

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Resolution of) DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
petition(s) to establish) ORDER NO. PSC-95-1421-PCO-TP
nondiscriminatory rates, terms,) ISSUED: November 22, 1995
and conditions for)
interconnection involving local)
exchange companies and)
alternative local exchange)
companies pursuant to Section)
364.162, Florida Statutes.)
_____)

ORDER REGARDING FURTHER PROCEEDINGS
AND ESTABLISHING PRELIMINARY LIST OF ISSUES

On August 30, 1995, the Order Establishing Procedure (Order) was issued in this docket in anticipation of the petitions the could be filed by eligible local exchange (LECs) or alternative local exchange companies (ALECs) pursuant to Section 364.162(3), Chapter 95-403, Laws of Florida. See Order No. PSC-95-1084-PCO-TP. The Order established procedural dates for petitions that were received by September 15, 1995. The Order also stated that those dates may be modified for late-filed petitions.

At this time, Teleport Communications Group, Inc. (Teleport), Continental Cablevision, Inc. (Continental), Metropolitan Fiber Systems of Florida, Inc. (MFS), MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc. (MCImetro), and Time Warner AxS of Florida, L.P. and Digital Media Partners (collectively Time Warner) have filed petitions requesting that the Commission establish nondiscriminatory rates, terms, and conditions for interconnection with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell). Also, Continental requests the same with regards to GTE Florida Incorporated (GTEFL), United Telephone Company of Florida (United) and Central Telephone Company of Florida (Centel).

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

11739 NOV 22 85

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

368

DISCOVERY

Unless authorized by the Prehearing Officer for good cause shown, all discovery for petitions involving Southern Bell shall be completed by January 8, 1996. All discovery for petitions involving GTEFL, United, and Centel shall be completed by March 7, 1996.

TESTIMONY DATES

950985(A) - Continental's petition

As set forth in Order No. PSC-95-1358-PCO-TP, issued November 2, 1995, the following dates have been established for the filing of testimony regarding Continental's petition to establish interconnection rates, terms and conditions with BellSouth:

- 1) Respondent's direct testimony, November 13, 1995
exhibits, proposed list of issues,
and provisions that have been agreed
upon and those issues that are
unresolved.
- 2) Intervenor's direct testimony November 13, 1995
and exhibits
- 3) Rebuttal testimony and November 27, 1995
exhibits

950985(B) - MFS's petition

The following dates have been established for the filing of testimony regarding MFS's petition to establish interconnection rates, terms and conditions with Southern Bell:

- 1) Respondent's direct testimony, November 27, 1995
exhibits, proposed list of issues,
and provisions that have been agreed
upon and those issues that are
unresolved.
- 2) Intervenor's direct testimony November 27, 1995
and exhibits
- 3) Rebuttal testimony and December 11, 1995
exhibits

950985(C) - MCImetro's petition

The following dates have been established for the filing of testimony regarding MCImetro's petition to establish interconnection rates, terms and conditions with Southern Bell:

- 1) Respondent's direct testimony, November 28, 1995
exhibits, proposed list of issues,
and provisions that have been agreed
upon and those issues that are
unresolved.
- 2) Intervenor's direct testimony November 28, 1995
and exhibits
- 3) Rebuttal testimony and December 12, 1995
exhibits

950985(D) - Time Warner's petition

The following dates have been established for the filing of testimony regarding Time Warner's petition to establish interconnection rates, terms and conditions with Southern Bell:

- 1) Respondent's direct testimony, December 4, 1995
exhibits, proposed list of issues,
and provisions that have been agreed
upon and those issues that are
unresolved.
- 2) Intervenor's direct testimony December 4, 1995
and exhibits
- 3) Rebuttal testimony and December 18, 1995
exhibits

Other procedural dates regarding interconnection petitions involving Southern Bell:

The remaining procedural dates regarding interconnection petitions between Teleport, Continental, MFS, MCImetro, and Time Warner and Southern Bell are set forth below:

- 4) Prehearing Statements December 11, 1995
- 5) Prehearing Conference December 22, 1995

- | | |
|------------|-----------------------|
| 6) Hearing | January 10 - 13, 1996 |
| 7) Briefs | January 29, 1996 |

Preliminary list of issues for hearing beginning January 10, 1996

A workshop was held on November 16, 1996 for the purpose of establishing a preliminary list of issues for the hearing beginning January 10, 1996 as provided in Attachment A to this Order. Although a prehearing conference was conducted for the issues involving Teleport's petition, Teleport does not object to the changes to the issues that have been established Order No. PSC-95-1315-PHO-TP, issued October 27, 1995.

