		1
1		FORE THE
2	FLORIDA PUBLIC	SERVICE COMMISSION
3		:
4	In the matter of	•
5	Comprehensive Review of the Revenue Requirements	: DOCKET NO. 920260-TL
6	and Rate Stabilization Plan of SOUTHERN BELL	: :
7	TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY.	: :
8		:
9		
10	PROCEEDINGS:	PREHEARING CONFERENCE NO. 2
11		
12	BEFORE:	COMMISSIONER SUSAN F. CLARK
13		Prehearing Officer
14	DATE:	Thursday, January 15, 1993
15		
16	TIME:	Commenced at 9:30 a.m.
17		Concluded at 10:25 a.m.
18	PLACE:	FPSC Hearing Room 106
19		Fletcher Building 101 East Gaines Street
20		Tallahassee, Florida
21	REPORTED BY:	JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR
22		SYDNEY C. SILVA, CSR, RPR Official Commission Reporters
23		
24		
25		DOCHMENT AUGUS
		DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
	FLORIDA PI	JBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 5 %

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 5 FFSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

APPEARANCES:

HARRIS R. ANTHONY, c/o Marshall M. Criser, III, 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, Telephone No. (904) 222-1201, and R. DOUGLAS LACKEY and NANCY WHITE, 675 Peachtree Street, Suite 4300, Atlanta, Georgia 30375, Telephone No. (404) 529-6361, on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company.

DONALD BELL, Foley & Lardner, Post Office Box 508, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, Telephone No. (904) 222-6100, on behalf of American Association of Retired Persons.

MICHAEL B. TWOMEY, Assistant Attorney

General, Department of Legal Affairs, The Capitol, Room

1603, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1050, Telephone No.

(904) 488-8253, on behalf of the Attorney General of the State of Florida.

MICHAEL W. TYE, 106 East College Avenue,
Suite 1410, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, Telephone No.

(904) 425-6360, on behalf of AT&T Communications of the
Southern States.

PETER M. DUNBAR, Haben, Culpepper, Dunbar & French, P. A., Post Office Box 10095, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, Telephone No. (904) 222-3533, on behalf of Florida Cable Television Association.

APPEARANCE CONTINUED:

VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, McWhirter, Grandoff & Reeves, 315 South Calhoun Street, Suite 716,
Tallahassee, Florida 32301, Telephone No. (904)
222-2525, on behalf of Florida Interexchange Carriers
Association.

FLOYD SELF and LAURA L. WILSON, Messer,
Vickers, Caparello, Madsen, Lewis, Goldman & Metz,
P.A., Post Office Box 1876, Tallahassee, Florida
32302-1876, Telephone No. (904) 224-4359, on behalf of
Florida Pay Telephone Association, Inc.

JACK SHREVE, CHARLES J. BECK and SUE
RICHARDSON, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida
Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812,
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, Telephone No. (904)
488-9330, on behalf of the Citizens of the State of
Florida.

C. EVERETT BOYD, JR., Ervin, Varn, Jacobs,
Odom & Ervin, Post Office Box 1170, Tallahassee,
Florida 32302, Telephone No. (904) 224-9135, on behalf
of Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership.

PATRICK K. WIGGINS, Wiggins & Villacorta,
P.A., P. O. Drawer 1657, Tallahassee, Florida 32302,
Telephone No. (904) 222-1534, on behalf of Intermedia
Communications of Florida Inc.

