# MACFARLANE AUSLEY FERGUSON & MCMULLEN

#### ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET P.O. BOX 39(12)P 323021 TALLAHASSEE, PLORIDA 32301 1904) 224-9115 FAX19041 222-7560

March 15, 1995

#### HAND DELIVERED

ACCELEVELAND STREET

144 148 15. 2 101 2 1 11.

Tallahassee

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

#### Re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor FPSC Docket No. 950001-EI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket are the original and ten (10) copies of Tampa Electric Company's Request for Specified Confidential Treatment of portions of Forms 423-1(a), 423-2, 423-2(a) and 423-2(b) for the month of January 1995.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

JDB/pp encls.

cc: All Parties of Record (w/enc.)

wer Line Lubine was the will

DOCUMENT NUMPER-DATE 02814 MAR 15 2 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

но вох 1531 (2013) 10 вох 1531 (2013) 160 вох 1531 (2013) 1600 1600 (1531 (2013) 1600 (1531 (2013)) 1600 (1531 (2013))

# BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor.

DOCKET NO. 950001-EI FILED: March 15, 1995

#### TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR SPECIFIED CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT

Pursuant to 5.56.093, Fla. Stat., Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or "the company") submits the following Request for Specified Confidential Treatment of the company's Forms 423-1(a), 423-2, 423-2(a), 423-2(b) and 423-2(c) for the month of January 1995:

1. Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a detailed justification for the requested confidential treatment of the highlighted portions of Tampa Electric's 423 Forms for the month of January 1995.

2. Tampa Electric requests that the information for which Tampa Electric seeks confidential classification not be declassified until the dates specified in Exhibit "B" to this request. The time periods requested are necessary to allow Tampa Electric's affiliated companies to negotiate future contracts without their competitors (and other Customers) having access to information which would adversely affect the ability of these affiliates to negotiate future contracts. The period of time requested will ultimately protect Tampa Electric and its Customers.

3. The material for which classification is sought is intended to be and is treated by Tampa Electric and its affiliates

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE 02814 MAR 15 % FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING as private and has not been disclosed.

١

WHEREFORE, Tampa Electric submits the foregoing as its request for confidential treatment of the information identified as Exhibit "A".

DATED this 15 day of March, 1995.

Respectfully submitted,

LEE L. WILLIS and JAMES D. BEASLEY Macfarlane Ausley Ferguson & McMullen Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, Florida 32302 (904) 224-9115

ATTORNEYS FOR TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing Request for Specified Confidential Treatment, filed on behalf of Tampa Electric Company, has been furnished by U. S. Mail on this \_/5 day of March 1995 to the following individuals:

Ms. Martha C. Brown\* Ms. Mary Elizabeth Culpepper Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

\$

Mr. James A. McGee Senior Counsel Florida Power Corporation Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733

Mr. Joseph A. McGlothlin Ms. Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson & Bakas 315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 716 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. Jack Shreve Office of Public Counsel Room 812 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Mr. Matthew M. Childs Steel Hector & Davis Suite 601 215 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. John W. McWhirter McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson & Bakas Post Office Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601

Ms. Suzanne Brownless Suzanne Erownless P.A. 1546 Blairstone Pines Drive Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. Floyd R. Self Messer, Vickers, Caparello, Madsen, Lewis, Goldman & Metz Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1876

Mr. G. Edison Holland, Jr. Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576

Mr. Barry Huddleston Destec Energy 2500CityWest Blvd. Suite 150 Houston, TX 77042

Mr. Eugene M. Trisko Post Office Box 596 Berkeley Springs, WV 25411

Mr. Roger Yott Air Products & Chemicals, Inc. 7540 Windsor Drive, Suite 301 Allentown, PA 18195

Mr. Richard J. Salem Ms. Marian B. Rush Salem, Saxon & Nielsen, P.A. Post Office Box 3399 Tampa, FL 33601

Mr. Peter J. P. Brickfield Brickfield, Burchette & Ritts 1025 Thomas Jefferson St. N.W. Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007-0805

Mr. Stephen R. Yurek Dahlen, Berg & Co. 2150 Dain Bosworth Plaza 60 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402

Orsan Ly

- 3 -

## TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

Docket No. 950001-EI March, 1995

Justification for Confidentiality of January, 1995 Report: Tampa Electric Company

FORM	LINE(S)	COLUMN	RATIONALE
423-1(a)	1 - 7	н	(1)
423-1(a)	1 - 7	I	(2)
423-1(a)	1 - 7	J	(2)
423-1(a)	1 - 7	к	(2)
423-1(ā)	1 - 7	L	(2)
423-1(a)	1 - 7	м	(2), (3)
423-1(a)	1 - 7	N	(2), (4)
423-1(a)	1 - 7	o	(2)

## Rationale for Confidentiality:

(1) This information is contractual information which, if made public, "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. The information shows the price which Tampa Electric has paid for No. 2 fuel oil per barrel for specific shipments from specific suppliers. This information would allow suppliers to compare an individual supplier's price with the market "for that date of delivery" and thereby determine the contract pricing formula between Tampa Electric and that supplier.

