1 BEFORE THE 2 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 3 4 In the Matter of 5 Comprehensive review of : DOCKET NO. 920260-TL 6 revenue requirements and rate : stabilization plan of 7 SOUTHERN BELL Telephone and : 8 Telegraph Company. 9 10 PROCEEDINGS: JACKSONVILLE SERVICE HEARING 11 **BEFORE:** COMMISSIONER SUSAN F. CLARK COMMISSIONER LUIS J. LAUREDO 12 13 DATE: Monday, November 8, 1993 14 Convened at 6:00 p.m. 15 TIME: Concluded at 6:58 p.m. 16 The Prime Osborn Civic Center 17 PLACE: 1000 Water Street Rooms 102 and 103 18 Jacksonville, Florida 19 PAMELA A. CANELL REPORTED BY: 20 Official Commission Reporter DOCUMENT MULKBER-DATE 21 22 23 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

## APPEARANCES:

HANK ANTHONY, Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company, c/o Marshall Criser, III, 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, Telephone No. (904) 222-1201, appearing on behalf of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company.

CHARLIE BECK, Office of the Public Counsel,
111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida
32399-1400, Telephone No. (904) 488-9330, appearing on
behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

ANGELA GREEN, FPSC Division of Legal
Services, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida
32301, Telephone No. (904) 487-2740, appearing on
behalf of the Commission Staff.

INDEX PAGE NO. OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. ANTHONY OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. BECK CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER WITNESSES Name: Page No. JAMES E. DEATON FRED CANCILLA JESSIE SAMMONS THOMAS TYRRELL BOB WILSON LINWOOD ARNOLD VINCENT SIDNEY CAMERON JESSIE SAMMONS (Recalled) JAMES E. DEATON (Recalled) JOHN HOWEY 

1	Inde	c Continued:		
2		EXHIBITS		
3				
4			IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
5	3	(Southern Bell) Proof of Publication of the Notice of Hearing in the Florida		
7		Times Union	10	
8	3 <b>A</b>	(Wilson) Memorandum from Judy Titus to Bob Wilson regarding Southern Bell		
9		Docket 920260-TL	29	
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

## PROCEEDINGS

(Hearing convened at 6:00 p.m.)

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I would like to call the hearing to order. I don't have a gavel, so I hope you can hear my knuckles. We need to start this public hearing.

I think it's a little bit after six, and there's some preliminary matters we have to go through before we give you the opportunity to testify before us. And one of those things is to read the notice that has been published informing you all of this meeting. So with that, I would like to have our Staff read the notice.

MS. GREEN: Pursuant to notice this matter was set for a public service hearing before the Florida Public Service Commission in Jacksonville, Florida, on November 8th, 1993. This is in the matters of Dockets 920260 --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Angela, bring it closer to your mouth, you have to be real close to it.

MS. GREEN: This is in the matter of Dockets 920260, 910163, 910727, 900960 and 911034, all relating to the revenue requirements of Southern Bell Telephone Company.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. The next

thing we usually do is take appearances, but before we do that I would like to introduce myself. I'm

Commissioner Susan Clark, I'm a Commissioner on the Public Service Commission. With me today is Luis Lauredo, he's likewise a Commissioner on the Public Service Commission.

I now would like the attorneys appearing for the various parties to introduce themselves by way of entering their appearances, and we'll begin with Southern Bell.

MR. ANTHONY: My name is Hank Anthony, I'm appearing here tonight on behalf of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company.

MR. BECK: My name is Charlie Beck, I'm with the Office of the Public Counsel in Tallahassee, and I'm here representing you, the Southern Bell customers.

MS. GREEN: And I'm Angela Green, I represent the Commission Staff in this proceeding.

to indicate the purpose of this hearing today is to gather information from you, the public, relating to our evaluation of the incentive regulation plan under which Southern Bell has operated for the past five years. Southern Bell's current proposal is for a rate reduction and some changes in how it bills for its

services.

What I would like to do is to refer you to this handout provided by the Public Service Commission Staff, which will give you more particulars as to some of the proposals Southern Bell is making in which they want us to evaluate in this proceeding.

We are particularly interested today in your comments about the quality of service provided by Southern Bell. And your comments on its proposal as outlined in this blue sheet.

Let me just take a minute to explain it to you. This is a report that the Commission puts out telling you what Southern Bell has requested. This sheet that's a little bluer is one that the Company has put out and has handed out independently of the Commission.

Your comments today will be recorded by an official court reporter, this lady right here in the red jacket. And they will become part of the official record in these proceedings. Your comments will be used by us, along with other evidence gathered in the case, to make a decision. For that reason, it will be necessary to swear you in so that we may rely on your testimony in making our decision. I hope you won't let that intimidate you. We'll do the swearing in

together, and then we'll take you in the order you have signed up with Mr. Beck.

We will hold other hearings in this case,
what we call technical hearings. And those are
scheduled to be held in the month of January and
February. My recollection is we have approximately
four weeks set aside to review this petition filed by
Southern Bell.

After all the hearings have been conducted, the Commission will meet once again in a public meeting at an agenda conference to decide the issues in this case.

As indicated earlier, all parties today are represented by attorneys. Today the Utility is represented by Mr. Anthony, the Utility's customers are represented by Mr. Beck and our Staff is represented by Ms. Green.

We also have other Staff members in the room to assist you if you have a specific problem regarding your telephone service, or you have other questions you would like to ask an individual on the Commission Staff.

I'm going to call their names and have them raise their hand so you know who they are and you can ask them for assistance if you need it. The first

person I would like to introduce is my aide, Billy
Stiles. He's in the back of the room. Next to him is
our public information director, Bev DeMello. And
sitting down in the back, Margaret Ring with our
Consumer Affairs Division. And over seated next to Ms.
Green is Julian O'Pry and Brick Walls, who are on our
communication staff. They likewise can provide you
with any assistance you need.

Now, let me ask, has everyone who wants to

Now, let me ask, has everyone who wants to testify signed up on a sheet in the back of the room. Bev DeMello has them. If you wish to speak and have not signed up, please see Bev.

Now, let me ask a question of Public Counsel and the Company. Do you intend to make opening statements or any public comments?

MR. ANTHONY: I have a brief opening statement.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Beck.

MR. BECK: Likewise.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. What I would like to do is go ahead and swear in the witnesses, and, then, I'll give Mr. Anthony five minutes and Mr. Beck five minutes to make their presentation relative to this case. And then we will move quickly to hear your comments.