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	ANGELA B. GREEN, TRACY HATCH and PATRICK LEE
3	THOMAS, FPSC Division of Legal Services, 101 East
4	Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0863,
5	Telephone No. (904) 487-2740, appearing on behalf of
6	the Commission Staff.
7	ALSO PRESENT:
8 ,	CAROLYN MASON, Department of General Services.
9	ROBIN NORTON, Florida Public Service Commission,
10	Division of Communications.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	,
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Intermedia Communications of Fiorida inc.
2	MR. DUNBAR: Peter Dunbar, Haven, Culpepper,
3	Dunbar and French, on behalf of the Florida Cable
4	Television Association.
5	MR. SELF: Floyd Self of the Messer, Vickers
6	law firm, on behalf of McCaw Cellular Communications.
7	I'm also entering an appearance for Laura Wilson of our
8	firm, on behalf of Florida Pay Telephone Association.
9	MR. BOYD: Everett Boyd of the Ervin, Varn,
LO	Jacobs, Odom & Ervin law firm in Tallahassee, on behalf
11	of Sprint Communications Limited Partnership.
12	MS. MASON: I'm Carolyn Mason. Carolyn Mason
L3	with the Florida Department of Management Services,
L4	Division of Communications. The State of Florida is a
L5	member of Ad Hoc. Ben Dickens and Doug Metcalf, who
L6	represent Ad Hoc, cannot be here today so I'm taking
۱7	notes.
L8	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.
19	MS. GREEN: Angela Green, Tracy Hatch and Lee
20	Thomas for the Commission Staff.
21	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Angela, I noticed I was
22	given additional legal issues. Are these the legal
23	issues you spoke about last time?
24	MS. GREEN: Yes. Those are the ones. And I
25	just passed them out so people would have time to look

1	at them, and maybe we could talk about them later on.
2	Or if no one has a problem, those were the ones I had
3	mentioned at the last conference. And what I've done
4	is I've just put the present issue as it is and then
5	listed a Subpart 1 to show the new and additional legal
6	issue that relates back to the existing issue. They
7	are fairly simple.
8	COMMISSIONER CLARK: And the parties would
9	need to take positions on this issue prior to the final
10	I was going to say prior to the final prehearing
11	conference, or at the next prehearing conference.
12	MS. GREEN: Yes. We would need positions on
13	them, but since they are purely legal issues, they
14	would be disposed of by briefs at the end of in the
15	briefs at the end of the proceeding.
16	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Shall we set a date by
17	which they should give you their
18	MS. GREEN: Certainly.
19	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Do you have a
20	recommendation?
21	MS. GREEN: I'd say two weeks.
22	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.
23	MS. GREEN: That would be the 29th.
24	Since we're on that topic, we still need some

corrections to the draft Prehearing Order that haven't

25

1	gotten back to me. Specifically, on the current
2	version at Pages 5 through 7, all the witnesses have
3	been identified and some parties either have not given
4	us the issues numbers that their witness' testimony
5	goes to or perhaps we have overlooked it. So I would
6	like to ask that parties provide that within that same
7	two week time
8	COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right.
9	MS. GREEN: so we can get all
10	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Does everyone understand
11	that request?
12	MS. GREEN: We have a version out that shows
13	a date in the upper right-hand corner with today's date
14	and that incorporates all the changes that we know
15	about thus far, changes or corrections. There has been
16	a filing from the Florida Hotel and Motel Association
17	formally withdrawing from this proceeding.
18	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Do I need to grant the
19	withdrawal?
20	MS. GREEN: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER CLARK: The request for withdrawal
22	is granted.
23	MS. GREEN: Or acknowledged.
24	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Or acknowledged. Is it
25	appropriate now to turn to pending motions?

MS. GREEN: It's at your pleasure. 1 MR. SHREVE: Could I have just one second. 2 COMMISSIONER CLARK: 3 Sure. I think with your delay of the MR. SHREVE: 4 5 hearing that you made the other day working with Chairman Deason, I think that's hopefully going to take 6 7 care of some of the problems. I think it was really the appropriate decision to make. 8 And I would like to raise a concern that I 9 have on some rulings that I think may put us in a worse 10 bind if something isn't done in the way it's moving. 11 12 I'm not sure if it's not incumbent upon Southern Bell to do some filings and identify some 13 things first, but I'm very concerned, when you look at 14 the last case and the way it came out and all of the 15 blood bath we had at that time, was the confidentiality 16 of the overall discovery. And I just think that we 17 need to take a look at that. I know it's not up for 18 this hearing, but I think it's one thing that we should 19 put on the forefront, or I can see it really coming out 20 to be a problem because there are public records 21 demands being made on some of those documents. 22 23

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Shreve, when I went through the latest I had with respect to pending motions, under 16 and 17 there were requests for

24

25

confidential classification and requests for protective orders. I have instructed my aide to get with one of our attorneys, and it's my intention to have rulings on confidentiality done -- excuse me just a minute.