Disclosure of the invoice price would allow suppliers to determine the contract price formula of their competitors. The knowledge of each other's prices would give suppliers information with which to actually control the pricing in No. 2 oil by either all quoting a particular price or adhering to a price offered by a major supplier. This could reduce or eliminate any opportunity for a major buyer, like Tampa Electric, to use its market presence to gain price concessions from any individual supplier. The end result is reasonably likely to be increased No. 2 fuel oil prices and, therefore, increased electric rates.

## Exhibit "A"

- (2) The contract data found in Columns I through O are algebraic functions of Column H. Thus, the publication of these columns together, or independently, could allow a supplier to derive the invoice price of No. 2 oil paid by Tampa Electric.
- (3) For fuel that does not meet contract requirements, Tampa Electric may reject the shipment, or accept the shipment and apply a quality adjustment. This is, in effect, a pricing term which is as important as the price itself and is therefore confidential for the reasons stated in paragraph (1) relative to price concessions.
- (4) This column is as important as H from a confidentiality standpoint because of the relatively few times that there are quality or discount adjustments. That is, Column N will equal Column H most of the time. Consequently, it needs to be protected for the same reason as set forth in paragraph (1).

# Justification for Confidentiality of January, 1995 Report: Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station

FORM	LINE(S)	COLUMN	RATIONALE	
423-2	1 - 8	G	(1), (3)	
423-2	1 - 8	H	(2)	

## Rationale for Confidentiality:

(1) Disclosure of the effective purchase price "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. terms." favorable Additionally, prohibiting the purchase price would enable one to ascertain the total transportation charges by subtracting the effective purchase price from the delivered price at the transfer facility, shown in Column I. Any competitor with knowledge of the total transportation charges would be able to use that information in conjunction with the published delivered price at the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility to determine the segmented transportation costs, i.e., the separate breakdown of transportation charges for river barge transport and for deep water transportation across the Gulf of Mexico from the transfer facility to Tampa. It is this segmented transportation cost data which is proprietary and confidential. The disclosure of the segmented transportation costs would have a direct impact on Tampa Electric's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. That harm, which would flow to Tampa Electric and its Customers from such disclosure, was the subject of Prepared Direct Testimony of Mr. John R. Rowe, Jr. on behalf of Tampa Electric in Docket No. 860001-EI-D. A copy of Mr. Rowe's Direct Testimony from the September 29, 1986 hearing in that docket is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and by reference made a part hereof.

In the Commission's Order No. 12645 issued in Docket No. 830001-EU on November 3, 1983 (In re: Investigation of Fuel Adjustment Clauses of Electric Utilities), the Commission prescribed the current 423 Form filings. In so doing, the Commission observed:

Next, we must determine whether any portion of the monthly reports should be accorded confidential treatment. We agree that certain portions of the monthly reports will contain proprietary confidential business information. However, many portions of the monthly reports will not. The proprietary information for all types of fuel is transportation. Any breakout

transportation costs must be treated of confidentially. In addition, F.O.B. mine prices for coal is proprietary in nature as is the price of fuel oil. Disclosure of separate transportation or F.O.B. mine prices would have a direct impact on a utility's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services. Disclosure of fuel oil prices would have an bidding suppliers. effect upon indirect provide to reluctant Suppliers would be significant price concessions to an individual utility if prices were disclosed because other purchasers would seek similar concessions.

The igorous competition discussed in Mr. Rowe's earlier testimony, as recognized by the Commission, justifies proprietary confidential treatment of the information in Column G.

- (2) The disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. As was stated in (1), Columns G and H both need confidential protection because disclosure of either column will enable competitors to determine the segmented transportation charges. Accordingly, the same reasons discussed in (1) likewise apply with regard to Column H.
- (3) Disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods and services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. This information would inform other potential suppliers as to the price Tampa Electric is willing to pay for coal. This would give present and potential coal suppliers information which could be harmful to Tampa Electric's interests in negotiating coal supply agreements. This is much the same as paragraph (1) under Form 423-1(a) regarding No. 2 oil suppliers.

- 4 -

# Justification for Confidentiality of January, 1995 Report: Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station

FORM	LINE(S)	COLUMN	RATIONALE	
423-2(a)	1 - 8	н	(1)	
423-2(a)	1 - 8	J	(2)	
423-2(a)	1 - 8	L	(3)	

## Rationale for Confidentiality:

- (1) I. the original invoice price is made public, one can subtract the original invoice price from the publicly disclosed delivered price at the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility and thereby determine the segmented river transportation cost. Disclosure of the river transportation cost "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).
- (2) This information, like that contained in Column H, would enable a competitor to "back into" the segmented transportation cost using the publicly disclosed delivered price at the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility. This would be done by subtracting the base price per ton from the delivered price at Electro-Coal, thereby revealing the river barge rate. Such disclosure "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).
- (3) This information, if publicly disclosed, would enable a competitor to back into the segmented waterborne transportation costs using the already publicly disclosed delivered price of coal at the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility. Such disclosure "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (Electro-Coal Transfer Facility Big Bend Station).

