BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 910163-TL

FILED: July 21, 1992

4

5

6

1

2

3

IN RE: Petition on behalf of CITIZENS OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA to initiate investigation into integrity of SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE & TELEGRAPH COMPANY'S repair service activities and reports.

7

8

9

11

12

DEPOSITION OF: 10

TAKEN AT THE INSTANCE OF:

PLACE: 13

DATE:

REPORTED EY:

14

15 TIME:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



CLINTON G. SMITH

Office of Public Counsel

Southern Bell Offices 903 West University Avenue

Gainesville, Florida 32601

Commenced at 1:10 p.m. Concluded at 1:34 p.m.

Thursday, July 30, 1992

Marie C. Gentry Court Reporter

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

HARIE C. GENTRY & ASSOCIATES Court Reporters 1329-A Kingsley Avenue 1536 OCT 26 % Orange Park, Florida 32073 (904) 264-2943

s i de necollections en me

APPEARANCES:

CHARLES J. BECK, ESQUIRE, Associate Public Counsel,
Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 W.
Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400.
Telephone No. (904) 488-9330.

TRACY HATCH, ESQUIRE, Chief, Bureau of Communications, Division of Legal Services, Florida Public Service Commission, 101 E. Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0863, Attorney for Florida Public Service Commission. Telephone No. (904) 487-2740.

R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQUIRE, and NANCY B. WHITE, ESQUIRE, 4300 Southern Bell Center, 675 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30375, Attorneys for Southern Bell. Telephone No. (404) 529-5387.

TERRILL BOOKER, Engineer, Bureau of Service Evaluation, Division of Communications, Florida Public Service Commission, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0866. Telephone No. (904) 488-1280.

RONALD D. SURRENCY, ESQUIRE, Barton, Davis and Fernandes, 200 N.E. 1st Street, Gainesville, Florida 32601, Attorneys for Clinton G. Smith. Telephone No. (904) 376-4671.

INDEX

ž ,

. 2		Page	No.
3	ERRATA SHEET	4	
4	STIPULATION	5	
5	AFFIDAVIT OF DEPONENT	23	
6	CERTIFICATE OF OATH	24	
7	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	25	
8			
9	WITNESS		
10	CLINTON G. SMITH		
11	Examination by Mr. Beck	6	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

STIPULATION

IT IS STIPULATED that this deposition was taken pursuant to notice in accordance with the applicable Florida Rules of Civil Procedure; that objections, except as to the form of the question, are reserved until hearing in this cause; and that reading and signing was not waived.

IT IS ALSO STIPULATED that any off-the-record conversations are with the consent of the deponent.

CLINTON G. SMITH,

appeared as a witness and, after being duly sworn by the court reporter, testified as follows:

MR. LACKEY: Mr. Smith, my name is Doug Lackey.

I'm an attorney representing BellSoutn

Telecommunications, Inc., and Southern Bell in this proceeding.

Before we begin the questions there are a couple of preliminary matters that we need to take care of.

This lady is a court reporter and she's taking down everything I'm saying and she's going to take down the questions that Mr. Beck or Mr. Hatch ask you and your answers as well, and at some juncture what she is taking down may be reduced to writing and at that time you'll have the right to review the deposition and make any corrections that need to be made and to sign it. It's my understanding that you do not wish to waive that right; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct, yes, sir.

MR. LACKEY: The second issue is an instruction that I have to give you and it's somewhat complicated here in Gainesville, so I will go through it and, if you don't understand it, I'll be happy to explain it in any more detail that you need.

23

24

25

You're going to be asked a series of questions in this deposition and it may be that one or more of them will call for information which you may have learned as a result of in investigation conducted by Southern Bell at the instigation of the Legal Department. If such a question is asked, I will object to the question on the grounds that it calls for privileged information and I will instruct you not to answer the question. with such questions it's possible that you might have personal knowledge obtained other than as a result of the investigation that might be responsive to such a question. In that case, I would like to request you, subject to your Counsel's advice, to answer those questions as fully and completely as you can based on your own personal knowledge.

It is also possible that a question will be asked and I will not object but you realize that in order to respond to the question you'll have to reveal something that you learned as a result of the investigation that I made reference to a moment ago. And, if that is the case, what you need to do is turn to your attorney or to me and say we need to stop and talk for a moment and let me see what the information is and then I'll handle it from there.

Now, the point of all of this is that the

investigation, which I'm going to talk about it a little bit more in a minute, that we are referring to, we have asserted as privileged and I don't want you through my inadvertence to reveal information to Mr. Beck or Mr. Hatch that we consider to be privileged.

This is all complicated here in Gainesville because there were two investigations. The first investigation was done back in October, November, December of 1990, perhaps even into January of '91 and it involved out-of-service reports. Perhaps you'll recognize the investigation if I tell you that it was involving certain Test OKs that were listed as out of service. That investigation was conducted by the Security Department of the company and we do not consider it to be privileged, and if you know anything about it and Mr. Beck or Mr. Hatch asks you anything that would call for information that you had learned through that investigation, please feel free to answer, again subject to your own attorney's instructions.