Billy, did we set a deadline which we would have those rulings done on all the confidentiality requests that had been made?

MR. STILES: Yes, we may have.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Excuse me.

MR. STILES: We may have, I do not recall.

date publicly in a meeting such as this, but we are cognizant of it and it is my intention to get it done as soon as possible. And if there are any things that need -- that you have supplied, my recollection is there is testimony or something that's been supplied and a formal request for confidentiality has not been made because of a pending Motion to Strike, you will need to, when that motion -- I believe at the appropriate time, or soon thereafter, you will need to make a request that the information be held confidential. I'm sort of getting ahead of myself here because there are some motions that I'm going to be dealing with that I think are affected by that.

MR. SHREVE: Yes. Commissioner, I think

1	there are some that will be up today. And I guess
2	really what I was bringing out is I think things could
3	work smoothly from the here on out. But I do think
4	there are some roadblocks that could come up, and I
5	think we really need to go ahead and take some action
6	on those confidentiality matters. I think the others
7	are going to be easier to get to, but those can cause
8	real problem, as we know from the past, and right now
9	those are excluded from the public records and we're
10	going to begin getting demands for those.
11	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes. I mean, that is a
12	priority with my office to rule on the confidential
13	information that we currently have requests on.
14	MR. SHREVE: Thank you, Commissioner.
15	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask a question.
16	Where in the Prehearing Order would I go for pending
17	motions?
18	MS. GREEN: That would begin at Page 191 on
19	the new version.
20	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Is this the same two
21	sheets that I looked at yesterday?
22	MS. GREEN: Yes, ma'am, it is. It has just
23	been renumbered with pulling out the Hotel and Motel
24	Association and making some of the other changes

COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. Now, I would

25

like to go through this list and deal with those

pending motions that I can. I understand that with

respect to -- there remains outstanding a request for a

Motion to Compel Information on inside wire. And what

I propose to do is a ruling on that will wait until the

full Commission rules on your Motion to Reconsider next

Tuesday.

However, to the extent it deals with allocations made to inside wire, my previous motion with respect to discovery of information on unregulated activities that relates to cost allocations, that is discoverable now. And the Motion to Compel that I already granted covers that.

MS. GREEN: Now, all the parties have a copy of your order granting in part the four motions to compel.

I checked at the Clerk's office, and they're in the process of issuing that order, but copies have been distributed to everyone here so they'll know what you're referring to. And also because it does have a time deadline for production.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. There still remains outstanding the part of the motions to compel dealing with attorney-client privilege and work product. I had indicated that there would be a ruling

on that today. I believe it would be appropriate to wait until after the agenda on Tuesday.

I understand your view, that regardless of -that that information still needs to be heard in this
docket, even if the two dockets aren't combined. But I
want to do that for two reasons: I want to give the
opportunity for the Staff to have available to them the
discovery that they have propounded on Southern Bell
with respect to that motion. And I would also order
Southern Bell to deliver those documents to me for an
in camera inspection. They need to be in Tallahassee
by the 21st.

MR. ANTHONY: Are you saying the documents that are subject to the Motion to Compel?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's right.

MS. GREEN: I believe, not wanting to interfere, but I believe what you intended to say was to deliver them, have them in their Tallahassee office so that you can call for them to bring them.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes. So I can make an in camera inspection of them.

