MACFARLANE AUSLEY FERGUSON & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302) TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 1904) 224-9115 FAX (904) 222-7560

III MADISON STREET SUITE 2300 P.O. BOX (53) (2IP 3360)) TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602 (B)31-273-4200-EAX (B)31-273-4396

June 23, 1995

400 CLEVELAND STREET P. D. BOX (669/2011 34617) CLEARWATER FLORIDA 14615 CLASS SALES SALES SALES SALES

Tallahassee

IN HEPS Y HEREBYS

HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

> Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause with Generating Performance Incentive Factor; FPSC Docket No. 950001-EI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket, on behalf of Tampa Electric Company, are fifteen (15) copies of each of the following:

05925-95. Petition of Tampa Electric Company.

Prepared Direct Testimony of Mary Jo Pennino and Exhibit (MJP-2) regarding Tampa Electric's projected Total Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Factors and Exhibit (MJP-3) regarding projected Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for the period October 1995 through March 1996.

> Prepared Direct Testimony of William N. Cantrell with Exhibit (WNC-1) regarding 1994 Transportation and Coal Benchmark calculations.

> Prepared Direct Testimony of George A. Keselowsky with Exhibits (GAK-2) and (GAK-3) regarding Tampa Electric Company's projected performance under the Generating Performance Incentive Factor for the period October 1995 through March 1996.

> Prepared Direct Testimony of E. A. Townes and W. N. Cantrell with Exhibit (WNC\EAT-2) regarding Schedules Supporting the Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor for the period October 1995 through December 1995 and Exhibit (WNC/EAT-3) regarding the Gannon Conversion Project Comparison of Projected Payoff with Original Estimate as of May 1995. RECEIVED & FILED

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo June 23, 1995 Page 2

. 4

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

James D. Beasley

JDB/pp Enclosures

cc: All Parties of Record (w/encls.)

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo June 23, 1995 Page 3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing testimony and exhibits, filed on behalf of Tampa Electric Company, has been furnished by U. S. Mail or hand delivery (*) on this 23 day of June, 1995 to the following:

Ms. Martha C. Brown*
Ms. Mary Elizabeth Culpepper
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service
Commission
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

Mr. James A. McGee Senior Counsel Florida Power Corporation Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733

Mr. Joseph A. McGlothlin Ms. Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson & Bakas 315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 716 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. Jack Shreve Office of Public Counsel Room 812 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Mr. Matthew M. Childs Steel Hector & Davis Suite 601 215 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. John W. McWhirter
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin,
 Davidson & Bakas
Post Office Box 3350
Tampa, FL 33601

Ms. Suzanne Brownless Suzanne Brownless P.A. 1311-B Paul Russell Road Suite 202 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. Floyd R. Self Messer, Vickers, Caparello, Madsen, Lewis, Goldman & Metz Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1876

Mr. G. Edison Holland, Jr. Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576

Mr. Barry Huddleston Destec Energy 2500 CityWest Blvd. Suite 150 Houston, TX 77042

Mr. Eugene M. Trisko Post Office Box 596 Berkeley Springs, WV 25411

Mr. Roger Yott Air Products & Chemicals, Inc. 7540 Windsor Drive, Suite 301 Allentown, PA 18195

Mr. Richard J. Salem
Ms. Marian B. Rush
Salem, Saxon & Nielsen, P.A.
Post Office Box 3399
Tampa, FL 33601

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo June 23, 1995 Page 4

Mr. Peter J. P. Brickfield Brickfield, Burchette & Ritts 1025 Thomas Jefferson St. N.W. Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007-0805 Mr. Stephen R. Yurek Dahlen, Berg & Co. 2150 Dain Bosworth Plaza 60 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402

TORNEY

DOCKET NO. 950001-EI TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY OIL BACKOUT SUBMITTED FOR FILING 06/23/95

FILE COPY

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 950001-EI

Re: Levelized Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor
October 1995 - December 1995

E. A. Townes

TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF:

US929 JUN 23%

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 1 PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY 2 OF 3 ELIZABETH A. TOWNES 4 5 Would you please state your name and address? 6 Q. 7 My name is Elizabeth A. Townes. My business address is 702 8 A. 9 North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. 10 Please describe your educational background and experience. 11 Q. 12 I received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree in 13 Accounting from Florida International University in 1978 14 and a Master of Business Administration from the University 15 of Tampa in 1982. I am a Certified Public Accountant in 16 17 the state of Florida and a Member of the Florida Institute of Certified Public Accountants and American Institute of 18 Certified Public Accountants. 19 20 Prior to joining Tampa Electric Company in January 1982, I 21 was employed by General Telephone Company of Florida. 22 joined Tampa Electric as a regulatory accountant. 23 September 1983, I was promoted to Manager-Regulatory 24 Control and subsequently in February 1991, I was promoted 25

to my current position as Assistant Controller.

