# M E M O R A N D U M June 8, 1994



TO:

BOB PIERSON, DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES

FROM:

TIM DEVLIN, DIVISION OF AUDITING & FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

RE:

NANCY GAFFNEY'S TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS

Attached are the exhibits and direct testimony of Nancy L. Gaffney to be filed in Docket No. St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd.

# M E M O R A N D U M June 8, 1994

TO:

BOB PIERSON, DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES

FROM:

TIM DEVLIN, DIVISION OF AUDITING & FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

RE:

NANCY GAFFNEY'S TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS

Attached are the exhibits and direct testimony of Nancy L. Gaffney to be filed in Docket No. 940109-WU, St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd.

05669 JUN-8#

FP.10-REGOL USARE/GRADIG

# ST. GEORGE ISLAND UTILITY COMPANY, LTD.

DOCKET NO. 940109-WU

TESTIMONY OF NANCY L. GAFFNEY

ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF OF THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DIVISION OF AUDITING AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

FILED: June 8, 1994

#### NANCY L. GAFFNEY 1 2 Q. Please state your name and business address. 3 Α. Nancy L. Gaffney 101 East Gaines Street Fletcher Building, Tallahassee Florida 5 By whom are you presently employed and in what capacity? 0. 6 The Florida Public Service Commission Division of Auditing and Financia A. 7 Analysis as a Regulatory Analyst II. 8 How long have you been employed by the Commission? Q. 9 A. 6 Years. Briefly review your educational and professional background. 10 0. I have my BA in Accounting. I worked for the Office of the Auditor 11 Α. 12 General for 3 years participating in financial and compliance audits 13 before coming to work for the Commission. Please describe your current responsibilities. 14 Q. Currently, I am a Regulatory Analyst II with the responsibility of 15 A. managing audits of regulated company financial records using a standard 16 17 audit program. I have specific authority to direct and control assigned 18 staff work as well as participating as a staff auditor and audit 19 manager. What is the purpose of your testimony today? 20 Q. Α.

- To sponsor the staff audit report, of St. George Island Utility Company 21 (Utility), Docket No 940109-WU. The audit report is filed with my 22 23 testimony and is identified as NLG-1.
- 24 Was this audit report prepared by you? Q.
- Yes

Q Please review the audit exceptions discussed in the audit report.

A. Audit Exceptions document deviations from the Uniform System of Accounts, Commission rule or order, Staff Accounting Bulletin, and generally accepted accounting principles.

Audit Exception 1 discusses the monthly booking of accounts. I observed during field work, that the company was not posting its general ledger accounts monthly. I recommend that this Utility be required to keep books on a monthly basis. While some accounts may be posted monthly, others are not. In general, most invoices were recorded as expense or plant when the invoice was paid, not when it was received, as would be the case under accrual accounting. I also observed that the Utility did not accrue on a monthly basis for property taxes.

Audit Exception 2 discusses the condition of the Utility records. I observed that the Utility's records were not in a good condition. The accountant's journal entries were not supported. The Utility could not locate certain checks or invoices. Some source documentation is still missing.

Audit Exception 3 discusses the Utility failure to complete Form 1099 for 1991 and 1992.

Audit Exception 4 discusses the purchase of the Third Well Land. I recommend that since this property was purchased with Utility Escrow Funds, the property ownership should be in the name of the Utility. In addition, Land and Land Rights should be reduced by \$570.

Audit Exception 5 discusses the lack of invoice support for plant.

Numerous expenditures were lacking either the invoice, a cancelled

check, or other supporting documents. I recommend that these cost totalling \$11,009.86 be removed from plant.

Audit Exception 6 discusses the lack of cancelled check support fo plant. There were several costs charged to the Utility Plant In Servic account without the accompanying cancelled check. I recommend that the total costs of \$31,182.45 which are unsupported by cancelled checks be removed from plant.

Exception 7 discusses other adjustments to plant. I recommend that the leasehold improvements of \$1,295 be allocated between the Utility and the affiliates sharing the office space. The residual amount should then be amortized over the leasehold period.

Audit Exception 8 discusses plant retirements. I found four replacement items purchased and recorded in plant but the old assets were not removed from the books. The fifth item was the retirement of an asset which had never been recorded on the books. Therefore the retirement should be reversed.

Audit Exception 9 discusses an adjustment to the Third Well proforma adjustment. The Utility did not provide all the source documentation requested. I recommend that the \$11,766 of unsupported costs be removed from the Utility's requested proforma investment.

Audit Exception 10 discusses the Coloney Company invoices recorded to plant. I recommend that the Plant In Service be reduced by \$2,370 due to the duplication of the invoices for payment. The three invoices summarized in the table behind Audit Exception 10 are filed with my testimony and identified as NLG-2.

Audit Exception 11 discusses the purchase of a new diesel generator set I recommend that the entry that was recorded for the purchase of the new generator be increased by \$1,940.66. In addition, the financing for the new generator should be included as a debt. Also, the Commission should consider the adjustment associated with accumulated depreciation.

recommend that the costs associated with the storage tank and Third Wel be removed from the plant account and included in CWIP.

Audit Exception 12 discusses the plant associated with CWIP.

Audit Exception 13 discusses the transfer of contributed property During August of 1992, the Utility received improvements in the amoun of \$20,240 from Keith McNeill Plumbing. \$10,000 of this amount was paid by the Utility while the remaining balance was paid by a developer Eagle Constructors, Inc. by or on behalf of Ben Johnson. I recommend that since the Utility received the \$10,240 in contributed property it should be recorded on the Utility's books as plant and CIAC.

Audit Exception 14 discusses the capitalization of previously unrecorded engineering design fees. The Utility did not provide all the source documentation. The requested information includes: dates, hours worked and projects worked upon. From the analysis and a review of CWIP at December 31, 1993, I determined that the design fees had been previously recorded as an expense or capitalized.

Audit Exception 15 discusses an error and lack of summary depreciation records. The Utility records its depreciation on monthly journal entries but has not followed the Uniform System of Accounts as it fails to maintain depreciation records which allow for ready verification of

transaction balances. The summary depreciation records were prepare only after I requested them. I recommend the books and the filing be restated to the recalculated levels. I also recommend that the Utility be required to file summary plant and depreciation records with subsequent annual reports.

Audit Exception 16 discusses the error and lack of summary CIA( amortization records. The Utility records its amortization using monthly journal entries but has not followed the Uniform System of Accounts as it fails to maintain summary accumulated CIAC Amortization records. I recommend that the per book average CIAC is \$142,912 or a year-end balance of \$158,015.

Audit Exception 17 discusses the imputation of CIAC. An analysis of CIAC collected revealed that the Utility had 30 more connections listed at \$500 than were present in a prior audit. As of June 1989, the approved charge was \$2,020. I recommend that CIAC be imputed for the difference of \$45,600 and that all future connections should be recognized at the prevailing tariff rate.

Audit Exception 18 discusses the issue of fire hydrants not being reported as CIAC. I recommend the exclusion of the hydrant fee revenues as filed. I further recommend imputing the cost of hydrants, less the amount of appropriate average CIAC amortization of \$50,572, and increasing depreciation expense by \$1,838. I also recommend that the Utility refrain from collecting any funds relating to fire hydrants without specific approval from the Commission.

Audit Exception 19 discusses a CIAC agreement. On September 3, 1992 a

settlement was made to pay \$100,000 to Brown and Affiliates. Stanle Bruce Powell was awarded \$35,000 for legal fees for representing Brow and Affiliates and the remaining \$65,000 was given to the Utility to be used strictly for capital improvements in order to enhance and increas the flow and pressure of the St. George Island water system. recommend that the \$65,000 given to St. George Island for capital improvements be considered and recorded as CIAC.

Audit Exception 20 discusses the reconciliation in the Advances Fo Construction account. On the December 31, 1992 MFR Schedule A-16, th Advances For Construction account reflected a balance of \$76,987. Afte I reconciled the entries in the Department of Natural Resource (Department of Environmental Protection) payment log books to the entries in the Utility's Advances For Construction account I determine that the December 31, 1992 balance should be \$67,730. Therefore, recommend that the Advances for Construction account be reduced by \$9,257.

Audit Exception 21 discusses the adjustments to the chemical expension account. I recommend that the chemical expense account be reduced by \$657.24.

Audit Exception 22 discusses an adjustment to material and supplies. I recommend that \$5,384.91 be removed from materials and supplies for various adjustments to the expense account.

Audit Exception 23 discusses the Utility's request for an insurance coverage adjustment. The Utility is currently in violation of the Limited Partnership Agreement as it does not have the required levels

1 of insurance. However, the Utility has only provided one proposal a 2 support for its estimated insurance expense. 3 Audit Exception 24 discusses charges labeled as contractual service 4 I recommend that \$4,373 be removed from the Utility's cost of service. 5 Audit Exception 25 discusses the leasing of a John Deere Backhoe. 6 recommend that Rental Equipment Expense be reduced by \$114.00 to reflec 7 twelve months at the current rental rate. 8 Audit Exception 26 discusses an adjustment to the miscellaneous expens 9 account. I recommend that \$3,544 be removed from the cost of service 10 Audit Exception 27 discusses the 1992 depreciation expense recorded by 11 the Utility. I recommend that the 1992 depreciation expense is \$44,548. 12 I further recommend that depreciation expense be adjusted to reflect the asset lives stated in Rule 25.30.140, Florida Administrative Code. 13 Audit Exception 28 discusses the request for an adjustment for taxes 14 15 other than income. I recommend reducing test year Taxes Other Than 16 Income by \$3,102. 17 Q. Please review the audit disclosures discussed in the audit report. 18 Audit Disclosures show information that may influence the decision 19 process. 20 Audit Disclosure 1 discusses the Elevated Land Purchase. 21 Audit Disclosure 2 discusses the Construction Work In Progress (CWIP). 22 Audit Disclosure 3 discusses the Developer Agreement For Prepaid CIAC. 23 Audit Disclosure 4 discusses the Salaries and Wages. 24 Audit Disclosure 5 discusses the Proforma Employee Pension And Benefits.

Audit Disclosure 6 discusses the Contractual Services-Engineering.

25

Audit Disclosure 7 discusses the Contractual Services-Legal. Audit Disclosure 8 discusses the Contractual Services-Management Fees Audit Disclosure 9 discusses the Proforma Adjustment Contractua Service-Other. Audit Disclosure 10 discusses the Tallahassee Office Rent. Audit Disclosure 11 discusses the Transportation Expense. Audit Disclosure 12 discusses the Bad Debt Expense. Audit Disclosure 13 discusses the Proforma Miscellaneous Expense. Audit Disclosure 14 discusses the Utility Office Location. Audit Disclosure 15 discusses the Cost Of Capital. Audit Disclosure 16 discusses the Ken Gordan Developer Agreement. Does that conclude your testimony? Q. Yes, it does. 

E1	(H	T	D	TT	' 1	14	<b>1</b>
C/	۱П	T	D	ΤI	ſ	٧t	J.

**DOCKET NO:** 940109-WU

WITNESS: NANCY L. GAFFNEY

PARTY: FPSC

**DESCRIPTION:** AUDIT REPORT

PROFFERING PARTY: STAFF

I.D. #\_\_\_\_

Florida Public Service Commission

Audit Report

As of December 31, 1992

Field Work Completed April 12, 1994

St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd.

Franklin County

Rate Case ·

Docket Number 940109-WU

Audit Control Number 93-264-1-1

Nancy Gaffney Audit Manager

Robert Freeman

Regulatory Analyst Supervisor Tallahassee District Office

### INDEX

		ve Summary						
	Audit P	urpose	************	<b>.</b>				
	Disclair	n public Cec		• ************	• •••••		************	
		ry Findings						
		• •			•		***************************************	
I	L Audit S	cope	**********				***************************************	
		_						
Ш	i. Audit E	•			•			
		1 Monthly Boo						•
		2 Utility Recor	ds	*************				•
		3 Ports 1099-1	/USC	•••••				:
		4 Third Well L						
		5 Lecking Invo	ice Support -	Plant	************	***************		14
		6 Lacking Cand	elled Check !	виурроп	***********	•	***************************************	10
		7 Adjustment u						
		Plant Retirem						
		9 Adjustment u						
		Coloney Com						
		Replaced Ger						_
		2 Plant Associa				***************************************		
		3 Transfer of C						
		Capitalize Pro						
							***************************************	
		5 Error and Lac 5 Error and Lac						
		Impute CIA						
		CIAC - Fire						
		CIAC per Ago						
		Advances for						
		Adjustment to						37
		Adjustment to						35
		Insurance .					•••••	41
		Contractual Se						
		Backboc						
		Adjustment to						
	27	Per Book 1992	2 Depreciation	Expense	••••••			47
	28	Taxes Other T	pan pecame	*************	••••••		•••••	48
						Ē		
īv.	Audit Dis	ciosures				_		
		Elevated land	Purchase	*************	*********	*	*********	40
	2	Construction V						
		Developer Agr						
		Salaries and W						
		Proforma Emp						
		Contractual Ser	•			*******************		
		Contractual Ser	•	•				55 57
			-			<b></b>		-
		Contractual Ser				·••···································		60
		Proforma Adju					•••••	62
		Tallahassee Of				••••••		64
	11	Transportation	•			*************		65
		Bad Debt Expe						66
		Proforma Misc	•					67
		Utility Office I				••••••		68
		Cost of Capital						69
		Kee Gordon De						70
	17	Confidential W	orking Papers				••••••	71
v	Exhibits							
		Average 1992 F	late Bass					72
		1992 Operating						73
	<del>-</del> ,	O Committee	***************************************	•••••••	··············	*************	***********	13

## I. Executive Summary

•

<u>AUDIT PURPOSE</u>: We have applied the procedures described in Section II of this report to audit the schedules of Rate Base, Net Operating Income, and Capital Structure for the twelve month period ended December 31, 1992, prepared by St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd. for their petition for rate relief, FPSC Docket 940109-WU.

SCOPE LIMITATION: An audit exit conference was held on April 12, 1994. This report is partially based on confidential information which is separately filed with the Commission Clerk.

<u>DISCLAIM PUBLIC USE</u>: This is an internal accounting report prepared after performing a limited scope audit; accordingly, this document must not be relied upon for any purpose except to assist the Commission staff in the performance of their duties. Substantial additional work would have to be performed to satisfy generally accepted audit standards and produce audited financial statements for public use.

<u>OPINION</u>: Subject to audit exceptions, audit disclosures and the audit scope described in Section II, the appended Rate Base, Net Operating Income and Capital Structure exhibits for the test year ended December 31, 1992, represent the utility books and records in substantial compliance with Florida Public Service Commission prescribed rules and procedures.

COMPANY COMMENTS: The Company will respond to this audit report at a later date.

## St George Island

Summa	ry Schedule of Findings		Estimated Impact			
Item	Description		, Rate Base		Net Operating Income	
EX 1	Monthly Booking					
Ex 2	Utility Records	Comment				
Ex 3	Form 1099 MISC	Comment				
Ex 4	Third Well Land	Commen	Lond Onmarkin		<del></del>	
Ex 5	Lacking Invoice Support - Plant		Land Ownership (11,009.00)			
Ex 6	Lacking Check Support - Plant		(11,003.00)			
Ex 7	Adjustment to Plant		(4,393.00)		200	
Ex 8	Plant Retirements		(4,373.00)		Not Calculated	
Ex 9	Adjustment to Third Well (Proforma)		(11.766.00)		Not Calculated	
Ex 10	Coloney Invoices		(2,370.00)			
Ex 11	Replaced Generator		(4,265,00)			
Ex 12	Plant Associated with CWIP		(16.608.00)			
Ex 13	Transfer of Contributed Property		0.00			
Ex 14	Unrecorded Engineer's Focs(proforms)		(21,000,00)			
Ex 15	Lack of Summary Depreciation Records		(10,327.00)			
Ex 16	Lack of Summary CIAC Amort Records	·	10.635.00			
Ex 17	Inpute CIAC		(45,600.00)			
Ex 18	CIAC - Fire Hydrants		(50,572.00)			
Ex 19	CIAC per Agreement		(65,000,00)			
Ex 20	Advances for construction		9,257.00			
Ex 21	Adjustment to Chemicals		7,207.00	(657.00)	•	
Ex 22	Adjustment to Materials and Supplies	<del></del>		(5,384.00)		
Ex 23	Insurance	Comment		(2.201.00)		
Ex 24	Contractual Services - Other			(4,373.00)		
Ex 25	Backhoc		<del></del>	(114.00)		
Ex 26	Adjustment to Miscellaneous Expense	•		(3.544.00)		
Ex 27	Per Book 1992 Deprecioation Expense			5.432.00		
Ex 28	Taxes Other Than Income			(3.101.00)		
DS 1	Elevated Land Purchase	Comment		(3.101.00)		
DS 2	CMB		Pending		<b>Y</b>	
DS 3	Developer Agreement - Prepaid	Comment				
DS 4	Salaries and Wages	Comment				
DS 5	Proforms Pension		•	(7,200.00)		
DS 6	Services — Engineering	Comment		(7,200.00)		
DS 7	Services - Legal	Comment				
DS 8	Services - Management Pees	Comment				
DS 9	Services — Other Proforms		<del></del>	2.131.00)		
DS 10	Tallahassee Office Rent	Comment	'	,)		
<b>DS</b> 11	Transportation	Comment				
DS 12	Bad Debt Expense	Comment				
DS 13	Proforma Miscellaneous Expense	Comment				
DS 14	Utility Office Location	Comment				
DS 15	Cost of Capital	Comment				
DS 16	Ken Gordon Agroement	Comment				
DS 17	Confidential Documents	Comment				

Note: Disclosures and Exceptions may note repri the impact of depreciation or CIAC amortization due to limited audit time.

