LAW OFFICES

McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson & Bakas, P.A.

JOHN W. HAKAS, JR. LINDA C. DARNEY C. THOMAS DAVIDSON STEPRES O. DECROR Lastin Jorgans, III VICKI GORDON KAUPMAN Joseph A. McGrothian JOHN W. MCWHIRTER, JR. RICHARD W. REEVES FRANK J. RIKE, 111 PAUL A. STRASKE

100 NORTH TAMPA STREET, SUITE 2800 TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602-5126

MAILING ADDRESS: TAMPA P.O. Box 3350, TAMPA, FLORIDA 33601-3350

> TELEPHONE (813) 224-0866 FAX (813) 221-1854

CABLE GRANDLAW

PLEASE REPLY TO: TALLAHASSEE

February 13, 1995

TALLAHARSEE OFFICE 315 SOUTH CALHOUS STREET SUITE 716 TALLAHAMMER, PLORIDA 32301

TELEPHONE (904) 222-2525 FAX (904) 222-5606

> OF COUNSEL. CHRISTINE E. EARLE.

HAND DELIVERED

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 E. Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

> Docket No. 940001-EI, Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor.

Docket No. 950002-EG, Conservation Cost Recovery Clause.

Docket No. 950007-EI, Environmental Cost Recovery Clause.

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing and distribution are the original and 15 copies each of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group's Prehearing Statements, in the above dockets. Also enclosed is a diskette containing the pleadings.

Please acknowledge receipt on the extra copies enclosed and return them to me. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Ville Godon Lauferian

Vicki Gordon Kaufman

VGK/jfg

Enclosures

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

01671 FEB 13 8

950002 DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

950000 DOCUMENT HUMBER-DATE

01670 FEB 13 E

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSIONE

In re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor. Docket No. 950001-EI

Filed: February 13, 1995

FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-95-0106-PCO-EI, the Florida Industrial Power Users Group files its Prehearing Statement.

A. APPEARANCES:

JOSEPH A. MCGLOTHLIN, VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson & Bakas, 315 South Calhoun Street, Suite 716, Tallahassee, Florida 32301

On behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

B. WITNESSES:

None at this time.

.C. EXHIBITS:

ATO

C: 1.

CTI

OPC -

_ None at this time.

D. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION:

Florida Industrial Power Users Groups' Statement of Basic Position:

En Queley . This time.

E. STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS:

Generic Fuel Adjustment Issues

What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period April, 1994 through September, 1994?

OTH ____

RECEIVED & FILED

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01671 FEB 13 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 2: What are the estimated fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period October, 1994 through March, 1995?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 3: What are the total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected during the period April, 1995 through September, 1995?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 4: What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period April, 1995 through September, 1995?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 5 (Stipulated): What should be the effective date of the new fuel adjustment charge, oil backout charge and conservation cost recovery charge for billing purposes?

The factor should be effective beginning with the specified fuel cycle and thereafter for the period April, 1995, through September, 1995. Billing cycles may start before April 1, 1995, and the last cycle may be read after September 30, 1995, so that each customer is billed for six months regardless of when the adjustment factor became effective.

ISSUE 6: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 7: What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate group adjusted for line losses?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 8: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each company's levelized fuel factor for the projection period of April, 1995 through September 1995?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 9: Is it appropriate to recover the cost of SO₂ emission allowances through the Fuel and Purchased Cost Recovery Clause?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

Company-Specific Fuel Adjustment Issues

Florida Power and Light Company

ISSUE 10A: Is FPL's proposed new methodology for allocating fuel costs to the various customer classes appropriate?

FIPUG: Yes. Agree with FPL.

ISSUE 10B: Is it appropriate for Florida Power and Light Company to recover \$2,754,502 for modifications made to generating units through the fuel and Purchase Power Cost Recovery Clause?

FIPUG: No. These costs should be recovered through base rates.

Generic Generating Performance Incentive Factor Issues

ISSUE 11: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period April, 1994 through September, 1994?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 12: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period April, 1995 through September, 1995?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

Company-Specific GPIF Issues

Florida Power and Light Company

ISSUE 13: Should the forced outage hours for St. Lucie Unit 1 be adjusted to remove the outage hours caused by the June 6, 1994 severe thunderstorm?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

Generic Oil Backout Issues

ISSUE 14: What is the final oil backout true-up amount for the April, 1994 through September, 1994 period?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on

this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 15: What is the estimated oil backout true-up amount for the period October, 1994 through March, 1995?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 16: What is the total oil backout true-up amount to be collected during the period April, 1995 through September, 1995?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 17: What is the projected oil backout cost recovery factor for the period April, 1995 through September, 1995?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

Generic Capacity Cost Recovery Issues

ISSUE 18: What is the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amount for the period April, 1994 through September, 1994?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 19: What is the estimated capacity cost recovery true-up amount for the period October, 1994 through March, 1995?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on

this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 20: What is the total capacity cost recovery true-up amount to be collected during the period April, 1995 through September, 1995?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

<u>ISSUE 21</u>: What is the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amount to be included in the recovery factor for the period April, 1995 through September, 1995?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 22: What are the projected capacity cost recovery factors for the period April, 1995 through September, 1995?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

Company-Specific Capacity Cost Recovery Issues

Florida Power Corporation

ISSUE 22A: Is it appropriate for Florida Power Corporation to combine the capacity cost responsibilities of its RS and GS non-demand rate classes in the capacity cost recovery clause?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 22B: Should the \$1,10f,760 "Option Payment" that Tampa Electric received from Polk in 1993 be treated as a credit in the capacity cost recovery clause?

FIPUG: FIPUG has no position at this time, but reserves the right to take a position on this issue by the date of the prehearing conference.

ISSUE 22C: Other than economy sales and revenues from the seven entities that were separated out in TECO's last rate case, should Tampa Electric credit all nonfuel revenues from off-system sales back to the retail ratepayers through the fuel adjustment clause and the capacity cost recovery clause?

FIPUG: Yes.

F. STIPULATED ISSUES:

None at this time.

G. PENDING MOTIONS:

FIPUG has no pending motions.

H. OTHER MATTERS:

None at this time.

Joseph A. McGlothlin
Vicki Gordon Kaufman
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin,
Davidson & Bakas
315 South Calhoun Street
Suite 716
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Attorneys for the Florida Industrial Power Users Group

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group's Prehearing Statement has been furnished by hand delivery* or by U.S. Mail to the following parties of record this 13th day of February, 1995:

Martha Carter Brown*
Division of Legal Services
Fla. Public Service Commission
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399

G. Edison Holland Jeffrey A. Stone Beggs and Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576

Robert S. Goldman Messer, Vickers, Caparello, Madsen, Lewis and Metz Post Office Drawer 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Matthew M. Childs Steel, Hector and Davis First Florida Bank Building Suite 601 215 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301-1804

Suzanne Brownless 2546 Blairstone Pines Drive Tallahassee, FL 32301

Eugene M. Trisko P.O. Box 596 Berkeley Springs, WV 25411

Richard Zambo 598 SW Hidden River Avenue Palm City, FL 34990 Jack Shreve, Public Counsel John Roger Howe Office of the Public Counsel The Claude Pepper Building 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Lee L. Willis
James D. Beasley
Macfarlane Ausley Ferguson
& McMullen
Post Office Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302

James A. McGee Florida Power Corporation Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733

Stephen Feldman P.O. Box 0291000 Miami, FL 33102-9100

Mark K. Logan Bryant, Miller & Olive 201 South Monroe Street Suite 500 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Vichi Gordon Kaufman