MR. ANTHONY: There are some that are documents, like the audits that we discussed, there are some motions to compel that relate to requests for names of people who have certain knowledge, or

T	allegedly have certain knowledge. It's only those
2	things that are actually documents that you would want
3	produced; is that correct?
4	COMMISSIONER CLARK: There are two. The
5	first Motion to Compel and Request for In Camera
6	inspection, it's listed as Item No. 1. And then Item
7	No. 7. I had understood that what we were talking
8	about was four audits.
9	MR. ANTHONY: We can have those here. We
10	will have those in by the 21st, Commissioner Clark, is
11	what you said?
12	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes. That is the day I
13	would like to go
14	MR. BECK: Commissioner, there is more
15	COMMISSIONER CLARK: inspect those
16	documents, and I had intended to inspect them in my
17	office. If it works out that it's better for me to go
18	to your offices here, I will do that.
19	MR. BECK: Commissioner, there is more than
20	just audits.
21	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.
22	MR. BECK: The supplement to the first Motion
23	to Compel, that covers four audits.
24	COMMISSIONER CLARK: And then there's a fifth
25	audit, right? Is that

MR. BECK: Is that in the other docket? 1 MS. RICHARDSON: In the other docket. 2 3 MR. BECK: The fifth audit is covered by motions in the repair docket. The supplement to the 4 first Motion to Compel covers four audits: The 5 Schedule 11, the LMOS, MOOSA, and the KSRI audits. 6 No. 7, which is our Eighth Motion to Compel and Request 7 for In Camera Inspection of Documents, it's No. 7 on 8 the list here. That deals with -- the first thing that 9 comes to mind is a list of craft personnel that was 10 created as a result of a panel. 11 Southern Bell is claiming that is covered by 12 13 the privileges. Again, that is not an audit, but it is an existing document, and panel recommendation 14 summaries out of there, so there are not audits that 15 16 are documents that are covered by Item No. 7 on the 17 Prehearing Order. 18 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Is that it? 19 MR. BECK: No. Well, then we have No. 4. 20 There was a deposition conducted in June of Vice 21 President Sanders and head of personnel, Cuthbertson. 22 There's 58 instances where they refused to answer 23 deposition questions.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER CLARK: But there's nothing to

24

25

look at.

1 MR. BECK: There's no documents related to
2 that. But if you are looking at what is privileged or
3 what reflects claims of privilege, those items do, but
4 there's no documents to look at from that.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Charlie, at this point I want to know what documents they need to get down here.

MR. BECK: Well, I think the matter is covered by the supplement to the First Motion to Compel, and then Item No. 7 here, which is our Eighth Motion to Compel.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Do you understand?

MR. ANTHONY: I do, but if I understand what Mr. Beck said, on the Seventh Motion to Compel, there is a list of craft employees who may, as I recall, relate to some other issues.

We can produce that list, but I don't think

-- as we stated in our responses to the various motions
to compel, a lot of these documents, by a simple
inspection of them, won't reveal to you whether or not
they're privileged by that inspection itself. It's the
circumstances within which they were created, either
gives rise to the privilege or doesn't. So we can
produce that. I'm just not sure in a lot of these
instances whether that will provide much assistance to

you. But that's all I want in our responses to the motions to compel, and why we believe that to be the case. But if that's your order, we'll, of course, comply or the appropriate --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, it's my understanding that your argument is it's either privilege or attorney work product.

MR. ANTHONY: Yes, ma'am, or both.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And it seems to me it requires an in camera inspection for me to see what is in the documents so that I can make a ruling, because there is a distinction between what is factual and what is opinion, at least with respect to the work product.

