memorandum in Opposition to Public Counsel's Motion for Review of Order Establishing Procedure, filed November 18, 1992, contains a typographical error. Specifically, in the third line of that paragraph there is a reference to the date "February 1, 1992." The correct date is "February 1, 1993." Attached to this notice is an amendatory page, which contains the correct date.

> DOCUMENT PURADER-DATE 13772 NOV 23 1931 TPSC-RECORDS/REPORT

WHEREFORE, Southern Bell respectfully requests that page be substituted for the corresponding page that was originally filed.

Respectfully submitted,

ATTORNEYS FOR SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY

Hanis

HARRIS R. ANTHONY J. PHILLIP CARVER c/o Marshall M. Criser, III 150 So. Monroe Street Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 530-5555

00

R. DOUGLAS⁰ LACKEY SIDNEY J. WHITE, JR. 4300 Southern Bell Center 675 W. Peachtree St., NE Atlanta, Georgia 30375 (404) 529-3862

The lack of prejudice to Public Counsel is also evident 5. in the fact that the Order that Public Counsel protests does not require testimony to be filed until February 1, 1993. Although Public Counsel complains vehemently that it has suffered some prejudice as a result of what is calls the "failure of the Commission to make timely rulings on motions to compel, " it provides no example of this prejudice. Given the fact that testimony is not due for another two and one half months, it is easy to understand why Public Counsel lists no examples to support its claim of prejudice. In reality, there are no such examples. Furthermore, Public Counsel can no longer argue that it must have the information subject to the discovery disputes for purposes of the hearings scheduled in Docket No. 920260-TL in January and February. By Order No. PSC-92-1320-PCO-TL, Commissioner Clark acting as the Prehearing Officer in that docket, has ruled that evidence relating to Docket Nos. 900960-TL, 910163-TL and 920727-TL will not be heard until April. Thus, Public Counsel has not in any way been prejudiced.

6. Moreover, Public Counsel is largely responsible for the situation of which it complains. Less than one week ago Public Counsel served on Southern Bell yet another Motion to Compel that again raises attorney-client privilege and work product issues. At the same time, Public Counsel continues to propound new

4