With that would everyone who wants to testify 1 please stand up and raise your right hand. 2 (Witnesses collectively sworn.) 3 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Anthony, why don't 4 you go ahead. 5 MR. ANTHONY: Thank you, Commissioner Clark. 6 Before I begin, though, I have one procedural matter 7 and that's just the proof of publication of the notice 8 of this hearing in the Florida Times Union, if I could 9 provide that to the court reporter as an exhibit. 10 COMMISSIONER CLARK: We'll mark it as Exhibit 3. 11 (Exhibit No. 3 marked for identification.) 12 MR. ANTHONY: Good evening. As I mentioned, 13 my name is Hank Anthony. I'm here on behalf of 14 Southern Bell, and as Commissioner Clark noted, the 15 purpose of this hearing is to hear from you, so I'm 16 going to try to keep my remarks as brief as I can. 17 18 There are a few things, though, that I think need to be 19 said to set the context for tonight's discussion. If you've had a chance to see the newspapers 20 or magazines recently, you've seen the headlines about 21 articles and other descriptions that talk about how the 22 telephone business is changing at an ever increasing 23 pace. Time magazine for example recently described the 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

merger of Bell Atlantic, which is one of the regional

25

Bell operating companies further up the coast, and TCI, which is the nation's largest cable company in these terms, and I quote, "By adding high speed switches to the cable wires that serve TCI's almost 11 million customers, Bell Atlantic would enable its subscribers to chose from hundreds of channels with the click of a remote control button. Bell Atlantic could also provide phone service, even video phone service over TCI's ubiquitous cable wires and thereby invade the territories of other Baby Bells from coast to coast."

In a recent front page article in a major

Florida newspaper said, and I quote again, "In Florida

the merger of Bell Atlantic and TCI may challenge

Southern Bell's decade old dominance of local telephone

service." This story continued that by mid 1994, the

Bell Atlantic/TCI system will link living rooms in

major portions of Florida with America's data

superhighway through a single outlet in the home.

America and Florida in particular are in the middle of a communications revolution, not just in evolution. And yet our traditional regulatory process never envisioned this changing market. The regulatory policies of today and of the past do not address the changes that are occurring today and will continue to occur tomorrow.

before the Public Service Commission. That proposal is really about continuing Florida's transition to this new world. It's about providing new options. It's about proving reasonably priced reliable service and also about providing Southern Bell the opportunity to position itself so that it can compete in this new world.

Since we have already sent you, our customers, a bill insert that describes our proposals in detail, and the Commission has provided information as well, I won't belabor those points tonight. If you still have questions, as Commissioner Clark mentioned, you can talk to the Staff from the Commission and Southern Bell has employees here as well who can answer your questions.

I do want to emphasize two primary points about our proposal though. First, this is a rate reduction case. Since 1988 when the Commission approved Southern Bell's current incentive regulatory plan, our customer's have received more than 1.4 billion -- that's with a B -- billion dollars in rate reductions and refunds. In our proposal that we're talking about tonight, we're suggesting another reduction of over \$26 million to you in addition to the

\$49 million in reductions that are already scheduled by the Commission.

Secondly, if Southern Bell is to be able to continue offering reasonably priced service, you must have a regulatory environment that encourages efficiency and provides capital resources so we can bring the benefits of the information age to you.

There are many other beneficial features of this proposal, but I did tell you I was going to be brief so I will. Tonight is about hearing from you, I want to thank you for coming and look forward to hearing what you have to say.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Anthony.
Mr. Beck.

MR. BECK: Thank you, Commissioner. One day we may all be better off if there's competition both for your cable TV and for your telephone service, but that day is not here now.

What this case is dealing with is, first of all, Southern Bell's proposal to reduce rates. Again, that's a little unusual. Often when we're here with a utility, the utilities are asking for a rate increase. In this case the question is how much should they reduce rates? Technology has dramatically reduced the

cost of providing telephone service.

If any of you have watched the cost of computers, you know, every few months you'll see decreases. And this has been going on for years.

Well, likewise, the provision of telephone service uses the same technology. It's highly computerized. There are digital switches, there are fiber optics colinking the switches of Southern Bell's office. All of this technology is dramatically reducing the costs of providing telephone service and there should be rate reductions.

Southern Bell's proposal is completely inadequate in their reductions they're proposing. In fact, we filed our case today showing that the rates should be reduced by hundreds of millions of dollars. And Southern Bell is simply trying to hold on to as much as they can by their proposal for \$26 million rate reduction.

A few things you should know about the Company. The Company is forecasting, even with their reductions, that they're going to earn an after-tax return on equity of approximately 13.5% this year. In other words, you pay the taxes on their profits, and, then, after you have paid the taxes, they have earnings of 13.5% on their equity investment. Given today's

climate of interest rate, decreases were at all time lows. That is a completely excessive return and ought to be well below 10% on their return on equity.

We feel, first of all, that the Company should eliminate the Touch-Tone charges. It costs the Company more not to provide Touch-Tone than it cost to provide it. There's no reason anymore to continue having a dollar charge on your bill for Touch-Tone service.

We also believe that toll rates should be reduced. Southern Bell's proposal has a hitch to it. They're proposing reductions in your toll rates out to a 40-mile distance, but they're proposing that if and only if you subscribe to local measured service. If you do not elect to subscribe to the local measured service, Southern Bell's proposal is that you do not get those long distance reductions. It's our position that those reductions should be made available to everyone, not just those people who elect to have local measured service.

In addition to the traditional matters we bring up in a rate case, which include the return on equity, there's a lot of accounting matters that we dispute with Bell. Can they forecast according to their computations that they're going to earn a 13.5%

return on equity? We believe it's much higher and that they haven't calculated their earnings properly.

But in additions to those matters, there's two other items being looked at in this case. One concern is their sale practices in the late 1980s. A number of Southern Bell employees added services to many customers' bills without even asking or talking to the customer about whether they wanted it. These services included Touch-Tone, custom calling services, inside wire. They called it an assumptive sales technique where they assumed the customer wanted it even if they didn't ask the customer about it. We're going to be presenting evidence about Southern Bell's sales practices during the incentive plan that they operated under in the late 1980s.

There's also been an investigation of their repair activities. In other words, how do they report your service if your service is out of service. We've got just a swarm of evidence showing that they've falsified records to the Commission showing that people were not out of service when, in fact, they were. And one of effects of that was that customers did not receive refunds for their circuits being out of service when they should have gotten refunds.

Compared to all the other major telephone

1 companies in the state, Southern Bell's repair record is at the bottom. Every other telephone company has 2 done much better from GTE, United Telephone Company and 3 Centel. 4 5 So that's what we're doing. We have the 6 hearing set for January and February, and we'll be 7 trying very hard to get your rates reduced by much more 8 than what Southern Bell has proposed. 9 Thank you. 10 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Beck. Will you call your first witness? 11 12 MR. BECK: Mr. Jim Deaton. 13 COMMISSIONER CLARK: One thing I forgot to 14 ask. Will you please state your name and address, and 15 if you would spell your name for the court reporter, I would appreciated it. 16 17 18 JAMES E. DEATON 19 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 20 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 21 testified as follows: 22 WITNESS DEATON: My name is James E. Deaton, 23 D-E-A-T-O-N. My address is 5741 Cedar Park Lane, 24 Jacksonville 32210. My telephone number, if you need 25 that, is 904-771-0681. So I am a subscriber.

