LAW OFFICES



SCANNED

MESSER, VICKERS, CAPARELLO, MADSEN, LEWIS, GOLDMAN & METZ A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

SUITE 701 215 SOUTH MONROE STREET FOST OFFICE BOX 1876 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32302-1876 TELEPHONE (904) 222-0720 TELECOPIER (904) 224-4359 NOV 1 6 1995 NOV 1 6 1995

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING REPLY TO: Tallahassee

HAND DELIVERY

 $\leq N$ 

November 16, 1993

Mr. Steve Tribble, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 920199-WS

Dear Mr. Tribble:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced docket are the original and fifteen copies of Southern States Utilities, Inc.'s Response to Citrus County's Amended Request for Oral Argument. ACK Please acknowledge receipt of these documents by stamping the AFA extra copy of this letter "filed" and returning the same to me. APP Thank you for your assistance with this filing. CAF CMU \_\_\_\_ Sincerely, CTR \_\_\_\_\_ EAG \_ Abffman Kenne LEG EIN. -KAH/rl OPC \_\_\_Enclosures RCH SEC (WAS 2 OTH \_ DOCUMENT CONTENTS AND 12340 100 168 Sest-leceleszter SeffelG



### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application of Southern ) States Utilities, Inc. and Deltona ) Utilities, Inc. for Increased ) Water and Wastewater Rates in ) Citrus, Nassau, Seminole, Osceola, ) Duval, Putnam, Charlotte, Lee, ) Lake, Orange, Marion, Volusia, ) Martin, Clay, Brevard, Highlands, ) Collier, Pasco, Hernando, and ) Washington Counties. )

Docket No. 920199-WS Filed: November 16, 1993

## SOUTHERN STATES UTILITIES, INC.'S RESPONSE TO CITRUS COUNTY'S AMENDED REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Southern States Utilities, Inc. ("Southern States"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby responds to Citrus County's Amended Request for Oral Argument filed November 10, 1993 concerning Citrus County's Response in Opposition to Southern States' Motion to Vacate Automatic Stay and Motion for Reduced Interim Rates Pending Judicial Review for Recalculated Customer Bills, Refunds and Imposition of Penalties for Violating Automatic Stay. In support of its Response, Southern States states as follows:

1. On October 26, 1993, Citrus County filed its original Request for Oral Argument. Citrus County's original request for Oral Argument was legally deficient on its face as it failed to comply with the mandatory requirements of Rule 25-22.058(1), Florida Administrative Code.

12340 NOV 1001754

الهيادة والارتية الاسلام الرشابية وفيانيا للأروار العياسا الأراف

2. On November 8, 1993, Southern States filed its Response to Citrus County's original Request for Oral Argument. Southern States requested the Commission to deny the original Request for Oral Argument based on the legal deficiency of the pleading.

3. On November 10, 1993, Citrus County filed its Amended Request for Oral Argument. Although titled as an "Amended Request for Oral Argument," the pleading is clearly and obviously a reply to the response filed by Southern States on November 8, 1993.

4. Citrus County defends its original request by stating that it simply "assumed the desirability, if not necessity" of oral argument and that such was self-evident.<sup>1</sup> The purported selfevident nature of Citrus County's faulty assumption is no substitute for compliance with Commission rules.

5. Citrus County once again makes representations on behalf of Southern States' customers whom it does not represent alleging that "a significant portion of Southern States' customers will be irreparably harmed by the continued implementation of the uniform rates."<sup>2</sup> Citrus County goes on to state that "the Commission should welcome, if not demand, the opportunity to question the utility on why it unilaterally violated the automatic stay."<sup>3</sup>

4440

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup><u>See</u> paragraph 4 of Citrus County's Amended Request for Oral Argument.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup><u>See</u> paragraph 5 of Citrus County's Amended Request for Oral Argument.

<sup>3&</sup>lt;u>Id</u>.

6. Southern States also must again emphasize that it did not violate any Commission rules or statutes in the implementation of the uniform rates. As fully discussed in Southern States' Response filed November 8, 1993 to Citrus County's Motion for Reduced Interim Rates Pending Judicial Review, etc., Citrus County's Amended Notice of Appeal was filed on October 12, 1993 - after the effective date of the uniform rates (September 15, 1993) and after the billing of the uniform rates by Southern States. In fact, as confirmed by the Affidavit of Karen L. Shofter, Southern States' Director of Rates, attached hereto as Exhibit A, Southern States had completed nearly fifty (50) billing cycles prior to the filing of Citrus County's Notice of Appeal on October 8, 1993 (as corrected on October 12, 1993). These billing cycles related to customers located in all but four (4) of the twenty-one (21) counties included in this proceeding.<sup>4</sup> Apart from the fact that the Commission is required to vacate the stay "upon motion by the utility ... and the posting of good and sufficient bond or corporate undertaking,"<sup>5</sup> a stay of the uniform rates would place Southern States and the great majority of its customers in a totally untenable position. Specifically, with respect to most of the systems at issue in this proceeding, customers would first see

<sup>5</sup>See Rule 25-22.061(3)(a), Florida Administrative Code.

