

OTH ____

Public Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

	DATE:	May 23, 1995
	то:	SUSAN F. CLARK, CHAIRMAN 906025 J. TERRY DEASON, COMMISSIONER
		JULIA L. JOHNSON, COMMISSIONER
		DIANE K. KIESLING, COMMISSIONER JOE GARCIA, COMMISSIONER
		BILL TALBOTT, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR -
		JAMES WARD, DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR/ADM.
	•	MARY BANE, DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR/TECH
	FROM:	DAVID E. SMITH, DIRECTOR OF APPEALS
	RE:	SHADY OAKS MOBILE MODULAR ESTATES, INC. V. FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, CASE NO. 93-3339
	Court order Modula argued findir	In a terse opinion filed May 22, 1995, the First District of Appeal, per Zehmer, Chief Judge, affirmed the Commission's imposing a fine equal to rate base on Shady Oaks Mobile ar Estates. The Court noted that, even though the Appellant I that the Commission's final order did not contain a specific of a "willful or knowing" violation of a Commission order, issue was never raised below and could not be raised on
ACK _		As a post script to this case, it should be noted that Shady was later decertified by the Commission.
AFA _		
APP _	-	
CAF _	DES	
сми _	Attach	nment
CTR _	_cc: /	All Division Directors
EAG		All Attorneys
LEG		
LIN _		
OPC		
RCH _		
SEC		
WAS	op3339.mr	
aru		THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04976 MAY 23 E

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

RECEIVED

95 MAY 23 AM 9: 38

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMM.
DIVISION OF APPEALS
SHADY OAKS MOBILE MODULAR
ESTATES, INC.,

Appellant,

ν.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,

- Appellee.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
FIRST DISTRICT, STATE OF FLORIDA
NOT FINAL UNTIL TIME EXPIRES TO

FILE MOTION FOR REHEARING AND DISPOSITION THEREOF IF FILED

CASE NO. 93-3339

Opinion filed May 22, 1995.

An appeal from an order of the Florida Public Service Commission.

Robert A. Antista and John L. Wharton of Rose, Sundstrom & Bentley, Tallahassee, for Appellant.

Robert D. Vandiver, General Counsel, and David E. Smith, Director of Appeals, Florida Public Service Commission, Tallahassee, for Appellee.

ZEHMER, C.J.

The final order of the Public Service Commission imposing a fine against the appellant utility company is affirmed. See Florida Real Estate Commission v. Webb, 367 So. 2d 201 (Fla. 1978). Although Appellant argues that the final order fails to

find specifically that Appellant knowingly refused to comply with, or willfully violated, a provision of chapter 367 or any lawful rule or order of the commission, that issue was never raised in the proceedings before the commission or in Appellant's motion for reconsideration of the final order and therefore cannot be raised for the first time on appeal.

AFFIRMED.

DAVIS, J., and WENTWORTH, Senior Judge, CONCUR.