I heard the comments from both of the gentlemen about the telephone service and the way they're billing us. And I agree with some of it from what both of you have said. But one subject that I wanted to talk on was a Touch-Tone phone. It's my understanding, according to my wife who pays the bill, that we pay a dollar a months for that Touch-Tone service. And we've been doing it ever since it's been initiated.

Touch-Tone service wasn't for the consumer or the subscriber as an individual. It's for the companies. Those companies that have the robots that answer the phone -- when you call a phone and you dial into a number and it says, "Punch one if you want so and so, two, three, four and five." Eventually you will say, "Well, I've got the number that I wanted. All of the agents are busy." And you'll hold and your call will be taken in order.

Now if you start metering that -- let me tell you what happen to me in Jacksonville. This is true story. The lightning struck a transformer in front of my house and I had no lights. So I dialed the JEA, which is our local electric company here. And I got a voice that says, "So and so and so and so, punch one, two or three." And I punched my selections, and they

said, "All of our agents or operators are busy. Your call will be taken in order." Then the Southern Bell juke box come on and I listened to the music, which wasn't my favorite music. And I listened to that and then, oh, every few seconds or minutes the operator come back. "The operators are still busy. Your number will still be taken when your time is up in the order that we received it."

So I started timing it after about five minutes of waiting, and I waited for 15 minutes. Now, supposed I had a measured call or metered call on my phone at that time. I haven't gotten anyone. I haven't talked to a human being up until this time.

So Touch-Tone was to energize the automatic equipment that they have installed -- and I'm not against that. That's fine. But what I am against is the consumer paying for it. Those people that buy that equipment that uses that Touch-Tone phone, the signal that we're sending with that Touch-Tone phone, are the ones that should be paying for it.

And I think that they should do away now with the Touch-Tone charge. And that was one of the things that you brought up, but the reason I say is that I think we shouldn't have to wait. It's bad enough having to talk to a machine, I don't like to do that.

1	But we know that that's going to happen in the modern
2	technology, but I don't think we should have to pay for
3	it. I'm sure that their subsidiaries sell those
4	machines that those people are using to talk to instead
5	of us talking to a human being or an operator. And
6	that's my beef primarily.
7	Thank you very much.
8	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. Does anyone
9	have any questions?
10	Mr. Deaton, that certainly is an area we will
11	be looking at is the charge for Touch-Tone. Thank you.
12	(Witness Deaton excused.)
13	
14	MR. BECK: Mr. Fred Cancilla.
15	FRED CANCILLA
16	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
17	the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,
18	testified as follows:
19	WITNESS CANCILLA: My name is Fred Cancilla,
20	and I live at 478 West 65th Street here in
21	Jacksonville.
22	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Would you spell your
23	last name, please?
24	WITNESS CANCILLA: C-A-N-C-I-L-L-A.
25	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Go ahead.

witness cancilla: Okay. I am a board member of the Florida Consumer Action Network and also the president of the Northeast Florida Area Council of Senior Citizens. And I would like to thank you people for coming here to hold this hearing first off.

The Florida Consumer Action Network is a statewide organization of 40,000 members throughout the state. We have been involved in this request since it first surfaced last year. It has changed little since the first filing, and we will continue to oppose this plan.

First, we oppose the plan because of the proposal to begin local measured service. We understand Southern Bell is selling the concept as nothing more than an option. But history has shown that once this option begins, it quickly becomes the norm and not an option. Southern Bell is using the bribe of an expanded local calling area with the option. We believe Southern Bell should be required to give consumers a larger calling area with a flat rate billing. The local measured service option should be abandoned.

Second, the incentive plan -- the incentive rate plan must be scrapped. Southern Bell as a regulated company is making 13.5 rate of return. In

today's market the abnormally high rate of return should be lower. We as consumers are lucky to make a 3% return on our investments. Why should we guarantee Southern Bell such a high level, question mark. Let's lower the rate of return to 10%, a more realistic number in today's economy.

Finally, we believe the one dollar charge we all pay for the privilege of Touch-Tone service must be eliminated. The charge is unjustified and nothing more than a gift to Southern Bell. Let consumers have their dollar back.

Let me conclude by saying for the last time, let's disconnect the Southern Bell call for local measured service. Let's expand the local calling area and bring Bell's profit in line with other companies in our state.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Cancilla, can I ask you a question? You think that the incentive plan should be discontinued, and I understood that to be because of the rate of return. If we lowered the rate of return in the sharing point, would you still be opposed to it?

WITNESS CANCILLA: If it were lowered, no.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. Any

questions? 1 (Witness Cancilla excused.) 2 3 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Go ahead, Mr. Beck, call 4 5 your next witness. MR. BECK: Jessie Sammons. 6 JESSIE SAMMONS 7 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 8 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 9 testified as follows: 10 WITNESS SAMMONS: My name is Jessie Sammons, 11 S-A-M-M-O-N-S. I live at 9280 Heckscher Drive, 32226. 12 13 My telephone number 904-251-3384. I would like to know why Southern Bell 14 customers in exchange 251, which is for the Georgia 15 area, are not included in the local expanded service? 16 Just to reach our state representatives since 17 reapportionment, we have to make a long distance call, 18 which discourages from calling -- I don't call him. I 19 20 get somebody else to call him. I call Jim King's office or some of the other legislatures, but I don't 21 22 call him and he knows it. 23 It's discouraging, it's expensive and it's not right. I heard something about transition into the 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

new world. We would like to get into the current one,

25

please. We want to be in the local expanded area. 1 Thank you. 2 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. Are there 3 any questions? Thank you. 4 (Witness Sammons excused.) 5 6 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Go ahead, Mr. Beck. 7 MR. BECK: Thomas Tyrrell. 8 THOMAS TYRRELL 9 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 10 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 11 12 testified as follows: WITNESS TYRRELL: My name is Thomas Tyrrell, 13 that's T-Y-R-R-E-L-L. I live at 15505 Brim Road West. 14 I am the past district coordinator for AARP vote for 15 the Fourth Congressional District that covers from the 16 Georgia line to Daytona. 17 I don't make up the speeches to give at these 18 things, I try to give it the way I see it. If I'm in 19 error, I hope you accept my apologies. 20 You know, I had a brother retire from the 21 telephone company as an engineer for 30 years. 22 You know, the senior citizens from the area 23 that I represent or did represent are all on a fixed 24 income. And with the economy being like it is today, 25

how in God's world and good gracious can the telephone 1 company even contemplate raising rates and adding 2 additional costs. 3 I just don't understand it. Evidently, 4 they're not getting the message we're in a hard time. 5 So like I say, I don't see these rates are 6 necessary, they're making a profit. You said 13%. 7 Pardon me, but that is a hell of lot of money as far as 8 the senior citizens are concerned. So how about giving 9 us a break and seeing what you can do for us. 10 Thank you. 11 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Tyrrell. 12 13 Any questions? (Witness Tyrrell excused.) 14 15 MR. BECK: Bob Wilson. 16 BOB WILSON 17 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 18 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 19 testified as follows: 20 WITNESS WILSON: Good evening. I'm Bob 21 Wilson, I'm the County Manager of Clay County located 22 in Green Coast Springs, Florida. And I'm here this 23 evening to present a memo that was done by our staff 24 25 and Clerk Keene in working on our communication