950985(A) - Continental's petition

If, pursuant to the status report Continental will file on December 15, 1995, Continental requests the Commission to reschedule the hearing to establish interconnection rates, terms and conditions between Continental and GTEFL, United and Centel, the following dates will apply:

- | | |
|---|-----------------------|
| 1) <u>Respondent's</u> direct testimony, exhibits, proposed list of issues, and provisions that have been agreed upon and those issues that are unresolved. | January 5, 1996 |
| 2) <u>Intervenor's</u> direct testimony and exhibits | January 5, 1996 |
| 3) Prehearing Statements | January 26, 1996 |
| 4) Rebuttal testimony and exhibits | January 26, 1996 |
| 5) Prehearing Conference | March 1, 1996 |
| 6) Hearing | March 11 and 12, 1996 |
| 7) Briefs | March 20, 1996 |

ORDER NO. PSC-95-1421-PCO-TP
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
PAGE 5

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer, that Order No. PSC-95-1084-PCO-TP has been modified to address the petitions filed by Teleport, Continental, MFS, and MCI Metro as discussed in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that the requirements of Order No. PSC-95-1084-PCO-TP shall remain effective for all subsequently filed petitions unless modified by order of the Commission or Prehearing Officer. It is further

ORDERED that the preliminary issues for the hearing beginning January 10, 1996 are those set forth in Attachment A.

By ORDER of Commissioner J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer, this 22nd day of November, 1995.


J. TERRY DEASON, Commissioner and
Prehearing Officer

(S E A L)

DLC/RVE

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2)

ORDER NO. PSC-95-1421-PCO-TP
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
PAGE 6

reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

ATTACHMENT A

PRELIMINARY LIST OF ISSUES
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
HEARING BEGINNING JANUARY 10, 1996

For purposes of the following issues, ALECs refer to Teleport, Continental, MFS, MCImetro, and Time Warner/DMP.

1. What are the appropriate rate structures, interconnection rates, or other compensation arrangements for the exchange of local and toll traffic between the respective ALECs and Southern Bell?
2. If the Commission sets rates, terms, and conditions for interconnection between the respective ALECs and Southern Bell, should Southern Bell tariff the interconnection rate(s) or other arrangements?
3. What are the appropriate technical and financial arrangements which should govern interconnection between the respective ALECs and Southern Bell for the delivery of calls originated and/or terminated from carriers not directly connected to the respective ALECs's network?
4. What are the appropriate technical and financial requirements for the exchange of intraLATA 800 traffic which originates from the respective ALECs' customer and terminates to an 800 number served by or through Southern Bell?
- 5a. What are the appropriate technical arrangements for the interconnection of the respective ALECs' network to Southern Bell's 911 provisioning network such that the respective ALECs' customers are ensured the same level of 911 service as they would receive as a customer of Southern Bell?
- 5b. What procedures should be in place for the timely exchange and updating of the respective ALECs customer information for inclusion in appropriate E911 databases?
6. What are the appropriate technical and financial requirements for operator handled traffic flowing between the respective ALECs and Southern Bell including busy line verification and emergency interrupt services?

7. What are the appropriate arrangements for the provision of directory assistance services and data between the respective ALECs and Southern Bell?
8. Under what terms and conditions should Southern Bell be required to list the respective ALECs' customers in its white and yellow pages directories and to publish and distribute these directories to the respective ALECs' customers?
9. What are the appropriate arrangements for the provision of billing and collection services between the respective ALECs and Southern Bell, including billing and clearing credit card, collect, third party and audiotext calls?
10. What arrangements are necessary to ensure the provision of CLASS/LASS services between the respective ALECs and Southern Bell's networks?
11. What are the appropriate arrangements for physical interconnection between the respective ALECs and Southern Bell, including trunking and signalling arrangements?
12. To the extent not addressed in the number portability docket, Docket No. 950737-TP, what are the appropriate financial and operational arrangements for interexchange calls terminated to a number that has been "ported" to the respective ALECs.
13. What arrangements, if any, are necessary to address other operational issues?
14. What arrangements, if any, are appropriate for the assignment of NXX codes to the respective ALECs?