BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 1 DOCKET NO. 910163-TL 2 FILED: July 21, 1992 3 4 IN RE: Petition on behalf of CITIZENS 5 OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA to initiate investigation into integrity of SOUTHERN 6 BELL TELEPHONE & TELEGRAPH COMPANY'S repair service activities and reports. 7 8 9 DONALD LEWIS HOUSE DEPOSITION OF: 10 11 TAKEN AT THE INSTANCE OF: Office of Public Counsel 12 Southern Bell Offices PLACE: 13 903 West University Avenue Gainesville, Florida 32601 14 15 Commenced at 11:30 a.m. TIME: Concluded at 11:55 a.m. 16 17 DATE: Thursday, July 30, 1992 18 REPORTED BY: Marie C. Gentry 19 Court Reporter 20 21 22 23 24

COPY

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

25

11546 OCT 26 E

and the mind to with a way that it would be the

MARIE C. GENTRY & ASSOCIATES

Court Reporters
1329-A Kingsley Avenue
Orange Park, Florida 32073
(904) 264-2943

APPEARANCES:

CHARLES J. BECK, ESQUIRE, Associate Public Counsel, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400. Telephone No. (904) 488-9330.

6

7

8

9

10

5

1

2

3

TRACY HATCH, ESQUIRE, Chief, Bureau of Communications. Division of Legal Services, Florida Public Service Commission, 101 E. Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0863, Attorney for Florida Public Service Commission. Telephone No. (904) 487-2740.

12

13

11

R. DOUGLAS LACKEY, ESQUIRE, and NANCY B. WHITE, ESQUIRE, 4300 Southern Bell Center, 675 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30375, Attorneys for Southern Bell. Telephone No. (404) 529-5387.

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

TERRILL BOOKER, Engineer, Bureau of Service Evaluation, Division of Communications, Florida Public Service Commission, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0866. Telephone No. (904) 488-1280.

22

23

STEVEN A. LEITMAN, Lewis, Price & Leitman, 203 washington Street, Jacksonville, Florida 32202, Attorney for Donald Lewis House. Telephone No. (904) 356-3993.

<u>I N D E X</u>

2		Page	<u>No</u> .
3	ERRATA SHEET	4	
4	STIPULATION	5	
5	AFFIDAVIT OF DEPONENT	24	
6	CERTIFICATE OF OATH	25	
7	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	26	
8			
9	WITNESS		
10	DONALD LEWIS HOUSE		
11	Examination by Mr. Beck	6	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	:		
25			

STIPULATION

IT IS STIPULATED that this deposition was taken pursuant to notice in accordance with the applicable Florida Rules of Civil Procedure; that objections, except as to the form of the question, are reserved until hearing in this cause; and that reading and signing was not waived.

IT IS ALSO STIPULATED that any off-the-record conversations are with the consent of the deponent.

DONALD LEWIS HOUSE,

appeared as a witness and, after being duly sworn by the court reporter, testified as follows:

MR. LACKEY: Mr. House, my name is Doug Lackey.

I'm representing BellSouth Telecommunications and

Southern Bell in this deposition.

We've got a couple of preliminary matters we need to take care of before these folks start asking you questions.

First, this lady is a court reporter and she's taking down everything that I'm saying, she's going to take down all the questions and your answers. We do not know yet whether this will be transcribed but it may be at some point and, in those circumstances, you are entitled to read, make any corrections to it, and sign this deposition. It's my understanding that you do not want to waive that right.

MR. LEITMAN: That's correct.

MR. LACKEY: The second thing I need to do is to give you an instruction and I'm going to try to make it as clear as I can, but if anything is confusing to you and you don't understand it, if you'll simply tell me so, I'll try to clarify it.

It may be in the course of this deposition that

Mr. Hatch or Mr. Beck asks you a question which would require you to divulge information that you learned during the course of an investigation conducted by Southern Bell under the direction of its Legal Department. If such a question is asked, I'm going to object on the grounds that it calls for privileged information and I'm going to instruct you not to answer the question. However, it may be, if such a question is asked, that you will have personal knowledge that would be responsive to the question, that is, knowledge that you did not learn as a result of your participation in that investigation, and, in that case, you should answer the question fully and completely or as your attorney directs.

It is also possible that a question will be asked that I will not object to the question but that you realize that in answering the question you're going to reveal information that you learned during the course of the investigation I referred to a moment ago. If that happens, if you, rather than answering the question, will simply tell me that, we will stop the deposition and I'll talk to you and I'll handle it from there.

Now, this whole matter is complicated somewhat in Gainesville because there were two investigations.