MR. ANTHONY: If the facts were provided to Southern Bell as part if its work product or the attorney-client privilege and we've compiled those, whether they are facts or not, our gathering of them still remains privileged. The underlying facts themselves are not privileged, but our compilation of them is. And a name in and of itself, of course, is not a privileged piece of information. But the manner in which we've gathered it, as part of our investigation, can make a listing of those names privileged. And that's the important distinction. So even though the list may only have names, I don't think

that's dispositive of the issue. And that's all I'm 2 trying to point out. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. I would like to 3 4 have the documents, the audits, the list of craft personnel and the panel recommendations. 5 MS. GREEN: Now, the list of craft personnel 6 is in a motion that is not filed in this docket. 7 That's the Seventh Motion to Compel that they have been 8 discussing and it has not been filed in this docket. 9 MS. RICHARDSON: It is the Eighth Motion to 10 It's No. 7 on the list. Angela, it's titled 11 Compel. "OPC's Eighth Motion" but it's No. 7 on your list. 12 That's where the confusion is coming in, I believe. 13 MR. BECK: And that motion was filed in both 14 15 this docket and the repair docket. MS. GREEN: It's part of the eighth? 16 17 MR. BECK: Yes. MR. RICHARDSON: Yes. 18 19 I'm sorry. MS. GREEN: 20 MR. ANTHONY: Just so I'm clear on the 21 documents, it's all five audits or is it the first 22 four, which are the subject of --23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me put it this way: Be prepared to have the four down, and whatever the 24 25 vote is on Friday, if it is a consolidation, have the

fifth one down here.

MR. ANTHONY: Yes, ma'am. I'm just trying to make sure I know what's supposed to be here.

Then the panel recommendations on discipline and then the list of craft employees, those are the three documents we're talking about? I don't want to miss anything.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes.

MR. ANTHONY: Okay. Thank you.

commissioner clark: It would be my intention to review those documents as needed. At that point, we should have the information from Southern Bell. The Staff should have information they feel they need to make a recommendation. My goal is to issue an order by Tuesday after -- I'm trying to think, I don't have any calendar with me. I think it would be Tuesday the 26th.

MS. RICHARDSON: Tuesday is the 26th, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. (Pause)

Moving now to Item No. 8, the motion to require sworn

testimony sponsoring the quality of service reports, I

will require Southern Bell to have someone available at

the hearing to respond to questions about the Schedule

11.

MR. BECK: Commissioner, may I address that briefly?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes.

MR. BECK: We had a deposition that went until 7:30 last night where matters related to this were discussed.

Southern Bell has a rebuttal witness to
Staff, Wayne Tubaugh. He testified there, and I'm
leading up to the point I'm going to make, is that to
his knowledge that the reports were correct, although
there were some changes that needed to be made that he
hadn't made yet. But more importantly he was not
competent to say whether the Schedule 11's were
truthful or not, because there are matters that he did
not seek information on.

Basically he said "If I needed to know this, somebody would tell me." I would hope that you would direct Southern Bell as a corporation to supply a person who would be competent to testify about the accuracy and truthfulness of the Schedule 11's so we don't get in a position where they put a person up who says, "As far as I know, they're correct, but they may not be because I don't know."

The distinction is I would appreciate it if you would direct the Company to put somebody competent

to answer all questions about Schedule 11 on the stand.

MR. ANTHONY: Commissioner Clark, I understand Mr. Beck's point. The trouble is if you follow the allegations that have been raised, and assuming, for the sake of argument, that there's any basis to the allegations, that means that according to Public Counsel there have been instances where some of these reports have had incorrect data included. And I don't know how we could provide any single person who could testify either the report from this particular area for that particular month was or was not accurate. I understand the point he's trying to make. I just don't know as a practical matter how Southern Bell can comply with that kind of request, given the circumstances.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Certainly you have individuals who compile these reports and are responsible for their validity?

MR. ANTHONY: Mr. Tubaugh is the person responsible for compiling it and then provided based on information given to him by others.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. And would he also be the person who would know if there were inaccuracies in it?

MR. ANTHONY: If he were told that there were

inaccuracies. But he would not have firsthand knowledge.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: You will be required to produce someone who can answer questions about Schedule 11. It may be that Mr. Tubaugh needs to make inquiries of the people who provided him with information to verify that they are correct.

