BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Purchased Gas Ad	justment) DOCKET NO. 950003-GU
(PGA) Clause.) ORDER NO. PSC-95-0332-CFO-GU
) ISSUED: March 10, 1995

ORDER REGARDING PEOPLES' REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT OF CERTAIN PORTIONS OF ITS NOTICE OF DECREASE IN PGA FACTOR

Peoples Gas System, Inc. (Peoples) filed a request for confidentiality concerning certain portions of its Schedules A-1/MF-AO attached to the Company's Notice of Decrease in PGA Factor. The confidential information is located in Document No. 10519-93. Peoples states that this information is intended to be and is treated by Peoples and its affiliates as proprietary, and that it has not been publicly disclosed.

Florida law presumes that documents submitted to governmental agencies shall be public records. The only exceptions to this presumption are the specific statutory exemptions provided in the law and exemptions granted by governmental agencies pursuant to the specific terms of a statutory provision. This presumption is based on the concept that government should operate in the "sunshine." It is the Company's burden to demonstrate that the documents fall into one of the statutory examples set out in Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, or to demonstrate that the information is proprietary confidential information, the disclosure of which will cause the Company or its ratepayers harm.

Peoples seeks confidential classification for the information on line 46d in the columns Current Month (Actual, Estimate and Difference) and Period to Date (Actual, Estimate, and Difference) on Schedule A-1/MF-AO. Peoples argues that this information is contractual data which, if made public, "would impair the efforts of [Peoples] to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Florida Statutes. The information shows the weighted average price which Peoples originally and currently projects to pay to its suppliers for the month of October 1993. Peoples asserts that knowledge of these gas prices could give competitors information which could be used to control the price of gas. This is because these suppliers could all quote a particular price (which would in all likelihood equal or exceed the price Peoples paid), or these suppliers could adhere to the price offered by a Peoples supplier. Even though this information is the weighted average price, suppliers would most probably refuse to sell gas at prices lower than this average price. Disclosing the weighted average cost could also keep suppliers from making price

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02665 MAR 108

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

. Br. A. .

ORDER NO. PSC-95-0332-CFO-GU DOCKET NO. 950003-GU PAGE 2

. . . .

concessions. The end result of disclosure, Peoples argues, is reasonably likely to be increased gas prices which result in increased rates to Peoples' ratepayers.

Also concerning Schedule A-1/MF-AO, Peoples seeks confidential classification of the information on lines 8d and 28d in the columns <u>Current Month (Actual, Estimate and Difference)</u> and <u>Period</u> to <u>Date (Actual, Estimate and Difference)</u>. Peoples argues this information could permit a supplier to determine contractual information which, if made public, "would impair the efforts of [Peoples] to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Florida Statutes. The total cost figures for Peoples' projected purchases from its third party suppliers on line 8d can be divided by the therms purchased from such suppliers on Line 28d to derive the weighted average cost or price on line 46d. Thus, the publication of the information on lines 8d and 28d together, or independently, could allow a supplier to derive the purchase price of gas which peoples expects to pay its suppliers.

In addition, Peoples requests confidentiality for lines 1-2, 6-7, 8a-8c, 9a-9c, 12-13, 22-23, 26-27, 28a-28c, 29a-29c, and 32-33 for the columns Current Month (Actual, Estimate and Difference) and Period to Date (Actual, Estimate and Difference) on Schedule A-1/MF-AO. Peoples argues that disclosure of this information could permit a supplier to determine contractual information which, if made public, "would impair the efforts of [Peoples] to contract for goods or services on favorable term." Section 366.093(3)(d), The data in these columns are algebraic Florida Statutes. functions of the price per therm Peoples paid to its suppliers for gas during the involved period. The total transportation cost (line 15), total therms transported (line 35), total cents-pertherm transportation cost (line 53), and the PGA factor and true-up have been disclosed, and Peoples argues that these figures could be used in conjunction with the requested information to derive Peoples' projected purchase price.

Peoples has requested that the proprietary information discussed above be treated as confidential for a period of 18 months as provided in Section 366.093(4). According to Peoples, the period requested is necessary to allow it and its affiliated companies time to negotiate future gas contracts. Peoples argues that if this information were declassified at an earlier date, competitors would have access to information which could adversely affect the ability of Peoples and its affiliates to negotiate future contracts on favorable terms. It is noted that this time period of confidential classification will ultimately protect Peoples and its ratepayers. ORDER NO. PSC-95-0332-CFO-GU DOCKET NO. 950003-GU PAGE 3

Based on the foregoing, it is therefore

ORDERED that the proprietary confidential business information discussed above in Document No. 10519-93 shall be afforded confidential treatment. It is further

u

ORDERED that the proprietary confidential business information shall remain confidential for a period of 18 months from the date of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that this Order will be the only notification by the Commission to the parties concerning the expiration of the confidentiality time period.

By ORDER of Commissioner J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer, this <u>10th</u> day of <u>March</u>, <u>1995</u>.

ason

J. TERRY DEASON, Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

BC

4 .

ORDER NO. PSC-95-0332-CFO-GU DOCKET NO. 950003-GU PAGE 4

and in the

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

١

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate above, Procedure.