One investigation occurred in October, November,
December of 1990 regarding out-of-service reports.

That investigation was conducted by the Security
Department of Southern Bell and it is not privileged
and we have made no such a claim. The instruction I
just gave you does not relate to that investigation.

If Mr. Beck or Mr. Hatch ask you a question and you have
information regarding that investigation, you should
answer them completely and fully subject to the advice
of your attorney. I don't know if you even know anything
about it. I'm just giving it on the chance that you do.

The other investigation I can only identify as saying that if you were involved in it, if you were interviewed concerning it, there would have been a Southern Bell attorney present who would have identified themselves as such and it would have been more recent than the time period I just identified for you a moment ago.

Now, is my instruction clear or any questions about it?

MR. LEITMAN: I do have one question, Mr. Lackey. I understand the focus is two investigations. You're noting that one is the time period, October, November, December of 1990?

MR. LACKEY: That's correct.

MR. LEITMAN: And you're saying another investigation is before this time?

MR. LACKEY: No, subsequent to that time. I'm sorry if I didn't make that clear.

I believe the distinction between the two is clear. We had a problem earlier where one of the deponents had learned something in the first investigation, it had been mentioned in the second, and, therefore, he became confused and thought he wasn't to discuss it because it had simply been mentioned in the second, and I want to make sure that Mr. House understands the two are entirely separate. He may not have anything to do with either of them, I don't know, but that he understands that the two are entirely separate and he is fully free to discuss anything that he may have learned, if anything, by that first one.

MR. LEITMAN: Do you understand that, Mr. House?

THE WITNESS: I don't understand what's he's
talking about in the second investigation unless it
comes out, because I don't know what you're talking
about.

MR. LACKEY: That's fine.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. BECK:

A Yes, sir.

Q Were there any other persons there besides those two persons and yourself?

A No, sir.

Q And could you tell me, the best you can recall, what they told you during that meeting?

A I don't remember. There was conversation, but I just don't remember what the conversation was.

Q Do you remember the gist of them even if not word for word?

A No, not really. I really don't.

And then what happened?

last comment.

Q In your position here in Gainesville, do you sometimes work in what's called the back room?

A Yes, sir. I did. I don't now.

Q When did you work there?

A From January through August -- no, from February through August or the beginning of August. I guess it would be February through July of '91. Five months, five and a half months, something like that.

Q Could you just tell me briefly what the back room is?

A Load control foreman. You have various number of screens and you shift people to different areas of town based

you do. You control the outside personnel to put them in the 2 hottest areas of town that have the most tasks. 3 Was there a requirement in effect that maintenance administrators contact you or another supervisor to get the 5 close-out code when the out-of-service reports went over 24 6 hours? No, sir. A 8 MR. LACKEY: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the answer. 9 THE WITNESS: No, sir. 10 BY MR. BECK: 11 Do you know of such a procedure ever being in 12 effect? 13 I heard rumors to that effect. 14 Where did you hear rumors from? Q 15 Just -- you're talking -- just a bunch of rumors. Α 16 I can't give you the names. It's rumors. 17 Did, in fact, MAs ever call you up and ask you what 18 type of close-out code to put on repair reports? 19 No, sir. You don't have time for that in the back 20 room. 21 Okay. Now, let's move outside of the back room and 22 refer to any time during your experience here in Gainesville. 23 Α My experience in Gainesville, no, sir. In the test 24 center, no, sir. 25

on the number of tasks that are in that area, and that's what

Q Have you ever had a maintenance administrator ask you what type of code to put on to close out a report?

A No, sir.

Oh, wait a minute. They might ask -- I'm trying to think -- what does this go under, you know. You've got three or four or five or six different codes that can fall in the same category.

Q So they would ask you if they didn't know which code to use?

A Exactly. For an example -- I'll give you an example. You can have a drop that's been knocked down. Do you show it to unknown, do you show it to an employee, do you show it to a nonemployee? You're trying to figure out which is the best code to close out to that particular situation. And I cannot give you an example. I'm just trying to answer your question.

In instances other than those occasions where somebody would ask you because they didn't know which code to use, was there ever any requirement that they must ask a supervisor that you're aware of?

A No, sir.

Q Do you have any knowledge of persons backing up times on repair reports to any time other than the cleared time?

A No, sir.

Q Is my question clear?

A You're asking if I have knowledge of any individual?

Q Yes.

A No, sir.

Q Have you ever heard of that being done?

A Rumors.

Q Can you tell me where you heard the rumors?

A Rumors fly through the test center from everywhere.

And I'm not trying to be evasive, I'm being as honest as I can be.