#### II. Audit Scope

The opinions contained in this report are based on the audit work described below. When used in this report COMPILED means that the audit work includes:

COMPILED - Means that the audit staff reconciled exhibit amounts with the general ledger; visually scanned accounts for error or inconsistency; disclosed any unresolved error, irregularity, or inconsistency; and, except as otherwise noted performed no other audit work.

RATE BASE: Compiled Rate Base; Traced applicable rate base adjustments to company schedule; Reviewed invoices supporting plant additions subsequent to 12/31/87; Reviewed 100% of the supporting invoices/documentation for plant additions subsequent to 12/31/87; Reconciled CIAC since rate base was established by Order 21122 issued 4/24/89; Analyzed CWIP; Analyzed Advances For Construction; and Toured Franklin County plant facilities October 21, 1993.

CAPITAL STRUCTURE: Compiled common equity for St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd.; and Recomputed Cost of Debt for St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd.

NET INCOME: Compiled Net Operating Income for the test year. Scheduled revenues billed; Verified depreciation and CIAC amortization rates; Recompute Depreciation subsequent to 12/31/87; Reviewed chemical invoices; Traced operating expenses to source documentation; Traced applicable projected income statement adjustments to company schedules and source documentation; and Agreed test year salaries to supporting payroll journals, as well as Federal Forms 940 and 941.

Scheduled and reconciled the Company's Taxes Other Than Income Accounts.

OTHER: Read affiliate 1987 through 1992 Federal Income Tax Returns and analyzed tax years 1991 and 1992.

SUBJECT: MONTHLY BOOKING OF ACCOUNTS

STATEMENT OF FACT: Commission Order 24458 required the utility to file monthly general ledgers before the end of the following month. The last monthly ledger filed the utility was for August 1993. During fieldwork, it was observed the company was not posting its general ledger accounts monthly. Specifically the December 1993 general ledger was not completed during mid March.

The Commission in Order 92-0122, dated March 31, 1992, found that failure to update the utility's general ledger was not a substantial violation of Commission rules. This finding was despite the presence of the statement: "Each utility shall keep its books on a monthly basis" in the Uniform System of Accounts.

The Commission stated; "There is no evidence in the record to support a prohibition against such accumulation of data (slow booking to the general ledger).

OPINION: Section 674.406, F.S., provides the reason for keeping monthly books. This subsection is entitled; "Customer's Duty To Discover and Report Unauthorized Signature or Alteration" and provides statutory guidance as to what and what not a customer may recover from a bank in the event of a forgery or other fraudulent cash scheme perpetrated upon a utility.

There are more specific reasons to keep monthly books. These reasons involve audit techniques to detect errors and irregularities.

This utility as well as all utilities should be required to keep books on a monthly basis. The proper form also requires that a year to date listing also be prepared at the close of the year. The utility did not maintain a year to date listing during 1992 and 1993.

The Commission should take a strong stand supporting monthly record keeping as it is very necessary to protect resources which are the basis of the calculation of customer charges.

SUBJECT: UTILITY RECORDS

STATEMENT OF FACT: Uniform System of Accounts (USOA), Class B Water Utilities, p. 13, General - Records, reads in part, "Each Utility shall keep its books of account, and all other books, records, and memoranda which support the entries in such books of account so as to be able to furnish readily full information as to any item included in any account."

USOA, p.15, General - Accounting Period, reads, "Each utility shall keep its books on a monthly basis so that for each accounting period all transactions applicable thereto, as nearly as may be ascertained, shall be entered in the books of the Utility. Each utility shall close its books at the end of each calendar year unless otherwise authorized by the Commission."

General Ledgers were readily available, while requested additional information needed to be retrieved by Utility employees. The Utility, during October 1993, created CPR records subsequent to 12/31/87.

OPINION: The books during 1988 through 1991 are not in as good a condition as in 1992. The Utility appears to have relatively inexperienced accounting staff and has recently added a CPA consultant. Books are recorded on a cash basis rather than an accrual basis. The accountant's journal entries are not supported. The utility could not locate certain checks or invoices. Some source documentation is still missing. On several occasions during the field work, the utility's accountant was not readily available. This caused undo delays. The burden was then transferred to the bookkeeper who had problems interpreting the accountant's work papers. The 1992 books were not closed until approximately September 1993. This is very apparent by the Utility's accountant's recording of CWIP for 1992.

During February and March of 1994 the accountant was only available on Fridays. The CPA consultant would try to fill in for the accountant. At times there were still undo delays when the CPA and Bookkeeper could not interpret certain entries.

As of March 25, 1994, the books for 1993 had not been closed. The 12/31/93 General Ledger obtained from the utility was not a final General Ledger. In addition, the utility had not closed its books for January and February of 1994. No General Ledger existed for those months.

Auditor did notice a better control of documents after the Bookkeeper obtained the additional office worker.

SUBJECT: FORM 1099-MISC

STATEMENT OF FACT: Armada Bay Company, a Florida corporation, (ABC) has a Management and Operating Agreement with St. George Island Utility, LTD., (Utility). The agreement states, "as full and adequate compensation for the management and operating agreement, the Utility will pay ABC an annual management fee of \$48,000...."

St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd recorded \$48,000 into its account 634, Contractual Services - Management Fee, during 1992. \$15,375 of the \$48,000 was disbursed by checks to Gene D. Brown, Cash (indorsed by Gene Brown) and Publix (written and cashed by Gene D. Brown).

In addition to the above \$15,375, Gene D. Brown received Transportation Allowance in the amount of \$1,050 and Medical Benefits in the amount of \$450 for a total of \$16,875. The utility reported medical and transportation payments are not subject to form 1099 disclosure.

St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd recorded \$31,436.45 into its account 632, Contractual Services - Accounting, during 1992. \$13,750 was disbursed to Jeanie Drawdy for Accounting Services during 1992.

Neither Jeanie Drawdy or Gene D. Brown are employees of the utility.

1992 Instructions for Form 1099-MISC, Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) states, "If the following four conditions are met, a payment is generally reportable as nonemployee compensation: (1) you made the payment to someone who is not your employee; (2) you made the payment for services rendered in the course of your trade or business (including government agencies and nonprofit organizations); (3) you made the payment to someone other than a corporation, e.g., an individual or a partnership; and (4) you made payments to the payee of at least \$600 during the year."

Examples of payments are:

A fee paid to a nonemployee and travel reimbursement for which the nonemployee did not account to the payer if the fee and reimbursement aggregate at least \$600.

Taxable fringe benefits for nonemployees.

The instructions also requires nonemployee compensation to include fees, commissions, prizes and awards for services rendered, or other forms of compensation for services rendered for your trade or business by an individual who is not your employee.

The Utility's response to Document/Record Request No. 3 was, "St. George Island Utility did not provide 1099's for 1991 and 1992."

#### AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 3 CONTINUED

OPINION: The auditor analyzed the payments Gene D. Brown and Jeanie Drawdy for Contractual Services on the following pages.

Armada Bay reported for tax purposes in 1992, income of \$48,000 from the Utility.

During the April 12, 1994 exit conference, the Utility presented copies of 1099's issued to Jeanie H. Drawdy and Barbara S. Withers for 1992 and Jeanie H. Drawdy for 1993. No Form 1099 was issued for Gene D. Brown. The 1099's were reportedly prepared and submitted April 11, 1994.

St. George Island Utility Co., LTD. 1099-MISC Payments received by Gene Brown Test Year Ended 12/31/92

<u>Iteu</u> 1	Date 9/4/92	<u>Chec</u> 488		<u>Amount</u> 494.10	<u>Description</u> Management Pees	Management Fee 494.10	Allowance	Medical Benefit
2	9/14/92	513	Gene D. Brown	750.00	Management Pecs	750.00	•	
3	9/4/92	514	Gosc D. Brown	105.90	Management Fees	105.90	•	
4	10/5/92	552	Gene D. Brown	750.00	Management Poes	750.00		
5	10/5/92	553	Gene D. Brown	750.00	Management Focs	750.00		÷
6	10/8/92	559	Genc D. Brown	750.00	Management Focs	750.00		
7	10/13/92	566	Gene D. Brown	<b>75</b> 0.00	Management Fees	750.00		
8	10/21/92	584	Gene D. Brown	750.00	Management Fees	750,00		
9	10/21/92	585	Gene D. Brown	750.00	Management Foes	750.00		
10	11/2/92	652	Gene D. Brown	1,500.00	Management Pees	1,500.00		
11	11/2/92	117	Gene D. Brown	<b>75</b> 0.00	Management Fees	750.00		
12	11/10/92	118	Gene D. Brown	750.00	Management Fees	750.00		
13	11/27/92	125	Gene Brown	750.00	Management Fees	750.00		
14	12/14/92	703	Gene D. Brown	1,850.00	Management Fees	1.850.00		
15	12/21/92	715	Gene Brown	500.00	Management Fees	500.00		
16	12/24/92	727	Gene D. Brown	750.00	Management Fees	750.00	•	
17	12/2/92	127	Gene D. Brown	1,300.00	Management Fees	1,300.00		
18	12/4/92	132	Gene Brown	500.00	Management Fees	500.00		
19	12/18/92	142	Cash	250.00	Management Foca	250.00		
20	12/22/92	143	Gene D. Brown	300.00	Management Focs	300.00		
21	12/27/92	145	Publix	75.00	Management Fees	75.00	•.	
22	12/31/92	148	Gene D. Brown	250.00	Management Foes	250.00		
23	10/21/92	586	Gene D. Brown	300.00	Medical Benefit			300.00
24	10/27/92	115	Gene D. Brown	400.00	Transportation Allowance		400.00	340.50
25	11/25/92	124	Gene D. Brown	200.00	Transportation Allowance		200.00	
26	11/30/92		Gene D. Brown	450.00	Transportation Allowance		450.00	
27	12/31/92	146	Gene D. Brown	150.00	Accure Monthly Expense- N/P Gene Brown 11/92; 12/92 Cash Receipts (Debit) N/P Gene Brown			
41	1431176	140	Gest P. prove		Medical Benefit			150.00
				16,875.00		15.375.00	1,050.00	450.00

St. George Island Utility Co., LTD.

1099-Misc for Contractual Services-Accounting
Test Year Ended 12/31/92

					p <del>ra </del>	
Item	Date	Check	Payce	Amount	Description	Remarks
1	3/31/92	1732	Jeanie Drawdy	140.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
2	3/31/92	1833	Jesnic Drawdy	160.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
3	6/30/92	254	Jeanie Drawdy	170.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
4	6/30/92	282	Jeanie Drawdy	380.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
5	6/30/92	313	Jeanic Drawdy	250.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
6	7/31/92	329	Jeanic Drawdy	240.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
7	7/31/92	<b>3</b> 63	Jeanie Drawdy	550.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
8	7/31/92	413	Jeanie Drawdy	320.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
9	6/31/92	439	Jeanie Drawdy	520.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
10	8/31/92	452	Jeanie Drawdy	700.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
11	9/30/92	498	Jeanie Drawdy	720.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
12	9/30/92	531	Jeanie Drawdy	800.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
13	9/30/92	541	Jeanie Drawdy	200.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
14	10/31/92	<b>5</b> 51	Jeanie Drawdy	800.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
15	10/31/92	562	Jeanic Drawdy	200.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
16	10/31/92	573	Jeanie Drawdy	200.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
17	10/31/92	587	Jeanie Drawdy	\$00.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
18	11/30/92	599	Jeanic Drawdy	200.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
19	11/30/92	619	Jeanie Drawdy	\$00.00	Accounting Services	No commet provided
20	11/30/92	629	Jeanie Drawdy	400.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
21	11/30/92	637	Jesnic Drawdy	500.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
22	11/30/92	<b>45</b> 6	Jesnic Drawdy	460.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
23	12/31/92	667	Jeanic Drawdy	\$00.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
24	12/31/92	<b>643</b> .	Jeanic Drawdy	500.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
25	12/31/92	708	leanic Drawdy	500.00	Accounting Services	No contract provided
26	12/31/92	724	leamie Drawdy	<u>340.00</u>	Accounting Services	No contract provided
				13.750.00		

SUBJECT: THIRD WELL LAND

STATEMENT OF FACT: MFR Schedule A-5 page 1 reflects an Utility adjustment to Land and Land Rights to reclassify Land purchased in 1990 for the Third Well in the amount of \$23,276.00.

The Warranty Deed recorded 9/12/90, OFF REC 326 PAGE 035, shows the grantee of the above land (Lot 1, Block 9, David Brown Estates) as Armada Bay Company.

An Indenture (Mortgage Deed) recorded 9/12/90, OFF REC 326 PAGE 036 and 037, is between Armada Bay Company, called the Mortgagor, and Fleet Finance & Mortgage, Inc., called the Mortgagee. Witnesseth, That the said Mortgagor, for and in consideration of the sum of Ten Dollars to it in hand paid by the said Mortgagee, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained and sold to the said Mortgagee, the following described land, Lot 1, Block 9, David H. Brown Estates.

Armada Bay Company (Lessor) and St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd. (Lessee) has a Lease Purchase Agreement, dated 6/26/90 and an Amendment To Lease Purchase Agreement, dated 8/20/92, for Lot 1, Block 9, David H. Brown Estates.

The Land, Lot 1 BLOCK 9 David Brown Estates, was purchased with funds from the Barrier Island Escrow Account (an escrow account containing CIAC monies) for the benefit of St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd.

The Schedule on the following page presents the closing statement costs associated with the purchase of the Third Well Land.

OPINION: Since this property was purchased with Utility Escrow Funds, the property ownership should be in the name of the Utility.

In addition, the adjustment to Land and Land Rights should be reduced by \$570.00.

St. George Island Utility Co., LTD. Third Well Land Test Year Ended 12/31/92

		Allowable	Other
Item	Description	Amount	Amounts
1	Purchased Price	22,500.00	<u>.</u>
2	County Taxes		(36.28)
3	Per Diem MTG-Difference Tax Lien		242.83
4	Interest On Tax Lien		235.92
5	Doc Stamps 1st New Note		72.00
6	Int Tax On 1st New MTG		45.00
7	Record 1st New MTG		10.50
8	Record Deed	6.00	••
9	Title Insurance	200.00	
		22,706.00	569.97
	•		

Source: Buyer Closing Statement

SUBJECT: LACKING INVOICE SUPPORT - PLANT

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Schedule on the following page presents costs charged to the Utility Plant In Service Account lacking the accompanying invoice. Expenditures noted were lacking either the invoice, other supporting documents or a cancelled check.

OPINION: Description and remarks are the opinions of the auditor and not the utility. The costs should be removed from plant.

St. George Island Utility Co., LTD. Lack of Invoice Support for Plant Test Year Ended 12/31/92

lier	Date	Checi	Ref	Payee	Amount	Account	Description	Remarks
_								
1	12/31/88		JE 24	Wailace Pump & Supply	111.71	333.4	Combination of various	\$111.71 of JE 24 Unsupported
					55.44	333.4	IE's/payments to	\$ 55.44 Duplicate adjustment
							Wallace Pump for 1988	for 4/88, JE 10, CK/2235
					9.75	333.4		\$ 9.75 Unsupported amount
								CK/2660
2	6/30/89	<b>29</b> 33	CD	Barrett Supply	2,944.68	320.3		Unsupported- No invoice or
								Cancelled Check
								•
3	9/14/89	CCK	涯 14	Cooper Fence Company	1,587.00	307.2		Unsupported- No invoice
					•			
4	11/30/89	(*)	涯 14	Cobb/Coloney	158.58	307.2	Survey/Consulting	Unsupported - No Invoice for
								\$158.58 of the \$10,733.45 JE.
								in Addition, No Cancelled Check
								Supporting the \$10,733.45 JE.
								NOTE: See Exception No. 6 & 10
5	12/22/89	241	CD	Wallace Pump & Supply	344,44	333.4		Unsupported- No Invoice
6	3/31/90		<b>ЛЕ</b> 3	Wailace Pump & Suppiy	307.11	333.4		Unsupported- No Invoice or
								Cancelled Check
7	3/31/90	CCX	JE 10	Wallace Pump & Supply	951.04	333.4		Unsupported- No Invoice
					951.03	334.4		Unsupported- No Invoice
	3/31/90	CCK	JE 10	Wallace Pump & Supply	950.89	333.4		Unsupported- No Invoice
					950.88	334.4		Unsupported- No Invoice
9	4/30/90	CCK	JE 10	Wailace Pump & Supply	92.24	320.3		Unsupported- No Invoice or
								Cancelled Check
								Calculate Calca
10	6/26/90	CCX	JE 10	Cash	200.00	334.4		Unsupported- No Invoice
11	7/25/90	CCK	TE 10	Cash	300.00	334.4		Unsupported- No Invoice
12	7/20/90	329	Æ 10	Rowe Drilling	888.00	320.3		Unsupported- No Invoice
13	S/28/92	227		Hank Garrett	50.87	335.4	Reimbursement check	Unsupported- No Invoice
14	514/92	180		Wallace Pump	156.20	334.4	Supplies	Unsupported- No Invoice
					11,009.86		•	

(\*) Larry Cobb = \$4.862.00

Coloney = \$ 5.871.45 (Invoices for \$5,712.87 plus \$158.58 unsupported)

JE 19 \$10.733.45

Note: CCK= Counter Check

SUBJECT: LACKING CANCELLED CHECK SUPPORT - PLANT

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Schedule on the following page presents costs charged to the Utility Plant In Service Account without the accompanying cancelled check.