MR. LACKEY: May I --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I suggest you provide the name of the person within two weeks, the same as the positions on the papers, the witness who will be sponsoring the validity of the Schedule 11. (Pause)

I believe that with respect to Item No. 9, the motion to set time for intervenor testimony, in the order on the Motion to Compel, which will be issued hopefully no later than the 26th, we will set a date for filing supplemental testimony if any is needed as part of that order. So at this time we'll leave Item No. 9 pending.

I understand that 10 and 11 are items the full Commission is hearing.

With respect to Item No. 12, I will not grant the Motion to Strike at this time pending the outcome of the full Commission's decision on the consolidation.

Item No. 13, I believe, has been taken care of.

Item 14 was granted. (Pause) 1 With respect to -- is Dr. Cooper and Mr. 2 Poucher, is that testimony that is subject to the same 3 allegation, that they belong more properly in the other 4 docket? 5 MR. ANTHONY: Yes, ma'am. 6 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. That one will be 7 left pending, depending on the outcome of the 8 Commission's decision. I will also set a date for -- I 9 will either set a date, or whoever is responsible will 10 set a date for requiring you, if it's necessary, to 11 file your request for confidentiality. I understand 12 that's what's at issue here. 13 All right. We'll leave that pending. 14 MR. BECK: Commissioner, may I address that 15 16 briefly? 17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes. MR. BECK: We filed the testimony of these 18 two witnesses on November 16th. No matter what the 19 20 ruling was, whether it was stricken or not, it is a 21 part of record in this proceeding. Even if it were 22 only for appeal, that testimony has been filed and is 23 part of the record. 24 You have a rule that requires the Utility to

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

file a request for specific confidentiality whenever

25

information will be used in a docket. It is used, it has been used. It's irrevocable even if it were stricken, because it will be on the record for appeal.

Southern Bell is saying they need not file that --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: If it's stricken.

MR. BECK: -- follow that rule if it's stricken.

And it's simply not correct. It's still being used and

will be part of the record in the proceeding. So no

matter what happens, the Commission still has to rule on

whether that's confidential or not.

And what Southern Bell has done is, they're refusing to take the step forward to make it happen. In other words, they haven't even filed the request saying what portions, if any, of that testimony is confidential.

It would be our request that you order them to do that immediately. They should have done it two months ago. And meanwhile, because they haven't done that, the testimony remains confidential. So I guess I'm saying no matter what happens following, it still needs to be done.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: You're saying because it will be part of the record for any appeal you might take --

MR. BECK: Yes. 1 COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- that we still need a 2 3 ruling. Would Southern Bell like to respond to that? 4 MR. ANTHONY: Well, we'll be prepared to 5 It's fairly voluminous and we don't object to 6 respond. it. But I note that I think Southern Bell's position 7 is -- this is a unique situation. We had an order that 8 said the testimony wasn't supposed to be filed. Public 9 Counsel disregarded the motion and filed it in any 10 Southern Bell filed the Motion to Strike, and 11 event. because of that it was in a different posture. 12 Normally, we would have filed a request for 13 confidentiality, but this is in somewhat a different 14 15 posture. COMMISSIONER CLARK: It would seem to me, if 16 I'm correct, this testimony will be either heard in 17 this docket or in the other docket. That being the 18 case, the problem is you have filed it in this docket? 19 20 MR. BECK: That's correct. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Let me direct you 21 to within 20 days to file a request, because we're 22 going to have to deal with it anyway, so get it in. 23 MR. ANTHONY: We'll have that filed. 24 MR. SHREVE: Commissioner, on that 20 days, 25

this is one that I think you should be considering.

What's going to happen when we go to either one of
these hearings? And this is a situation where these
documents are public records and should be open to the
public unless there is a showing by Southern Bell that
they should be excluded. So at this point they're
being excluded from public view without any showing.

And I think it's obvious they are going to become public records, and I think you're going to have a problem when you get to the hearing in either you can't walk around all of this information. The choice is going to be the Commission's to close the hearing.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I understand that. But as I said earlier, it is my intention that every item that we have to make a ruling on the confidentiality be done.