The investigation that I'm concerned with is one that occurred subsequent, after the investigation at the end of 1990, and if you were involved in it it would have involved interviews or meetings with

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

attorneys representing Southern Bell and perhaps security people as well. Okay?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. LACKEY: Is my instruction clear? Do you need any help?

THE WITNESS: I believe it's pretty clear.

MR. LACKEY: If at any time you have a question or there's an issue that you're not sure about, please just say so and we'll be happy to take care of it.

THE WITNESS: No problem.

MR. SURRENCY: Just to clarify your instruction, when you said security people as well, security people by themselves was a different matter?

MR. LACKEY: Yes, there were two investigations. The first one was just run by the Security Department.

MR. SURRENCY: I understand that. But as to Mr. Smith talking about any instances after October of '90 and January of '91, after that time frame where he met solely with the security personnel would be something that you all aren't concerned about?

MR. LACKEY: Well, I have to be a little circumspect in answering that. It's my understanding that Mr. Smith had a discussion regarding the investigation that I'm concerned with that there would have been an attorney present as well as perhaps

someone from the Security Department. But if he has had an interview with the Security Department where he doesn't believe there was an attorney present, we may need to go off and talk about it and let me see if

MR. SURRENCY: That's a good guideline on that.

If there's anything having to do with that area with
just a security person, we'll talk about that.

I can establish which investigation it was a part of.

MR. LACKEY: And, again, it's not my place to tell you but I will anyway since I'm doing the talking, if Mr. Beck or Mr. Hatch asks you a question that's not clear, you don't understand, just tell them so and they'll rephrase it. They are doing a lot of that.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. BECK:

- Q Mr. Smith, my name is Charlie Beck.
- A Yes, sir.
 - O I'm with the Office of Public Counsel.
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And I'm going to start off. Others may have some questions after I'm finished.

Could you please state your name?

- A Clinton, C-1-i-n-t-o-n, G. Smith.
- Q Are you employed by Southern Bell?

1	A	Yes, sir.
. 2	Q	What position do you hold?
3	A	Currently I'm an assistant manager over 1&M
4	network.	
5	O	That's installation and maintenance?
6	A	Yes, sir.
7	Q	How long have you held that position?
8	A	It will be two years January 1st.
9	Q	What position did you hold before that?
10	A	I was the installation control supervisor in the
11	maintenar	ce center.
12	0	How long did you hold that job?
13	A	I had that job for approximately, I think, two
14	years.	
15	Q	In jobs before that have you been involved in the
16	installat	ion and maintenance center also?
17	A	Yes, sir. I worked in the installation and
18	maintenan	ce center in the load control environment for three
19	years.	
20	0	·
21		· ·
22		
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	0	Was that in about March of this year, if you
25	recall?	

1	A	I can't remember the specific date. I mean, I have
2	a copy of	it, but it was in March.
3	Q	Do you recall what they told you during the
4	meeting?	
5	A	
6		
7	Q	
8	A	
9	Q	
10		
11	A	
12	Q	
13	A	
14	Q	
15	Α	
16	O	
17		
18	A	
19	Q	
20		
21	A	
22	¢	
23	A	
24		
25		

. # .

MARIE C. GENTRY & ASSOCIATES

```
Q
         A
2
 3
 4
         Α
5
 6
7
8
9
         Α
10
11
12
13
         Q
14
15
         A
16
17
18
                MR. SURRENCY: Do we need to discuss it?
19
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
20
                MR. BECK: Okay.
21
                (Off the record.)
22
         A
23
24
25
```

Perry, general manager, and also Charlie Cuthbertson, personnel, when they came over here and pulled selected managers that work in the installation and maintenance control center in this room and flashed up on an overhead projector the outlining areas of Gainesville where an out-of-service base condition had been padded.

Q Did they tell you how it had been padded?

A Yes, sir. They actually showed it on an overhead projector.

O How was it they showed you that it was padded?

A That some individual had created out-cf-service trouble reports going down the phone directory, one right after the other, creating a trouble report and then closing it out a minute later.

Q And in that meeting I guess there were a number of managers?

A There was approximately eight to ten managers.

Q

A Yes, sir.

Q

A It's just that, you know, -- to the best of my

MARIE C. GENTRY & ASSOCIATES

5 J

R

Q Do you have any knowledge of persons backing up times on repair reports to a time other than the cleared time?

A No, sir.

Q It used to be a practice that you would back up times for the cleared time, would you not, on reports?

A Your outside field services technicians, and I'm not completely positive, I'd have to do a little more research, they have -- especially, we're going back two years. For instance, if you go out on a customer trouble report and it's an out-of-service condition and you clear this condition and you have additional work to perform at this residence, you would continue on with your work and they would have the availability with their computerized access terminal called a CAT terminal where they can show service restored, service cleared and then off a certain trouble at a specific time, which would not be incorporated in the out-of-service time parameters.

Q Have you ever suggested to anyone that they back up the time on an out-of-service report to some time other than the cleared time?

- A Absolutely not.
- Have you ever heard of anybody doing that?
- A I have heard of it, yes, sir.

Here in Gainesville?

Q

codes such as lightning in instances where it didn't apply to the situation?