My current responsibilities include accounting for fuel activities, conservation, oil backout and other regulatory accounting areas. I am also responsible for the revenue and financial reporting functions and accounts payable.

Q. Ms. Townes, what is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present a summary computation of the estimated Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor to be collected during the three-month projection period beginning October 1995 and ending December 1995, including the estimated true-up adjustment required as of September 1995.

Q. Have you prepared documents in support of your testimony?

A. Yes. I have jointly prepared with Mr. Cantrell a composite exhibit titled "Schedules Supporting Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor" indicated as Exhibit No. (WNC/EAT-2). This exhibit is a summary of the detailed computations, prepared under my supervision and direction, to derive the estimated Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor. This exhibit

consists of six documents and I will make references in my testimony to each of the documents and explain the development, or source, of each line item. I have also jointly prepared with Mr. Cantrell Exhibit No. (WNC/EAT-3) titled "Comparison of Projected Payoff with Original Estimate, as of May 1995." This exhibit provides a comparison of the estimated payback of the Gannon conversion project with the original projection submitted during the 1982 qualification hearings.

Q. Ms. Townes, would you first please summarize the key assumptions used in your derivation of the estimated factor?

A. Yes. The key assumptions involved with the determination of the factor for the projection period are the estimated fuel savings, the estimated revenue requirements associated with the converted Gannon Units and common facilities, the estimated energy sales, and the estimated true-up as of September 1995.

Q. What is the estimated Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor which you have determined for the three-month projection period ended December 1995?

A. The factor which I have determined to be appropriate for the projection period is .058 cents per kilowatt hour. This factor is shown on line 19, of Document 1.

4

5

1

2

3

Q. Please explain the computations shown on Document 1.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

The computations begin with the estimated energy sales A. during the projection pariod shown on line 1. These amounts are consistent with the company's fuel adjustment filing in this docket. Lines 2 through 4 reflect the estimated fuel savings supplied by Mr. Cantrell. Lines 5 through 10 reflect a computation of the estimated revenue requirements associated with the Gannon Oil Backout Project. Lines 11 through 13 reflect a computation of the estimated net savings and the amount available for additional depreciation under the Clause, as determined on Lines 14 through 19 reflect the a six-month basis. computation of the Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor including the estimated net true-up adjustment required as of September 1995.

21

Q. Ms. Townes, please explain your computation of revenue requirements shown on lines 5 through 10.

24 25

23

A. The computation begins on line 5 with the estimated

straight-line depreciation expense associated with the various components of the Plant in Service investment. monthly provisions for depreciation reflected on line 5 are based on the currently approved depreciation rates for the various components of the Plant in Service investment. Line 6 reflects the estimated interest carrying cost of the Plant in Service investment. The projected monthly interest expense is determined based on the projected debt cost applied to the average debt balance for each month. Income tax expense, shown on line 7, is computed on Document 3. The estimated monthly property tax expense is shown as Taxes Other Than Income Taxes on line 8. amounts shown on line 9 represent the operation and maintenance expense differential which was furnished by Mr. Cantrell. Total revenue requirements reflected on line 10 represent the sum of all revenue requirement components shown on lines 5 through 9.

1.8

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q. Ms. Townes, would you please explain Document 2 reflecting your computation of the Plant in Service investment?

21

23

24

25

A. Yes. Line 1 of Document 2 reflects the actual unrecovered investment in Plant in Service at the beginning of each month shown. Since no additional expenditures are currently anticipated, line 2 indicates no additions to Plant in Service. Line 5 reflects the provision for depreciation for the period. These are the same amounts shown on line 5 of Documents 1 and 5. Line 6 reflects the additional depreciation permitted under the Oil Backout Recovery Clause, equivalent to 2/3 of the estimated net savings which is shown on line 13 of Documents 1 and 5. Line 7 reflects the estimated net unrecovered investment in Plant in Service at the end of the month.

Q. Ms. Townes, would you please explain further the computation of income tax expense reflected on line 7 of Documents 1 and 5?

A. Yes. The computation of these amounts is shown on Document

3. Referring to Document 3, lines 1 through 5 agree with
amounts shown as components of revenue requirements
including those associated with additional depreciation, on
lines 5, 6, 8, 9, 10 and 13 on Documents 1 and 5. Line 7
reflects the portion of depreciation on line 2 which
represents depreciation of the equity portion of AFUDC
capitalized during construction. As this amount is not tax
deductible, it represents a "permanent" difference between
book and tax basis of plant. Thus, this portion of
depreciation expense for each month must be added back to
book income to compute income before income taxes on line

8. Line 9 reflects the income tax expense before ratable amortization of investment tax credits using an effective income tax rate of 38.575%. Line 10 reflects the ratable amortization of investment tax credit consistent with the investment recovery via depreciation expense. Line 11 reflects the total income tax expense which agrees with amounts shown on line 7 of Documents 1 and 5.