OPINION: Description and remarks are the opinions of the auditor and not the utility. Costs unsupported by cancelled checks should not be considered utility investment in rate base.

St. George Island Utility Co., LTD.
Lacking Cancelled Check for Documentation
Test Year Ended 12/31/92

Item	Date	Check	Ref	Payee	Amount	Description
1	7/26/88	2535	CDJ	Sunstate Meter	363.60	Meters & Supplies
2	2/6/89	21	JE 13	Rowe Drilling	g 12,020.00 Furnish & install 3 Submersible Pump	
						NOTE: See Exception No.5- Asso- ciated retirement not recorded
3	5/289	2870	JE 14	Wallace Pump	2,519.54	Various
4	6/30/89		JE 12	Wallace Pump	3,011.67	Various
5	11/30/89	(*)	JE 10	Larry Cobb/Coloney Consulting	10,733.45	Survey data/Consulting
						NOTE: See Exception No. 10 & S
6	5/14/90		CCK-JE 9	Wallace Pump	40.41	Various
7	10/2/91		JE 12	Ardaman & Associates, Inc.	2,493.78	Geotechnical Exploration & Finance charges- Final Judgment
						NOTE: See Exception No. 7
			Total		31,182.45	~
					<b>基本学生工作工</b> 学	

(\*) Larry Cobb = \$4,862.00

Coloney = \$5,871.45 (Invoices for \$5,712.87 plus \$158.58 unsupported)

JE 10 \$10,733.45

Note: CCK= Counter Check

SUBJECT: ADJUSTMENT TO PLANT

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Schedule on the following page presents costs charged to the Utility Plant In Service Account.

OPINION: Description and remarks are the opinions of the auditor and not the utility.

These charges are largely transportation costs or finance charges, such costs are not readily plant according to the uniform system of accounts. The utility is separately requesting transportation charges for its employees in this filing.

The auditor also notes one reduction in cost granted by a vendor (2625) which was not reflected in the plant accounts.

The auditor also notes an adjustment to plant which involves leasehold improvements of \$1,295 which should first be allocated between the utility and the affliates sharing the office space. The residual amount should then be amortized over the leasehold period and charged to income.

St. George Island Utility Co., LTD. Adjustment To Plant Test Year Ended 12/31/92

ltem	Date	Chec	k Ref	Payee	Amount	Account	Description	Remarks
1	4/05/90	ccx	正10	Cash	18.09	334.4	Gasoline	\$18.09 of the check for the amount of \$60 was for Gasoline - Proforma Adjustment for Transportation
2	4/19/90	CCK	TE 10	Cash	30.67	320.3	Gasoline/Toll/Hose/ Clamp	\$30.67 of the check for the amount of \$58.85 was for Transportation Expense-Proforms Adjustment
3	<b>3/2</b> 7/90	CCK	JE 6	Cash	20.47	334.4	Gasoline/Toll/ Unaccounted amount	\$20.47 of the check for the amount of \$150.00 was for Transportation Expense-Proforms Adjustment
4	10/2/91		JE 12	Ardaman & Associates	403.78	347.5	Geotechnical explora- tion for SGI water tank 5/28/88- Finance Charges assessed.	\$403.78 of the \$2,493.78 recorded to plant represents finance charges assessed. Original invoice amount was \$2,090. Final Judgement obtained by Plaintiff. Pinance Charge below line expense.
								NOTE: See Exception No. 6
5 1	12/31/92		JE 12	Acer Accounts Payable				•
				GAP Enterprises Inc.	2,625.00	309.2	Balance Due on invoice for installation of water lines Pebble Beach Village	Agreement with contractor reduced the invoice amount for the \$2,625 balance due. Total invoice amount paid was \$9,450. Remove the \$2,625.
								·.
5 1.	/8/92	1	1537	John Abbott	1,295.00		Construction work performed-3848 Killearn Court, Tallahassec	1) This is non-recurring expense 2) Office is not Utility owned 3) Location is shared by the law firm 4) Perhaps the leasehold improvement should be amortized as a charge to
	•	lousi .			4.000.00			expense for its pro rate (1/6)
	•	Juli			4,393.01			

Note: CCK= Counter Check

SUBJECT: PLANT RETIREMENTS

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Schedule on the following page presents retirements recorded or not recorded to the utility Plant In Service Account.

OPINION: Description and remarks are the opinions of the auditor and not the utility.

The staff engineer should review this finding and direct the utility to adjust its accounts.

Ite	n Date	Check	Ref	Bayes	1 4		
110	n Date	Check	Kel	Payee	Amount	Description	Remarks
						•	
1	12/31/88		JE 26	Retirement	1,675.00	1986 Copier (Island)	Per Order #21122- General Office Equipment Adjusted Per Book Balance was zero at 12/31/87 1986 Copier was not included in Plant in Service. JE should not have been made
	2/6/89	21	JE 13	Rowe Drilling	12,020.00	For Well #1 Purnish & install 30h.p. Goulds Submersible Pump, designed for 300 GPM @ 260 TDH: 30 h.p., 3 phase, 230V Motor-275H-6 Water End, 4" Riser Pipe Valve & stc.	Utility did not record a retirement for the old Pump System replaced.  NOTE: Original cost of this item was not supported by a check.  See Exception No. 6
_	<b>3 ma</b> / <b>10</b>		_			•	
3	7/20/89	2987	CD	Perry Well Drilling	10.505.40	For Well #2 Purnish & Install 30 HP Pairbanks Morse Submersible Pump, 126' 4" Drop Pipe, 130' #4/3 Submersible Cable, Labor and etc.	Utility did not record a retirement for the replaced Turbine Pump System
						•••	
4	417/92	125		ABC/Service Merchan	213.97	Doctor Scale for Chlorine	Utility purchased 2 new mechanical scales 6/23/92 Doctor Scale had rusted and was southectional. Utility did not retire the Doctor Scale
5	4/23/92			Harris 3 M Copier	3,654.00	Copier purchased 6/30/88	Utility purchased Copier & Attachments from Pitney Bowes 4/28/92. Utility did not record a retirement for the replaced 1988 Harris 3 M Copier

- ACTUAL RETIREMENT To be reversed
- Charge for new plant is presented.

  Retirement should be estimated and booked.
- \*\*\* Asset should be retired

SUBJECT: ADJUSTMENT TO THIRD WELL PROFORMA

STATEMENT OF FACT: MFR Schedule A-3 page 2 of 2 reflects the cost of the third well as \$164,270 excluding Land. The Schedule on the following page presents costs provided the auditor to support the cost of the third well for the proposed adjustment to Plant In Service.

The Utility did not provide all the source documentation requested. At least three times the auditor requested the utility to fully support the proforma adjustments to plant for Coloney Company Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Also, the Utility did not fully support Rowe Drilling, Survey and DER requested amounts.

As of April 12, 1994, the utility reports the automatic switchover swytem is not fully operational for the third well.

OPINION: The following description and remarks are the opinions of the auditor and not the utility. Any cost not supported should be removed from the utility's requested proforma investment.

St. George Island Utility Co., LTD.
Adjustments to Third Well Proforma
Test Year Ended 12/31/92

Item	Payer  Rows Drilling	Utility Requested Amount	Recommended Allowable Amount  119,668.00	Recommended Disallowed Amount 526.00	Invoice Description  Construction Of Well	Remarks Unsupported Amount
2	Graybar	317.00	317.00	•	No. 3 1 Priceso RTE DELTEC	
3	Hughes Supply, Inc.	294.00	294.00		Fed. Signal	
5	Survey - Edwin G. Brown  Baskerville- Donovan	250.00 19,268.00	0.00 19,268.00	250.00	For professional services in connection	Unsupported- No Invoice
6	Luberto's	11,798.00	11,798.00		with well no. 3  Limerock Roedbase and Shell	
7	Quality Grading	715.00	715.00		Equipment Rental	
\$	DER	350.00	250.00	100.00	Permit for well no. 3	Unsupported Amount
Đ	Franklin County	195.00	195.00		Building Permit	
10	Coloney Engineering	10,890.00	0.00	10,890.00	Professional Services	Not supported by itemized invoices. Utility Could Not provide requested support documentation.
	=	164,271.00	152,505.00	11,766.00		

SUBJECT: COLONEY COMPANY INVOICES RECORDED TO PLANT

STATEMENT OF FACT: Invoices dated July 27,1989 and October 4, 1989 were recorded to Plant Account # 307.2, JE 10, 11/30/89.

Invoice dated March 27, 1990 was recorded to Plant Account # 330.40, JE-7 ELE, 4/30/90.

The Schedule on the following page is an analysis of the associated itemized invoices for payment from Coloney Company Consulting Engineers, Inc.

OPINION: Description and remarks are the opinions of the auditor and not the utility.

Plant In Service should be reduced \$2,370 for the duplication of the invoices for payment.

St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd.
Re: The Coloney Company Consulting Engineers, Inc.
Test Year Ended 12/31/92

Date	Bessielies	Engineer/	-		Allowable	Duplica
	Description	Technician		Rate	Expenses	Expens
	R PERIOD ENDING JULY 13. 1989		*****	c <b>it</b> e on consistence of the construction of t	1	1
03/27/89	PSC staff recommendations	WHC	2.5	\$100	\$250	1
05/01/89	Well #2	WHC	2.6	\$100	\$260	į
05/02/89	Elevated tank	WHC	1.6	\$100	\$160	1
05/03/89	Relay details of May 2	WHC	0.7	\$100	\$70	j
05/15/89	System costs	WHC	0.9	\$100	\$90	1
05/16/89	Senitary sewer system	WHC	2.3	\$100	\$230	1
05/17/89	Elevated tank	WHC	2.5	\$100	\$250	]
05/22/89	Rate case	WHC	0.3	\$100	\$30	1
05/23/89	Booster chlorinator	WHC	0.6	\$100	1	1
06/96/89	Implementation plan	1		1	\$60	ļ
04/-07/89	•	WHC	0.5	\$100	\$50	
U-1-07/89	Administrative services & copies	-	-	_	\$237.87	'
BULENGFO	R PERIOD ENDING SEPTEMBER S	1940- INVO	ICE DA	 EED OCTOR	FR 04 1989	
07/19/89	Elevated tank	WHC	1.0	de caracagas actual constitution	de consecuence and consecuence and consecuence and consecuence and consecuence and consecuence and consecuence	1
07/31/89	Water system components	WHC	2.8	\$100	\$100	
08/02/89	3rd Weil	1		\$100	\$280	1
1	1	WHC	3.2	\$100	\$320	1
08/03/89	3rd Well	WHC	2.3	\$100	\$230	1
08/04/89	3rd Well	WHC	1.5	\$100	\$150	l
08/07/89	3rd Weil	WHC	3.5	\$100	\$350	Ì
08/08/89	3rd Well	WHC	2.9	\$100	\$290	Į.
08/09/89	Elevated tank	WHC	7.9	\$100	\$790	[
08/10/89	3rd Well	WHC	2.3	\$100	\$230	1
08/21/89	Improvements to water system	WHC	2.2	\$100	\$220	ľ
08/23/89	Meeting at PSC	WHC	2.0	\$100	\$200	
08/28/89	With reference to meeting at PSC	WHC	1.1	\$100	1	1
08/29/89	Hearing at PSC	1 1			\$110	
	1 -	WHC	1.9	\$100	\$190	
08/30/89	Re: option to purchase H2O system	WHC	3.3	\$100	\$330	Ì
08/31/89	Consumptive Use Permit	WHC	0.5	\$100	\$50	
09/05/89	Surveys-extensions to water system	WHC	1.4	\$100	\$140	
08//89	Administrative services		-		\$45	
2618/250	PERIOD MAY 2. 1989 THROUGH					20200000000
		leannan ann an ann an ann an an an An	en e	orania and an anti-	LED MARCH	
05/02/89	Elevated tank	WHC	1.6	- \$100		\$16
05/17/89	Elevated tank	WHC	2.5	\$100		525
07/19/19	Elevated tank	WHC	1.0	\$100		\$10
07/31/89	Water system components	WHC	2.8	\$100	•	\$28
08/03/89	3rd Weil	WHC	2.3	\$100		\$23
08/09/89	Elevated tank	WHC	7.9	\$100	İ	\$79
08/21/89	Improvements to water system	WHC	2.2	\$100		\$22
08/23/89	Meeting at PSC	WHC	2.0	\$100		-
	•	ľ	- 1		i	\$20
09/05/89	Surveys-extensions to water system	WHC	1.4	\$100		\$14
12/19/89	Elevated tank	WHC	2.4	\$100	\$240	
12/19/89	Elevated tank	MCA	1.0	\$65	\$65	
12/20/89	Elevated tank	WHC	1.2	\$100	\$120	
12/20/89	Elevated tank .	MCA	1.0	\$65	\$65	
2/27/89	Elevated tank	WHC	3.9	\$100	\$390	
2/29/89	Elevated tank	WHC	1.4	\$100	\$140	
2/30/89	Elevated tank	TAB	4.0	\$60	\$240	
01/25/90	Elevated tank	WHC	1.7	\$100	\$170	
	Administrative services		<u>• · ′</u>	3.00	1	
- 1	1			_	\$200	
	Mileage	-	-	-	\$3.48	
- 1	Administrative services	-	-	-	\$25	
1/04/90	Seminole Blueprinting	_	-	-	\$11.07	
			1			

WHC = Wayne H. Coloney

MCA = Memit C. Auchley

TAB = Thomas A. Bryant

<sup>(\*)</sup> See Exception No. 5 & 6

SUBJECT: REPLACED GENERATOR

STATEMENT OF FACT: On September 9, 1993 the utility purchased a new Caterpillar diesel generator set, model No. 3208. The invoice price was \$28,836, Sales Tax of \$1,522.16 and recording fees of \$140.00 for a cost of \$30,598.66 (28,836 + 1,622.16 + 140.50). The utility received a \$1,800 trade in credit for the replaced Kohler 125 generator. In addition, the utility paid a cash down payment of \$2,883.60 and financed \$25,915.06.

MFR Schedule A-3, Page 1 of 2, denotes the cost of the new generator as \$28,658 net of the booked cost of the existing generator of \$14,406.

The utility's 11/30/93 journal entry is as follows:

Description	Account	Amount
Generator Ring Power	101310	\$ 35,004.95
Trade In - Kohler	101310	( 14,406.41)
Payable Ring Power	232065	( 28,658.16)
Accum Deprec	108310	8,059.62

Record Generator Purchase

OPINION: To record the New Generator is:

Invoice Price	\$ 28,836.00
Tax	1,622.16
Recording Fees	140.50
-	\$ 30,598.66

Accum Donnoc	DR.	CR.
Accum. Deprec. Plant- Old Generator To remove old gene	\$ 14,406.41 rator -	\$ 14,406.41
Plant - New Generator Accum. Deprec - Trade In Cash Down Payment Note Payable To record purchase	\$ 30,598.66  of new generator	\$ 1,800.00 2,883.60 25,915.06

The adjustment to plant for the recording of the new generator should be increased \$1,940.66 (\$30,598.66 - \$28,658.00).

In addition the related financing associated with the new generator should be included as Debt. Also, the WAW Analyst should consider the adjustment associated with Accumulated Depreciation.

SUBJECT: PLANT ASSOCIATED WITH CWIP

STATEMENT OF FACT: Uniform System Of Accounts (USOA), states for Account 105, Construction Work in Progress, "This account shall include the total of the balances of work orders for utility plant in process of construction but not ready for service at the date of the balance sheet."

The Schedule on the following page presents costs charged to Utility Plant In Service Account. The costs are associated with the third well or the 50,000 gallon steel ground storage tank. The 50,000 gallon storage tank is not in service or operational at present.

OPINION: Costs associated with the 50,000 gallon storage tank should be removed from plant and included in CWIP.

Costs associated with the third well should also be removed from the plant accounts and also recorded in CWIP. These third well charges in plant can then be considered as a proforma investment.

Description and remarks which follow are the opinions of the auditor and not the utility.

St. George Island Utility Co., LTD.
Capitalized Plant Associated With Third Well
Or 50,000 Ground Storage Tank
Test Year Ended 12/31/92

liem	Dete	Check	Ref	Payor	Amount	Account	Description	
1	<b>8</b> /6/90	19209	距4	Coloncy Co. Consulting	3,000.00	307.2	Preparation of DER permit application for Third Well installation	Remarks  Associated with Third Well
_	9/17/90 9/24/90	CCK	6	Waddells Fill Dirt & Land Clearing	490.00 600.00		For Third Well- Site clearing/two loads lime rock	Associated with Third Well
3 7	7/31/91	19989	Æ 1	Jack Ethridge Tank Co.	10,875.00	330.4	50,000 gal steel ground storage tank- 25 % upon delivery of tank	Associated with ahminum laying on the ground mensed
4 2	10/31/91	21899 1	E 12	Southern Earth Sciences, Inc.	1.642.50		Geotechnical Invest- igation for 50,000 gal water tank, East Point, Florida	Associated with ahminum laying on the ground unused
				•	16,607.50			

Note: CCK= Counter Check

SUBJECT: TRANSFER OF CONTRIBUTED PROPERTY

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Utility's Tariff Original Sheet No. 33.0 (10.0) states, "Wherein the Utility agrees to accept systems built by others, each contributor (developer, builder, etc.) who has constructed portions of a water distribution system shall convey such component parts of the water distribution system to Utility by bill of sale, in form satisfactory to Utility's attorney, together with such evidence as may be required by Utility that the water system proposed to be transferred to Utility are free of all liens and encumbrances."