-	
2	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'll take it, and we'll
3	mark it as an exhibit.
4	WITNESS WILSON: Fine. Thank you.
5	Basically, it is in concert with this mainly because
6	we're in Rate 2 soon to be have the cap taken off in
7	February, I believe it is. Under the new system we
8	would go to Rate 9, which would make us local and save
9	us an awful lot of money.
10	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. I'm going to mark
11	this as Exhibit 3A. For the record it is a memorandum
12	from Judy Titus to Bob Wilson regarding Southern Bell
13	Docket 920260-TL.
14	(Exhibit No. 3A marked for identification.)
15	(Witness Wilson excused.)
16	
17	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Beck, do you have
18	any more witnesses?
19	MR. BECK: Yes, we do. Linwood Arnold.
20	LINWOOD ARNOLD
21	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
22	the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,
23	testified as follows:
24	WITNESS ARNOLD: Somebody said, "Give them
25	hell." I said, "I ain't mad at nobody."

1 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask a question.
2 I'm trying to recall how many people stood up when I
3 swore people in. Did I swear you in?
4 WITNESS ARNOLD: Yes, indeed. My right hand.
5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. I must not

COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. I must not be able to count very high. Go ahead.

WITNESS ARNOLD: My name is Linwood Arnold, I live at 3820 LaVista Circle in Jacksonville, Florida.

My telephone number is 733-3791.

I'm a native of our fair city here in Jacksonville. I've been here all my life, and I've seen this old train station developed to what it is. And I say this about this area of Jacksonville or any area of any city. One of the bad things I think about this meeting tonight is the location and the time of day.

Now, we have -- I represent the senior citizens as well as anyone else who would like to fall into this category that I'm a member of the state legislative committee for the AARP. We have a session over in Tallahassee tomorrow, and I will be there in the morning and explain about our meeting, tonight's meeting.

But the reason I said that, the day with the crime situation like it is and such to come out in an

area in anywhere in Jacksonville, you do not want to send your mother, your uncles or your aunts or neither your daughters or your sons out in this area or any other area just about anywhere in the United States.

Unfortunately sad. I think we all know that. So, consequently, I think we would have had more people if we could have had it arranged sometime in the daytime even though if it was a working time. So maybe this would be of interest.

I'll admit I've been to your meetings before in years past, and there were not a lot of members -people that should be interested in attending this type of meeting. But when you think about what this potentially as I understand it, they were caught at an additional cost to something -- to like a person mentioned, Mr. Tyrrell mentioned a moment ago, that these people, on a fixed income are especially having to wait until the end of the month to find out if they -- how much, because of this additional cost -- and it's very flexible as I understand it, that you may be taken in District 40, District 29, which has a variation there, and consequently you'll have a different cost.

And these senior citizens or the people today are afraid to even come out of their households. Now

don't take them that privilege of having to be limited to what they can say in their household, because they're afraid to go out on the streets at night.

That's anywhere in the USA. I'm not running down my city because I love it.

But this is something to think of and this is what we have today as far as the rates are concerned, of bringing up an additional cost.

Now, Southern Bell is a great company. Any utility company that we have is a great company. But they are a utility company, they are a monopoly and rightfully so they are a monopoly. But they have a certain amount of money that they should make as ruled so. And I know by investing the monies in other areas, consequently, they can spread this out. I do not know. Somebody told me, he said that monies like this they can spread it on over into cable and such as this and, consequently, it will not show as a net profit, and that's why the taxpayers are paying this much more money for their investments. Not necessarily of the phone that they're using around the home to speak to their neighbors.

And so I think this is one of the great things that I've had to say today. I have no other words to say to that. As I said, I am representing

AARP for the state of Florida in the legislative 2 committee, and this is one of the items that we have 3 top priority on that we, the AARP, oppose this 4 legislation. That's why I'm here tonight. 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: You oppose the measuring 6 of the service? 7 WITNESS ARNOLD: I oppose this as a 8 representative of AARP and as an individual, yes. 9 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask you a question. Do you understand that there is not 10 currently a proposal to raise local rates? 11 WITNESS ARNOLD: What I do understand, I've 12 been told that because of the district that a person 13 will not know what he or she will pay until at the end 14 of the month because of the fact that they're paying --15 they're calling from a district which is now considered 16 a local call and may have an additional fee on it; is 17 that right? 18 COMMISSIONER CLARK: No. They have offered 19 an elective measured service, which gives you some 20 extended options, as I understand it. But if you elect 21 to continue on just your regular service, it will not 22 23 change.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

paraphernalia sent to me and out of each one of them it

WITNESS ARNOLD: Well, every article had some

24

25

says, "It is like putting a pay telephone in your 2 home." Is that right? 3 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, if you elect to 4 take their optional -- there is an optional measured service that individuals could chose. That's what 5 6 they're proposing. We have not approved it. But 7 that's optional, and they have not proposed any 8 increases in their local rates. 9 WITNESS ARNOLD: Well, did the passing of 10 this legislation then would be an additional cost to 11 the people? COMMISSIONER CLARK: No. 12 WITNESS ARNOLD: So it will not be an 13 14 additional cost? COMMISSIONER CLARK: Not for your local 15 rates. There would not be an additional cost at this 16 17 time. WITNESS ARNOLD: Would there be an additional 18 19 cost to the people at any rate? COMMISSIONER CLARK: No. Their proposal, as 20 I understand it, is a rate decrease. And they want to 21 22 offer different kinds of services to you. WITNESS ARNOLD: I stand to be corrected in 23 my thoughts. As I understood it, I felt it was to be 24 25 an increase of the fact that --

1 COMMISSIONER CLARK: You are not the only one 2 who has been confused by this filing. 3 WITNESS ARNOLD: This is in some periodical. 4 I don't if it's in the Times --5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes. I'm sure what they 6 have discussed in that periodical is the optional 7 measured service, which will give you an expanded calling rate. 8 9 Let me just indicate to you, it's my 10 understanding that the AARP's position is that they don't want to see even the optional measured service 1.1 because they feel that something that starts out 12 optional will soon become nonoptional. And that's a 13 concern, and we certainly understand that at the 14 Commission. We have heard that from the AARP loud and 15 clear. 16 Let me ask you another question with regard 17 to when you would like to have us hold service 18 19 20 21

hearings. We specifically went to evening service hearings so those people who work would be able to come here. Are you indicating now that it's because of other considerations it would be better for us to hold them during the day?