- 5 -

# Justification for Confidentiality of January, 1995 Report: Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station

FORM	LINE(S)	COLUMN	RATIONALE
423-2(b)	1 - 8	G	(1)
423-2(b)	1 - 8	I	(2)
423-2(b)	1 - 8	к	(3)
423-2(b)	1 - 8	L	(3)
423-2(b)	1 - 8	м	(3)
423-2(b)	1 - 3	N	(3)
423-2(b)	1 - 8	o	(3)
423-2(b)	1 - 8	Р	(3)
	1 - 8	Р	(3)

# Rationale for Confidentiality:

- (1) Disclosure of the effective purchase price in Column G "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Such disclosure would enable a competitor to "back into" the segmented transportation cost using the publicly disclosed delivered price for coal at the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility. This would be done by subtracting the effective purchase price per ton from the price per ton delivered at Electro-Coal, thereby revealing the river barge rate. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station). Such disclosure would also adversely affect Tampa Electric's ability to negotiate future coal supply contracts.
- (2) Disclosure of the rail rate per ton would adversely affect the ability of Tampa Electric affiliate, Gatliff Coal, to negotiate favorable rail rates. Disclosure of the rail rates paid would effectively eliminate any negotiating leverage and could lead to higher rail rates. This would work to the ultimate detriment of Tampa Electric and its Customers. Accordingly, disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat.
- (3) These columns contained information the disclosure of which "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d),

Fla. Stat. Each of these columns provides specific information on segmented transportation costs which are the primary objects of this request. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality for Column G on 423-2 (Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).

## Justification for Confidentiality of January, 1995 Report: Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Gannon Station

FORM	LINE(S)	COLUMN	RATIONALE
423-2	1 - 4	G	(1), (3)
423-2	1 - 4	н	(2), (3)

#### Rationale for Confidentiality:

- (1) This information is the same as reported on the earlier Form 423-2 with the exception that this form pertains to Gannon Station as opposed to Big Bend Station. Disclosure of the effective purchase price "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat., for the same reasons as are set forth under the rationale for protecting Column G in Form 423-2 (Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).
- (2) The disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat., for the same reasons asserted under (2) of the Rationale for Confidentiality for the Form 423-2 pertaining to Big Bend Station. Essentially, both Columns G & H both need confidential protection to prevent a competitor from "backing into" the segmented transportation charges.
- (3) Disclosure of the effective purchase price of Gatliff coal "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat.

# Justification for Confidentiality of January, 1995 Report: Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Gannon Station

FORM	LINE(8)	COLUMN	RATIONALE	
423-2(a)	1 - 4	н	(1)	
423-2(a)	1 - 4	J	(2)	
423-2(a)	1 - 4	L	(3)	

## Rationale for Confidentiality:

- (1) If the original invoice price is made public, one can subtract the original invoice price from the publicly disclosed delivered price at the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility and thereby determine the segmented river transportation cost. Disclosure of the river transportation cost "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).
- (2) This information, like that contained in Column H, would enable a competitor to "back into" the segmented transportation cost using the publicly disclosed delivered price at the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility. This would be done by subtracting the base price per ton from the delivered price at Electro-Coal, thereby revealing the river barge rate. Such disclosure "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).
- (3) This information, if publicly disclosed, would enable a competitor to back into the segmented waterborne transportation costs using the already publicly disclosed delivered price of coal at the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility. Such disclosure "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (Electro-Coal Transfer Facility Big Bend Station).

- 9 -

## Justification for Confidentiality of January, 1995 Report: Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Gannon Station

FORM	LINE(B)	COLUMN	RATIONALE
423-2(b)	1 - 4	G	(1)
423-2(b)	1 - 4	I	(2)
423-2(b)	1 - 4	к	(3)
423-2(b)	1 - 4	L	(3)
423-2(b)	1 - 4	м	(3)
423-2(b)	1 - 4	N	(3)
423-2(b)	1 - 4	o	(3)
423-2(b)	1 - 4	Р	(3)

#### Rationale for Confidentiality:

- (1) Disclosure of the effective purchase price in Column G "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Disclosure of the original invoice price per ton would enable a competitor to "back into" the segmented transportation cost using the publicly disclosed delivered price for coal at the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility. This would be done by subtracting the original invoice price per ton from the price per ton delivered at Electro-Coal, thereby revealing the river barge rate. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).
- (2) Disclosure of the rail rate per ton would adversely affect the ability of Tampa Electric and its affiliates to negotiate favorable rail rates with the various railroads serving areas in the vicinity of the river terminals used by Tampa Electric's affiliate, Gatliff Coal Company. This coal is shipped from different terminals which affords Gatliff some leverage in negotiating with the railroads. Disclosure of the rail rates paid would effectively eliminate this leverage and lead to higher rail rates. This would work to the ultimate detriment of Tampa Electric and its Customers. Accordingly, disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat.