On or about 8/14/92, Keith McNeill Plumbing made \$20,240.00 of improvement to St. George Island Utility System as evidenced by the Keith McNeill Plumbing Proposal. \$10,000.00 of this amount was paid by the Utility. The remaining amount of \$10,240.00 was paid by a developer, Ben Johnson, (Doing Business As) Eagle Constructors, Inc.

OPINION: The Utility received \$10,240.00 in contributed property. This transaction was not recorded on the Utility's books. It should be.

SUBJECT: CAPITALIZE PREVIOUSLY UNRECORDED ENGINEERING DESIGN FEES

STATEMENT OF FACT: MFR Schedule A-3 Page 1 of 1, requested a \$21,000 adjustment to Plant in Service to capitalize previously unrecorded engineering design fees of Wayne Coloney for the elevated storage tank.

The Utility did not provide all the source documentation, requested at least three times by auditor, to fully support the requested proforma adjustment to plant for unrecorded engineering design fees of Wayne Coloney.

The auditor's requested information includes: dates, hours worked and projects worked upon. The utility was also to provide source invoices and report how much of the proforma is actually paid to date. The requested source documentation was not received.

OPINION: An analysis of payments and entries related Wayne Coloney was performed for 1987 to present.

From the analysis and a review of CWIP at 12/31/93, the auditor determined that the designed fees had been previously recorded as an expense or capitalized.

This proforma cost is not appropriate.

SUBJECT: ERROR AND LACK OF SUMMARY DEPRECIATION RECORDS

STATEMENT OF FACT: NARUC Uniform System of Accounts for Class B Water Utilities, Accounting instruction 2, provides; "Each utility shall keep its books of account, and all other books, records, and memoranda which support the entries in such books of account so as to be able to furnish readily full information as to any item included in any account. Each entry shall be supported by such detailed information as will permit a ready identification, analysis, and verification of all facts relevant thereto."....

The utility does not maintain summary accumulated depreciation records. The utility records its depreciation on monthly journal entries.

The utility's filing Schedule A-9, page 1 of 2, reported accumulated depreciation balances do not match the books. The utility is reporting \$3,748 more accumulated depreciation at average in its filing than the per book amount (\$736,847 versus \$733,099).

Audit staff has recalculated utility accumulated depreciation. A copy of this recalculation has been provided to the utility.

OPINION: For the auditor's recalculation, depreciation rates used were the last allowed. Plant balances used were the per book amounts after adjustment for inter period errors.

The auditor's accumulated depreciation amount per-books at average is \$ 747,174 (\$784,551 @ December 31, 1992). In plant categories where there was no previously allowed depreciable life, rates used by the auditor were: Office Equipment (15 years), Power Equipment (10 years), Miscellaneous Equipment (15 years), and Other Plant (20 years), the rates set out in Commission rule 25-30.140 FAC.

The auditor also made one major adjustment regarding assets depreciated. The utility reported a \$60,788 asset associated with its elevated tank coming into service in September 1992. The auditor began depreciating this asset in September 1991 to match the in service date of other elevated tank costs.

Utility has not followed the uniform system of accounts by failing to maintain depreciation records which allow ready verification of transaction balances. This is a strategy which can be used to improperly overstate rate base.

CONCLUSION: The utility has overstated its per book rate base by \$ 10,327 (\$747,174-\$736,847). This overstatement would be a permanent overcharge to the ratepayer. Failure to provide proper books and records reduces the effectiveness of Commission auditors.

RECOMMENDATION: Restate the books and the filing to the recalculated levels. Require the utility to file summary plant and depreciation records with subsequent annual reports.

SUBJECT: ERROR AND LACK OF SUMMARY CIAC AMORTIZATION RECORDS

STATEMENT OF FACT: NARUC Uniform System of Accounts for Class B Water Utilities, Accounting Instruction 2, provides; "Each utility shall keep its books of account, and all other books, records, and memoranda which support the entries in such books of account so as to be able to furnish readily full information as to any item included in any account. Each entry shall be supported by such detailed information as will permit a ready identification, analysis, and verification of all facts relevant thereto."...

The utility does not maintain summary accumulated CIAC Amortization records. The utility records its amortization using monthly journal entries.

Audit staff has recalculated accumulated amortization. A copy of this recalculation has been provided to the utility.

OPINION: For the auditor's recalculation, amortization rates used were the last allowed (3.10%). CIAC balances used were the per book amounts.

The auditor's per book average CIAC is \$142,912 (\$158,015 @ December 31,1992). This increases rate base by \$ 10,635.

Failure to provide summary CIAC amortization records does not permit ready verification of the stated account balance. Additional audit time must be spent in these instances to recalculate the reported value.

SUBJECT: IMPUTE CIAC

STATEMENT OF FACT: NARUC Uniform System of Accounts for Class B Water Utilities, Accounting instruction 2, provides; "Each utility shall keep its books of account, and all other books, records, and memoranda which support the entries in such books of account so as to be able to furnish readily full information as to any item included in any account. Each entry shall be supported by such detailed information as will permit a ready identification, analysis, and verification of all facts relevant thereto."...

A analysis of CIAC collected revealed the utility had 30 more connections listed at \$ 500 than were present in a prior audit. According to a reading of the utility 's ledgers the entry was made in October 1991. Since June 1989, the utility was required to charge \$2,020 for each connection.

Through audit request 16, dated December 3, 1993, the utility was asked to identify which 30 connections were brought on the books at this lower \$500 rate. Initially, the utility denied it had 30 connections brought on the books after June 19, 1989. After explanation of the audit finding, the utility now recognizes the presence of these 30 connections valued at \$500. These connections are imbedded in a \$20,000 entry entitled See customer list.

The utility reports these connections were imputed and come from several sources; i. e., an "in depth audit" was preformed to locate every customer of the utility, and the utility accepted connections authorized by John Stocks, a prior owner of the utility. The utility goes on to represent they know all connections to the utility that cost \$2,020 and this number is accurate, but the utility has not produced a listing of the lots in question. Despite an oral request by the audit supervisor, and two written requests by the audit manager.

OPINION: The utility is required to maintain support for its transactions. This utility has experienced difficulties in doing so (Order 23649 page 9). Failing to provide timely support for a transaction usually indicates the issue will be resolved against the party with the burden of support.

This utility's rate base was determined by an original cost study in its last case because the utility reported its records were lost. CIAC was set based upon the number of customers reported by the utility times the approved CIAC rate. The utility has now reports more customers from this time period, but provides no timely support for this statement during audit field work. CIAC should be imputed in the full amount for 30 lots; 30 times \$1,520 or \$45,600. In addition, all future connections should be recognized at the prevailing tariff rate.

The WAW Division may wish to consider the mitigating influence that new customers share the cost of service in any decision in this matter.

SUBJECT: CIAC -- FIRE HYDRANTS

STATEMENT OF FACT: In the utility's last rate case Audit Exception Number 7 stated:

"It was noted that the utility received \$9,250 in 1987 for fire hydrants installed for the local fire department. It is not known how much was received in prior years for this same item. Staff was unable to find any authorization for collecting this fee in the utility's tariff. Rule 25-135(2) X, states that no utility may modify or revise its rates and charges until it receives permission from the Commission."

The utility recorded the \$9,250 in 1987 as non utility revenue. In 1992 the utility received an additional \$4,000 in hydrant fees as utility revenues but removes this amount from its filing. Other years were not tested by the auditors. Prior to 1987 the records were reported as lost.

Commission Order 21122 setting rates in the last case does not resolve this reported audit exception.

The uniform system of accounts requires recording of hydrants as utility plant. As of December 31, 1987, the utility had recorded \$71,874 in its water plant hydrant account, in 1992 \$ 74,274 was recorded as hydrant plant.

On page 14 of utility witness Seidman's testimony in docket 930770 provides:

"I removed the \$ 4,000 that was improperly booked to other revenue.... The fee collected in 1992 was for fire hydrants that are not being installed and are not in use in 1993. In addition, the part of the fee related to installation of the hydrants should be booked as CIAC. Since the fee includes perpetual maintenance, the portion not booked to CIAC should be booked as deferred revenue and amortized over the life of the hydrants."

The utility has made no adjustment for hydrants to its CIAC in its filing.

OPINION: During field work (1987 and 1992), \$13,250 was reported associated with the acceptance of hydrants. Other years were not tested due to a limit on available staff audit time. It is the auditor's opinion that the utility has pursued a program of collecting fees for installing hydrants. The total amount of fees collected are unknown at this time.

The auditor found no provision in the utility's tariff to collect hydrant fees. Fees received in exchange for the installation of plant are considered CIAC. Commission Rule 25-30.570 provides for imputation if CIAC has not been recorded. It is very clear CIAC is present which is not reported.

RECOMMENDATION: Exclude the hydrant fee revenues as filed and impute CIAC for the cost of hydrants less the amount of appropriate CIAC amortization (\$50,572) at average and increase depreciation expense by \$1,838. Also the tariff rate for future hydrant CIAC should be set.

SUBJECT: CIAC PER AGREEMENT

STATEMENT OF FACT: Whereas, the Association has filed two lawsuits against Brown and Affiliates, Case Nos. 90-113 and 90-125, .....; and

Whereas, Brown and Affiliates have filled certain counterclaims against the association.....;
A Settlement Agreement made on September 3rd, 1992, by and between Gene D. Brown.

- (a) \$35,000 will be paid to Stanley Bruce Powell for his legal fee in representing Brown and affiliates in the above-referenced litigation; and
- (b) \$65,000 will be advanced to the St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd. to be used strictly for capital improvements to enhance and increase the flow and pressure of the St. George Island water system, including the installation of a new altitude valve and high speed turbine pump pursuant to the recommendations of Baskerville-Donovan, the utility's engineers."
- (c) This CIAC is not recorded on the books of the utility.

OPINION: The \$65,000 is to be considered CIAC and should have been recorded as reflected.

CIAC should be increased \$65,000.

SUBJECT: ADVANCES FOR CONSTRUCTION

STATEMENT OF FACT: MFR Schedule A-16 reflects the 12/31/92 balance for Advances For Construction as \$76,987.

Auditor obtained a copy of the Department of Natural Resources (Department of Environmental Protection) payment log.

DPINION: Auditor reconciled the entries in the DNR payment log books to the entries in the utility's Advances For Construction Account. The 12/31/92 balance for Advances For Construction was determined to be \$67,730.

Advances for Construction should be reduced \$9,257.

SUBJECT: ADJUSTMENT TO CHEMICALS

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Schedule on the following page presents costs charged to the Chemical Account No. 618.

OPINION: Description and remarks are the opinions of the auditor and not the utility.

Chemical Expense should be reduced \$657.24.

St. George Island Utility Co., LTD. Chemical Analysis Test Year Ended 12/31/92

		1		Check	lavoice	Adjustment	
ltem	Date	Check	Payce	Amount	Amount	Amount	Remarks
1	1/8/92	1534	Mobile Solvent	531.24	531.24	531.24	Prior year expenses
2	2/5/92	1640	Mobile Solvens	555.14	555.14	0	Payment
3	4/9/92	133	Mobile Solvent	770.85	770.85	0	
4	4/10/92		Mobile Solvent		(75.00)		Credit Memo-Returned Drums
5	6/23/92	306	Mobile Solvent	725.00	900.00	0	CM used towards payment
6	6/30/92		Mobile Solvent		(50.00)		Credit Memo-Returned Drums
7	9/3/92	477	Mobile Solvent	922.78	966.78	0	CM used towards payment-\$6 difference applied below
8	9/28/92		Mobile Solvent		(50.00)		Credit Memo-Returned Drums
9	11/19/92	641	Mobile Solvent	<b>39</b> 3.62	398.62	51.00	Credit Memo not used towards
10	11/25/92		Mobile Soivent		(75.00)	75.00	Credit Memo-Returned Drums
	Total			3,\$98.63	3,772.63	657.24	
				******	******		

Source: General Ledger, Cancelled checks, Invoices & Statements

SUBJECT: ADJUSTMENT TO MATERIAL AND SUPPLIES

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Schedule on the following page presents costs charged to the Material and Supplies Account No. 620.

OPINION: Description and remarks are the opinions of the auditor and not the utility. Amounts on the following page listed "adjusted expense" should be removed from the cost of service in this rate case.

St. George Island Utilities
Materials and Supplies Expense Adjustments
Test Year Ended 12/31/92

155 150	IT ENGES	TT 2 T 2 T 2 T 2 T 2 T 2 T 2 T 2 T 2 T	,	<u> </u>		·
Date	Check	Payee	Amount	Allowable Expense	Adjusted	Comments
01/31/92		S. Chase			·	No invoice
01/09/92		Office Depot	51.42 139.74			No invoice
91/15/92		Pederal Express	122.00			Prior Year Expense
01/15/92		Sam's	43.61			Coffee Maker For Office - Non-Recurring
01/14/92		Quality Coffee	74.26			Prior Year Expense
01/16/92		Federal Express	65.75			Prior Year Expense
01/20/92	1596	Federal Express	33.00		33.00	Prior Year Expense
01/21/92	1598	Cush	22.28		22,28	No Invoice- Check Noted Copies From
						Novey Mendelson- Attorneys
01/27/92	1609	PSC	201.00		201.00	201 PGS Copies Dist#871177-WU - Copy
						Of Transcript Noted On Check-Legal
						Expense-Proforms Adjustment To Acct 63:
~ ~~~	1410	Cash	***	<b>70.00</b>		Proforma Adjustment To Acct 633
01/27/92 01/31/92	1610 1620	Check Mark	350.00 31.90	58.00		No invoice- Recorded Payer As G. Brown No invoice
01/31/92	1020	SC SC	958.92	40.10		
D1/31/72		~	730.72	,40.10	718.32	Internal Service Charge (\$785) Due To Excess Number Of Checks or Balance
						Falling Below Minimum And \$133.82
						Unsupported
02/04/92	1628	Larry Brock	309.26	154.63	154.63	Sign Lettering For Utility and
						Law Firm, Allocate 1/2
02/05/92	1630	Federal Express	142.50		142.50	Prior Year Expense
02/28/92	1643	SC	3.00		3.00	Cashiers Check Charge-Non-Utility
02/28/92	1677	Sandra Chase	110.00		110.00	No lavoice
02/21/92	1679	sc	3.00		3.00	Cashiers Check Charge-Non-Utility
02/26/92	1715	Sandra Cheec	96.41		96.41	No lavoice
02/28/92	323	PIP	25.43			No Support Documentation
02/28/92		SC	<b>3</b> 6.25		36.25	No Support Documentation Utility Could
		Catant Communica	40.00			Not Support Amount
02/23/92	1439 1478	Poderal Express Postmaster	124.25			No Support Documentation
02/28/92 02/28/92	14/5	SC	(200.00) 6.40		-	Dishonored Check - Prior Year Expense
021232		<b></b>	<b>9.40</b>		9.40	No Support Documentation Utility Could Not Support Amount
05/04/92	151	Sendra Chase	41.96		41.96	No Invoice
06/30/92	TE06-09		70.78			No Support Documentation
06/30/92	JE06-10	SGIUC Exp. Pd. by ABC	265.40			No Support Documentation
07/10/92	368	Hank Garrett	195.18			No Invoice
07/22/92	388	Hank Gerrett	145.11	115.25	29.36	Gas & Sunglasses, Proforms Adjustment
						To Acet 650
08/31/92	3	PIP Printing	1,000.00	194,21	905.79	invoice and Check Amount for \$194.21
08/31/92	JE08-09	Gulf State Benk	30.00		30.00	Internal Service Charge Des To Excess
						Number Of Checks or Balance Failing
				•		Below Minimum On A MMA Account
9/30/ <del>9</del> 2	486	Apalechicola State Bank	3.00			Cashiers Check Chargo Non-Utility
9/30/92	546	Gene D. Brown	29.00			No lavoice
9/30/92	7E09-06	Postmaster #402	200.00			No Support Documentation
19/30/92 19/30/92	ЛЕ09-07 ЛЕ09-17	Company Expenses ABC Coding Correction	54.98 3.00			No Support Documentation
	лео9-36	Adjust cash	236.60			No Support Documentation No Support Documentation
	JE09-37	Adjust cash	\$7.29			No Support Documentation
9/10/92	503	Hank Garrett	303.67	49.44		No lavoice
9/21/92	535	Kenneth Shiver	15.63	11.09		Gas- Proforms Adjustment To Acet 650
9/22/92	543	Hank Garrett	58.68	45.68		Gas- Proforma Adjustment To Acct 650
1/02/92	601	Hank Garrett	70.41	63.40		Gas- Proforma Adjustment To Acct 650
1/13/92	119	Hank Garrett	98.50			No Izvoice
1/30/92	CR11-07	Cash Receipts	89.00		89.00	No Support Documentation
1/30/92	JE11-05	Andrew Jackson Activity	10.00			No Support Documentation
2/31/92	JE12-12	Accr. Accounts Payable	65.02			No Support Documentation
2/31/92	<del>1</del> 58	Hank Gerres	100.84	37.50	63.34	Gas (524.01)- Proforms Adjustment To
						Acet 650. Toy Truck, Car Mat & Various-
		_				(39.33)- Non-Utility
2/11/92	<del>696</del>	Sem s Club	149.78			Goldstar VCR Model 125 - Non-Recurring
2/31/92	JE12-96	Andrew lackson interest	10.00		10.00	No Support Documentation
			6.154.21	769.30	5,384.91	

SUBJECT: INSURANCE

STATEMENT OF FACT: MFR Schedule B-3 Page 7 of 8, Utility requested an adjustment to test year 0 & M expense for Insurance.

