LAW OFFICES

#### ROSE, SUNDSTROM & BENTLEY

A PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS 2548 BLAIRSTONE PINES DRIVE TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301

(904) 877-6555

ROBERT A. ANTISTA CHRIS H. BENTLEY, PA. F. MARSHALL DETERDING MARTIN S. FRIEDMAN, PA. JOHN R. JENKINS ROBERT M. C. ROSE, PA. WILLIAM E. SUNDSTROM, PA. JOHN L. WHARTON MAILING ADDRESS POST OFFICE BOX 1567 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32302-1567

TELECOPIER (904) 656-4029

30 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

## March 30, 1993

JOHN R. WODRASKA SPECIAL CONSULTANT (NOT A MEMBER OF THE FLORIDA BAR)

### VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. Steve Tribble Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 3239-0850

Re: PSC Docket No. 900025-WS Application for Staff Assisted Rate Case in Pasco County by Shady Oaks Mobile-Modular Estates, Inc. Our File No. 29056.01

Dear Mr. Tribble:

ACK

LIN

OTH \_Enclosures

Please find enclosed the original and fifteen copies of the Direct Testimony of Richard D. Sims filed on behalf of Shady Oaks Mobile-Modular Estates, Inc., in the above-referenced matter.

The exact name of the Company and the address of its principal business office is:

Shady Oaks Mobile-Modular Estates, Inc. Mr. R. D. Sims 1315 Eckles Drive Tampa, Florida 33612

AFA Copies of all correspondence, communications, notices, orders, APP or pleadings should be served upon the undersigned counsel at the CAF addresses shown below:

CMU F. Marshall Deterding, Esquire CTR Rose, Sundstrom & Bentley 2548 Blairstone Pines Drive Tallahassee, FL 32301 LEG

If you have any questions in this regard, please let me know.

Sincerely OPC RECEIVED & FILED RCH SEC Marshall DeterdingCUMENT F. EAU OF RECORDS FMD/kkj

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		APPLICATION FOR STAFF ASSISTED RATE CASE IN
3		PASCO COUNTY BY SHADY OAKS MOBILE-MODULAR ESTATES, INC.
4		DOCKET NO. 900025-WS
5		ON BEHALF OF SHADY OAKS MOBILE-MODULAR ESTATES, INC.
6		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RICHARD D. SIMS
7		
8	Q.	Please state your name and employment address.
9	Α.	My name is Richard D. Sims. My employment address is 1315
10		Eckles Drive, Tampa, FL 33612.
11	Q.	What is your position with Shady Oaks Mobile Modular Estates
12		Inc.?
13	Α.	I am President of that corporation.
14	Q.	Did the utility timely comply with Commission Orders Nos.
15		24084 and 25296 with respect to meter installation
16		requirements?
17	Α.	The utility made a diligent effort to comply with the
18		Commission's August, 1991 deadline, but was unable to meet the
19		deadline because of financial restraints occasioned by the
20		unwillingness of ratepayers to timely pay charges from March,
21		1991, to September, 1991. The Commission has been unrealistic
22		in its views of Respondent's liquidity, cash flow problems and
23		its absence of any reserve funds whatsoever. In light of the
24		realities of Respondent's financial condition, the fact that
25		the last meter was installed on June 17, 1992, should be

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

1 viewed as understandable in view of the circumstances. 2 Has the Utility complied with Commission Orders Nos. 24084 and Q. 3 25296 with respect to improving its quality of service? 4 Α. The quality of service has improved dramatically over the past 5 five months. The decision to close the recreation facilities 6 in the fall of 1991 gave rise to numerous complaints to the 7 Commission concerning inadequate utility service. The 8 reopening of the recreation facilities in November, 1992, along with discussions of 9 its sale to the Homeowners Association, measurable increased harmony between the utility 10 owners. 11 and the Complaints thereafter were greatly The delay in completing the installation of the 12 diminished. meters until June, 1992, is strictly attributable to lack of 13 liquidity and cash flow problems. A \$25,000.00 loan (secured 14 by a certificate o deposit inherited by my wife from her great 15 Aunt) enabled the utility to complete the installations about 16 70 \days beyond the deadline. While cash flow constraints 17 18 prevented the utility from implementing the \$1,700.00 monthly maintenance program as ordered by the Commission - maintenance 19 is presently begin adequately performed. Recently, a blower 20 been ordered and the well casing raised etc. 21 has considerable progress is underway in regard to enhancing the 22 value of the utility. 23

Q. Has the utility complied with Commission Orders Nos. 24084 and
25 25296 with respect to the name change and restructure

2

1

## requirements?

2 Α. The utility was remiss in not moving promptly to redress the 3 name change issue. In June of 1992, the utility filed 4 bankruptcy and was thereafter under the jurisdiction of the 5 bankruptcy court. The utility requested assistance and 6 information to guide it in effecting the name change and 7 completing the required paper, but the Staff thus far has not 8 furnished these guidelines. The utility is most anxious to 9 resolve this issue as soon as possible, especially now that the bankruptcy case has been dismissed. 10

11 Has the utility complied with Commission Orders Nos. 24084 and Q. 25296 with respect to the preventive maintenance requirements? 12 13 Α. The ratepayers were paying \$25.00 per month from March through 14 August, 1991, and then \$42.98 thereafter, an increase of 15 \$17.98 per month, and \$12.69 per month was required to be 16 escrowed. In essence, what occurred was that the \$25.00 17 represented the fee for the Recreation Center only and the \$42.98 was for utilities only. Hence we closed the recreation 18 center. This boils down to simply inadequate income from any 19 20 reasonable viewpoint, to comply with preventative maintenance requirements. Furthermore, when the homeowners were 21 on vacation, no fees were required to be paid, and this greatly 22 23 impacted utility income. In the summer, over half of the ratepayers were away and on vacation. 24

25 Q. Has the utility complied with Commission Orders Nos. 24084 and

3

1

25296 with respect to escrow requirements?

2 The present escrow balance is \$9,377.95. This escrow balance Α. if far less than required simply because cash resources were 3 less than anticipated. The utility has incurred a short-fall 4 in income over ordinary and necessary expenses up until the 5 (See Exhibit "A" attached reflecting the 6 present date. 7 December, 1992 income statement). It is simply expecting too much to expect the utility to comply with the Commission's 8 escrow mandate. The present income precludes all "bases being 9 filled" and continue normal operations. In this instance the 10 utility elected - indeed it was forced to elect to, not "fill 11 this base." The rate base is simply too low to cover all the 12 expenses and regulatory mandates. 13

# Q. What punitive action should the Commission take against theUtility?

The utility's present rate base is simply inadequate. The 16 Α. installation of the meters has actually reduced anticipated 17 and actual income. For example, if the \$42.98 per month rate 18 allowed by the Commission factored in the \$12.69 escrow amount 19 to yield about \$30.00 per monthly per ratepayer. This is the 20 present average amount paid by ratepayers, and leaves no 21 margin for escrow payments. The utility is compelled to live 22 The utility has done and continues to with and endure. 23 perform to the best of its ability at the present time in 24 light of the inadequate rate base. To punish the utility 25

4

along the lines as recommended by the Commission (\$60,572.00 plus certificate revocation) would be an unreasonable action, and one that would impact adversely on the community. The concern should be focused on how the utility can raise approximately \$125,000.00, the capital to connect its sewer lines in to Pasco County. How to secure a lender, how to repay the additional indebtedness; rather than with fines and retribution. The utility needs a helping hand, and hopes the Commission can provide a better rate base to enable it to proceed with its plans for the future. Do you have any further testimony to provide at this time? Q. Α. No.