(3) These columns contained information the disclosure of which "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Each of these columns provides specific information on segmented transportation costs which are the primary objects of this request. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality for Column G on 423-2 (Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station). Justification for Confidentiality of January, 1995 Report: Big Bend Station

FORM	LINE(S)	COLUMN	RATIONALE
423-2	1	G	(1)
423-2	1	н	(2)

# Rationals for Confidentiality:

- (1) Disclosure of the effective purchase price "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. One can subtract "he information in this column from the figure in Column I and obtain the segmented transportation cost including transloading and ocean barging.
- (2) The disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Essentially, both Columns G & H both need confidential protection to prevent a competitor from determining the segmented transportation charges.

BIG Bend Bea	cion .			
FORM	LINE(S)	COLUMN	RATIONALE	
423-2(a)	1	н	(1)	
423-2(a)	1	J	(2)	
423-2(a)	1	L	(3)	

Justification for Confidentiality of January, 1995 Report: Big Bend Station

# Rationale for Confidentiality:

- (1) If the origin 1 invoice price is made public, one can subtract the original invoice price from the publicly disclosed F.O.B. plant price at the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility and thereby ocean barge terminating and segmented determine the transportation cost. Disclosure of the terminating and ocean barge costs "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (Big Bend Station).
- (2) This information, like that contained in Column H, would enable a competitor to "back into" the segmented transportation cost using the publicly disclosed F.O.B. plant price. This would be done by subtracting the base price per ton from the F.O.B. plant price at Big Bend Station, thereby revealing the terminating and ocean barge rate. Such disclosure "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justi: ication appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (Big Bend Station).
- (3) This information, if publicly disclosed, would enable a competitor to back into the segmented terminating and ocean barge transportation costs using the already publicly disclosed F.O.B. plant price at Big Bend Station. Such disclosure "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (Big Bend Station).

Big Bend Station		or January,	1995 Report.
FORM	LINE(S)	COLUMN	RATIONALE

423-2(b)	1	G	(1)
423-2(b)	1	I	(2)
423-2(b)	1	к	(3)
423-2(b)	1	r	(3)
423-2(b)	1	м	(3)
423-2(b)	1	N	(3)
423-2(b)	1	o	(3)
423-2(b)	1	P	(3)
			A second second second second second

#### Rationale for Confidentiality:

- (1) Disclosure of the effective purchase price in Column G "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Disclosure of the effective purchase price per ton would enable a competitor to "back into" the segmented transportation cost using the publicly disclosed F.O.B. plant price for coal. This would be done by subtracting the effective purchase price per ton from the F.O.B. plant price per ton at Big Bend Station, thereby revealing the terminating and ocean barge rate. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (3ig Bend Station).
- (2) Disclosure of the rail rate per ton would adversely affect the ability of Tampa Electric and its affiliates to negotiate favorable rail rates with the various railroads serving areas in the vicinity of Tampa Electric's coal suppliers. Disclosure of the rail rates paid would effectively eliminate any leverage and lead to higher rail rates. This would work to the ultimate detriment of Tampa Electric and its Customers. Accordingly, disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat.
- (3) These columns contained information the disclosure of which "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Each of these columns provides specific information

on segmented transportation costs which are the primary objects of this request. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality for Column G on 423-2 (Bid Bend Station). Justification for Confidentiality of January, 1995 Report: Gannon Station

FORM	LINE(S)	COLUMN	RATIONALE	
423-2	1 - 3	G	(1)	
423-2	1 - 3	н	(2)	

## Rationale for Confidentiality:

- (1) This information is the same as reported on the earlier Form 423-2 with the exception that this form pertains to Gannon Station as opposed to Big Bend Station. Disclosure of the effective purchase price "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat., for the same reasons as are set forth under the rationale for protecting Column G in Form 423-2 (Big Bend Station).
- (2) The disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat., for the same reasons asserted under (2) of the Rationale for Confidentiality for the Form 423-2 pertaining to Big Bend Station. Essentially, both Columns G & H both need confidential protection to prevent a competitor from determining the segmented transportation charges.

Justification for Confidentiality of January, 1995 Report: Gannon Station

FORM	LINE(8)	COLUMN	RATIONALE
423-2(a)	1 - 3	н	(1)
423-2(a)	1 - 3	J	(2)
423-2(a)	1 - 3	L	(3)

## Rationale for Confidentiality:

- (1) If the original invoice price is made public, one can subtract the original invoice price from the publicly disclosed F.O.B. price at Gannon Station and thereby determine the segmented terminating and ocean barge transportation cost or rail rate. Disclosure of these transportation costs "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (Big Bend Station).
- (2) This information, like that contained in Column H, would enable a competitor to "back into" the segmented transportation cost using the publicly disclosed delivered price at Gannon Station. This would be done by subtracting the base price per ton from the F.O.B. plant price at Gannon Station, thereby revealing the terminating and ocean barge rate or rail rate. Such disclosure "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (Big Bend Station).
- (3) This information, if publicly disclosed, would enable a competitor to back into the segmented terminating or ocean barge transportation costs or rail rate using the already publicly disclosed F.O.B. plant price of coal at Gannon Station. Such disclosure "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (Gannon Station).