	Requested Adjustment
Account 657 - Insurance - General Liability Account 658 - Insurance- Workmen's Compensation Account 659 - Insurance (Property)	\$ 17,000 4,000 15,520

The Utility obtained only one proposal from Dodd-Jones Insurance, Inc.

The Limited Partnership Certificate and Agreement of St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd., ARTICLE XIII, No. 13.1, Insurance Coverage, states, "The Partnership shall maintain fire, casually, liability and property damage insurance in amounts customary with the venture to be undertaken by the Partnership and consistent with sound business practice."

OPINION: As of April 1, 1994, the Utility has not implemented the above insurance.

The utility obtained only one proposal.

The Limited Partnership is in violation of its Article XIII, Insurance Coverage.

SUBJECT: CONTRACTUAL SERVICES - OTHER

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Schedule on the following page presents costs charged to the utility Contractual Service - Other Account.

OPINION: The costs reflects charges for subsequent and prior expenses, tolls, legal expenses, advances and unsupported charges. In addition, \$1,705 reflecting Scanning Services should be recorded to Unamortized System Map Expense.

Description and remarks are the opinions of the auditor and not the utility.

Amounts labeled adjusted expense should be removed from the utility's cost of service in this rate case.

St. George Island Utility Co., LTD.
Adjunctions To Contraction Services—Other
Test Year Ended 12/31/92

		T					
Deus	Check	Payer	Amount	Description	Espesas	Adjusted Expense	Rometu
1/09/92	1540	So. Wester Survivas	1,000.00	Wester Sample Tenting		1,000.00	Prior Period Servicus
2/07/92	1626	Mask Garres	180.46	Tells and Miss.	146.46	34.00	Tell Charges - \$34
2/14/92	1649	Mark Garren	76.25	Talle, possege & Strings	<b>38.</b> 25	18.00	Tell Charges - \$18
2/26/92	1705	Cash	900.00	Enerpoist Workman - Canal Labor		500.00	No levoise- Chath Noted Labor For E.P.
2/24/92	3711	Domidous Elemeic	AL ESP	Transfer Strick & Labor		<b>43.54</b>	Prior Period Expenses
\$/30/92	219	Lori Desai	71.00	Court Reponer-Telescript		71.00	DER vs SGIU-Com # 90-335; 4/92 Lagal Expense-Professon Adjust- ums To Aum 433
<del>170</del> 7 <i>1</i> 92	<b>34</b> 3	Diversified Design	1,703.00	Smaning Servicer: St. George Idead Maps Smaning & Conversion Services		1,705.00	Stoud Be Resorded To Deferred System Map Expense Ages 186— Proform Adjustment See Amort- image Of Expenses
12/03/92	664	Shirtey Hall	105.00	Office Contains plus Advancement	40.00	45.00	Out Of Period Expense - \$65
12/10/92	690	Paggy Owner & Assesses	30.00	Court Reporter- Approximent Fee		30.00	PSC ve SGUTC man #92-0246-CA Lagu Exposer-Preform Adjust- men To Asst 633
12/10/92	<b>69</b> 1	Antonia Staro Reponse	47.90	Court Reporter- Transmips		47.00	PSC vs SGUIC came #92-0246-CA Legal Exponer-Proforms Adjust- most To Acci 633
12/31/92	497	ASC Mangazina	47.30	ABC Claf 2169-R. Duriens Plac	•	47.30	Ne lavoise-Chesk Noted Treascript PSC/SGIUCs
12/23/92	719	Shirtoy Hall	105.00	Office Cleaning Advancement		105.00	Out of Period Expenses
12/31/92		Year East Adjusting Emry	1.037.30	Communical Services-Analysis- Water Spiger	775.00	24230	Submiques Period Expense-\$262.50
			5,393.05		1,019.71	4,373,34	

SUBJECT: BACKHOE

STATEMENT OF FACT: On August 17, 1992, John Deere Backhoe was leased from Beard Equipment. The Beard Equipment lease stated that a portion of the payments will be applied towards the purchase if converted. On 2/22/93, the backhoe was purchased by Marvin Garrett. The \$5,587.00 down payment was the portion of the monthly lease payments to Beard applied towards the purchase.

A Lease Agreement was made and entered into as of the 25th day of February, 1993 between Marvin H. Garrett and St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd. The Lease Agreement states, 1) Garrett does lease to the Utility John Deere Backhoe/loader for a period of thirty six (36) months from date, or until the expiration of the installment purchase.

- 2) Upon the expiration of the lease agreement, Garrett will sell said backhoe/loader to the Utility for the sum of Ten Dollars (10.00).
- 3) As consideration for the lease, the Utility shall pay the following:

(A) the down payment on the backhoe/loader;

- (B) all costs and expenses directly incurred by Garrett in purchasing said backhoe/loader;
- (C) all payments due from Garrett to Beard Equipment Company under the attached installment purchase agreement;
- (D) all insurance, maintenance or other expenses incurred by Garrett in purchasing or maintaining said backhoe/loader.
- 4) In addition, the Utility will pay all maintenance, fuel costs, and other expenses incurred by the Utility or Garrett.

The John Deere Credit finance agreement states that monthly installments are 12 payments at \$776.72 beginning 4/1/93 and 24 payments at \$748.35 beginning 4/1/94. Insurance is \$318.55.

Mid January, 1994, the utility began paying Mr. Garrett \$9,600 per year at the rate of \$200 per week and the \$318.55 Insurance.

The Utility requested the Lease/purchase and insurance expense of \$9,413, based on monthly installment payments of 4 months at \$776.72 and 8 months at \$748.35 plus \$318.55 for insurance.

The utility capitalized the backhoe in April 1993. In November 1993, the utility removed the backhoe for Plant In Service and recorded the installment payments to Rental Equipment Expense.

OPINION: Auditor calculated backhoe rental with lease/purchase expense and insurance expense of \$9,298.75 as \$748.35 per payment (beginning 4/1/94) times 12 months for \$8,980.20 plus \$318.55 physical damage insurance.

The adjustment to the requested Rental Equipment Expense should be reduced \$114.00.

SUBJECT: ADJUSTMENT TO MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Schedule on the following page presents costs charged to the Miscellaneous Expense Account No. 675.

OPINION: Description and remarks are the opinions of the auditor and not the utility. The costs listed as "adjusted expense" on the following page should be removed from cost of service.

St. George Island Utilizies
Adjuntaments To Misculinarous Expense Excluding Telephone and Utilizies
Test Year Entirel 12/31/92

Date	Come	Payee	<del></del>	Allowable	Adjumed	<u></u>
		reyes	Amoun	Expense	Expense	Comments
01/06/92	1526	Kan Shiver	6.00			
01/06/92	1527	Mank Garrett	25.61		25.61	Tells - New-Resourcing - Proforms Tells - New-Resourcing &
		<b>-</b>			2.7	Prior Year Expense
01/10/92	1550	Tell. Democrat	86.40		26.40	Prior Year Expense, 1 Agre
01/17/00					•	Lakerout Ad
01/17/92	1552	Mank Gerres	68.74		68.74	Tolls - Non-Resurring &
01/17/92	1554	an				Prior Your Espense
01/14/92	1561	Kan Shrver	14.00		14.00	Tolls - Non-Resurring -Profession
01/16/92	1571	Rob's Moving Tall. Demogra	<b>42</b> .00		€2.00	Move Office- Non-Recurring
01/19/92	1592	Trio	88.29		# .29	Prior Year Expense
01/22/92	1600	Comme	32.94		32.94	Resource, Non-Utiliry Economic
01/24/92	1604	Mank Garress	27.06		27.06	Non-United Expenses, Cable Some
01/27/92	1606	Bobby Tolkmin	99.37	62.37	37.00	Tolls - Non-Recurring - Proforms
			250.00	125.00	125.00	Trim Work Office-Casus Labor
						No lavoice-Alloque 1/2 to
02/24/92	1636	Street Garrer	30.00		** **	Law Firm
02/21/92	1685	Ken Shiver	16.00		30.00	No Izvoise Support
02/24/92	1723	Everything Photo	46.00		16.00	Tolls - Non-Repursing -Proforms
		. •			4.00	Nemenity Could Not Bo
01/16/92	1574	Pl. PSC	25.00		25.00	Description of 1999
			<del></del>		₩.00	Copies of 1983 Amenai Report- Non-Reserving
23/30/92	105	Mank Garrett	37.90	11.90	24 00	Tolls - Non-Resurring -Proforms
13/03/92	1736	Mank Garress	47.11		47.11	Tolls & Lunck For Eastpoint
					~1	Men - New-Resurring
13/03/92 13/09/92	1740	Keemeth Shiver	14.00		14.00	Tolle - Nee-Resurring -Proforms
	1773	Hank Garrett	32.58	6.58	26.00	Tolle - Non-Recurring -Proforms
13/09/9 <del>2</del> 13/20/92	1774	Kennak Shiver	10.00		10.00	Tolls - Non-Resurner -Proforms
3/20/92 3/17/92	1811 1815	Hank Carrett	24.90	2.90	22.00	Tolls - Non-Resurring -Proforms
3/1//42	1913	BP-Ungisub & Cloud	197.49		197.49	Repair 1989 Ford Truck-
						LCF IAN-ESW Regs to Manilys D.
						Brown. Non-Utility and
						Adjusted Dus To Transportation
3/31/92	JE03-13	Adim Co.				Proform Adjustment
5/18/92	201	Adjan Carb Mobile Solven	163.37		163.37	Non-Utility - No Support
	<b></b>	SHOOTS 2014EE	16.50		16.30	To Bill NSF Bank Charge
7/31/92	3E07-08	Adjust Cash				For CL#1640
3/05/92	1760	Federal Express	0.52		0.52	New-Utility - No Support
/09/92	1771	Federal Express	159.75		1 <b>59</b> .75	Prior Year Expenses
	• • • •	· — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	339.00	183.00	156.00	Prior Year and Unsupported
¥13/92	137	NE-TII	425.00			Expenses
			42.00		425.00	Education Expense - Utility's
						Proform Adjustment Recinesi-
						Sed This Expense From
/14/92	144	Hank Garren	300.00		***	Acct 675 To Acct 604
			222.00		<b>JUU.50</b>	Educacioni Expense - Advance
						For NE-TTI Travel - \$2.94
						Was Not Assoumed For -
						Proform Adjustment For
						Educational Expense To Nest, 604
	Æ06-21	Adjust to actual	74.25			чес. 904 Че Document Support
07/92	337	Fort-Knex service	402.48		402.48	Julicy's Proform Adjustment
					72.70	o Acm 640 for Storage Rental.
					ż	back Amount Also included a
		<b>.</b>			ž	3 Castier Check Fee
17/92	,	Clerk of Franklin Co.	21.00		21.00 N	cont On Check - Recording Sat.
					0	V Judgmens DNR & Walless -
31/92 t	0	B			Ň	o lavoice
1172 1	•	Franklin Co. Caronnia	<b>40.00</b>		40.00 V	ideo Canadas Of Multin
					A	gency Meeting- To Be Used As
					E	vidence- Lami Experies
31/92 Л	E06-10	Adhan — a				Oforms Administra To Acre 422
	D6	Adjust cash Fl. Russi H2o Assoc.	(0.98)		(U.55) N	O DOCUMENT SURGOOT
		FI. AUGU DAN ANGE.	\$5.00		\$5.00 To	echnical Comformos - Educa-
					tic	Expense-Should Be Included
					<u> </u>	The Proforms Adjustment To
2/92 91	<b>PO</b>	Hank Garrett	100		A	nex 60:4
			155.44		155.44	and Charges Day To Utility
5/92 65	53	Rhom Business Services	62.00		TO ST	SF Cheek
	12-09	Gens D. Brown	92.00 15.00		62.00 No	m-Returning - Copies
			13.00		13.00 G	alf State Book (marrie)
					Se	Price Charge Day To France
					. N	States Of Charles On Balance
						ling Below Minimum Balance
1/92 18	26	US Postmeer	10_58		- C	A MMA Access
1/92 13	6	Pederal Express	13.00		10.38 No	Document Support
	2	Mank Carrell	21.50		13.00 No	Invoice Support
<del>1/9</del> 2 31	_					
¥ <b>9</b> 2 31			21.50		21.30 CR	6/283 Also Paid For The Same D. Box, Removed Duplime

SUBJECT: PER BOOK 1992 DEPRECIATION EXPENSE

STATEMENT OF FACT: In previous exceptions, the auditor recalculated utility 1992 balances for depreciation and CIAC amortization.

The utility reported its per book depreciation expense in MFR B-1 schedule 1 of 1 as \$39,026. According to the utility general ledger, 1992 depreciation expense was \$40,276.

OPINION: The utility failed to report its per book depreciation expense in its filing.

Also the auditor contends the utility's per book depreciation expense in incorrect. The auditor's recalculated 1992 depreciation expense as \$44,548.

Also the WAW staff will need to adjust the filing depreciation expense to match the allowed lives stated in Rule 25.30.140, Florida Administrative Code.

See also Exception No. 15,

SUBJECT: TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME

STATEMENT OF FACT: The utility requested an adjusted test year for taxes other than income in the amount of \$14,153 for Regulatory Assessment Fees, \$12,719 for Payroll Taxes and \$7,204 for Real Estate Taxes.

OPINION: Auditor calculated Payroll Taxes based on the Utility's requested test year salaries of \$123,120. Payroll taxes associated with the requested payroll is \$9,838.68 or a difference of (\$2,880.32).

Property Taxes were determined to be \$6,982.68 or a difference of (\$221.46).

Adjusted test year Taxes Other Then Income should be reduced by \$3,101.78.

SUBJECT: ELEVATED LAND PURCHASE

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Closing Statement, dated 5/29/92, related to the purchase of the elevated tank lots denotes the purchase price of \$22,000, recording fees of 172.50, and an additional amount due from buyer for past due interest and costs and fees incurred of \$58,680.29. The total amount due from buyer was \$80,852.79. A portion of the amount due from buyer was paid with a \$30,852.79 SGIU check paid to Capital City Bank for a cashier's check.

Per response to Request No. 16, "The \$58,580.29, was paid to Regional Land Corporation on May 29, 1992. These costs breakdown as follows:

\$52,929.95	Interest on original debt to Regional in the amount of \$203,278.51
2,400.00	Lease Payment to Brinkley Brothers
1,144.78	Interest on Brinkley Lease
508.06	Taxes on Elevated Tank Site
1,697.50	Attorneys' and Misc. Closing Costs "
\$58,680.29	
	•

The Journal Entry associated with the purchase was as follows:

Land - Lots 21 & 22	101303	\$22,172.50
Regional Interest	237.03	58,680.29
Loan Gene Brown	233.01	(50,000.00)
CCFNB - SGIU Cashier Check	131.07	(30,852.79)
CK # 238		

OPINION: This is for informational purposes for the W & W Analyst.

SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS (CWIP)

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Utility's 12/31/92 Final General Ledger has a balance for CWIP as \$211,556.

MFR Schedule A-18 reflects a CWIP 12/31/92 balance as \$211,556.

The utility was requested to provide a listing of invoices and projects which make up its CWIP balance as of December 31, 1992. This listing will be matched up against the current listings provided to the auditor (through August 31, 1993).

OPINION: The listing that the utility accountant provided does not support the 12/31/92 CWIP Balance. Various Amounts recorded were for invoices or payments made/received subsequent to 12/31/92.

SUBJECT: DEVELOPER AGREEMENT FOR PREPAID CIAC

STATEMENT OF FACT: The utility received \$161,600 in prepaid CIAC on March 1990 from the Developer Andrew Jackson. The outstanding balance for this agreement as on December 31, 1992 was \$145,440 (of the 80 prepaid connections, 8 connections were reported connected and receiving billings).

The utility received \$ 40,040 in prepaid CIAC on August 1990 from the Developer Wilder Properties. The outstanding balance for this agreement as on December 31, 1992 was \$8,080 (of the 20 prepaid connections, 16 connections were reported connected and receiving billings).

DPINION: The WAW Division has requested the balance of prepaid CIAC, and the amount of accumulated amortization accrued thereon. The attached page calculates that amount.