Q. Ms. Townes, you indicated earlier that a key assumption in determining the factor for this projection period is the estimated true-up adjustment required for the six-month period ending September 1995. Please explain the calculation of the net true-up adjustment.

A. The projected cumulative net true-up adjustment as of September 1995 represents an overrecovery of \$909,253 as shown on line 15 of Document 1. The true-up adjustment is calculated on Documents 4, 5 and 6.

The computation begins on Document 4 with the estimated tariff revenues to be billed under the Clause for each month in the period from April 1995 through September 1995, shown on Line 1. The Oil Backout Revenue applicable to this period is then reduced by the estimated/actual cost recovery under the Clause for each month in the period from

April 1995 through September 1995. The amounts on Line 4 are calculated on Document 5. To this true-up provision shown on Line 5 by month, is added the beginning of the month true-up and interest provision, shown on Line 6 for a cumulative end of the period net true-up before interest, shown on Line 8. The resulting estimated true-up provision at September 1995, of \$909,253 is shown on Line 10 of Document 4.

Q. What was the projected true-up amount for the six months ended March 1995 which was included in the Oil Backout cost recovery for the period April 1995 - September 1995?

A. In the filing dated January 17, 1995, the company projected a cumulative overrecovery of \$153,138 as of March 1995 which is currently being collected. The actual overrecovery at March 1995 was \$375,548, as reflected on line 6 of Document 4. The actual overrecovery at March 31, 1995, is due to lower than anticipated operating expense.

Q. What is the status of the estimated payback of the Gannon conversion project?

A. As shown on Exhibit No. (WNC/EAT-3), titled "Comparison of Projected Payoff with Original Estimate, as of May 1995," cost recovery is now projected to end on January 1, 1996. On January 1, 1996, the oil-backout cost recovery clause will be eliminated pursuant to PSC Order No. PSC-95-0580-FOF-EI, Docket No. 950379-EI. Any remaining true-up dollars related to oil-backout costs for 1995 will be recovered as a line item adjustment to fuel cost through the fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause during the period April 1, 1996 through September 30, 1996.

Q. Please explain any significant variances noted in the payoff comparison.

A. Actual straight-line depreciation is less than the original projection in 1982. This is due to the 1982 estimation of early retirement of existing plant.

Significant variances noted in the cost of capital and income tax components are due to the current estimate being based on the approved 100% debt financing; whereas, the original estimate was based on conventional financing, which included a combination of debt and equity. Since conventional financing included an equity component, income taxes were provided on the return associated with the equity component.

An estimate for taxes other than income taxes was not included in the original estimate. An estimate is now included since property taxes can be more reasonably determined.

In the original estimate, revenue taxes were included as part of the base revenue requirement (the sum of straight-line depreciation, cost of capital, income taxes, taxes other than income taxes, operation and maintenance differential, and revenue taxes). Revenue taxes are now excluded from the base revenue requirement. The Regulatory Assessment fee is included in the total to be billed by grossing up the Oil Backout factor.

The net result of the changes between the original and current estimate is a decrease in base revenue requirement. However, the expected additional depreciation has declined due to reduced fuel savings. Additional depreciation is computed as two-thirds of the excess of fuel savings over the base revenue requirement determined on a six-month filing period as required under the Oil Backout Clause.

Q. Ms. Townes, does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 950001-EI OIL BACKOUT SUBMITTED FOR FILING 06/23/95

1970	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
7	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
8	DOCKET NO. 950001-E1
9	
10	Re: Levelized Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor
11	October 1995 - December 1995
12	
13	
14	TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF:
15	
16	W. N. Cantrell
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

25

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 950001-EI OIL BACKOUT SUBMITTED FOR FILING 06/23/95

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 1 PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY 2 OF 3 W. N. CANTRELL 4 5 Please state your name, address and occupation. 6 Q. 7 My name is William N. Cantrell. My mailing address is 8 A. P. O. Box 111, Tampa, Florida 33601, and my business 9 address is 6820 South Tamiami Trail, North Ruskin, Florida 10 33570. I am Vice President-Energy Supply of Tampa Electric 11 12 Company. 13 Please furnish a brief outline of your educational 0. 14 background and business experience. 15 16 I was educated in the public schools of Tampa, Florida and 17 A. received a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical 18 Engineering from the Georgia Institute of Technology in 19 1974. I am a registered Professional Engineer licensed in 20 the State of Florida. I also received a Master of Business 21 Administration degree in 1979 from the University of Tampa. 22 I have been employed at Tampa Electric Company since June 23

24

Since that time I have served as Manager of

Generation Planning, Assistant Director, Budgets and Director of Fuels. In 1987, I was elected Vice President of the company. In 1994, I was elected to my current position as Vice President-Energy Supply.