- 17 -

Justification	for	Confidentiality	of	January,	1995	Report:
Gannon Station	1					

FORM	LINE(S)	COLUMN	RATIONALE
423-2(b)	1 - 3	G	(1)
423-2(b)	1 - 3	I	(2)
423-2(b)	1 - 3	к	(3)
423-2(b)	1 - 3	L	(3)
423-2(b)	1 - 3	м	(3)
423-2(b)	1 - 3	N	(3)
423-2(b)	1 - 3	o	(3)
423-2(b)	1 - 3	P	(3)

#### Rationale for Confidentiality:

- (1) Disclosure of the effective purchase price in Column G "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Disclosure of the effective purchase price per ton would enable a competitor to "back into" the segmented transportation cost using the publicly disclosed F.O.B. plant price for coal at Gannon Station. This would be done by subtracting the effective purchase price per ton from the price per ton delivered at Gannon Station, thereby revealing the terminating and ocean barge rate or rail rate. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality of Column G of Form 423-2 (Big Bend Station).
- (2) Disclosure of the rail rate per ton would adversely affect the ability of Tampa Electric affiliate, Gatliff Coal, to negotiate favorable rail rates. Disclosure of the rail rates paid would effectively eliminate any negotiating leverage and could lead to higher rail rates. This would work to the ultimate detriment of Tampa Electric and its Customers. Accordingly, disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat.
- (3) These columns contained information the disclosure of which "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Fla. Stat. Each of these columns provides specific information

on segmented transportation costs which are the primary objects of this request. Additional justification appears in Exhibit "A" and in paragraph (1) of the rationale for confidentiality for Column G on 423-2 (Big Bend Station).



::::

	SURKYTTED POK FILING 4/14/86
1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	PREPARED TESTIMONY
3	OF
4	JOHN R. ROWE, JR.
5	Q Will you please state your name, address and occupation.
6	
7	A. My name is John R. Rowe, Jr. My business address is 702
8	North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am
9	Assistant Vice President of Tampa Electric Company.
10	
11	Q. Please describe your educational background and business
12	experience.
13	
14	A. I was educated in the public schools of Birmingham,
15	Alabama; Evansville, Indiana; and Mt. Lebanon,
16	Pennsylvania. I was graduated in June, 1962 from the
17	Georgia Institute of Technology with a Bachelor of Science
18	degree in Industrial Management, and from the University
19	of South Florida in March, 1971 with a Master of Business
20	Administration degree. I am a Certified Public Accountant
21	licensed to practice in Florida and a member of the
22	American Institute of CPAs, the Florida Institute of CPAs,
23	and the National Association of Accountants. I joined
24	Tampa Electric Company in July, 1962 and I have served in
25	various capacities in the Personnel, Customer Accounting,

i

104

DOCTET NO. BECODOL-EI-D

Credit, General Accounting and Budget Departments over the 1 past 23 years. I became Assistant Controller in 1974, 2 Controller in 1981, and I was elected to my present 3 position as Assistant Vice President in April, 1984. Mv 4 responsibilities include coordination Cf. present 5 accounting and regulatory matters before this Commission 6 (FPSC) and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 7 (FL. C). I have presented testimony before this Commission 8 in other proceedings. 9 10 What is the purpose of your testimony? 11 Q. 12 The purpose of my testimony is to respond to various areas 13 Α. Commission and company concern regarding the of 14 confidentiality of certain fuel cost information supplied 15 to the Commission. I intend to demonstrate why some of 16 the data which is supplied in regular monthly reports on 17 fuel costs to this Commission should be excluded from 18 public disclosure as being "proprietary confidential 19 business information" as defined by Section 366.093, 20 Florida Statutes. 21 22 Does Tampa Electric object to providing the Commission 23 Q.

detailing all purchases of fuel,

Ç.

24

25

with

5

report

transportation and fuel handling services?

2

Tampa Electric does not object to continuing to 1 λ. No. furnish to the Commission the information it needs to 2 review company expenditures for fuel, transportation and 3 fuel handling services. However, as I will describe 4 later, it is clearly in the best interests of the 5 ratepayers and the company for this Commission to continue 6 certain of this information submitted treat 7 tr 25 "Specified Confidential." 8 9 What portion of the report should be confidential? 10 Q. 11 The cost of water transportation of coal which is billed 12 A., to the regulated company by an affiliated company should 13 be treated as confidential. Tampa Electric formed a water 14 transportation system for the transport of coal in the 15 1950's which not only provides the necessary services for 16 Tampa Electric but also enjoys additional economies as it 17 provides competitive services to outside customers. This 18 system has saved our electric customers many millions of 19 dollars in transportation costs over the years and these 20 savings are likely to continue in the future so long as 21 the affiliated companies are able to maintain their 22 competitive edge. Through this transportation system Tampa 23 Electric's affiliated companies are able to move coal by 24 river barges from sources in Kentucky, Oklahoma and 25

3

-

Illinois to a terminal on the east bank of the Mississippi River south of New Orleans for the purpose of off-loading, storing and transferring coal to ocean-going barges for transport to Tampa. These services are performed by TECO Transport and Trade companies: Mid-South Towing Company, which handles the coal by river barge; Electro Coal Transfer Corporation, which operates the storage and transfer facility and Gulf Coast Transit Company which provides ocean-going tugs and barges to move coal across the Gulf of Mexico.