						CAC				CIAC
					CAC	AMORTE	LATION RATE=	3.10%	AMO	RTIZATION
	Begin		AJ .	Wilder	ENDING		BECOMMING	====		ENDING
D. ENDED	-	Additions	Reductions	Reductions	BALANCE		BALANCE	ADDITIO	ADRIST	BALANCE
J							9120.02	ADD11.0	AD7031	PALMICE
Dec-67						D				
_				•	0.00	Dec-87				0.∞
.a 68					0.00	Jan-85	0.00	0.00		0.00
F:5-8 <b>8</b>					9.∞	Peb-11	0.00	0.00		0.∞
Mar-88					9.00	Mar-85	0.00	0.00		0.00
A91-88					0.00	Apr-88	0.00	0.00		0.00
May-88					0.00	May-88	0.00	0.00		0.00
Jun-88				•	0.00	Jun-88	0.00	0.00		0.∞
38-lui					0.00	Jul-88	0.00	0.00		0.00
Aug-88					0.00	Aug-6\$	0.00	0.00		0.00
Sep-48					0.00	Sep-13	0.00	0.00		0.00
Oc:-38					0.00	Oct-88	0,00	0.00		
					9.00					0.00
Nov-38	-		•			Nov-11	0.00	0.00		0.00
Des-68					0.00	Dec-45	0.00	0.∞		0.∞
Jap-89					0.00	Inp-89	0.00	0.00		0.∞
Feb- 19					0.00	Feb- 89	0.00	0.00		0.00
Mar-89					0.00	Mar-19	0.00	0.00	- 25 pm	0.∞
Apr-89					0.00	Apr-29	0.00	0.00		0.00
May-19					9.00	May-89	0.00	0.00		0.00
وو - بعيار 19 - بعيار					0.00	Jun-89	0.00	0.00		
4										0.00
Jul-39					9.93	Jui-89	0.00	0.00		0.00
Aug-19					9.00	Aug-89	0.00	0.∞		0.∞
Sep- 39					0.00	Sep- \$9	9.∞	0.00		0.00
Oct-39					9.00	Oct-89	0.00	0.00		0.∞
Nov-89					9.00	Nov-19	0.00	0.00		0.∞
Dec-39					9.00	Dec-89	0.00	0.00		0.00
Jan-90					9.00	Jan-90	0.00	0.00		0.00
Fs5-90					0.00	Feb-90	0.00	0.00		
Mar-90		161,500.00			161,600.00					0.00
	444 (00 00	101,000.00				Mar-90	0.00	208.73		208.73
Apr-90	161.600.00				161,600.00	Apr-90	201.73	417.47		626.20
May-90	161.600.00				161,600,00	May-90	626.20	417,47		1.043.67
90-مسار	161,500.00			•	161,600.00	Jun-90	1,043.67	417.47		1.461.13
<b>09-iu</b> i	161,600.00				161,600.00	90-نىپز	1.461.13	417,47		1.378.50
Aug-90	161.500.00	40,400.00		(\$.0£0.00)	193.920.00	Aug-90	1,578,60	459.21		2.337.51
Sep-90	193.920.00				193.920.00	Sep-90	2,337.81	\$00.96		2,838,77
Oc:-90	193,920.00				193,920.00	Oct-90	2.538.77	500.96		3.539.73
Nov-30	193.920.00				193,920,00	Nov-90	3,339.73	500.96		3.340.69
Dec-90	193,920.00				193,920,00	Dec-90	3,340.69	500.96		
Jan-91	193,920.00				193.920.00	Jan-91	4,341.65	500.96		4.341.65
_	-								-	4.842.51
Feb-91	193,920.00				193,920.00	Feb-91	4.14261	500.96		5.343.57
Mar-91	193,920.00				193.920.00	Mar-91	5.343.57	500.96		5.344.53
Apr-91	193,920.00				193.920.00	Apr-91	5.344.53	500.96		6.345.49
May-91	193,920,00				193,920.00	May-91	6.345.49	500.96		6.346.45
Jun-91	193,920.00				193,920.00	Jug-91	6,846.45	500.96		7,347.41
14-14	193,920.00				193,920.00	Jui-91	7,347.41	500.96		7,548,37
Aug-91	193,920,00				193,920.00	Aug-91	7.348.37	500.96		8,349.33
Sep-91	193,920.00				193.920.00	Sep-91	1249.33	500.96		
Oc:-91	193,920,00				193,920,00	Oct-91				8.850.29
							1.350.29	500.96		9.351.25
Nov-91	193.920.00				193.920.00	Nov-91	9.351.25	500.96		9,352.21
Dec-71	193.920.00				193.920.00	D <del>cc-7</del> 1	9.252.21	300.96		10.353.17
Jan-92	193.920.00				193.920.00	<u> 149-92</u>	10.353.17	500.96		10.254.13
Feb-92	193.920.00				193.920.00	Feb-92	10.254.13	500.96		11.355.09
Mar-92	193,920,00				195.920.00	Mar-92 "	11.355.09	500.96		11.256.05
Apr-92	193.920.00			C_020.001	191,900.00	Apr-92	11.256.05	498.35		12.354.40
342v-72	191,900,00			•	191,900.00	May-72	12.354.40	495.74		12.550.15
Jun-92	191.900.00		(4,040.00)	(2.020.00)	125,140.00	Aug-72	12.150.15	457.91		
Jui-92			(6.060.00)	(12.:20.00)	167.660.00	jui-92				LJ_J38.06
	125,340.00		-	-			13.338.06	456.60		13.79≤.66
Aug-92	167.660.00		(4.040.00)	(4.040.00)	159.580.00	Aug-92	13.794.66	422.59		14.217.35
Sen-12	159.580.00		C-020-00)	C-000-001	155.540.00	Sep-72	14.217.35	407.03		14.524.38
Oct-92	155.540.00				155.540.00	Oct-92	14.624.38	401.31		15.026.19
Nov-32	155.5 <b>4</b> 0.00				155,540,00	Nov-92	15.026.19	401.33		15.428.00
Dec-92	155.540.00			C-320.001	153,520,00	Dec-??	15.423.00	399.20		15.327.00
				=					7	

SUBJECT: SALARIES AND WAGES

STATEMENT OF FACT: MFR Schedule B-3, Page 2 of 8, requested test year salaries and wages expense of \$123,120. The request amount was for current salary level effective 12/1/93, an additional office worker and an additional field assistant.

In addition to the office worker, the utility has hired an additional field assistant. However, generally the number of hours worked per week by the field assistant is 8 to 16.

Per a discussion with Gene Brown on 2/18/94, effective 12/1/93, Sandra Chase's payroll is 3/4 paid by the utility and 1/4 paid by the Law Firm. Sandra Chase's total payroll income for 1993 was \$32,240.

The utility, in addition, is paying 100% of Sandra Chase's Health Insurance Benefit of \$300 per month and Transportation Benefit of \$50 per week.

Affiliate transportation expense is reported in Disclosure No. 11. .

DPINION: The analyst may want consider the additional field assistant position as a "seasonal need" position. At present it does not appear that this full time position is needed.

In addition, an allocation may need to be considered for Sandra Chase's Health Benefit Insurance and Transportation Expense.

SUBJECT: PROFORMA EMPLOYEE PENSION AND BENEFITS

STATEMENT OF FACT: MFR Schedule B-3 Page 2 of 8 reflects a utility requested adjustment for \$29,997. The requested adjustment is for Health Insurance payment for all salaried employees and the contract manager, Gene D. Brown; a pension program for all utility employees; and an allowance for education and training.

As of 12/1/93, the utility paid Health Insurance Benefit payments of \$300 per month to each salaried employee plus the contract manager. The filing requested a Health Insurance Proforma adjustment of \$25,200. However, schedules provided the auditor and utility memo denotes a requested adjustment amount of \$18,000 for four salaried employees plus the contract manager.

In relationship to the pension benefit requested proforma amount, the utility provided a signed service agreement between Universal Pensions, Inc. and St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd. The effective date of the plan is 1/1/94. The utility provided a non-cancelled copy of a check for the amount of \$500. A memo from the utility denotes that the profit sharing plan will result in an utility contribution equal to 5% of each employee's base salary.

The filing request an allowance for employees for education and training in the amount of \$3,000. Utility response to Document Request No. 20, states that the \$3,000 adjustment for education expense was an estimate base on past seminars attended by two employees. However, the Utility did provide a list of projected employee educational expenses which the Utility would incur during 1994 if funds were available. Order No. 21122 Issued 4/24/89 allowed training cost of \$963.

Opinion: The requested proforma adjustment for Employee Pensions and Benefits should be reduced for the difference in the Health Insurance calculation of \$7,200. The utility apparently does not plan to provide health benefits to its employees receiving hourly wages.

As of April 1, 1994, the pension plan program had not been implemented. The \$500 check was for pension plan administration fee.

SUBJECT: CONTRACTUAL SERVICES - ENGINEERING

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Utility has a Retainer Agreement with The Coloney Company Consulting Engineers, Inc.

The Retainer Agreement is entered into as of this 1st day of January, 1992.

"Engineer shall provide engineering consulting advisory services to Utility on an "as needed" on going basis."

"In consideration of the services to be rendered by Engineer pursuant to this agreement, Utility shall pay engineer a fee of five hundred dollars (\$500) per month."

The Retainer Agreement contains an effective date, however, no signature date.

MFR Schedule B-3 page 4 of 8, removed all per books account expenses as adjusted to recognize the \$6,000 per year retainer agreement with Coloney Engineering.

The Schedule on the following page presents costs charged to the Utility Contractual Service - Engineering.

DPINION: Description and remarks are the opinion of the auditor and not the utility.

St. George Island Utility Co., LTD. Contractual Services-Engineering Test Year Ended 12/31/92

kom	Dass	Check	Poyee	America	Description	Brakerville Domovna	Edwin Brown	W Colonsy	Southern Water	Remeks
1	3/31/92	1755	CCFNB/ Southern Water	2,312.38	Engineering Services				2,312.38	No izvoios
2	5/30/92	195	Shaharville-Danovus	3,130.00	Engineering Services	3,150.00				
3	6/30/92	294	Edwin G. Brown	228.38	Engineering Services		228.38			No igrecios
4	8/31/92	JE06-06	Bakerville-Desovas(Astroni)	37,915.66	Engineering Services	37,015.66				
5	9/30/92	530	Busineville-Descries	6,119.73	Engineering Services	6,119.73				
•	9/30/92	\$£09~42	Basicarville-Done-un(Resises)	(46,225,39)	Recitor Engineering Serv.	(46,225.39)		•	-5	The state of the s
7	12/10/92	TE09-41	Record W Colomny Serv.	1,500.00	Engineering Services			1,500.00		No cancelled aback & No invoice Actrus retainer agreement
	12/31/92	A/E	Besterville-Donovas(Interest)	110.75	internal on past due	110.75		•		Not a prudetta business expenso
				4,151.51		110.75	228.38	1,500.00	2,312.38	

SUBJECT: CONTRACTUAL SERVICES - LEGAL

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Utility has a Retainer Agreement with Gene D. Brown, P.A.

The Retainer Agreement is entered into as of the 1st day of January, 1992.

"In consideration of the services to be provided by the attorney pursuant to this agreement, Utility shall pay Attorney a fee of \$1,000 per month. The retainer of \$1,000 per month shall cover all incidental expenses incurred by attorney, such as travel, telephone charges, copying costs, and other similar expenses".

Response to Audit Request No. 6 dated October 18, 1993 for the test year, "Attorney Gene D. Brown did not maintain time records for work performed for the Utility and no invoices were submitted".

The Addendum To Retainer Agreement is entered into as of the 1st day of January, 1993.

The Addendum states "Utility shall pay the attorney a fee of \$150 per hour, with a maximum of \$24,000 per year, including incidental expenses such as telephone charges, copying costs and other similar expenses. The Utility will pay the Attorney at the rate of \$2,000 per month".

The Addendum also states "The attorney shall maintain detailed time records showing the specific work performed by the Attorney, the date such work was performed, and the time expended by the Attorney on each separate item of work. These detailed time records shall be maintained on a daily basis, and shall be available for review by the Utility at any time".

Response to Document Request No. 20 item No. 17, "Time records were not kept through calendar year 1993".

Both the Retainer Agreement and the Addendum To Retainer Agreement contains an effective date, however, no signature date.

The Schedule on the following page presents costs charged to the utility Contractual Service - Legal Account.

OPINION: Description and remarks are the opinions of the auditor and not the utility.

The Attorney was in violation of the Addendum To Retainer Agreement by not maintaining the required detailed time records in 1993.

# AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 7 CONTINUED

Auditor believes that the retainer agreement reflecting the 1992 legal expenses was retroactively created. This document was not readily available.

Auditor also believes the Addendum To Retainer Agreement reflecting the 1993 legal expenses was retroactively created. This document was not provided to the auditor during October and November 1993.

Agreements should have a signature date. This generally prevents retroactively creating documents.

2t. George Island Utility Co., LTD Contracted Services-Legal Test Year Ended 12/31/92

								<u></u>					
								plant			Transcri		
_					<b>4</b> —			Rosino		Legal	and Cou	R	
		_			Amora	_	Description	Atrema	_	<u>Obsained</u>	Laporte		Remarks
	1/31/92 1 2/28/92				1,000.0		According arvicus	1,000.0		•			No statement for entrices or invoice
•		-	_	40014	1,000.0		Astroni-legal estvices	1,000.0	-			•	No datament for services or ignoice
•		-		ASC Management	1,000.0		Legal Services	1,000.0					No material for convinue or investor
4	4/29/9/	37	7	ARC PROPERTY.	1,000.6		Reimburnment for etterne	y 1,000.0	0				No statement for services or invoice
	5/28/92		_	C		_	PROJECT DER CAR						
	3/29/92 4/19/92	_		Gate Brown Associated Court Reports	1,000.5	-	Legal Services	1,000.0	0				No statement for entriess or invoice
•	0/19/92	. 29	•	Andread Com Adore	173.1		Court Reponer &				193.1	5	4/30/92 motions between DER vs Come
•	4/19/92	-	•	Lori Daniil	•		Teampios					_	Brown, 4/6/s SCEU ČE, No manufiled obsolt
•	# 19772	29,	•				Court Reponer &				28.00	,	S/15/92 motions hearing-DER vs Gene
_	6/22/92			Poecy Warrer			Tenteripios					_	Brown, 4/b/a SGIU Co.
•	-2012	250		Loggy works	۶.,	•	Copy of Tenneries				23.90	•	5/22/92 hmring-DER v. Game
	<i>4/22/</i> 92	30-		Gass D. Brown	1 000 0		Logal Services					•	Brown, White SOTU Co.
10				Christins Wheeler			Court Reporter	1,000.00	,				No statement for survious or invoice
	9 24 72	210	•		130.50	•	Coun Autonia				130.00	)	6/18/92 bearing-DER v. Gens D.
11	W17/92	440		San Colons	49.00	, ,	Service-Witness extracemen						Brown, ET AL.
12		• • • •		Flore Frances (Dale			Paid by ABC	,			69.00		Armeda Boy Co Chank - No Invoice
••	/-		-	Westing)	20.2		res of April					1,128.30	No Check - Messo-setorasy's feet
13	7/9/92	398	,	Para Duning	2 000 or	, ,	Attorney Renges to						for representing Float Piganos
-	110.72				200.0		represent the unity		2	L,000,00			7/14/92 Settlement Conference w/PSC
14	7/16/92	372		Gene Brown	1.600.00		Lagai Services	1,000,00					
15	8/17/92	450		Gens Brown	1,000.00		Legal Services	1,000.00					No statement for survious or invoice
16	9/21/92	•		Don Caparello	1,000.00		Land Services	1,000.00		.000.00			No material for services or invoice
•			•		.,	•				.000.00			PPSC v. SGIU
													Representing Aller B. Melton
													Hearing RE Injunction and Domages to
17	4/4/92	485	1	Leans Leannag	1,000.00		and Services			.000.00			Client
-					3,,,,,,,,,	_			•,				FPSC v. SGIU
													Proparation for and attendance at
													having on siction for preliminary
12	9/9/92	495	•	Fred Johnson	2.500.00	1.	agal Servicus		•	.500.00			Injunction;
_			•		4,5	_			_	.500.00			FPSC v. SGIU and Guan D. Brown
													Bearing on FPSC motion for
19	10/15/92	111	F	end Johnson	1,000.00	L	ani Servicu			900.00			preliminary injunction
									••				FFSC v. 3GFU and Gaze D. Brown
								•					Hearing on order to show some
20	10/19/92	112	3	ann Shelfer	<b>430.00</b>	Zı	aper Winner Testingsy						10/20/12
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					20.00	Order No. PSC-93-0511-POP-WU Intrad: 4/5/93
													Overarchip is land as which
<b>3</b> 1	10/21/92	114	G.	an Branch	2,000.00	La	igal Services	2,000.00					abovered storage trade in learned. No extension for province
22	11/6/92	<i>6</i> 17	A	ca Capazalio			gul Servicus			25.00			FPSC v. SGIU
													_
													Representing Alies B. Melton: Hearing RE Injunction and Domages to
													Client
23	11/18/92	121	G.	upa Brows	\$00.00	Le	gal Services	\$00.00					No majornist for introduce or invalue
24	12/2/92	128	G,	na Brown Law Firm			gal Services	1,000.00					No assessed for services or services
25	12/4/92	132	G.	as Brown			pal Services seasonal 11/92						No statement for services or invokes  No statement for services or invokes
													1.00 commencers for minoring \$4.7844999
				:	21,817.55			12.000.00	7,5	85.00	454.05	1,778.50	

SUBJECT: CONTRACTUAL SERVICES - MANAGEMENT FEES

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Utility has an Agreement with Armada Bay Company (ABC).

The agreement was made and entered into as of the 1st day of January, 1992.

As full and adequate compensation for this management and operating agreement, the Utility will pay ABC an annual management fee of \$48,000.

Response to Document Request No. 16 item No. 5, denotes an estimated 1560 hours in 1992, of Gene Brown's time to provide management services through Armada Bay Company.

The Management And Operating Agreement contains an effective date, however, no signature date.

The Schedule on the following page presents costs charged to the utility Contractual Service - Management Fees Account.

OPINION: Description and remarks are the opinions of the auditor and not the utility.

The auditor believes that the Management And Operating Agreement reflecting the 1992 management expenses was retroactively created. This document was not readily available.

Agreements should have a signature date. This generally prevents retroactively creating documents.