Q. Will you describe some of the responsibilities of your present position?

A. As Vice President - Energy Supply, I am responsible for the engineering, operation, maintenance, and construction of the power production facilities including safety of personnel and equipment, security, training, control of costs, and various personnel and administrative functions.

I am also responsible for environmental matters and fuel procurement.

Q. Mr. Cantrell, what is the objective of your testimony?

A. The objective of my testimony is to present the cost associated with the conversion of four of Tampa Electric Company's generating units from oil to coal. In addition, I will sponsor the calculation of the operation and maintenance expense differential and the determination of fuel savings for the projection period and the projected payoff period.

Q. How does your testimony relate to the testimony of other witnesses in this proceeding?

A. Ms. Elizabeth Townes is sponsoring the overall calculation of the company's Cil Backout Cost Recovery Factor for the period October 1995 - December 1995, as well as the estimated payoff period for the total project. In these calculations, Ms. Townes develops the basic revenue requirements of the project using the actual cost of the conversion assets, and my projection of the operation and maintenance expense differential and the fuel savings resulting from the conversion. Kilowatt-hour sales and fuel costs are consistent with those used in the company's fuel adjustment filing.

Q. Have you prepared documents in support of your testimony?

A. Yes. I have prepared portions of documents which are included in a composite Exhibit No. (WNC/EAT-2) titled "Schedules Supporting Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor" and Exhibit No. (WNC/EAT-3) titled "Comparison of Projected Payoff with Original Estimate, as of May 1995." These exhibits are being jointly sponsored by Ms. Townes and me.

Q. What is the status of the project?

	10	
1	A.	The conversion of Gannon units 1 through 4 from oil to coal
2		is complete. The units were placed into commercial service
3		as follows:
4		
5		Unit 1 October 6, 1985
6		Unit 2 May 23, 1985
7		Unit 3 July 12, 1984
8		Unit 4 November 7, 1983
9		
10	Q.	What is the cost of the Oil Backout assets which are
11		included in the cost recovery computation in this
12		proceeding?
13		
14	A.	The total cost of the conversion project to be recovered
15		through the Clause is \$140.5 million. No additional
16		expenditures are anticipated.
17		
18	Q.	What are the projected fuel savings which will occur as a
19		result of the operation of the converted Gannon units
20		during the projection period?
21		
22	A.	As shown on Line 4 of Document 1, total fuel savings
23		resulting from the project for the period October 1995 -
24		December 1995 are expected to be \$1,305,690. This amount

25

is based upon the difference in fuel expenses from

production costing runs which simulate dispatch of all generating units with and without the conversion of the Gannon units. The assumptions for sales, unit ratings, heat rates, coal and No. 6 oil prices and availability factors are consistent with those used by the company in its fuel adjustment filing in this docket.

Q. Have you calculated the projected operating and maintenance expense differential of the project for October 1995 -December 1995?

A. Yes, I have calculated the operation and maintenance expense differential for this period to be \$824,880 as shown on line 9 of Document 1.

Q. Please explain how the operation and maintenance expense differential was calculated.

A. The operation and maintenance differential consists of the oil/non-oil operating expense differential and other projected costs resulting from the Oil Backout project. This differential was calculated by applying a percentage representing the increased operation and maintenance costs associated with coal-firing to total projected operation and maintenance expenses pertaining to the converted Gannon

units. The percentage was derived by comparing histor_cal operation and maintenance costs for Gannon units 1-4 as oil-fired to historical operation and maintenance costs for Gannon units 5 and 6 as coal-fired. Specifically identifiable costs to be incurred to comply with the Oil Backout Cost Recovery Rule were added to the operating expense differential to derive the total operation and maintenance differential.

The operation and maintenance differential as shown on Exhibit No. (WNC/EAT-3) "Comparison of Projected Payoff with Original Estimate, as of May 1995," is now higher than the original estimate since the original estimate did not include maintaining the assets required for dual firing capability. In addition, the current estimate is based on more detailed engineering estimates and actual experience associated with the converted units.

Q. Mr. Cantrell, please explain the decrease in fuel savings indicated on the projected payoff exhibit.

A. The reduction in fuel savings is due to a decrease in the projected differential between the price of oil and the price of coal, and a decrease in the projected system energy requirements. The current estimate of fuel savings

is based on long-term fuel price and energy projections prepared in conjunction with this current fuel adjustment clause filing. Does this conclude your testimony? Q. Yes. A.