22

23

24

25

WITNESS ARNOLD: I would say at an earlier hour, yes. In the daylight, yes. I would think that

if you walked out of this building tonight by yourself 1 to your car, you would be very apprehensive of what 2 3 could happen. 4 COMMISSIONER CLARK: It's something we 5 struggle with because as soon as we hold it during the day, we have people to come and say, "I had to get off 6 7 of work to do this, and I think you should hold it at night." 8 WITNESS ARNOLD: Do they get off of work, do 9 they come at night? I've never been to one of your 10 meetings -- I've been to many of them in the past, 11 12 years ago. COMMISSIONER CLARK: It can tell it various 13 greatly. I have been in Fort Lauderdale when an 14 auditorium of this size was packed and we had to put 15 speakers outside. 16 WITNESS ARNOLD: I think the AARP was very 17 active in that one. 18 COMMISSIONER CLARK: It's unfortunate that 19 people are afraid to come down to their civic center at 20 I agree with you. Are there any question? 21 MR. BECK: No. Thank you. 22 WITNESS ARNOLD: Thank you. 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Arnold 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

(Witness Arnold excused.)

25

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_

MR. BECK: That's all we have signed up ahead of time.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: We don't have anyone else signed up. Is there anyone else who would like to speak. (Pause)

I'm not sure I swore him in. Come on up here and I'll swear you in.

## VINCENT SIDNEY CAMERON

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

COMMISSIONER CLARK: State your name and spell it, and then give us your address.

WITNESS CAMERON: Good evening, my name is Vincent Sidney Cameron. That's V-I-N-C-E-N-T, C-A-M-E-R-O-N. I reside at 1130 East 15th Street, Jacksonville, Florida 32206.

Now, the reason I've come before you this evening is mainly on a fact-finding mission because even though I just read the literature that was presented before us this afternoon, I'm still a bit vague because some of this is not in laymen's terms. A bit of this information is presented to us in a more technical term that tends to lend favors towards the

people that are in the industry.

And so with that in mind, I would like to have a clearer understanding of what we're dealing with, and what I've heard from your last discussion -- I arrived late that so that also puts me at another disadvantage -- from what I heard you saying to the gentleman who was up here from AARP is basically there's an option and you got two forks in the road in which you could address. One could be -- you could go ahead with the metered service and the other is staying with the basic.

Now, I'm sure the Company, when they sat down and came up with this proposal, they saw the long-term benefits of involving themselves with a metered service. Even though on the front side it looks like it's a proposed savings to all the consumers involved.

I am struck. I'm in a hard place to believe that this would be a philanthropic effort on the Company's part to befriend the customer and afford some discounts. I would believe that this would be more of an effort in the long run to have metered service as the standard and also the metered service would tend to — that there are actually more revenues to be derived in the long run dealing with metered service as opposed to getting stuck in a basic system.

\_\_\_

I would wish that when the Public Service

Commission does convene a group of concerned consumers

that we would talk more about the problems that we've

been having with our local exchange carriers, and that

it seems lately that once we've got into this public --
the pay phone situation that we've lost a lot of

quality.

And way back when Judge Greene announced that AT&T was a monopoly and it broke down into the Baby Bells and everything that we ended up originally with the Baby Bells: Southern Bell, North Atlantic and all the rest of the Bells across the country. They kept pretty good -- on the local exchange level, they did a pretty good service.

Now, it seems like they've lent out the pay phones to other providers for -- carriers. I don't know if they're leasing trunk lines or whatever that is. But I'll tell you I run into more problems with quality and getting ripped off by pay phones that I wish that this thing would be expanded a forum to address some grips.

Going back to this, just getting back to the point in hand, and that is in reference to this metered service, I would have to agree with the fellow from AARP, and the AARP as a whole, that I don't feel that

this is in our best interest in the long run. I'm sure they've put their statisticians to work, and they've come up with that in the long run this metered service will be the rate to go. Because, heck, we're becoming a more of an electronic community. We're using everything via the faxes and everything is just an electronic community.

And, basically, we're dealing with —
Southern Bell is going to be at the forefront of that
because even today they were talking about interactive
television, which is going to be sending other forms of
communication via cable lines, that's cable we're
talking about, telephone lines. But information being
transmitted through these lines eventually could fall
under metered service. You may not even be able to
have a computer on-line service like Prodigy or America
On Line unless you tie into a metered service. That
could be a prerequisite down the line, which could once
again, tie the consumer into higher up-front cost,
monthly exposure on a higher level than they're
currently exposed to.

I don't see that with us pressing further into an electronic medium that this is actually in our best medium. This is just some groundwork for future revenues to be derived. And it sounds great like we're

going to be saving, but I don't think that this is 1 actually going to be a savings in the long run. And I 2 guess with that, that's about all that I have to say on 3 4 it. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. 5 MR. BECK: Thank you. 6 (Witness Cameron excused.) 7 8 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Any questions? Is there 9 anyone else who has come in who would like to testify? 10 11 Ms. Sammons. JESSIE SAMMONS 12 was recalled as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 13 the State of Florida and, having been previously sworn, 14 testified as follows: 15 WITNESS SAMMONS: I appreciate this 16 opportunity. I thought we were pressured for time so 17 since we have a few more minutes, I did want to tell 18 you that I'm not representing just myself. I am 19 president of the Heckscher Drive Community Club, which 20 I have been authorized to be here on their behalf. 21 Also in 1988 when I was president at that 22 time, we had a petition circulated out for George, and 23 several hundred signatures where there. So we all are 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

interested. It's not just me, myself that is

25

1	interested in having Port George included in this local
2	expanded service. I appreciate this extra opportunity.
3	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you.
4	(Witness Sammons excused.)
5	
6	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think what we'll do
7	now is take a five-minute break. We'll reconvene, if
8	there are no other further public witnesses, we will
9	adjourned this hearing. So we'll take five minutes.
10	(Brief recess.)
11	
12	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Is there anyone else who
13	has signed up to speak or who would like to speak?
14	MR. DEATON: I wanted to make an additional
15	statement.
16	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Deaton, go ahead.
17	JAMES E. DEATON
18	was recalled as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
19	the State of Florida and, having been previously sworn,
20	testified as follows:
21	WITNESS DEATON: Since everyone else was
22	telling who they representing, I would like to tell you
23	who I represent.
24	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Go ahead.
25	WITNESS DEATON: I'm the coordinator, the