St. George Island Utility Co., LTD.
Contractual Services—Management Four
Test Year Ended 12/31/92

														Gene							
														Brows							
	<b>.</b>	D-14				A			AD		- 4	edn.		Law				Journa	1		
	) I	1/31/9		<u>beck</u>	Payen	4,000.0		ription	Manage		<u>~ 9</u>	-	DLS.	Fire	<u>Cu</u>	<u> </u>		Eary	-	Remarks	
	2	2/28/9				4,000.0													No statement		. a
	3	3/6/92	. 1	767	Sandra Class	\$37.6		•		0.00	_	<b>.</b>							No statement	for <del>services</del>	•
	4	3/13/9	2 1	796	Sandra Clause	\$37.6						7.64 7.64							No statement	for merican	•
•	5	2/13/9:	2 1°	79E (	CCFNB	166.7					33	7.04	166.74						No deserved		-
	6	3/13/93	2 1	<b>800</b> (	CCFNB	166.7							166.74						Sandra Claus		
	7	3/13/97	2 1	802	CCFNB	166.7	For FICA &	Withholding	Tex				166.74						Santra Chan		
		אנוע		804 (	CCFNB	166.7							166.74						Sendre Cham		
		J/20/92	-		leades Class	\$37.6	4 Salary for AB	C Management			ລ	7.64	100111						Seadre Cham		
		3/20/92			CCFNB	166.7							166.74						No statement Sandra Chara		
		3/27/92	•	-	CENB	166.7		•					166.74						Sandra Chan		
	12	3/27/92	118	M3 1	hadm Closs	216.0	Salary for AB	C Mange			216	6.00							No exercise		
	13	3/27/92		44 E	mercal Rovettus Service				•										Total Check a		
		4/10/92	-		BC Management								170.56						Payroll Tax		
		41492			BC Measterment	3,000.00 1,000.00			3,000										No souspest	for services	<b>~</b>
		1/28/92			BC Management	4,000.00			1,000										No malestant		
		W22/92			BC Management	4,000.00			4,000.										No material		
	16 1	7/9/92	35		BC Management	4,000.00			4,000.										No RMEDIES	or mevicus o	<b>DF</b> 1
	19 8	V14/92	44		BC Management	2,900.00			4,000. 2,000.										No statement	or mervious (	pe i
	<b>20</b> 8	17/93	45	1 4	BC Macagement	2,000.90			2,000.										No statement !		
:	21 9	/4/92	4	ŧ G	me D. Brown	494.10	_			~	10								No statement !		
																			No statement !	or services o	e i
:	12 *	/14/92	51:	G	ms D. Broom	750.00	Management Fe			750	.00								PPE 9/4/92		
																			No statement !	07 <b>METVICOS</b> (	H is
2	3 %	14/92	514	G	as D. Brown	105.90	Management Fo	-		105.	90								PPE 9/11/92		
_																			No extense ! PPE 9/4/92	or mervious o	e is
-	M )(	W5/92	357	2 Ge	m D. Brown	750.90	Management Fo			750.	00								No statement f		
4	5 16	V4.000																	Wk ending 9/1		
4	<i>.</i>	V5/ <del>92</del>	553	G	se D. Brown	750.00	Mangazaux Fe	₩		<b>75</b> 0.	00								No statement fo		- 4-
,	6 10	<b>V1/9</b> 2	559	<u></u>	sc D. Brows	<b>34</b> 0 00													Wk anding 9/2		
•			234		E D. Brown	750.00	Management Fe			750.	00								No management for		- :-
2	7 10	<b>V13</b> /92	566	-	a D. Brown	750.00	M												Wa ending 10/		-
-				_	<i>D.</i> 2.0-8	750.00	Management For	<b>.</b>		750.	<b>X</b> 0								No management for		r imn
2	10	/21/92	584	G	s D. Brown	750.00	Management Fee	_		~~									Wk meding 10/		
2	10	/21/92	585	Geo	a D. Brown	750.00	Management For			750.0 750.0	-							1	No statement for	r mervious or	
×	11/	/2/92	652	G	s D. Brown	1,500.00	Management For			1.500.0								1	to extenses to	entrion or	iev
							•	-		1.500.1	~								lo exerces (o		-
31	11/	2/92	217	C	D. Brone	750.00	Management For			750.0	n								1/6/92 & 11/1	-	
							_			750.0	-								o statement for	MENIOR OF	iew.
32	11/	10/92	118		D. Brown	750.00	Management Fee	•		750.0	0								0/30/92		
נג		27/92	125		Brown	750.00	Management Fee	•		750.0	0								O SEMESTICS OF		
24	12/	ra/92	702	G.	D. Brown Law Firm	1,000.00	Махариния Росс	-check					1.0	00.00					O SAMSTAN (OF		inve
				_			raflacts lagal face						•					•		w	1874
					D. Broom		Махариания Росс			1,850.00	)								heak Noted for o statement for		
			715		Brown		Management Fran			<b>50</b> 0.00	)								to! Marchage (or		
	12/2		727 127		D. Brows		Management Feet			750.00	)								O PALADONE (Or		
	12/4				D. Brown Brown		Management Fee			1,300.00	)								staining for		
-	-	- 72	132	_	Divos.	<i>5</i> 00.00	Management Four			500.00	)								structure for		
-0	12/1	8/92	142	Care		250.00	·												Check-unoug		
		~ /•				4,000	Madagataget Free							25	0.00				minume for		
41	12/2	2/92	143	_	D. Brown	300.00	Management Food												mak madormad b		
		7/92		Pabis			Hanganak Fasi			300.00									enterpret for		
			-													5.00			D. Brows's		
ß	12/3	1/92	146	G	D. Brown	250.00 1	Acatement Fore			250.90								-	d as ID to cash	obsck- No	-
*	12/3	1/92					surmi Entry			250.00									MALADOM for a		
																	625.0		rani Entry to r	scord Manag	افيينة
					-			_										Fee	payable		
					4	.900.00			<b>25,000</b> .00	15.050.00	1,828.92	1,171.	.00 L.000	0.00 24	· ·	.~		_			
					-			-							7		025.0	v			

SUBJECT: PROFORMA ADJUSTMENT CONTRACTUAL SERVICE - OTHER

STATEMENT OF FACT: MFR Schedule B-3 Page 5 of 8, the utility requested a test year adjustment for a storage tank maintenance program, distribution piping cleanout program, increase in testing requirements and uniform rental contract.

The utility provided one quote from Savannah Laboratories for \$26,765 which includes pick up of water samples and testing. Also, the proposal amount was adjusted for (\$2,813) to remove test year lab fees and sample transport costs. Additional quotes were not provided. Also, a signed contract was not provided.

The utility provided a signed service agreement for uniform rental and states that the uniforms have been ordered. The utility's estimate based on two employees is \$1,065. The MFR request an adjustment of \$1,280.

The utility provided one quote from Professional Piping Services, Inc. to clean the distribution piping. Additional quotes were not provided. A signed contract was not provided. The amount including utility adjustment for line across the bridge was \$37,492.80.

The utility requested an adjustment for the annual cost of a six year maintenance contract of \$22,409 for tank maintenance.

The utility provided two quotes for the storage tank maintenance. Eagle Tank Technology Corp. proposal was for the maintenance of the elevated water tank and the ground storage tank. The total per year amount was \$20,493 for a six year contract.

The other maintenance proposal was from Jack Ethridge Tank Company, for the elevated tank only. The proposed amount was \$45,000 in total.

A signed contract for the tank maintenance was not provided.

### AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 9 CONTINUED

OPINION: As of March 25, 1994, the storage tank maintenance program, the distribution piping cleanout program and the increase in testing requirements had not been implemented.

In addition the estimated/proposed amounts provided the auditor were \$2,131 less then the requested proforma expense.

	MFR	Proposal	Difference
Storage Tank	\$ 22,409	\$ 20,493	\$ 1,916
Distribution Piping	37,493	37,493	
Testing Services less	26,765 2.813 23,909	26,765 less <u>2,813</u> 23,909	0
Uniform Rental	1,280	1,065	215
Total	\$ 85,091	\$ 82,960	\$ 2,131

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: TALLAHASSEE OFFICE RENT

This disclosure is considered confidential. For further information, see confidential document separately filed with the Commission Clerk.

REDACTED COPY

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT:

TRANSPORTATION EXPENSE

This disclosure is considered confidential. For further information, see confidential document separately filed with the Commission Clerk.

REDACTED COPY

SUBJECT: BAD DEBT EXPENSE

STATEMENT OF FACT: MFR Schedule B-3, Page 7 of 8, the Utility is requesting a proforma adjustment of \$6,276 for Bad Debt Expense. In the MFR, the utility states that there is no allowance for bad debt expense on the books for the test year.

The Annual Report for the last three years reports bad debt expense as:

1992 \$0 1991 \$0 1990 \$50

The (Final Billed) Customers outstanding balance as of 12/31/1991 was \$585.78, as of 12/31/1992 was \$6,276.13 and as of 12/31/1993 was \$6,276.13.

The Utility's Customer Deposit Balance is \$13,860 at 12/31/92.

OPINION: The Utility based the Bad Debt adjustment on non-payments by Final Billed Customers.

The Utility has not attempted collecting the outstanding balance. No liens were provided. In addition, the utility does not use a collection agency for the outstanding accounts.

The bad debt allowance should be reduced to a reasonable level.

SUBJECT: PROFORMA MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE

STATEMENT OF FACT: MFR Schedule B-3 Page 8 of 8 reflects a request for an adjusted test year amount of \$24.422.

Audit Exception No. 26 reflects an adjustment to the books.

The Utility is requesting an increase to miscellaneous expense for cellular phone service for Gene Brown and Hank Garrett. The utility states, "Both Hank Garrett and Gene Brown have cellular phones which are necessary to properly manage the utility. It is estimated that the phone bills for each cellular phone will be approximately \$200 per month. One half of Gene Brown's bill (\$100) will be allocated to the utility." In total the utility estimated \$3,600 per year for cellular phone. The adjustment reflects the removal of the test year recorded cellular phone expense of \$1,376. The cellular phone that Gene Brown uses is in the name of Sandra Chase.

The utility is also requesting an increase to miscellaneous expense to lease a copier and fax machine for the island office. Per utility memo, "In order to properly do their job with the constant flow of detailed information and written documentation between the two offices, the personnel on the Island must have a fax and copy machine." A quote provided by the utility, reflects a 60 month lease at \$145.95 per year.

The utility is also requesting an increase to miscellaneous expense for corporate filing fee. The utility states, "In prior years, the filing fees to the Secretary of State for Leisure Properties, Ltd. which is necessary to keep the utility company qualified to do business have not been charged to the utility." The filing fee for Leisure Properties, Ltd.is \$576.25.

OPINION: The analyst made want to consider whether these expenses are prudent and necessary.

SUBJECT: UTILITY OFFICE LOCATION

STATEMENT OF FACT: The Utility maintains its main office at 3848 Killearn Court, Tallahassee, Florida.

The Utility maintains an office for its operators on St. George Island.

Response to Audit Request No. 20 states, the utility's business office is located in Tallahassee because it is the most logical and efficient location to conduct its business. The utility's office on St. George Island is not in physical condition to accommodate a billing office for a business that is dependent on its computer.

OPINION: Generally, the utility's main office is located near its system, when the utility has only one system.

Although the utility has an operators office on St. George Island, this does not negate that its business office be more strategically located such as in East Point.

The Analyst may want to carefully consider expenses for the long distance telephone calls between St. George Island operators' office and the Tallahassee office, transportation allowance for Gene D. Brown and Sandra Chase, and overnight postage of payroll or emergency payments.

SUBJECT: COST OF CAPITAL

STATEMENT OF FACT: Issued 4/24/89, Order No. 21122, Application of ST. GEORGE ISLAND UTILITY COMPANY, LTD., for an increase in water rates in Franklin County, held the cost of Customer Deposits constant for Cost of Capital determination.

Commission Order 94-0394 issued in docket 930385 proposes reducing the rate paid for customer deposits.

OPINION: The WAW Division may want to consider adjusting the cost rate for Customer Deposits to represent the most recent Commission Rate.

SUBJECT: KEN GORDON DEVELOPER AGREEMENT

STATEMENT OF FACT: Developer Agreement with Ken Gordon is dated February 25, 1993, and revised August 19, 1993.

The total commitment from Ken Gordon to the utility is \$77,817.25 to be paid as follows:

- (A) \$10,000 paid at the time of the agreement
- (B) \$50,132.25 in a letter of credit
- (C) \$17,685 which will be paid at the time of development via main extensions

The \$10,000 was deposited on July 27, 1993. On September 2, 1993 an additional \$132.25 was deposited.

OPINION: The utility reported at the exit conference that the Ken Gordon Developer agreement has been cancelled and the payment of \$10,000 has been returned to the developer. The utility further states the \$10,000 repayment was the repayment of a loan. The auditor has not verified this claim.

This disclosure has been provided for information only.

SUBJECT: CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS

STATEMENT OF FACT: The following documents were requested to be treated confidential. These documents are on file with the Commission Clerk.

Federal Tax Forms for the period 1987 to 1992 (37 Pages)

Auditor notes from review of the affiliate records (3 pages)

Audit Disclosure Number 10 entitled Tallahassee Office Rent (2 page)

Audit Disclosure Number 11 entitled Transportation Expense (2 page)

Commany: St. George Island Utility Co., Ltd

Schedule: A-1 EXHIBIT NLG-1 (Page 72 of 74)

Page 1 of 1

Preparer: Seidman, f.

Docket No.: Test Year Ended: 12/31/92 Interim [ ] final [X]

detail calculation.

Mistoric CX1 Projected [ ] Explanation: Provide the calculation of average rate base for the test year, showing all adjustments. All non-used and useful items should be reported as Plant Held for future Use. If method other than

formula accordant (1/8 C&M) is used to determine working capital, provide additional schedule showing

	(1)	(Z) Aven	(3) age 1992 Rate	(4) Sase	(5)
Line No.	Description Utility Plans in Service	3cck	Utility Adjustments 110,261	Salance	Supporting Scredule(s)
2	Utility Land & Land Rights	31,542	23,276	54,818	A-5
3	Less: Non-Used & Useful Plant	. 0	D	3	A-7
4	Construction Work in Progress	105,325	( 105,828)	ð	A- 18
5	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	( 736,347)	223	( 736,524)	A-9
ż	Less: CIAC	( 988,742)	( 11,110)	( 999,852)	A-12
7	Accumulated Amortization of EIAC	132,277	6,556	ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಾ	4-14
8	Acquisition Adjustments	0	٥	0	•
2	Accum. Amort, of Acc. Adjustments	a	. 0	. 0	• •
10	Acvances for Construction	( 78,6ó2)	٥	78,8é2)	A-16
17	Sorting Capital Allowance	35,113	30,508	65,622	A-17
12	Total Water Rate Base	975,390	53,886 ***********************************	.,,	

## HericEXHIBIT-NEG-1-(Page 73 of 7

Company: St. George Island Utility Co., Ltd Cocket No.:

Test Year Ended: 12/31/92 Interim [] Final DX] distoric DX) or Projected []

Schedule 8-1 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: Seidman, F.

· · .

Explanation: Provide the calculation of net operating income for the test year. If amortization (Line 4) is related to any amount other than an acquisition adjustment, submit an actitional schedule showing a description and calculation of charge.

	(1)	(2) 1992 s	(3) Present Operati	(4) ing Income	(5) 1992 £es	(6) uired Operatin	(7) g (ncome	(8)
.in		Per Books	Utility Test Tear Adjustments	Utility Adjusted Test Yr	Required Revenue Adjustment	Required	Required Revenue Increase X	Supporting Schedules
1 2 3	DPERATING REVENUES  Water Sales Other Revenue	307,089 10,754	674 ( 4,000)	307,763 6,754	428,201	735,964 6,754	139.13% .00%	8-4,3-3 3-4
4	Total	317,243	( 3,326)	314,517	425,291	742,718	13á. 15%	3-4,5-3
5	Operation & Maintenance	280,907	217,306	498,713	26,260	\$24,973		8-5,3-3
Ś	Depr, mes of CIAC Amort.	39,025	( 398)	39,874	· c	39,874		8-13, 8-3
7	Amortization	D	41,452	41,452	ō	41,452		
3	Taxes Other Than Income	29,324	4,751	34,077	19,249	53,346		9-15, g-3
9	Provision for Income Taxes	0	a	0	0	٥		
:5	OPERATING EXPENSES	349,259	243,611	614,115	45,529	639,644		
13	NET OPERATING INCOME	( 31,416)	( 266,936)	( 299,598)	382,572	83,074		
:2	ZATE BASE	975,390 ·	53,886 ***********************************	1,029,277		1,029,277		A-1
د	RATE OF RETURN	( 3.22%)		( 29,112)		8.072		0-1

Florida Publi EXHIBIT: NLG-1- (Page 74 of 74

Schedule: 0-2

Page 1 of 1 Preparer: Seichen, F.

Company: St. George Island Utility Co., Ltd

Docket No.:

Test Year Ended: 12/31/92 Schedule Year Ended: 12/31/92 Historic [X] on Projected []

Explanation: Provide a reconciliation of the simple average capital structure to requested rate base. Explain all adjustments. Submit an additional schedule if a year-end basis is used.