EXHIBIT NO. ______

DOCKET NO. 950001-EI

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(WNC/EAT-2)

SUBMITTED FOR FILING 6/23/95

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

SCHEDULES SUPPORTING OIL BACKOUT

COST RECOVERY FACTOR

OCTOBER 1995 - DECEMBER 1995

EXHIBIT NO. ______
DOCKET NO. 950001-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(WNC/EAT-2)

OIL BACKOUT COST RECOVERY INDEX

TITLE	PAGE
SUMMARY OF OIL BACKOUT COST RECOVERY COMPUTATION WITH FACTOR	1
PLANT IN SERVICE INVESTMENT	2
COMPUTATION OF OIL BACKOUT INCOME TAXES	3
OIL BACKOUT TRUE-U, COMPUTATION	4
SUMMARY OF OIL BACKOUT COST RECOVERY COMPUTATION	5
CALCULATION OF OIL BACKOUT INTEREST PROVISION	6

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

SUMMARY OF OIL BACKOUT COST RECOVERY COMPUTATION

October 1995 through December 1995

Line			12600 111.11	1207707	202000		<u> </u>	1274,797
No.		Units	Witness	Source	October	November	December	Total
1.	Sales	MWH	Cantrell		1.237.947	1.073.869	1,100,856	3.412.672
	Fuel Savings:							
2	Fuel and Net Power Transactions							= .X2.24
	without Conversion	\$	Cantrell		\$28,564,809	\$23,929,278	\$25,271,084	\$77,765,171
3.	Fuel and Net Power Transactions					*****	04005044	70 400 404
	with Conversion	\$	Cantrell		27,949,169	23,514,368	24,995,944	76,459,481
4.	Fuei Savings	\$	Cantrell	Line 2 - Line 3	\$615,640	\$414,910	\$275,140	\$1,305,690
	Revenue Regulrements:							
5.	Straight-Line Depreciation	\$	Townes	Document 2	\$584,605	\$584,605	\$584,605	\$1,753,815
6.	Interest Expense	\$	Townes		124,556	119,391	117,137	361,084
7.	Income Tax Expense	\$	Townes	Document 3	(53,174)	(53,174)	(53,174)	(159,522)
8.	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	\$	Townes		38,000	38,000	39,000	115,000
9.	O & M Differential	\$	Cantrell		289,780	256,780	278,320	824,880
10.	Revenue Requirements	\$	Townes	Lines 5+6+7+8+9	\$983,767	\$945,602	\$965,888	\$2,895,257
	Additional Depreciation:							
11.	Net Savings	\$	Townes	Line 4 - Line 10	(\$368,127)	(\$530,692)	(\$690,748)	(\$1,589,567)
12	Customer Retained Savings	\$	Townes		368,127	530,692	690.748	1,589,567
13	Additional Depreciation	\$	Townes	Line 11 - Line 12	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
14	Cost Recovery for the Period	\$	Townes	Line 10 + Line 13	\$983,767	\$945,602	\$965,888	\$2,895,257
15	Prior Period Net True-Up	\$	Townes	Document 4	(303,084)	(303,064)	(303,085)	(909,253)
16	. Total Cost Recovery	\$	Townes	Line 14 + Line 15	\$680,683	\$642.518	\$662,803	\$1,986,004
17	Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor	¢/KWH	Townes	Line 16 / Line 1				0.05819
18	Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor Adjusted for Revenue Taxes	¢/KWH	Townes	Line 17 x 1.00063				0.0582
19	Rounded Oil Backout Recovery Factor	¢/KWH	Townes					0.058

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
PLANT IN SERVICE INVESTMENT

Document No. 2 Page 1 of 1 April 1995 through December 1995

December	\$31,361,260	0	0	\$31,361,260	(584,605)	a	\$30,776,655
November	\$31,945,865	0	a	\$31,945,865	(584,605)	ø	\$31.361.260
October	\$32,530,470	•	a	\$32,530,470	(584,605)	a	\$31.945.865
September	\$33,115,075	0	a	\$33,115,075	(584,605)	a	\$32 530 470
August	\$33,699,690	0	a	\$33,699,680	(584,605)	а	\$33,115,075
şış	\$34,284,285	0	a	\$34,284,285	(584,605)	O	\$31,699,580
June	\$34,000,071	0	Oi	\$34,603,071	(584,605)	265,819 (1)	\$34.284.205
Actual	\$35,187,676	•	a	\$35,187,676	(584,605)	a	\$34 603 071
Actual	\$35,772,281	•	a	\$35,772,281	(584,605)	a	\$35.187.676
Line No.	1. Beginning Net Plant Balance	2. Additions to Plant in Service	3. Cost of Removal / Salvage	4. Balance (Unes 1 + 2 + 3)	5. Straight - line Deprectation	6. Additional Depreciation	7. Ending Net Plant Balance (Lines 4 + 5 + 6)

(1) Retroactive adjustment to reduce additional depreciation by \$265,819. See note on Document 5.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
COMPUTATION OF OIL BACKOUT INCOME TAXES
April 1995 lihough December 1995

