BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

Petition by residents of) In re: Alligator Point requesting extended area service (EAS) from Alligator Point to Sopchoppy, Panacea, Crawfordville, St. Marks, and Tallahassee.

DOCKET NO. 920699-TL ORDER NO. PSC-92-1460-CFO-TL ISSUED: 12/16/92

ORDER GRANTING REQUESTS FOR SPECIFIED CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION OF DOCUMENTS NOS. 12796-92 AND 12813-92

By Order No. PSC-92-0911-PCO-TL, issued September 2, 1992, this Commission directed Central Telephone Company of Florida and St. Joseph Telephone & Telegraph Company ("Centel" and "St. Joseph", respectively, or "the Companies") to file certain traffic studies, so that we could further evaluate a petition by the residents of Alligator Point requesting extended area service between the Alligator Point and Sopchoppy, Panacea, Crawfordville, St. Marks, and Tallahassee exchanges. On November 2, 1992, both Centel and St. Joseph filed the requested information, along with requests for specified confidential classification of certain of the data. Their requests have not been opposed by any party to this proceeding.

The information for which Centel and St. Joseph are seeking specified confidential classification consists of interLATA interexchange carrier traffic information which was provided to them, pursuant to nondisclosure agreements, by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. (AT&T). This information involves a "blueprint" of usage over the various toll routes at issue in this proceeding. According to the Companies, the information is the sort of information normally made available to them on a confidential basis in order to allow them to plan their network deployment based upon actual and perceived traffic demand over the If the information is publicly disclosed, the toll routes. Companies argue that AT&T will be harmed because the information will allow AT&T's competitors to target the most lucrative toll routes. The Companies also argue that, if the information is publicly disclosed, they will be harmed because AT&T may withhold such information in the future based upon the threat of public disclosure. Finally, the Companies argue that the information is intended to be treated and is treated by them as confidential, and that they have not disclosed any of the information to any third parties except to the extent allowed under the confidentiality agreements between themselves and AT&T.

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 14529 DEC 16 1909 TOCC_DECODAC /DEDOAT"

ORDER NO. PSC-92-1460-CFO-TL DOCKET NO. 920699-TL PAGE 2

Upon review, I find that the data identified in Appendix "A" to this Order is proprietary confidential business information pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes. As such, it shall be kept confidential and shall be exempt from Section 119.07(1), Florida Statutes.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Betty Easley, as Prehearing Officer, that the Requests for Specified Confidential Classification filed by Central Telephone Company of Florida and St. Joseph Telephone & Telegraph Company on November 2, 1992, are hereby granted pursuant to Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, and Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, for the reasons set forth herein. It is further

ORDERED that pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, the confidentiality granted to the documents specified herein shall expire eighteen (18) months from the date of issuance of this Order in the absence of a renewed request for confidentiality pursuant to Section 364.183. It is further

ORDERED that this Order will be the only notification by the Commission to the parties concerning the expiration of the confidentiality time period.

By ORDER of Commissioner Betty Easley, as Prehearing Officer, this <u>16th</u> day of <u>December</u>, <u>1992</u>.

BETTY EASLEY, Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

ABG/RJP

ORDER NO. PSC-92-1460-CFO-TL DOCKET NO. 920699-TL PAGE 3

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, is issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

ORDER NO. PSC-92-1460-CFO-TL DOCKET NO. 920699-TL PAGE 4

APPENDIX "A"

INDEX OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION CONTAINED IN COMMISSION DOCUMENT NO. 12796-92

SCHEDULE		COLUMN NO.				
Schedule	I	1,	2,	З,	4	
Schedule	II	1,	2,	з,	4	

ATTACHMENT	PAGE NO.	COLUMN NO.	LINE NO.	
I	1 - 5	1 - 9	N/A	
I	1 - 5	N/A	2 - 5; 9 - 11	
IV	1 of 1	1 - 4	N/A	
VI	1 of 1	1 - 5	N/A	
VII	1 of 1	N/A	5, 9 - 12	

INDEX OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION CONTAINED IN COMMISSION DOCUMENT NO. 12813-92

ATTACHMENT	PAGE NO.	COLUMN NO.
E	5 - 10	4 - 5
G	12	3
I	14 - 28	5 - 8, 12