Line	(1)	(2) Test Year Average	(3) Reconc	(4) (5) iliation Adjustments	(6) Reconciled To Requested
Xo.	Class of Capital	\$(000)	Specific S(000)	(Explain) Prorata * (actual cust. deposi	Rate Base
1	Long Term Debt	3,940,451	( 3,015,118)	\$ch A-1, A-2 89.90%	925,333
2	Short Term Debt	377,116	( 288,558)	Sch A-1, A-2 8,60%	88,558
3	Preferred Stock	Ò	0	Sch A-1, A-2 .00%	,
4	Common Equity	(4, <u>5</u> 28,966)	4,625,066	Sch A-1, A-2 .00%	
5	Customer Deposits	15,386	۵.	Sch A-1, A-2 1.49%	15,386
6	Tax Credits - Zero Cost	0	G	Sch A-1, A-2 .00%	•
7	Tax Credits - Wtd. Cost	0	0	Sch A-1, A-2 .00%	
3	Accum. Deferred Income Tax	0	0	Sch A-1, A-2 ,00%	•
9	Other:Add back negative equity	4,625,066	( 4,625,066)	Sch A-1, A-2 .00%	
10	Total	4,332,953	( 3,303,576)	100.00%	1,029,277

\* List corresponding adjustments to rate base below:

Description

Amount

Line 9 . Other:

Consistent with Order No. 21122, the negative equity balance is added back, and the capital structure is considered 100% debt.

Supporting Schedules: A-19,0-3,0-4,0-5,0-7

Recap Schedules: D-1

**DOCKET NO:** 940109-WU

WITNESS: NANCY L. GAFFNEY

PARTY: FPSC

**DESCRIPTION:** AUDIT EXECEPTION 10: COLONEY COMPANY INVOICES

**PROFFERING PARTY: STAFF** 

I.D. #\_\_\_\_

The Coloney Company Consulting Engineers, Inc.
P.O. BOX 668 / 1014 N. ADAMS STREET
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32302 EXHIBIT NLG-2 (Page 1 of 15) 904-222-8193

Mr. Gene D. Brown G. Brown & Company Post Office Box 1109 Tallahassee, Florida 32302 27 July, 1989

CCCE Project 8822

Reference:

St. George Island

Professional Services Rendered and Costs Advanced for the period ending 15 July, 1989

### INVOICE

PREVIOUS BALANCE DUE:	\$	49,442.34	
Interest at 1% - April		494.43	
SUBTOTAL:	<del>-</del> -	49,936.77	_
Interest at 1% - May		499.37	
SUBTOTAL:	\$	50,436.14	-
Interest at 1% - June		504.37	
SUBTOTAL:	\$	50,940.51	-
PAYMENT - RECEIVED 7/6/89	-	5,000.00	
SUBTOTAL:	\$	45,940.51	
Professional Services Rendered through 15 July, 1989:			
Please see Attachment 1 for Itemization	\$	1,450.00	16-85.7
For Costs Advanced through 15 July, 1989:			
Please see Attachment 1 for Itemization	\$	237.87	
TOTAL AMOUNT DUE:	\$	47,628.38	

## EXHIBIT NLG-2 (Page 2 of 15)

## FOR COSTS ADVANCED

DATE	ITEM	AMOUNT
05/09/89	Administrative Services	173.25 52.12 12.50
TOTAL COS	STS ADVANCED:	\$ 237.87



## EXHIBIT NLG-2 (Page 3 of 15)

DATE		ENGINEER/ TECHNICIAN	HOURS	
03/27/89	Telephone conference with Mr. Gene Brown concerning Public Service Commission staff recomendations. Studied copy of staff report in order to identify areas/subjects which could be addressed in order to improve the utility position.	WHC	2.5	21.10
05/01/89	Telephone conference with Mr. Gene Brown concerning plans on Well #2. Telephone conference with Lanore Homer Wadsworth - relocation of requested plans. Telephone conference with Mr. Gene Brown concerning plans for Well #2 and concerning potential acquisition of water system by the McNeill/Adkison Group. Assembled plans and data on Well #2 and delivered data and information to Mr. Gene Brown's office.	WHC	2.6	
05/02/89	Met with Mr. Cliff McKeown of the Department of Environmental Regulation in his office to discuss the possibility of modifying DER requirements as set forth in the present draft Consent Order. Mr McKeown indicated that the possibility of reduction is good.		James 16 -	90 22
05/03/89	Telephone conference with Mr. Gene Brown to relay details of the meeting with Mr. Cliff McKeow of 2 May.	wn WHC	0.7	

### EXHIBIT NLG-2 (Page 4 of 15)

05/15/89	Telephone conference with Mr. Frank Adkisson concerning St.
	George Island water/sewer. Set
	luncheon meeting at Governor's
	Club tomorrow. Reviewed/ana-
	lyzed/discussed system costs.

WHC 0.9

05/16/89 Met with Mr. Gene Brown, Mr.
Frank Adkisson, Mr. Jack Ahern
and Mr. Frank Ingram at the
Governor's Club to discuss development of a sanitary sewer
system on St. George Island,
methods of financing and possible sale/acquisition of the
St. George Island Water System.

WHC 2.3

05/17/89 Met with Mr. Gene Brown, Mr. Bob Crouch of the Public Service Commission staff and with Mr. Cliff McKeown of the Department of Environmental Regulation in Mr. McKeown's office at DER to discuss what must be done in order to satisfy DER requirements and in order to structure a consent order which is acceptable to all parties. Telephone conference with Mr. Gene Brown to discuss the use of a hypochlorinator as the booster chlorinator at the western end of the St. George Island Water System. Arranged to pick up the Motion for Reconsideration of the Public Service Commission order as filed by the Office of the Public Council and reviewed

16-60 55

WHC

ون 2.5

0.3

O5/22/89 Telephone conference with Mr.

Gene Brown concerning preparation of a response to the motion for rehearing as filed by the Office Public Council in connection with the Rate Case before the Public Service Commission.

same.

WHC

- 1)

INVOICE CCCE Project Number 8822 26 July, 1989 Attachment 1 - page three

EXHIBIT NLG-2 (Page 5 of 15)

O5/23/89 Received telephone call from Mr. Richard Tuton to discuss installation of a Booster Chlorinator. Told him to go ahead with the installation of the Hypo-Chlorinator recognizing that Mr. Cliff McKeown prefers use of a gas chlorinator.

WHC 0.6

06/06/89 Reviewed implementation plan for water and sewer improvements as received from McNeill Company.

WHC 0.5

TOTAL HOURS:

14.5

FEE:

Wayne H. Coloney, P.E., P.L.S. 14.5 hours at \$100/hour

= \$ 1,450.00

TOTAL FEE:

= \$ 1,450.00

(PBC)

## The Coloney Company Consulting Engineers, Inc.

P.O. BOX 668 / 1014 N. ADAMS STREET TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32302

904-222-8193

EXHIBIT NLG-2 (Page 6 of 15)

Mr. Gene D. Brown G. Brown & Company Post Office Box 1109 Tallahassee, Florida 32302 04 October, 1989

CCCE Project 8822

Reference: St. George Island - Water System

Professional Services Rendered

and Costs Advanced

### INVOICE

PREVIOUS BALANCE DUE:	\$	47,628.38
Interest at 1% - July		476.29
SUBTOTAL:	\$	48,104.67
Interest at 1% - August		481.05
SUBTOTAL:	\$	48,585.72
Interest at 1% - September		485.86
SUBTOTAL:	\$	49,071.58
Professional Services Rendered through 05 September, 1989:		
Please see Attachment 1 for Itemization:	<b>A</b> \$	3,980.00
For Costs Advanced:		•
Please see Attachment 1 for Itemization:	\$	45.00
TOTAL AMOUNT DUE:	\$	53,096.58
3950.00		
1 42.60 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		

5,712.57 LP16-26

16-85 79

### FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RENDERED

DATE		ENGINEER/ TECHNICIAN	HOURS	
07/19/89	Telephone conference with Gene Brown concerning possible acquisition of used 150,000 gallon elevated tank. Met with Larry Cobb to define surveys if needed for design of said tank.	WHC	5 cm a	a 162
07/31/89	Met with Mr. Bud Carlson at his office to discuss extent and value of water system components to be covered by insurance. Met with Mr. Gene Brown in his office to discuss all aspects of improvements planned for water system. Telephone call to Mr. Cliff Mc-Keown of Department of Environmental Regulation who confirmed that proposed 150,000 gallon Hortonsphere is acceptable for installation.		\$ am. 16.	a, 0.2
08/02/89	Preliminary design requirements data for the proposed third we		3.2	
08/03/89	Preliminary design of proposed Well No. 3 integrated with considerations for design of new 150,000 gallon elevated water tank. Telephone conference with Sandy Chase. Reviewed comments notations on cash flow project:	th s/	Same 112 - 90 2.3	· 55/3
08/04/89	Preliminary design for proposed Well No. 3.	i WHC	1.5	
08/07/89	St. George Island - Water 8822 Preliminary design of proposed third well complex and revision of pumping system.	n WHC	3.5	

## EXHIBIT NLG-2 (Page 8 of 15)

08/08/89	Preliminary design of proposed third well and support supply system. Researched water system plans by William M. Bishop.	WHC	2.9
08/09/89	Drove to Baldwin exit near Jack-sonville with Gene Brown to meet with Mr. Jack Ethridge at his construction yard in order to inspect 150,000 gallon used Hortonsphere water tank. Returned to Tallahassee.	WHC	7.9
08/10/89	Continued preliminary design of auxiliary ground storage, high service pump, etc. for proposed Well No. 3.	WHC	2.3
08/21/89	Telephone conference with Mr. Cliff McKeown concerning operational aspects of proposed transitional improvements to water system. Telephone conference with Gene Brown concerning same. Reviewed planning in preparation for meeting with Public Service Commission on Wednesday.	WHC	PEC 1/2-90-22/2
08/23/89	Meeting with Mr. Bob Crouch of Public Service Commission, Mr. Cliff McKeown of Dept. of Environmental Regulation and with Mr. Gene Brown in Mr. Crouch's office at the Public Service Commission.	WHC	112-90.22 2.0
08/28/89	Telephone call from Gene Brown concerning hearing at Public Service Commission on Tuesday. Researched log to locate information on meeting with McKeown and Crouch at DER.	WHC	1.1
08/29/89	Drove to Public Service Service Commission for hearing. Met with Gene Brown and Larry Cobb.	WHC	1.9

### EXHIBIT NLG-2 (Page 9 of 15)

08/30/89	Telephone conference with Gene
	Brown concerning his proposal
	to sell option to purchase
	water system. Met with Lester
	Tabb to discuss same.

WHC 3.3

08/31/89 Worked on Consumptive Use Permit application.

WHC 0.5

09/05/89 Met with Larry Cobb concerning surveys and plans necessary for improvements and extensions to the water system.

1.4

TOTAL HOURS:

39.8

FEE:

Wayne H. Coloney, P.E., P.L.S. 39.8 hours @ \$100/hour

\$ 3,980.00

TOTAL FEE:

= \$ 3,980.00

WHC



### FOR COSTS ADVANCED

08//89	Administrative	Services	\$	45.00
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		<del></del>	
TOTAL COST	TS ADVANCED:		\$	45.00

## The Coloney Company Consulting Engineers, Inc. P.O. BOX 668 / 1014 N. ADAMS STREET

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32302EXHIBIT NLG-2 (Page 10 of 15) 904-122-8193

Mr. Gene D.Brown G. Brown & Company 3836 Killearn Court Tallahassee, Florida 32308 27 March, 1990

CCCE Project 8822

Reference:

St. George Island Water

Elevated Water Storage Tank

Professional Services Rendered and Costs Advanced for the period of 02 May, 1989 through 04 January, 1990

### INVOICE

PREVIOUS BALANCE DUE: 0.00 FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RENDERED Please see Attachment for Itemization: 3,800.00 FOR COSTS ADVANCED: Please see Attachment for Itemization: 239.55 TOTAL AMOUNT DUE: \$ 4,039.55



## ST. GEORGE ISLAND WATER ELEVATED WATER STORAGE TANK

## FEES AND COSTS

## EXHIBIT NLG-2 (Page 11 of 15)

DATE	DESCRIPTION	ENGINEER/ TECHNICIAN	HOURS	
05/02/89	Met with Mr. Cliff McKeown of the Department of Environmental Regulation in his office to discuss the possibility of modifying DEF requirements as set forth in the present draft Consent Order with particular attention to the improvements generated by a new elevated storage tank. Mr.	1, <b>-</b> R		521722 CLD 11e-85.
,	McKeown indicated that the possibility of reduction is good.	WHC .	1.6	
05/17/89	Met with Mr. Gene Brown, Mr. Bob Crouch of the Public Service Commission staff and with Mr. Cliff McKeown of the Department of Environmental Regulation in Mr. McKeown's office at DER to discuss what must be done in order to satisfy DER requirements and in order to structure a consent order which is acceptable to all parties. Construction of an elevated water storage tank is of considerable importance. Telephone conference with Mr. Gene Brown to discuss the use of a hypochlorinator as the booster chlorinator at the western end of the St. George Island Water System. Arranged to pick up the Motion for Reconsideration of the Public Service Commission order as filed by the Office of the Public Council and reviewed same.	WHC	\$20 PBC)	16-55.76
07/19/89	Telephone conference with Gene Brown concerning possible ac- quisition of a used 150,000 gal lon elevated tank as opposed to a new one. Met with	-	Ju- g &	£ \$ 2.

# EXHIBIT NLG-2 (Page 12 of 15)

Larry Cobb to define surveys if needed for design of said tank.

WHC

WHC .

07/31/89 Met with Mr. Bud Carlson at his office to discuss extent and value of water system components to be covered by insurance. Met with Mr. Gene Brown in his office to discuss all aspects of improvements planned for water system. Telephone call to Mr. Cliff Mc-Keown of Department of Environmental Regualtion who confirmed that proposed 150,000 gallon Hortonsphere is acceptable for installation.

5 ame am 14-85,5

1.0

08/03/89 Preliminary design of propsed Well No. 3 integrated with considerations for design of new 150,000 gallon elevated water tank. Telephone conference with Sandy Chase. Reviewed comments/notations on cash flow projections. WHC

جے یہ میں

115-82.82

2.8

08/09/89 Drove to Baldwin exit near Jacksonville with Gene Brown to meet
with Mr. Jack Ethridge at his construction yard in order to inspect
150,000 gallon used Hortonsphere
water tank. Returned to Tallahassee.

vHC 2.3

30mm 00 16-55.8

O8/21/89 Telephone conference with Mr.
Cliff McKeown concerning operational aspects of proposed
elevated storage tank and
transitional improvements to
water system. Telephone conference with Gene Brown concerning same. Reviewed planning in preparation for meeting with Public Service Commision on Wednesday.

WHC 7.9

(PB)

08/23/89 Meeting with Mr. Bob Crouch of Public Service Commission, Mr. Cliff McKeown of Dept. of En-

WHC 2.2

. 23

## EXHIBIT NLG-2 (Page 13 of 15)

	vironmental Regulation and with Mr. Gene Brown in Mr. Crouch's office at the Public Service Commission to discuss construction of elevated storage tank and associated water system improvements.	WHC	2.0
09/05/89	Met with Larry Cobb concerning surveys and plans necessary for elevated storage tank and for improvements and extensions to the water system.	WHC	1.4
12/19/89	Met with Richard Tuton and received partially completed application form for construction of an elevated storage tank. Reviewed and analyzed same. Dictated memorandum to M.A. Minardi defining requirements for completion.	WHC	2.4
12/19/89	Meeting with TAB about application	MCA .	1.0
12/20/89	Met with M.C. Atchley to work on permit application for elevated storage tank.	WHC	1.2
12/20/89	Project and data collection for elevated storage tank.	MCA	1.0
12/27/89	Worked on preparation of permit application for construction of elevated storage tank. Telephone conference with Sandy Chase concerning same.	WHC (PBC)	3 - 9
12/29/89	Signed and sealed permit applications for construction of elevated storage tank after final review and check.	WHC	1.4
12/30/89	Permit Application for proposed 150,000 gallon elevated water tank.	TAB	4.0



FEES AND COSTS CCCE PROJECT NUMBER 8822 Page four

### EXHIBIT NLG-2 (Page 14 of 15)

01/25/90 Telephone call from Dick Von
Soosten concerning the space
needed for elevated storage
tank. There are problems with
lots previously located. Telephone
conference with M.A. Minardi.
Foundation diameter is 29 feet
2 inches. Need 50 foot lot.
Telephone call to Dick Von

Soosten with information.

WHC

1.7

TOTAL HOURS:

40.3



FEES AND COSTS CCCE PROJECT NUMBER 8822 Page five

## EXHIBIT NLG-2 (Page 15 of 15)

### FEE:

Wayne H. Coloney, P.E., P.L.S. 34.3 hours at \$100.00/hour	\$ 3,430.00
Merritt C. Atchley, ET V 2.0 hours at \$65.00/hour	130.00
Thomas A. Bryant, ET 4.0 hours at \$60.00/hour	240.00
TOTAL FEES:	\$ 3,800.00

### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition for interim and ) DOCKET NO. 940109-WU permanent rate increase in ) FILED: June 8, 1994 Franklin County by ST. GEORGE ISLAND UTILITY COMPANY, LTD.

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Nancy L. Gaffney filed in this proceeding on behalf of the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission on this date, with attached exhibits, has been furnished to the following by U.S. Mail, this 8th day of June, 1994.

Steven Pfeiffer, Esquire Apgar Law Firm 820 E. Park Avenue Building F #100 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Barbara Sanders, Esquire St. George Island Water and Sewer District Post Office Box 57 Apalachicola, FL 32320

Gene Brown, Esquire St. George Island Utility 3848 Killearn Court Tallahassee, FL 32308

Harold McLean, Esquire Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street Suite 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0863 (904) 487-2740