## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Comprehensive review of ) DOCKET NO. 920260-TL revenue requirements and ) of ) stabilization plan TELEPHONE AND ) SOUTHERN BELL TELEGRAPH COMPANY.

Show cause proceedings ) DOCKET NO. 900960-TL against SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE ) COMPANY for ) TELEGRAPH misbilling customers.

In Re: Petition on behalf of ) DOCKET NO. 910163-TL Citizens of the State of Florida ) to initiate investigation into ) SOUTHERN BELL ) integrity of AND TELEGRAPH ) TELEPHONE COMPANY'S repair service) activities and reports.

Investigation into ) DOCKET NO. 910727-TL In Re: SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND ) ORDER NO. PSC-93-0963-CFO-TL TELEGRAPH COMPANY's compliance ) ISSUED: June 28, 1993 with Rule 25-4.110(2), F.A.C., ) Rebates.

## ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION OF DOCUMENT NO. 2489-93

On March 4, 1993, Bell South Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell or the Company) filed a Request for Confidential Classification of specified information provided as exhibits 1a, 1b, 2a and 2b during the deposition of Wayne Tubaugh. The Response has been assigned Document No. 2489-93 by the Commission.

Florida law provides, in Section 119.01, Florida Statutes, that documents submitted to governmental agencies shall be public records. This law derives from the concept that government should operate in the "sunshine." The only exceptions to this law are specific statutory exemptions and exemptions granted governmental agencies pursuant to the specific terms of a statutory provision.

Accordingly, pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, it is the Company's burden to show that the material submitted is qualified for specified confidential classification. Rule 25-22.006 provides

> DOCUMENT TO THE DATE 06951 JUN 28 8

ORDER NO. PSC-93-0963-CF0-TL DOCKETS NOS. 920260-TL, 900960-TL, 910163-TL, 910727-TL PAGE 2

that the Company may fulfill its burden by demonstrating that the documents fall into one of the statutory examples set forth in Section 364.183 or by demonstrating that the information is proprietary confidential information, the disclosure of which will cause the Company or its ratepayers harm.

To this end, the Company asserts that the material includes customer specific information including the name, address and telephone numbers of subscribers. The Company also asserts Section 119.07(3)(w), Florida Statutes, specifically provides that such material is entitled to confidential classification.

In a general sense the Company's assertions are correct. However, the material in question relates to consumer complaints filed with the Division of Consumer Affairs. Accordingly, that information has already become a public record and cannot be assigned confidential treatment.

Therefore, the material cannot be classified as proprietary confidential business information pursuant to Section 364.183(3)(a),(e), Florida Statutes. Accordingly, the Company's Request for Confidential Classification of Pocument No. 2489-93 is denied.

Based upon the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, that Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company's Request for Confidential Classification filed on March 4, 1993 is denied.

By ORDER of Commissioner Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, this 28th day of June 1993.

SUSAN F. CLARK, Commissioner and

Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

JKA

ORDER NO. PSC-93-0963-CFO-TL DOCKETS NOS. 920260-TL, 900960-TL, 910163-TL, 910727-TL PAGE 3

## NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.