Document No. 3 Page 1 of 1

Line No.	Source	Actual	Actual	and	žą.	August	Suplember	October	November	December
1. Revenue-base - add. deprec.	Document 1 & 5, Line 10 Document 1 & 5, Line 13	665,858\$	2007965	\$1,000,482 (7,65,819) (1)	\$976,805	\$1059,904	\$1,105,349			\$965,888
Depreciation-straight -add.	Document 1 & 5, Line 5 Document 1 & 5, Line 13	(584,605)	(584,605)	(584,605) 205,819 (1)	(584,605)	(509/195)	(304,605)	(584,605)	(584,605)	(584,605)
3. Interest Expense	Document 1 & 5, Line 6	(115,432)	(127,460)	(TEB, AET)	(128,405)	(126,152)	(128,049)			(117,137)
4. Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	Document 1 & S, Line &	(000'80)	(28,000)	(000'90)	(38,000)	(000'60)	(000'80)			(000'60)
5. O & M Differential	Document 1 & 5, Line 9	041.523	(245.928)	CCC 360	(278,959)	02750	(407,869)			(278,320)
6. Subtotal	Lines 1+2+3+4+5	(\$51,961)	(151,961)	(\$59,155)	(\$53,174)	(\$53,174)	(\$53,174)			(\$53,174)
7. Depreciation of AFUDC Equity		2.958	2.958	7388	2,958	2,958	2256			2.958
8. Income Before Income Taxes	Lines 6+7	G49.003)	(249,003)	G86.231)	GISO 216	\$50.2160	\$59.210		_	\$50.216
9. Income Taxes	Line 8 x 38.575%	(\$18,903)	(\$18,903)	(\$55,386) (2)	(176,917)	(178,917)	(\$19,371)	(175,917)	(\$19,371)	(119,371)
10 Amortization of ITC		(33,058)	(030,050)	(23.803)	(03.603)	(33,803)	(33,803)	(33,803)		(33,893)
11 Income Tax Expense	11 Income Tax Expense Document 1 & 5, Line 7	(\$51.961)	(\$51.961)	G129.189	053.174	623.1740	653.170	053.174	2577/	053.1740

Retroactive adjustment to reduce additional depreciation by \$265,819. See note on Document 5.
 Retroactive adjustment to reduce income tax expense by \$36,015. See note on Document 5.

24	Live No.	Actual	Actual	Acce	şış	August	September	Total	
	Oi-Backout Cost Recovery Revenue (Net of Revenue Taxes)	\$840,568	\$1,007,784	\$1,071,797	\$1,113,111	\$1,107,878	\$1,124,411	\$6,265,549	
	 Adjustment not Applicable to this period (Prior true-up) 	25.523	25.523	22.523	25.52	25.523	25.523	153.138	
"	Ol-Backout Revenue Applicable to this period (Live 1 + 2)	180,091	1,003,307	1,097,320	1,138,634	1,133,401	1,149,334	6,418,687	
	4. Jurisdictional Ol-Backout Cost Recovery Authorized (Document 5, Line 14)	(928.599)	(944(032)	(234.663)	(976,805)	0.059.9040	0.105.3493	(5,749,352)	
77	5. True-up Provision for the Month Over(Under) Collection (Line 3 + 4)	(62,508)	89,275	362,657	161,829	73,497	44,585	669,335	
0.00	6. True-up and interest Provision for the Month Beginning of the Month	375,548	289,201	354,578	663,341	833,454	885,715	375,548	
	7. True-up Collected/(Refunded)	(25.523)	(25.52)	(22,22)	(25.523)	(25,523)	(25.52)	(153.136)	
0.00	8. End of the Percol Net True-up Before Interest (Line 5 + 5 + 7)	287,517	352,953	691,712	829,647	881,428	904,777	891,745	
	 Interest Provison for the Month Interest (Document 6, Line 10) 	1.684	1,625	1,629 (1)	2,607	4.287	4.475	17,508	
-	 End of the Period Net True-up Overi(Under) Recovery (Line 8 + 9) 	1289 201	\$15.4573	1653.341	\$833.454	\$17,288	\$209.253	1909.253	

(1) Retroactive adjustment to reduce interest on overlunder recovery by \$1,002. See note on Document 5.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