Let me ask Angela a question. What is the rule -- what are the deadlines in the rule for requests for confidentiality?

MS. GREEN: The normal rule requires that it be filed when the document is filed unless it comes under one of the exceptions that allows 21 days.

It would seem to me -- I mean, it is a standard part of civil practice that a Motion to Strike holds the time for other types of filings. And that, I

believe, is the rationale. 1 COMMISSIONER CLARK: What is the time that 2 Public Counsel has to respond to the requests for 3 confidentiality? 4 MS. GREEN: To respond to it? Is it 14 days 5 6 to request the public from -- somebody has got my 7 rules. MR. HATCH: Under the rule it's 14 days to 8 file a request for nonconfidentiality or a response. 9 10 (Pause) 11 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'm going to continue to give them the 20 days, and I will give you your full 14 12 days to respond to them. 13 MS. GREEN: Since you did mention the part 14 about there's only a question as to whether -- where 15 16 the testimony would be heard, would it be your 17 intention, going back to Item No. 12 explicitly with 18 the Motion to Strike, would it be your intention to deny that motion as to the three pieces of testimony 19 20 that would be heard in one docket or the other and then just delay ruling on where it would be heard pending 21 22 the outcome of the consolidation? In other words --23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think that gets us to 24 the same place. 25 MS. GREEN: No, it doesn't. We have a Motion

to Strike that has not been either granted or denied. 1 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I had indicated that I 2 wouldn't rule on it depending on whether it was my 3 ability to rule on it or somebody else's ability to 4 rule on it, depending on what the Commission did. 5 MS. GREEN: It is your ability to rule on it. 6 It's filed in this docket, and you're being asked to 7 strike the testimony from the docket. 8 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Because it's in another 9 10 docket. MS. GREEN: And the only one that has really 11 any question left on it is Mr. Cresse's testimony on 12 the inside wire, depending on whether that becomes an 13 14 issue again or not. COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'm still going to 15 withhold ruling on the Motion to Strike. 16 17 MS. GREEN: Okay. COMMISSIONER CLARK: With respect to Item 16 18 and 17, as I indicated earlier, I have asked my aide to 19 work with the attorney on that and we will get rulings 20 as quickly as possible out on those, and the temporary 21 22 protective orders. With respect to Southern Bell's Motion to 23 Strike the testimony filed the 25th, I have a note that 24 25 this is the same as No. 12.

MR. ANTHONY: I believe No. 12 is Public 1 Counsel's response to No. 19. 2 COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. And with 3 respect to the motion to quash the subpoenas. Now, 4 these are subpoenas to be quashed in this docket. 5 MR. HATCH: Yes, ma'am. 6 COMMISSIONER CLARK: We'll withhold ruling on 7 that until after the ruling on consolidation. 8 With respect to Item 21, FCTA's motion to 9 dismiss, I believe that's something the full Commission 10 11 should rule on. MS. GREEN: Are you going to move that to the 12 13 rate case as you did the motion to impose penalty? COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's right. 14 MS. GREEN: Well, to the hearing. I'm sorry. 15 COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's right. I think 16 that's something that needs to be -- it's FCT's 17 allegation that their request for alternative 18 regulation does not meet the requirement of the 19 statute. 20 MR. DUNBAR: Yes, Commissioner, that's 21 22 correct. COMMISSIONER CLARK: I believe that that 23 should be an issue in the case. And it can be by way 24 25 of a motion to dismiss.