Q Okay. Can you recall specifically, any person specifically telling you about times being backed up on repair records to other than the cleared time?

A While this company investigation was going on, every foreman in there was questioning anything and everything that was happening. All of the test center foremen were talking among themselves. Field foremen were talking among themselves. If you want names, whoever worked in the test center.

Q As a result of all of those conversations was there ever anything that gave you the impression or any specific reason to believe that persons were backing up out-of-service reports?

A No, sir.

24

1 I don't understand the question. 2 Well, say that you needed access to a customer's 3 premise to effect a repair. 4 Uh-huh. 5 And you said, "We'll be there by 3:00 o'clock 6 today," and the customer says, "I won't be there, come next 7 week instead." Wouldn't there be something that you would 8 put on the report that showed that the customer asked that it 9 be delayed? 10 I don't know. Α 11 Do you have any knowledge of persons purposely 12 statusing affecting service reports as out-of-service 13 reports? 14 A No. 15 Have you heard of that being done? 16 No. A 17 0 Do you have any knowledge of Test OK reports being 18 statused as out-of-service reports? 19 No. Α 20 Have you heard of that being done? 21 Α No. 22 Q Do you have any knowledge of employees using 23 fictitious employee codes on repair reports? 24

offered to the customer?

A

25

No.

1	Ç	Have you heard of that being done?
2	A	Fictitious is no, not fictitious.
3	Q	Have you heard of it being done with incorrect
4	employee	codes?
5	Å	I've heard of people using the wrong codes, the
6	wrong emp	loyee person. MAs that worked for me saying,
7	"Somebody	is using my number. I didn't do this."
8		No, there's no way you can find out who the hell
9	did, but,	as far as fictitious, no.
10	Q	Have you ever heard of that being done purposely by
11	someone?	(
12	A	No.
13	Q	Do you know of any means being used to build up the
14	out-of-se	rvice base?
15	A	No.
16	Q	Have you heard of that being done?
17	A	Rumors.
18	Q	In what way
19	A	In other places, not here in Gainesville.
20	Q	In what ways have you heard of the base being built
21	nb3	
22	A	Creating reports.
23	Q	You've never heard of that being done in
24	Gainesvil	le though?
25	A	No.

AFFIDAVIT OF DEPONENT

This is to certify that I, DONALD LEWIS HOUSE, have read the foregoing transcription of my testimony, Page 6 through 24, given on July 30, 1992, in Docket No. 910163-TL, and find the same to be true and correct, with the

exceptions, and/or corrections, if any, as shown on the

-

DONA	LD	LEWIS	HOUSE

Sworn to and subscribed	before	me	this
day of		_,	19
NOTARY PUBLIC		 -	
State of			
My Commission Expires:			

errata sheet attached hereto.

F L O R I D A)
COUNTY OF CLAY)

I, the undersigned authority, certify that Donald Lewis House personally appeared before me and was duly sworn.

witness my hand and official seal this / St day of October, 1993.

MARIE C. GENTRY

Notary Public - State of Florida My Commission No. CC251746 Expires: January 21, 1997



STATE OF FLORIDA) 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF CLAY 2 3 I, Marie C. Gentry, Court Reporter, 4 DO HEREBY CERTIFY that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing deposition of DONALD 5 LEWIS HOUSE; I FURTHER CERTIFY that this transcript, consisting 6 of 26 pages, constitutes a true record of the testimony given by the witness. 7 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I 8 a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially 9 interested in the action. DATED this / day of 10 11 12 Court Reporter Telephone No. (904) 264-2943 13 14 15 STATE OF FLORIDA) 16 COUNTY OF CLAY 17 The foregoing certificate was acknowledged before me this day of 1993, 18 by Marie C. Gentry, who is personally to me. known 19 20 Notary Public - State of Florida 21 22 PATRICIA H. VIERENGEL.
NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF FLORID.
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 6/21/67 23 COMM. # CC 296027 24

	_	_	_		_	_		_		
L.	D	р	Δ	ጥ	Δ	c	ч	F	\mathbf{FT}	

2

3

DOCKET NO. 910163-TL NAME: DONALD L. HOUSE DATE: July30, 1992

4	Page	Line	
5	 	<u> </u>	
3		1	
6			
	 		
7		<u> </u>	
8			
9		<u> </u>	
10		<u> </u> 	
	1		
11	 	<u> </u>	1
12			
13			
14		<u> </u>	
15	1	<u> </u> 	
16			
17	<u> </u>		
1,			
18	Ī		
19	1		
			• • • •
20			
21	1		
1			
22	+		
23			
24	1		
25			