Document No. 5 Page 1 of 1

COST RECOVERY COMPUTATION SUMMARY OF OIL BACKOUT

April 1995 through September 1995

3	102				Actual	Actual						
2		10	Units Witness	Source	April	Max	Anne	No.	August	September	Total	
1												
-	1 Sales	¥.	MWH Cartrell		1038.604	126215	1,324,309	1375.357	1368.891	1389319	7.741.885	
2	Fuel Savings: Fuel and Net Power Transactions without Conversion	•	Cantrell		\$26,329,840	526,329,840 \$35,639,082 \$33,622,898	529,622,689	12,022,034,177,659 \$35,084,732 \$31,968,625 \$197,022,647	535,084,732	529,888,163	1197,022,547	
n	Fuel and Net Power Transactions with Conversion	*	Cantrell		25,746,590	342M832	32.578.429	33 2223 879	34,159,662	31,171,255	191,115,047	
4	4. Fuel Savings		Cantrell	Line 2 - Line 3	\$583.150	\$1,604,160	\$1.044.270	\$953,780	\$924.879	8787.370	\$5,907,600	
ví	Revenue Requirements: Straight-Line Depreciation		Townes	Document 2	\$584,605	\$584,605	\$584,605	\$584,605	\$584,805	\$584,605	023,502,630	
9 1	Interest Expense		Townes	Document 3	(51.961)			(53,174)	53,174	(53,174)	(352,633)	
- 60	Taxes Other Than Income Taxe		Townes		39,000	38,000	36,000		39,000		230,000	
Oi.	. O & M Differential	*	Caritrell		241,523			278,969	363,321	407,869	1,869,979	
5	10. Revenue Requirements	•	Townes	Lines 5+6+7+8+	\$928,599	\$944,032	\$1,000,462	\$976,805	\$1,059,904	\$1,105,349	\$6,015,171	
- 2	Additional Depreciation: 11. Net Savings 12. Customer Retained Savings	**	Townes	Une 4 - Une 10	(\$345,448)	\$690,128	\$43,788	(\$23,025)	(\$135,034)	(\$307,979) \$12,105	(175,707)	
-	13. Additional Depreciation	*	Townes	Line 11 - Line 1	S.	Oğ.	3265,819)(2)	3	3	2	(\$265,819)	
-	14. Cost Recovery for the Period	*	Townes	Line 10 + Line 1	\$928,599	\$944,032	\$734,663	\$976,805	\$1,059,904	\$1,105,349	\$5,749,352	
-	15. Prior Period Net True-Up	•	Townes	Document 4	62.591	62.591	62.591	62.591	62.591	62.593	375,548	
-	16. Total Cost Recovery	*	Townes	Line 14 + Line 1	\$291.190	\$1,006,623	\$797.254	\$1,039,336	\$1.122.495	\$1,167,942	\$6,124,900	

Note: This projected filing contains retroactive adjustments based on an internal Revenue Service audit adjustment of the original investment Tax Credit calculated in 1983 when the OBO Tarriff was established. The related effects on investment Tax Credit amortization (straight-line and additional), income taxes, additional depreciation and interest on over/under recovery have been reflected in June 1995 projected expenses.

⁽¹⁾ Retroactive adjustment to reduce income tax expense by \$36,015.
(2) Retroactive adjustment to reduce additional depreciation by \$265,819.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

Document No. 6 Page 1 of 1 CALCULATION OF OIL BACKOUT INTEREST PROVISION

April 1995 through September 1995

\$17.508 \$4.476 \$885,715 904.777 \$1,714,882 \$1,790,482 6.000% 6.000% 12 000% 6,0003 0.500% September 881.428 \$4.287 \$833,454 \$857.441 6.000% 0.500% 6000% 6.0009 12,0003 August 829.647 \$1 522 988 6,000% 0.500% 23.807 \$761.494 6,000% \$693,341 6.000% 12 000% ¥ \$1,629 (1) 691,712 \$1046290 \$523.145 6.070% 6.035% 0.503% \$354,578 6.000% 12,020% 905 352.953 \$5.0.154 2201223 \$1625 \$289,201 6.070% 6.070% 5 0 7 0 % 2005.0 121409 Actual \$375,548 287,517 \$663.065 23153 6.120% \$1584 6.070% 12,190% 6.095% 0.508% Actual for overrecoveries Document 4, Line 6 Document 4, Line 8 Line 4x Line 9 Lines 1+2 Lines 5 + 6 Line 8/12 Une 7/2 Lhe 3/2 First Day of Subsequent Month Monthly Average Interest Rate Total Beginning and Ending Interest Rate Beginning True-up Amount Monthly Interest Provision Average True-up Amount Ending True-up Amount Before Interest Total True-up Amount Average Interest Rate First Day of Month Interest Rate -Interest Rate -S S œ. ø 10

(1) Retroactive adjustment to reduce interest on overfunder recovery by \$1,002. See note on Document 5.