North Florida coordinator for the AFL-CIO Retirees 1 Project. In the Jacksonville area we have 127 2 international unions. We have 40,000 retired AFL-CIO 3 members in this area. 20 years ago in the state of 4 Florida there was 720,000 -- 72,000 retirees. Today, 5 1990, there's 720,000 AFL-CIO retirees in the state of 6 Florida. 7 8 We are in the process of organizing those retirees. We already have 17 international unions in 9 Jacksonville organized, and these are some of the 10 people you see here today. And before it's over we're 11 going to have the whole 40,000 organized here, and 12 we're going to make a difference. 13 Thank you very much. 14 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. 15 (Witness Deaton excused.) 16 17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Is there anyone else who 18 19 would like to speak? (Pause) I don't think I swore you in, and I'm going 20 21 to do that now. JOHN HOWEY 22 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 23 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 24 25 testified as follows:

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Would you give us your name and address and spell your name for us, please?

WITNESS HOWEY: Yes. My name John Howey, Sr.
That Howey is spelled, H-O-W-E-Y. And my address is
5060 Lincoln Circle South, Jacksonville. The zip code
is 32209. Do you need my telephone number?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I don't think we do.

WITNESS HOWEY: As I stated my name is John Howey. I'm a former president of the Florida Consumer Action Network. I'm presently vice president of the Northeast Florida Council for Senior Citizens.

And I just want to speak on that we, at this time, being a senior and on fixed incomes, it would be hard for us to endue any other increase in our services. And we think that Southern Bell should not raise the service in which we're now -- we think we're now paying enough.

My wife is a sick person, and, of course, I need my telephone. I've got to have a telephone. And she's see been sick now since January, and we do use the telephone a lot. So we're opposed to this metered service because we just feel that it's going to be an imposition on most senior people who are on fixed incomes. And most seniors do need a telephone.

There's nothing else in the house. They have to make

contact with doctors, friends, hospitals and things 1 like that. 2 And I tell you if you're going to charge this 3 metered service, some of us would have to do without a 4 telephone. That's about all I need to say. 5 6 Thank you. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you very much. 7 8 (Witness Howey excused.) 9 COMMISSIONER CLARK: One final call. Is 10 there anyone else who would like to testify who hasn't 11 testified? (Pause) Let the record reflect nobody raised their 13 hand or came forward. And with that, we'll adjourn 14 this public hearing. Thank you very much for coming 15 out, we do appreciate your comments. That concludes 16 our hearing. 17 (Thereupon, the hearing adjourned at 6:58 18 19 p.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER				
2	COUNTY OF LEON)				
3					
4	I, Pamela A. Canell, Official Commission Reporter,				
5	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the informal customer				
6	meeting in this cause, Docket Nos. 920260, 910163,				
7	910727, 900960 and 911034, was heard by the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is further				
8	CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported				
9	the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision, and that this				
10	transcript, consisting of 42 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.				
11	DATED this 17th day of November, A. D., 1993.				
12	Apmela A- Carell				
13	PAMELA A. CANELL Official Commission Reporter				
14	(904) 488-5981				
15					
16					
17	STATE OF FLORIDA)				
18	COUNTY OF LEON )				
19	The foregoing certificate was acknowledged				
20	before me this 17th day of November, 1993, by PAMELA A. CANELL who is personally known to me.				
21	Α				
22	Patricia a. Thurch				
23	PATRICIA A. CHURCH Notary Public - State of Florida				
24	Com. No. CC-90785  Notary Public, State of Florida				
25	My Commission Expires April 20, 1995  Banded Thru Troy Fain - Insurance Inc.				

## FLORIDA PUBLISHING COMPANY

Publisher

JACKSONVILLE, DUVAL COUNTY, FLORIDA

Before the unde	ersigned authority person Kevin Shar	ne ·		
Account Executive			who on oath says that he of The Florida Times-Unio	
a daily newspap	er published at Jackson			
attached copy of a	advertisement, being a			
	legal	Notice		
in the matter of _	Southern Bell			
in the	<u> </u>		····	Court
was published in T				
was published in	THE FLORIDA TIMES-	UNION in the iss	sues of	
_	rHE FLORIDA TIMES- nesday, Oct. 27, 1		sues of	
_			sues of	
_			sues of	
_			sues of	
_			sues of	
We di	nesday, Oct. 27, 1	993 es-Union is a newspo	aper published at Jac	ksonville, ir
We di	hat the said The Florida Timerorida, and that the said news	es-Union is a newspraper has heretofor	aper published at Jac e been continuously p een entered as secon	ksonville, ir published ir d class mai
Affiant further says t said Duval County, Fl said Duval County, Fl matter at the postoff preceeding the first mu	hat the said The Florida Timorida, and that the said news lorida, The Florida Times-United in Jacksonville, in said Delication of the attached conv	es-Union is a newspo paper has heretofor ion each day, has b uval County, Florid of advertisement: an	aper published at Jac e been continuously p een entered as secon a, for a period of on d affiant further says	ksonville, ir oublished ir d class mai e year nex that he ha
Affiant further says t said Duval County, Fl said Duval County, Fl matter at the postoff preceeding the first mu	hat the said The Florida Timerorida, and that the said news	es-Union is a newspo paper has heretofor ion each day, has b uval County, Florid of advertisement: an	aper published at Jac e been continuously p een entered as secon a, for a period of on d affiant further says	ksonville, ir oublished ir d class mai e year nex that he ha
Affiant further says to said Duval County, Floater at the postoffi preceeding the first pul neither paid nor promit the purpose of securing	hat the said The Florida Timorida, and that the said news lorida, The Florida Times-United in Jacksonville, in said Delication of the attached conv	es-Union is a newspo paper has heretofor ion each day, has b uval County, Florid of advertisement: an	aper published at Jac e been continuously p een entered as secon a, for a period of on d affiant further says	ksonville, ir oublished ir d class mai e year nex that he ha
Affiant further says to said Duval County, Floater at the postoffi preceeding the first pul neither paid nor promit the purpose of securing	hat the said The Florida Timorida, and that the said news lorida, The Florida Times-Unce in Jacksonville, in said Delication of the attached copy ised any person, firm or corporthis advertisement for publication	es-Union is a newspo paper has heretofor ion each day, has b uval County, Florid of advertisement: an	aper published at Jac e been continuously p een entered as secon a, for a period of on d affiant further says	ksonville, ir oublished ir d class mai e year nex that he ha
Affiant further says t said Duval County, Fl said Duval County, F matter at the postoffi preceeding the first pulneither paid nor promit the purpose of securing	hat the said The Florida Timorida, and that the said news lorida, The Florida Times-Unce in Jacksonville, in said Discation of the attached copy ised any person, firm or corporation of the salvertisement for publication of the salvertisement for publication of the salvertisement for publications and salvertisement for publications of the said The Florida Times of the said news locations of the said news l	es-Union is a newspo paper has heretofor ion each day, has b uval County, Florid of advertisement: an	aper published at Jac e been continuously p een entered as secon a, for a period of on d affiant further says	ksonville, ir oublished ir d class mai e year nex that he ha
Affiant further says to said Duval County, Floring and State and	hat the said The Florida Timorida, and that the said news lorida, The Florida Times-Unce in Jacksonville, in said Discation of the attached copy ised any person, firm or corporation of the salvertisement for publication of the salvertisement for publication of the salvertisement for publications and salvertisement for publications of the said The Florida Times of the said news locations of the said news l	es-Union is a newspo paper has heretofor ion each day, has b uval County, Florid of advertisement: an	aper published at Jac e been continuously p een entered as secon a, for a period of on d affiant further says	ksonville, ir oublished ir d class mai e year nex that he ha