• -

4441

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>It also should be noted that Citrus County was on notice that Southern States had filed with the Commission proposed tariff pages reflecting the Commission authorized final rates as early as August 13, 1993. Citrus County did not seek a stay from the Commission or file a notice of appeal at that time, which would have been <u>before</u> the tariff pages were stamped and the rates were implemented and billed by Southern States.

lower rates in the form of the new uniform rates, then higher rates if the Commission authorized a stay pending appeal, then lower rates again if the Commission's decision is affirmed on appeal. This type of customer confusion can be avoided and should be avoided by vacating the stay as required by Commission Rule 25-22.061(3)(a).

WHEREFORE, Southern States respectfully request the Commission to enter an Order denying Citrus County's Amended Request for Oral Argument.

Respectfully submitted,

KENNETH A. HORMAN, ESQUIRE Messer, Vickers, Caparello, Madsen, Lewis, Goldman & Metz, P.A. P. O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876 (904) 222-0720

and

BRIAN P. ARMSTRONG, ESQUIRE Southern States Utilities, Inc. 1000 Color Place Apopka, Florida 32703 (407) 880-0058

Attorneys for Southern States Utilities, Inc.

> \_\_\_\_\_4442 \_001757<sub>2334</sub>

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of Southern States Utilities, Inc.'s Response to Citrus County's Amended Request for Oral Argument was furnished by U. S. Mail and/or telecopier (\*), this 16th day of November, 1993, to the following:

Harold McLean, Esq. Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison St. Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Catherine Bedell, Esq.\* Florida Public Service Commission Div. of Legal Services, Rm. 212 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Susan W. Fox, Esq. MacFarlane Ferguson P. O. Box 1531 Tampa, FL 33601

Michael S. Mullin, Esq. P. O. Box 1563 Fernandina Beach, FL 32034

Larry M. Haag, Esq. County Attorney 107 North Park Ave., Ste. 8 Inverness, FL 34450

Michael Gross, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Department of Legal Affairs Room PL-01, The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

Michael B. Twomey, Esq. Route 28, Box 1264 Tallahassee, FL 32310

BY: KENNETH A. HOFFMAN, ESQ.

#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

)

)

3

)

)

)

In ro: Application of Southern States Utilities, Inc. and Deltona Utilities, Inc. for Increased Water and Wastewater Rates in Citrus, Nassau, Seminole, Osceola, Duval, Putnam, Charlotte, Lee Lake, Orange, Marion, Volusia, Martin, Clay, Brevard, Highlands, Collier, Pasco, Hernando, and Washington Counties.

Docket No. 920199-WS Filed: November 16, 1993

#### AFFIDAVIT

Karen L. Shofter, Director of Rates for Southern States Utilities, Inc. ("Southern States"), submits this Affidavit in support of the "Response of Southern Utilities, Inc., to Citrus County's Motion for Reduced Interim Rates Pending Judicial Review, For Recalculated Customer Bills, Refunds and Imposition of Penaltics for Violating Automatic Stay" and states the following under penalties of perjury:

1. Pursuant to Commission Order Number PSC-93-0423-FOF-WS issued on

March 22, 1993, Southern States submitted tariff pages to the Commission reflecting the final rate authorized in such Order.

2. Commission Staff reviewed the tariff pages and, upon determining that the charges were consistent with the Commission's Order, approved the tariff pages and made them effective for service rendered on and after September 15th, 1993.

3. In reliance upon the Commission's Order, the Commission denial of reconsideration requests filed by parties to this docket attacking the lawfulness of the uniform rates authorized therein and the receipt of Commission authorization to implement the rates, Southern States took the following actions:

EXHIBIT A

- modified its hilling system for the one hundred twenty seven (127)
  systems included in this docket to provide for billing under the uniform
  rates;
  - b. modified and implemented changes to the billing cycles to
    accommodate the change to monthly billing authorized in the
    Commissioner's Order;
  - c. modified and implemented changes to meter reading schedules to accommodate the change to monthly billing;
  - d. implemented changes to the billings mechanisms to reflect pro rata
    billing required under the Commission authorized uniform rates;
- began billing customers for service rendered on or after September 15th,
  1993, at the uniform rates authorized in the Commissions' Order,
  including assessments under such rates for customers who disconnected
  their service on or after September 15th, 1993 to date; and
- f. other actions related to the foregoing.

