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```
REQUEST: With respect to the statement in Attachment \(A\) that these reductions are appropriate in order to bring rates closer to costs:
8 a. What is the basis for that statement?
9 b. For each service, provide the cost data that corroborates that statement.
c. If no cost data is available, explain the statement.
```


## 12 RESPONSE:

```
13 a. Pressure to drive rates closer to costs is usually brought about 4 by one or more of the following:
1. Competition.
16 2. Cross-elasticity.
17 3. Technological change, replacement or displacement.
/8 4. A lower priced comparable service.
19 All services chosen for rate reductions in this filing are under 20 varying degrees of pressure from one or more of the above listed 2/ items as will be explained in more detail in the response to 22 Request Item No. 3. Additionally, all of these services have high 23 revenue/cost ratios.
24 b. Recurring unit costs are as follows:
25 Billed Number Screening: Negligible.
\(26 \quad 800\) service usage:
27 Customized Code Restriction (all options): \(\$ 0.02 / \mathrm{mo} . / l i n e\). (does not include a non-volume sensitive monthly cost per central office of \(\$ 0.12\) ).
DID Trunk Termination: \$9.44/mo./trunk
Ringmaster \({ }^{\text {sm }}\) service: \(\$ 1.04 / m o . l_{\text {I }}\) line for both Ringmaster \(I\) and II, and residence and business service.
Hunting: Since this service has been residually priced in the past, the total cost for hunting service, including additional line usage, are not available. However, costs for equipping a line with this feature are \(\$ 0.09 / \mathrm{mo}\)./line.
c. See above.
Response provided by: Mario L. Soto
Manager - Pricing
675 W. Peachtree St., N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30375
```