12 Q. Are Tampa Electric affiliates faced with competition?

Yes, the market for bulk commodity transportation is very Α. competitive. Aside from the coal transportation services performed for Tampa Electric, the TECO Transport and Trade currently transport coal and other bulk affiliates commodities for other customers as well. The affiliates anticipate that additional markets for coal will soon develop in Florida for both industrial and electric power generation purposes, and hope to capture a portion of the transportation demand created by those markets. This market is very competitive.

Tampa Electric's transportation affiliates are not engaged solely in the one-way transportation of coal, however

ł

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mid-South Towing Company has provided, and continues to provide, both upstream and downstream transportation services for other bulk commodities, including grain and phosphate products. Electro-Coal Transfer Corporation is involved in the direct vessel-to-vessel transfer of grain and other bulk commodities in addition to the transfer of coal and coke on diverse routes, including phosphates from Florida to New Orleans, and grain from New Orleans to international markets.

5

As commercial enterprises, the affiliates face significant competition for each of the other transportation, transfer and storage services that they perform. Operators on the inland waterways include approximately 2,000 individual carriers. In size these carriers range from operators of single towboats to those operating large fleets of vessels and barges. Only a very small percentage of inland waterway traffic is subject to regulation. Exempt carriers are not required to publish revenues, operating data rates or financial information.

With reference to the river transportation of coal and other bulk commodities, Mid-South Towing Company's principal competitors include, among others: the Ohio River Company; American Commercial Barge Line Company;

ŝ

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Dravo Mechling Corporation; and The Valley Line Company. Mid-South Towing also faces intermodal competition from the railroads.

6

Electro-Coal Transfer Corporation competes with others for of transfer and storage services. performance the Electro-Coal's principal competitors with both shoreside ground storage capabilities are: transfer and International Marine Terminal; Burnside Terminals, Inc.; and New Orleans Bulk Terminal. A portion of the transfer market is also served by companies whose operations are mid-stream in the Mississippi River. Principal among these is Cooper-Smith Company.

Finally, Gulfcoast Transit Company competes with many other companies to provide ocean-going tug and barge transportation service. Principal among those competitors are: Dixie Carriers, Inc.; St. Phillips Towing Company; Sheridan Towing Company; Red Circle Transport Company; and Beker Industries, Inc.

Q. Would the disclosure of cost information expose the
affiliates to substantial competitive harm?

25 A. Yes. The cost of rendering bulk commodity transportation

1

3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

.18

19

20

21

24

 $\mathbf{\hat{v}}$ 

service over a given distance varies little from one commodity to another. On a per-ton unit basis, it costs a barge company the same amount to transport a ton of grain, for example, as to transport a ton of coal. Rates for bulk commodity transportation service also tend to show little variation from one commodity to the next.

7

given In such a highly competitive environment, a company's market share is constantly at risk and must be carefully safeguarded. Competitors who are able to discern, either directly or indirectly, a given company's costs or profit margin are in a position to capture a 12 portion of that company's market share by anticipating its 13 future bids and selectively undercutting its prices. 14 Similarly, such knowledge permits the company's customers, who may be paying different prices for similar services, 16 to bargain for more favorable terms from the company and among its competitors.

The primary determinants of a company's profit margin, of course, are its costs and prices. Not surprisingly, given the relative ease with which both costs and prices may be translated from one type of bulk commodity shipment to 23 another, competitors take great pains to conceal their 24 costs and prices from each other. This fact accounts for 25

ì.

·.. · ...

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

ε

9

10

11

15

17

18

19

20

21

the scarcity of published information concerning the financial workings of the unregulated segment of the industry.

Tampa Electric's competitors and customers are aware that Tampa Electric's water transportation expense represents the affiliates' costs including a return on equity. A comp my's cost represents the limit of its vulnerability in the sense that it cannot long survive in circumstances where costs exceed revenues. Sustained "underpricing" below that perceived margin would have a devastating effect upon the affiliates' business, and would make retention of market shares impossible. Competitors would be given access to very valuable information which will enable those firms to price their service to their advantage.

18 Q. How does the operation of the competitive business affect 19 the cost of Tampa Electric's affiliated company 20 transportation expense?

A. The increased volumes allow for economies of scale that
cannot be realized if Tampa Electric were the only
customer of the affiliate. Moreover, since fixed costs
are allocated between services provided to Tampa Electric

•

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

and others on a per ton basis, the backhaul and transfer of grain and other bulk commodities reduces the amount of allocated to Tampa Electric. 11 the fixed costs affiliates' backhaul and other outside customer activity is lost or diminished, or if transfer activity is lost due to the disclosure of its competitive position, the cost of coal transportation to Tampa Electric would increase proportionately. Actions which hurt the affiliates' competitive position will, therefore, increase the cost of electricity supplied to Tampa Electric's customers. This Commission therefore should carefully avoid the disclosure of the affiliates' costs and prices.