My Commission Expires 12, State of Storida . . .

My Commission Regions Jan. 1, 1994 Boaded fore Troy toke - Insurance Inc. FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
DOCKET, NO. 920 260-71 EXHIBIT NO. 3
COMPANY/
WITNESS: U/8/93

unrealistic." he said.

in 1872, is considering the move to be better served if the association Tallahassee because that's where were located in Tallahassee where 10 much of the action in Florida it could keep a closer eye on govhealth care is these days.

Legislators passed a major health care law this year that restructures the way medical services are pur- president of the Duval County Med-

Therefore, some in the medical The FMA, which has been based community argue that the economin Jacksohville since it was founded ic interests of FMA members would ernment activity.

Others aren't so sure.

Philip H. Gilbert, executive vice

He points out that the FMA's mission also includes promoting educational and scientific interests that benefit patients and the public.

"I feel really strongly about this issue." Gilbert said in an interview 'There's a lot more to medical societies and medical associations than pocketbook issues.

### Dames Point port plans still face hurdles

(From Page B-5)

ing," JPA Chairman Mark Hulsey said of yesterday's presentation. "I was very impressed with the experts.

"I thought it was a very interesting hour and a half," said Councilcouncil members attending the briefing.

The JPA still must overcome several stumbling blocks, though, before the third terminal becomes a reality.

on about 80 acres of property owned by private owners at Dames Point

from the city to begin Dames Point construction in April.

So far, the city has remained man Eric Smith, one of seven pretty quiet on how it's going to fund the rest of the expansion.

It already gave the port about \$38 million from an expanded telecommunications tax. The money was used for engineering, permitting and some land acquisition costs.

For starters, it still hasn't closed. But the port still needs \$117 million to complete the \$155 million expan-

One funding possibility is chang-And it must receive \$117 million ing the Jacksonville Electric Authority's contribution to the city. The increase would go to the general fund, then would be parceled out to projects, including the port's expansion.

> Smith said there's a high level of interest among council members in funding the port. He said he's hoping to get the port funding issue resolved by the end of the year.

## **FOOTNOTES**

01 01

13

엻

All stock quotes provided by The Associated Press. Appearance of NYSE, AMEX and Nasdaq stocks is based on daily sales volume. Appearance of mutual funds is based on each fund's net asset value, u—Indicates a new 52-week high. d—Indi-cates a new 52-week low. g—Dividend or earnings in Canadian money. Stock trades in U.S. dollars. No yield or PE shown unless stated in U.S. money. n-New issue in the past 52 weeks. The high-low range begins with the start of trading and does not cover the entire 52-week period. s— Split or stock dividend of 25 per cent or more in the past 52 weeks. The high-low range is adjusted from the old stock. Dividend begins with the date of split or stock dividend. v—Trading halted on primary market. Unless otherwise noted, rates of dividends in the foregoing table are annual disbursements based on the last quarterly or semi-annual declaration. Special or extra dividends or payments not designated as regular are identified in the following footnotes. a—Also extra or extras. b—Annual rate plus stock dividend. c—Liquidating dividend. e—Declared or paid in preceding 12 months. — Declared or paid after stock dividend or split up. j-Paid this year, dividend omitted, deferred or no acton taken at last dividend meeting. k—Declared or paid this year, an accumulative issue with divi-dends in arrears. r—Declared or paid in preceding 12 months plus stock dividend. t—Paid in stock in preceding 12 months, estimated cash value on exdividend or ex-distribution date, x—Ex-dividend or ex-rights, y—Ex-dividend and sales in full. z—Sales in full. pf—Preferred, pp—Holder owes installment(s) of purchase price, rt-Rights, un—Units, wd-When distributed, wi—When issued, wt—Warrants. ww--With warrants. xw--Without warrants, vj-. In bankruptcy or receivership or being reorganized under the Bankruptcy Act, or securities as-sumed by such companies. FOR MUTUAL nized under the Bankruptcy Act, or securities as-sumed by such companies. FOR MUTUAL FUNDS: e—Ex-distribution, f—Previous day's quo-tation. s—Stock split or dividend. x—Ex-dividend. NL (n)— No load. p— Distribution costs apply. -Redemption charge may apply, t-Both p and r footnotes apply.



### **LEGAL NOTICE** NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

The Florida Public Service Commission has scheduled a public hearing in Docket No. 920260-TL. The purpose of this docket is to review Southern Bell's operations under its incentive sharing plan and to consider Southern Bell's proposal for extension of that plan. It will also consider the Company's proposed rate changes, including the proposed optional Expanded Local Service Plan, reductions in intraLATA access charges and certain custom calling feature rates, a restructuring of service order charges and a reduction of certain business line rates.

For the convenience of the public, the Florida Public Service Commission has also scheduled a service hearing as follows:

> Monday, November 8, 1993—6:00 PM Prime Osborn Convention Center 1000 Water Street Rooms 102 and 103 Jacksonville, Florida

At the above time and place, members of the public may appear to testify as to their interest in this matter. All persons desiring to present testimony at the hearing should, if possible, bring bills or other documentations regarding their telephone service. Customers are urged to attend on time. If no customers are present, the hearing will be adjourned. The Public Counsel, the citizens' representative in matters before the commission, will be available at least 30 minutes prior to each hearing in order to meet members of the public who wish to testify. Prior to that time, inquiries should be directed to The Office of Public Counsel, c/o Florida House of Representatives. The Capitol, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1300.

ex 3a

Clay Brinty Javors 1.

### CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT



CIRCUIT COURT . COUNTY COURT . COUNTY RECORDER . CLERK BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS . COUNTY COMPTROLLER

**MEMORANDUM** 

DATE:

November 5, 1993

TO:

Bob Wilson, County Manager

FROM:

Judy Titus, Computer Operations

SUBJECT:

Southern Bell Docket 920260-TL

The Florida Public Service Commission Rule 25-22.0406 requires that a synopsis of requests for general rate increases and decreases by electric, gas, water and sewer utilities and telephone companies be provided to each county and municipality in the utility's service area. This docket was provided to assist us in familiarizing ourselves with the proceedings. Southern Bell filed its direct testimony and the Minimum Filing Requirements on July 2, 1993. The office of Public Counsel has intervened in this proceeding on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and along with any other intervenors, will file testimony on November 8, 1993. The Commission Staff will file testimony on November 22, 1993. Southern Bell will file rebuttal testimony on December 10, 1993. Service Hearings will be held as follows:

November 8, 1993

Prime Osborn Convention Center

6 PM

1000 Water Street Rooms 102 and 103

Jacksonville, Florida 32204

The purpose of these hearings will be for Southern Bell's customers to testify on Southern Bell's service or on any other issues of concern to them.

In order to comprehend what this Docket means to the Board of County Commissioners and other County Offices, Teresa Snell and I have had several discussions and meetings with different Southern Bell staff members. We met with Dick Smith-Marketing, Marshall Criser-Operations Manager, Regulatory Relations, Randy LeFever-Public Relations, and Teri Vann-Major Account Representative. There are two areas of concern on this Docket.

- I. Rate making and Rate Case (See Appendix A)
  - Rate Reductions in most areas except the Service Order will increase.

COCKET  $Q_{10}Q_{0}$ - $T_{L}$  Exhibit no. 3A

## JOHN KEEŃE

### CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT



CIRCUIT COURT . COUNTY COURT . COUNTY RECORDER . CLERK BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS . COUNTY COMPTROLLER

- II. Local Calling Area
  Local Calling Area with Expanded Local Service
  - Local Calling Area would be changed from Group Rate 2 to Group Rate 9. Presently Green Cove Springs and Penney Farms are in Group 2. Green Cove Springs, Middleburg, Orange Park, Julington, Jacksonville and Maxville would be in Group 9. This would mean we would have a larger Local Calling Area. (See Appendix B)
  - Local Calling Area with Expanded Local Service
    This is for a more expanded 40 mile radius from your residence. This is
    for residential homes only. This would be on a measured service
    rate. This seems to be where the resistance on the proposal is
    coming from. This is Optional and is not mandatory.
    There is also a Premium Local Expanded Local Service
    available. The resident can option to pay a flat rate of
    \$20.00 per month instead of a measured rate. This is also
    Optional.

If the Local Calling Area passes this would mean that all Clay County residents would have the ability to call the county without any cost to them. As you know FX Lines are a measured service. We are presently under a Cap. The Cap is coming off on February 1, 1995. We will then be paying .08 for the first minute and .06 a minute thereafter. If this proposal is approved we could eliminate the Orange Park FX Lines. This would mean a great reduction to the County.

The Keystone Heights residents are not in this proposal due to the Interlata boundaries. We are presently looking at other alternatives to this area of the county.

If the proposal passes, Green Cove Springs will regroup to Rate group 9 in 1995 on the effective date of new directories.

Southern Bell continues to strive for lower rates while expanding technology in our direction of the county. I have been informed that by this time next year we should have a new Central Office that is fully Digital with the latest technology available.

In summary, we are in favor of the Docket 920260-TL because of the Rate reduction and regrouping from rate 2 to rate 9. We also think that when the Clay County residents understand the Docket fully, they will also be for the approval of this Docket.

C: John Keene, Clerk of Court

Don Moore, Finance Director

Teresa Snell, Telecommunications Manager

## Appendix A

# SOUTHERN BELL - FLORIDA DOCKET NO. 920260-TL

## MAJOR RATE CHANGES

ITEM OF SERVICE	CURRENT RATES					
SERVICE CONNECTION CHARGES						
PRIMARY SERVICE ORDER - RES SECONDARY SERVICE ORDER - RES PRIMARY SERVICE ORDER - BUS SECONDARY SERVICE ORDER - BUS ACC LN CONNECTION - CO WORK ACC LN CONNECTION - NEW LINE NO CHG - PER SO - RES NO CHG - PER NO - RES NO CHG - PER SO - BUS NO CHG - PER NO - BUS	\$ 25.00 \$ 9.00 \$ 35.00 \$ 12.00 \$ 19.50 \$ 31.50 \$ 9.00 \$ 11.50 \$ 12.50 \$ 11.50					
SERVICE CONNECTION CHARGES						
LINE CONNECTION RES - 1ST LINE CONNECTION BUS - 1ST LINE CONNECTION RES - ADD'L LINE CONNECTION BUS - ADD'L LINE CHANGE RES - 1ST LINE CHANGE BUS - 1ST LINE CHANGE RES - ADD'L LINE CHANGE BUS - ADD'L SECONDARY SERVICE CHARGE - RES SECONDARY SERVICE CHARGE - BUS	\$ 40.00 \$ 60.00 \$ 12.00 \$ 13.00 \$ 24.00 \$ 38.00 \$ 10.00 \$ 11.00 \$ 9.00 \$ 19.00					
CUSTOM CALLING SERVICES CALL FORWARD VAR RES CALL WAITING - RES	CURRENT RATES PROPOSED RATES \$ 2.45 \$ 2.20 \$ 3.50 \$ 3.35					

## GREEN COVE SPRINGS EXCHANGE

APPENDIX B

### LOCAL CALLING AREAS

### CURRENT LOCAL CALLING AREA:

- Tier 1: Green Cove Springs exchange
- Tier 2: Middleburg, Orange Park and Julington exchanges
- Tier 3: Jacksonville and Maxville exchanges

### PROPOSED LOCAL CALLING AREA FOR FLAT AND MESSAGE RATE SERVICE:

- Green Cove Springs, Middleburg, Orange Park, Julington, Jacksonville and Maxville exchanges

### PROPOSED LOCAL CALLING AREA WITH EXPANDED LOCAL SERVICE:

- Basic: Green Cove Springs, Middleburg, Orange Park,
Julington, Jacksonville and Maxville exchanges

- Expanded: Baldwin, Callahan, Crescent City, Florahome, Fort George, Hastings, Interlachen, Jacksonville Beach, Kingsley Lake, Lake Butler, Lawtey, Macclenny, Palatka, Pomona Park, Ponte Vedra Beach, Raiford, Sanderson, St. Augustine, Starke and Welaka exchanges