4. Exhibit 1, attached hereto, confirms that Southern States had processed nearly fifty (50) billing cycles on or prior to the date Citrus County filed a notice of appeal (October 8, 1993 corrected on October 12, 1993 at which time more than fifty (50) billing cycles were complete). These billing cycles related to customers located in all but 4 of the 21 counties included in the proceeding. The only counties (systems) which were not billed prior to the filing of the notice of appeal were Charlotte/Lee (Burnt Store). Collier (Marco Shores), Martin (Fisherman's Haven, Fox Run and Leilani Heights) and Washington (Sunny Hillis).

5. Southern States did not know on September 15, 1993 and could not foresee at that time whether or not an appeal of the Commission's Order would be filed by any party

subsequent to the implementation of the Commission authorized uniform rates.

6. To my knowledge, rate subsidies exist under any utility rate structure in

existence, including the interim rates previously authorized in the above referenced matter in Order Number PSC-92-0948-FOF-WS (issued December 8, 1992).

7. It is logical to assume that there are customers served by every system included in this case who are on fixed incomes.

un A. Son

Sworn to and subscribed before me this  $\frac{1(a+b)}{1}$  day of November, 1993, by Karon L. Shofter, who is personally known to me and did take an oath.

Donna L. Henry

Notary Public, State of Florida at Large () My Commission Expires: 7-6-96 Commission Number: CC212515

OFFICIAL NOTARY SEAL DONNA L HENRY NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF FLORIDA COMMISSION NO. CC212595 MY COMMISSION EXP. JULY 6.1996

Exhibit 1 to Shofter Affidavit

#### SYSTEMS BILLED BEFORE 10/08/93

# SYSTEMS BILLED BEFORE 10/12/93

SYSTEM NAME	BULL DATE
AMELIA ISLAND EXCLUDING BOOK 268	BILL DATE 09/27/93
APACHE SHORES	09/29/93
BAY LAKE	10/08/93
BEACON HILLS	09/29/93
BEECHER'S POINT	09/27/93
CHULUOTA	10/01/93
CITRUS SPRINGS	09/28/93
CRYSTAL RIVER	09/27/93
DAETWYLER	10/01/93
DELTONA LAKES CYCLE 1	09/28/93
DELTONA LAKES CYCLE 2	09/28/93
DELTONA LAKES CYCLE 3	09/30/93
DELTONA LAKES CYCLE 4	10/08/93
GOLDEN TERRACE	09/29/93
HERMITS COVE	09/27/93
HOLIDAY HAVEN	09/27/93
HOLIDAY HEIGHTS	10/08/93
JUNGLE DEN	09/27/93
KEYSTONE HEIGHTS BOOKS 66 & 75	09/27/93
KINGSWOOD	09/29/93
LAKE CONWAY	10/ <b>01/9</b> 3
LEISURE LAKES	09/29/98
MARION OAKS	09/28/93
MORNINGVIEW	10/08/93
OAKWOOD	09/29/93
FALISADES	10/08/93
PALMPORT	10/08/93
PALMS MOBILE HOMES	10/08/93
PARK MANOR	10/08/93
PICCIOLA ISLAND	10/08/93
PINE RIDGE	09/28/93
RIVER PARK	09/27/93
ROLLING GREEN SALT SPRINGS	10/08/93
SAMIRA VILLAGE	10/08/93
SARATOGA HARBOUR	10/08/93 09/27/93
SILVER LAKES ESTATES	09/29/93
SOUTH 40	10/08/93
SPRING HILL CYCLE 5	09/30/93
SPRING HILL CYCLE 6	10/05/93
SPRING HILL CYCLE 7	10/07/93
STONE MOUNTAIN	10/08/93
SUGAR MILL	09/27/93
SUNSHINE PARKWAY	09/29/93
UNIVERSITY SHORES	09/27/93
WELAKA	09/27/93
WESTMONTE	10/01/93
WOOTEN	09/27/93
ZEPHYR SHORES	09/29/93

\_\_\_\_\_

\_. \_. .\_\_

SYSTEM NAME DELTONA LAKES CYCLE 3 SPRING HILL CYCLE 8	BILL DATE 10/12/93 10/12/93

2339

0017624447

. . <u>.</u>