9

----

14 Q. Is Tampa Electric satisfied with the Commission's current reporting requirements?

The company believes that the Commission's current λ. 1:0. 17 requirements for public disclosure run an unnecessary risk 18 of placing Tampa Electric at a disadvantage in its ability 19 to contract for fuel transportation services on the most 20 favorable terms. This disclosure can also affect prices 21 paid by Tampa Electric under existing contracts which 22 depend on or could benefit further from outside business 23 to reduce costs to Tampa Electric. Further, I believe 24 that public disclosure of coal prices paid (without 25

•

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

transportation costs) does not increase competition among suppliers of coal but rather it serves to decrease the advantage of the purchaser in negotiating lower prices. If potential vendors of coal knew from public disclosure the prices being paid or paid in the past, I believe their tendency in bidding or reporting coal prices tend to center around known prices. In this way, the vendors know they can offer a price lower than the price that has been paid and exactly how much lower their price will be. If the present and past prices paid were not publicly available, the vendors would have to bid as low as they could in hopes that they would bid the best price to win the contract since they have no published guide to tell them how low to guote.

During all of this discussion you should keep in mind that Tampa Electric has no objection to continuing its full disclosure of fuel transportation cost information to the Commission on a specified confidential basis. The company is proud of its innovative transportation system and desires to continue to share all relevant transportation cost information with the Commission on a confidential basis.

Commission's

fuel

cost

reporting

24

0.

EOW

opes

this

· .. .:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

÷

10

requirements affect the confidentiality of the proprietary transportation cost information?

T

2

3

11

15

The Commission must be very careful in its requirements 4 Α. for public disclosure of various segments of fuel cost 5 order to protect the proprietary 6 information in information. requirement 7 transportation cost А :0 publicly report any one segment of costs could enable 8 competitors and transportation customers to calculate the 9 10 information sought to be protected.

12 Q. Please illustrate how confidential information could be 13 derived if the Commission's reporting requirements are 14 changed?

This was fully discussed in Docket No. 830001-PU. On 16 A .. April 24, 1984, the Commission considered Tampa Electric's 17 request for reconsideration of Order No. 12645, entered in 18 19 the same docket (Generic Fuels' Issues). Tampa Electric 20 sought reconsideration of that portion of Order No. 12645 pertaining to which cost information should be considered 21 Tampa Electric's specific concern was that 22 confidential. 23 disclosure of F.O.B mine mouth and F.O.B plant price for coal, in conjunction with available delivered prices at 24 terminal facilities, would result in the disclosure of 25

21

proprietary confidential business information. The Commission agreed and determined that the F.O.B. mine mouth and plant prices should be reported on a "specified confidential" basis. The same circumstances exist today and the need for confidential treatment is just as critical now as it was in 1983.

8 Q. How would you recommend the Commission approach its duty
9 of implementing Section 366.093, Florida Statutes?

In the case of Tampa Electric's transportation affiliates, 11 A .. is there a reasonable probability the test should be: 12 the information in question will that disclosure of 13 adversely affect the affiliated company and, ultimately, 14 Tampa Electric and its Customers? If so, then the 15 information should be treated as specified confidential 16 information which is exempt from public disclosure. 17 Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, does not appear to 18 require a 100% probability of harm. In the case of bids 19 or other contractual data, the test is only whether it 20 would "impair" the efforts of the public utility to 21 contract for services on favorable terms. If certain 22 information is disclosed and the disclosure only aids but 23 does not guarantee a competitor's ability to compete with 24 Tampa Electric's transportation affiliates, then this 25

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

1

information ought to be protected, even though its disclosure would not guarantee that the competitor will take business away from the Tampa Electric affiliate. Any more suringent or absolute a standard for confidentiality would demand more than is required under the statute. Stated differently, if the statute is administered in such a way as to prohibit only the disclosure of a specific cost, but not a myriad of related information bits or "hints" which enable a resourceful competitor to pinpoint or come very close to the specific cost, then the protection afforded by the statute will indeed be hollow. In short, we advocate fully disclosing to the Commission the information it needs to perform its utility oversight function but because of the extreme sensitivity of the information, we advocate disclosing as little as possible to publicly accessible sources. Utility customers are the 16 ultimate beneficiaries of this protection, and we hope the Commission will continue to administer the statute in a 18 manner which maximizes such protection.

Does this conclude your testimony?