- A No, sir.
- Q Have you heard of that ever being done?
- A Then again I have heard, yes, it has been done, but I have never been involved personally or seen any specific instances where it has happened other than the -- and this doesn't really address the exclusion code as much as it does the padding the base. I saw -- Mr. Randy Perry and Cuthbertson both on the overhead projector showed us. I mean, that's the only specifics I've seen.
- Q They showed you the results of samebody going through the phone book?
 - A Correct; exactly.
- Q Did they show you any other types of incorrect entries or improper entries on out of service during that meeting?
- A No, sir, I don't believe so. At that point in time they zeroed right in on the padding of the out-of-service base in the outlining CDOs, Micancpy, Hawthorne, Keystone, those --
- Q Have you ever told or suggested to anyone that they use an exclude code such as lightning in instances where it didn't apply to the repair?
 - A Absolutely not.

I would like to make one comment going back into my

24

background. I gave you approximately five or six years back. Prior to that I was on the Southern Bell staff out of Jacksonville which was an implementation group of methods and procedures and that's -- I mean, I'm knowledgeable of a lot of the indices and the PSC requirements and things of this nature and I have done training at different points in time in my career but that was only in the positive sense within the legal parameters.

Q Do you have any knowledge of persons using Test
OKs, or statusing Test OK service reports as out-of-service
reports?

A No, sir.

Q Has anybody mentioned to you that they had any knowledge about persons doing that here in Gainesville?

- A Statusing something as a Test OK?
- Q Statusing a report that tested OK --
- A As an out-of-service condition?
- O Correct.
- A No, sir.

Q Do you have any knowledge of persons excluding repair reports that were about to miss their commitment and then reopening them as employee-generated reports?

A No. sir.

Q Do you have any knowledge of persons statusing affecting service reports as out-of-service reports?

... 8

A No, sir. But on the answer to that, I'm not sure if the services technician has the capability to status something out of service if, in reality, he got out to the premise and it was statused service affecting. I'm not sure if that would be in the hand-held terminal. But I don't know anybody specifically.

Other than the instance about going through the directory and adding the reports, do you have any knowledge of persons using fictitious employee codes on repair reports?

A No, sir.

O Other that the types of things we've already discussed, do you have any knowledge of any other ways persons may have built up the out-of-service base improperly?

A No, sir.

Q Have you heard of any such things going on in Gainesville?

A No, sir.

Q Do you have any knowledge of persons falsifying customer repair records in any way that we haven't discussed already today?

A No, sir.

MR. BECK: Thank you. I have no other questions.

MR. HATCH: I don't have any questions.

MR. LACKEY: Thank you, Mr. Smith.

(Witness excused)

```
(Whereupon, at 1:34 o'clock p.m., the deposition was concluded.)
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

AFFIDAVIT OF DEPONENT

This is to certify that I, CLINTON G. SMITH, have read the foregoing transcription of my testimony, Page 6 through 22, given on July 30, 1992, in Docket No. 910163-TL, and find the same to be true and correct, with the exceptions, and/or corrections, if any, as shown on the errata sheet attached hereto.

CLINTON G. SMITH

Sworn to and sub	oscribea	before	me	this
day of _			. 9	19
NOTARY PUBLIC				
State of				
My Commission Ex	pires:			

F L O R I D A)
COUNTY OF CLAY)

I, the undersigned authority, certify that Clinton G. Smith personally appeared before me and was duly sworn.

of October, 1993.

MARIE C. GENTRY Notary Public - State of Florida

My Commission No. CC251748 Expires: January 21, 1997

OFFICE LEFT ANY SEAL
MALIGIO OTOLINA
NOTATO FOR THE TAKE OF FLORIDA
CONSCIPE 1 - 150, CC2517N6
MY COM LECTOR SIZ JAMES 1997

STATE OF FLORIDA) 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF CLAY 2 3 I, Marie C. Gentry, Court Reporter, 4 DO HEREBY CERTIFY that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing deposition of CLINTON 5 G. SMITH; I FURTHER CERTIFY that this transcript, consisting 6 of 25 pages, constitutes a true record of the testimony given by the witness. 7 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially 9 interested in the action.

DATED this 15 day of 1993. 10 11 12 Court Reporter Telephone No. (904) 264-2943 13 14 15 STATE OF FLORIDA) 16 COUNTY OF CLAY 17 The foregoing certificate was acknowledged before 18 day of October 1993. by Marie C. Gentry, who is personally known to me. 19 20 21 Notary Public - State of Florida 22 23

24

3

DOCKET NO. 910163-TL NAME: CLINTON G. SMITH DATE: July 30, 1992

4	Page	Line	
Î	Ī		
5		1	<u> </u>
6		1	
	1	1	
7			
8	 	1	
9	 	<u> </u>	
		İ	
LO	 	ļ	
11	1	<u> </u>	
L2	ļ		
	ļ 	<u> </u>	
L3	 	<u> </u>	
L4	† 		
15	<u> </u>	1	
۱6	 	<u> </u>	
L7			
L8	 	l: 1 i	
ا و∟			
0	<u> </u>		
1			
22	<u> </u>		
23			
4			
5	1		