MR. DUNBAR: Forgive me, because I was 1 reading something when you began the conversation. 2 think that's the status of where we are. We did file 3 4 such a motion; basically that the Commission lacks subject matter jurisdiction because there are missing 5 elements as a result of the facts and the testimony 6 7 that have developed since the initial filing. understanding from last week was that was a motion to 8 be argued before the full Commission. 9 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right. I'm just 10 clarifying that. All right. 11 Attorney General's and OPC's motion for order 12 clarifying scope and purpose. The time has not run for 13 a response to that; is that correct? 14 MR. ANTHONY: 15 That is correct. 16 17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: So that is not ripe for 18 decision. Is there any other matter that I need to take 19 up at this time? 20 I believe you wanted to address 21 MS. GREEN: some additional dates for the proceeding, and I don't 22 know what your position is as to dealing with order of 23 24 witnesses. It sounds like it might be more appropriate 25 pending the outcome of the Tuesday ruling, but I

1	believe you had identified some additional dates.
2	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Before I get to that, is
3	there anything else that needs to be taken care of
4	today?
5	MS. GREEN: We may need to we may need to
6	put on the record the final result from last week's
7	request on stipulating and merging issues.
8	COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. Okay.
9	MS. GREEN: I'm going to let Ms. Norton
10	address that.
11	COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's fine.
12	MS. NORTON: Commissioner, the pending issues
13	were 15m, as in Mary, and Public Counsel has agreed to
14	drop that issue and we'd like Southern Bell's position
15	on that.
16	MR. ANTHONY: We have no objection to that
17	being deleted.
18	MS. NORTON: The same on 17g.
19	MR. ANTHONY: No objection to that being
20	deleted as well.
21	MS. NORTON: The last one was Issue 22 and
22	Public Counsel has agreed with our proposal to delete
23	that issue.
24	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Anything else?
25	MS. NORTON: Those were the remaining ones.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I have looked at my calendar and set aside dates that we can reconvene this prehearing conference as it is necessary to deal with pending matters.

It's my intent to have the case completely organized so there are not a lot of preliminary matters that we need to take care of at the beginning of the hearing. That takes up a lot of time and we don't have a lot of time at the hearing.

And I'd like to make sure that the Prehearing Order is complete and delivered to the Commissioners as early as possible.

With that in mind, I would like to continue this hearing to January 29th to begin at 9:30 in this room. There is not enough time for me to notice that in the Florida Administrative Weekly, so I'm just going to continue this hearing until that time.

You will need to get in touch with Staff the day before. They will know what issues I'm aware of that I need to take up. If you have an issue that needs to be taken up you can tell them. It may be we can cancel that hearing, that prehearing status conference, because there's nothing to take up.

I will also reserve February 5th, 12th and 23rd. The meeting on the 5th continuation of the

conference will be in Room 106. And the meeting on the 1 2 12th and 23rd will be in Room 122. They will also 3 begin at 9:30. 4 They are being reserved in the event we need 5 them. Again, you need to call and get in touch with 6 the Staff to be sure that we are, in fact, going to hold those status conferences. 7 MS. GREEN: Is it your intention that 8 9 February 23rd be the final prehearing conference? COMMISSIONER CLARK: I beg your pardon. That 10 we will convene. We will have a final prehearing 11 12 conference on the 23rd. If there's nothing further we'll adjourn this 13 hearing until the 29th. Thank you very much. 14 (Thereupon, the hearing concluded at 10:25 15 a.m.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS COUNTY OF LEON) 2 3 We, JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR, and SYDNEY C. SILVA, CSR, RPR, Official Commission Reporters, 4 DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the hearing, in the 5 captioned matter, Docket No. 920260-TL, was heard by 6 7 the Florida Public Service Commission at the time and 8 place herein stated; it is further 9 CERTIFIED that we reported in shorthand the proceedings held at such time and place; that the same 10 has been transcribed under our direct supervision, and 11 that this transcript, consisting of 33 pages, 12 constitutes a true and accurate transcription of our 13 notes of said proceedings; it is further 14 CERTIFIED that we are neither of counsel nor 15 related to the parties in said cause and have no 16 interest, financial or otherwise, in the outcome of 17 this docket. 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have hereunto set our 19 hands at Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida, this 15th 20 day of January, A.D., 1993. 21 22 SYDNEY OFFICIAL COMMISSION REPORTERS 23 Florida Public Service Commission Fletcher Building, Room 104 24 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone No. (904) 488-5981 25