

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition by residents of ) DOCKET NO. 920699-TL  
Alligator Point requesting ) ORDER NO. PSC-93-1038-FOF-TL  
extended area service (EAS) from ) ISSUED: 7/14/93  
Alligator Point to Sopchoppy, )  
Panacea, Crawfordville, St. )  
Marks and Tallahassee. )  
\_\_\_\_\_)

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

J. TERRY DEASON, Chairman  
THOMAS M. BEARD  
SUSAN F. CLARK  
JULIA L. JOHNSON  
LUIS J. LAUREDO

ORDER REQUIRING IMPLEMENTATION  
OF EXTENDED AREA SERVICE AND  
WITHDRAWING CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT  
OF DOCUMENTS NOS. 12796-92 AND 12813-92

BY THE COMMISSION:

By Order No. PSC-93-0354-FOF-TL, issued March 8, 1993, we announced our intention to require implementation of extended area service (EAS) between the Alligator Point exchange and the Tallahassee, Panacea, Crawfordville, Sopchoppy, and St. Marks exchanges, upon terms and conditions specified in that Order. The Alligator Point exchange is served by St. Joseph Telephone and Telegraph Company (St. Joe), while the Tallahassee, Panacea, Crawfordville, Sopchoppy, and St. Marks exchanges are served by Central Telephone Company of Florida (Centel). Our proposed action became final and effective on March 30, 1993, after expiration of the protest period.

Order No. PSC-93-0354-FOF-TL required St. Joe to survey the subscribers in the Alligator Point exchange for implementation of flat rate, two-way, nonoptional EAS under rates set out in the Order, to and from the Tallahassee, Panacea, Crawfordville, Sopchoppy, and St. Marks exchanges. St. Joe mailed 440 ballots to all customers of record in the Alligator Point exchange, to be returned within 30 days.

340 or 77.27% of the ballots were returned. 279 subscribers (82.06%) voted in favor of the EAS plan, while 49 subscribers

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07545 JUL 14 93

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. PSC-93-1038-FOF-TL  
DOCKET NO. 920699-TL  
PAGE 2

(14.41%) voted against it. 12 ballots (3.52%) were invalid. 28.2% of the ballots were not returned. In order for the survey to pass, at least 40% of the ballots mailed must be returned and a majority of the respondents must vote favorably. Therefore, the survey has passed and St. Joe and Centel are hereby directed to take the necessary action to implement the provisions of Order No. PSC-93-0354-FOF-TL, including the filing of appropriate tariff revisions, as soon as possible, but no later than twelve months from the date of this Order, in accordance with the terms and conditions specified in Order No. PSC-93-0354-FOF-TL.

Finally, because flat rate, nonoptional, two-way EAS will be implemented on these routes, it will no longer be necessary to maintain the confidential status of the traffic study data. Accordingly, the confidentiality granted by Order No. PSC-92-1460-CFO-TL, issued December 16, 1992, is hereby withdrawn.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that St. Joseph Telephone and Telegraph Company and Central Telephone Company of Florida shall implement extended area service pursuant to the terms set forth herein. It is further

ORDERED that the confidential status granted to Documents Nos. 12796-92 and 12813-92 by Order No. PSC-92-1460-CFO-TL is hereby withdrawn. It is further

ORDERED that this docket shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission, this 14th day of July, 1993.

STEVE TRIBBLE, Director  
Division of Records and Reporting

by: Kay Helms  
Chief, Bureau of Records

( S E A L )

ABG

ORDER NO. PSC-93-1038-FOF-TL  
DOCKET NO. 920699-TL  
PAGE 3

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or sewer utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900 (a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.