24

A. .

Yes, it does.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

.17

19

20

21 Q.

22

23

25

(End of Prefiled Direct Testimony)

13

		172
	1	FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS
	2	COUNTY OF LEON)
1	3	WE, CAROL C. CAUSSEAUX, CSR, RPR, JANE FAUROT and JOY
	4	KELLY, CSR, EPR, Official Commission Reporters,
	5	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the hearing in the matter of
	6	the Confidentiality of Fuel Cost Recovery Data, Docket No.
	7	860001-EI-D, was heard by the Florida Public Service Commission
	8	commencing at 9:35 a.m., Monday, September 29, 1986, in
	9	Tallahassee, Florida.
	10	WE FURTHER CERTIFY that we were authorized to and did
	11	report in shorthand and by stenotype the proceedings held at
	12	such time and place; that the same has been reduced to type-
	13	writing under our direct supervision, and that the foregoing
1	14	pages numbered 1 through 277, Volumes I and II, inclusive,
×	15	constitute a true and accurate transcription of our shorthand
	16	and stenotype notes of said proceedings.
	17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have hereunto set our hands at
	18	Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida, this 8th day of October,
	19	1986.
	20	Caine C. Command Rinistant
	21	CAROL C. CAUSSEAUX, CSR, RPR JANE FAUROT
	22	On Vid
	23	JOS KELLY, CSR. SPR
	24	OFFICIAL COMMISSION REPORTERS
2	25	Bureau of Reporting
1	26	101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone (904) 488-5980
		FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### Date of Declassification:

FORM	LINE(S)	COLUMN	DATE
423-1(a)	1 - 7	н - о	3/15/97
423-2	1 - 8	G - H	3/15/97
423-2(a)	1 - 8	H,J,L	3/15/97
423-2(b)	1 - 8	G,I,K,L, M,N,O,P	3/15/97

#### Rationale:

#### Fuel Oil Contract Data:

1. Tampa Electric requests that the confidential information relating to fuel oil contract data not be declassified until at least two years after it is classified confidential. Ideally, Tampa Electric's interests would be best protected by adopting a declassification date which is at least 6 months beyond the last day of the contract period under which the goods identified on Form 423-1(a) were purchased.

2. Tampa Electric's ability to negotiate future contracts for No. 2 and No. 6 oil would reasonably likely be impaired if pricing information as described above were disclosed during the contract period or prior to the negotiation of a new contract.

3. Tampa Electric typically renegotiates its No. 2 and No. 6 fuel oil contracts and fuel related services contracts prior to the end of such contracts. On occasion some contracts are renegotiated after the end of the current contract period. In this situation, renegotiations are normally completed within six months. Therefore, it is necessary to maintain the confidentiality of the information identified as confidential on Form 423-1(a) for six months after the end of the individual contract period the information relates to.

4. Tampa Electric's No. 2 contract was renegotiated effective October 1, 1990 and its No. 6 contract was renegotiated effective September 1, 1990.

5. In many instances the declassification date proposed above would be beyond two years from the date the information is classified. Therefore, and in order to simplify the determination of a date of declassification date, Tampa Electric is willing to settle for a declassification date which is two years from the date the material in question is initially classified. This will avoid having to refer to contract expiration dates which vary from contract to contract. At the same time, it will afford Tampa Electric some minimum period of protection from having this sensitive information disclosed publicly.

## Coal and Coal Transportation Data

6. Tampa Electric also seeks protection of the coal and coal transportation contract information specified as confidential for a minimum period of two years.

7. The need for two or more years of confidentiality is vital not only to Tampa Electric and its ratepayers, but to the vendors of coal and coal transportation services as well.

8. Bidders for the sale of coal will always seek to optimize their profit margin. Full knowledge of the prices paid by the utility for coal enables the bidder to increase the price bid and thereby optimize the bid from the viewpoint of the seller and to the detriment of the ratepayer. Tampa Electric firmly believes that the disclosure of information on prices paid within the last two years will incluse the price Tampa Electric will be required to pay for coal and will be detrimental to ratepayers.

9. Recent bids received by Tampa Electric contained a \$4.17 per ton spread between the bids. The low bid undoubtedly would have been higher with full knowledge of prices paid by Tampa Electric. Bidders will always seek to optimize their profits by submitting bids that are as high as the market will bear. If market data is disclosed which discourages suppliers from bidding competitively, they will increase their bids to the level of past payments to other suppliers by the buyer.

10. The disclosure of rail transportation rates will result in demands by <u>other</u> shippers to lower any rates which are above the disclosed rates. The effect of disclosure will be to increase the lower rate as the transportation provided will seek to protect the rates charged on other routes. The delay of this disclosure for two years will be of direct benefit to ratepayers by delaying any increases that might occur as a result of such disclosure.

11. Gatliff Coal and TECO Transport & Trade sell coal and bulk commodity transportation services in the open non-regulated marketplace. The prices at which their goods and services are sold are not publicly disclosed anywhere by publication or voluntary dissemination because it would materially lessen their competitive posture with customers other than Tampa Electric. Outside customers who negotiate for coal or coal transportation services are placed at a competitive advantage for these goods or services if they know the cost of the goods or services.

12. An analyst for an outside customer of Gatliff or TECO Transport who reads the written transcripts of public fuel hearings or reads the written orders of the FPSC can easily discover that until November 1, 1988, Tampa Electric paid cost for coal from Gatliff and for coal transportation from TECO Transport. Further, the publication of the stipulation agreement between the parties in 1988 indicated that the initial benchmark price was close to cost and subsequent testimony indicates the revised contract escalates from cost.