EXHIBIT NO. _____

DOCKET NO. 950001-EI

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(WNC/EAT-3)

SUBMITTED FOR FILING 6/23/95

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY GANNON CONVERSION PROJECT COMPARISON OF PROJECTED PAYOFF WITH ORIGINAL ESTIMATE AS OF MAY 1995

DOCKET NO. 950001-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(WNC/EAT-3)
DOC UMENT NO. 1
PAGE 1 of 1

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
OR BACKOUT VARIANCE ANALYSIS
COMPARISON OF PROJECTED PAYOFF WITH ORIGINAL ESTIMATE
AS OF MAY 1905

Document No 1 Page 1 of 1

			3	ONE ANSOCIA	AS OF MAY 1995	v 1865									
5 8	Description .	Actual 1983	Actual 1984	Actual 1985	Actual 1900	Actual 1982	Actual 1900	Actual 1969	Actual 1990	Actual 1981	Actual 1992	Actual 1903	Actual 1994	186	
- 11 11	Straight Line Depreciation Current Estimate Original Estimate	12,620	5,441	27.748	\$4.73	27.045	7,061	7,016	7,015	7,015	810,7	7,015	7,016	210,5	
•	Variance	62.203	6433	8	6223	G.000	6729-0	GE239	GANG	17,015	\$7.018	\$7.015	\$7,010	\$7.015	
400	Cost of Capital Current Estimate Original Estimate	25.03	15,657	\$7,171 \$12.658	829,628	14.24	\$11,718	10,674	15,447	53,689 53	17.23	200,18	M.134	209/15	
	Variance	033400	02.500	G85407	GAGTD	07.653	0.5.231)	G1.8373	\$1.197	11.699	1223	20018	NT 13	27.62	
	Noome Teas Compre Edinate Original Edinate	6189	62280	62.567) 87.823	See as	ps.70	86.051	(\$649) \$4.622	(520,12) (21,025)	(1381)	200	(5624)	(ACDA)	61,784) 80	
12	. Variance	63.200	GROSS	G10.380	G10.402	68.150	027.400	655270	622.500	63811	66159	62626	5620	61.789	
222	13. Taxes Other Than income 14. Current Estimate 15. Original Estimate	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	£ª	2 3	11,274	702	9852 CSI	2072	80.53	25.28	85 38	995	200	3 3	
=	3. Variance	9	1119	2012	\$1.274	2004	2500	\$2,00	1760	1223	2002	2002	2862	2450	
550	Operation & Mainter Current Estimate Original Estimate	S134 \$730	\$1,108 E211	12,322	\$3,675	13,658	13,750 11,214	\$1,550	23,640	512.512	13,684 20	85,789 28	\$4,074 25	829,23	
K	2. Variance	0000	220	2440	\$2,789	\$2.047	2445	\$2,130	\$2,093	22.512	\$3,564	\$3.709	\$4.074	22,628	
222	5 Revenue Taxes 2 Current Estimate 3. Original Estimate	1713	3 22	22 1797	0252	\$500	2 444	3 22	325	2 3	2 2	2 3	23	33	
7	4. Variance	0.1713	(2323)	(5451)	6570	\$508	6440	6356	6253	9	9	g	g	9	
нин	25 Revenue Requirements 26 Current Estimate 27. Organal Estimate	\$1,118	\$20,605 \$20,484	115,501	\$20,589	\$17,360	117,289	\$17,362	115,845	\$14,563	13,061	108,112	\$12,087	167,012	
K	8 Variance	68.7511	G10.079	G15.065	G15.2011	G14.833	0.00.01.0	05,389	2380	\$14.593	\$12.061	111.801	\$12.087	\$10,731	
MMM	29 Fuel Savings 30 Current Estimate 31. Original Estimate	14.050 13.201	520,142	535.339 E40.256	\$4,292	\$14,193	11.528	\$15,668	\$20,196	(\$502) \$104.983	102.10	(5827) 811.2118	\$106.215	24.00 23.	
ĸ	2 Variance	\$7.00	G8.080	610.918	G61.437	d51.00D	0.00,0940	\$56,092	G75.800	6105.465	\$101.68g	G112.9433	0300300	Q7 840	
RAR	33 Additional Depreciation 34 Current Estimate 35 Original Estimate	2 2 3	10,601	52,225	\$7.059	725 111.112	30 \$18.440	11,677	11,250	\$2517) 20	22	23	22	(5.03) ES	
0	36 Variance	51.83	190.00	112852	047.730	G11.14D	618,440	630210	G10.190	02210	a	9	9	64333	
222	37 Accumulated Depreciation * 38 Current Estimate 39 Original Estimate	52.571 \$2.530	\$14,803	\$35,876	144.347	151,300	178,401	\$118,322	\$17,468	1140,722	\$140,722	1140,722	\$100.013	\$109.585 \$146.722	
4	40 Vanence	6246	10.207	\$118.179	\$11.005	0.0513	\$21.185	\$52.228	0.002340	G64.750	0.52.7403	650,725	049.708	637.1273	
**	Includes 10% provision for con	of rumoval (FPSC	SC Order No. 19573.	73, 1943A)											