## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Investigation of rates of Marion Utilities, Inc. in Marion County for possible overearnings.

) DOCKET NO. 950170-WS ) ORDER NO. PSC-95-1193A-FOF-WS ) ISSUED: November 30, 1995

## AMENDATORY ORDER

On September 22, 1995, this Commission issued Order No. PSC-95-1193-FOF-WS, approving a settlement proposal submitted by Marion Utilities, Inc. (Marion). Included in the Order was a copy of the settlement proposal. The settlement proposal totalled eight pages. Only five of the eight pages were actually included in the Order.

Included as Attachment A to this Amendatory Order are the remaining three pages. Order No. PSC-95-1193-FOF-WS, is hereby amended to include the remaining three pages of Marion's settlement proposal.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida iblic Service Commission that Order No. PSC-95-1193-FOF-WS, is ' .eby amended as set forth in the body of this Amendatory Order. : is further

ORDERED that Attachment A is incorporated herein by reference. It is further

ORDERED that Order No. PSC-95-1193-FOF-WS, is reaffirmed in all other respects.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission, this <u>30th</u> day of <u>November</u>, <u>1995</u>.

3. Bayo

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director Division of Records and Reporting

(SEAL)

ΤV

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

ORDER NO. PSC-95-1193A-FOF-WS DOCKET NO. 950170-WS PAGE 2

Tim Vaccaro August 14, 1995 Page 3 of 8

- 5. Plant in service water should be increased by \$3,736 to reflect the combined effect of a new vehicle purchase and the retirement of the old vehicle (Audit disclosure number 4). Corresponding adjustments should also be made to decrease accumulated depreciation by \$4,847 and to increase depreciation expense and insurance expense by \$284 and \$131, respectively.
- 6. Plant in service water should be decreased by \$8,200 to remove an excess vehicle (Audit disclosure number 5). Corresponding adjustments should also be made to decrease accumulated depreciation and depreciation expense by \$2,050 and \$1,640, respectively. In addition, corresponding adjustments should also be made to decrease insurance expense by \$870 and registration expense by \$33.
- The following adjustments to utility plant in service should be included only on a prospective basis:
  - a. Plant in service water should be increased by \$159,928 to include proforma adjustments to plant on a going forward basis. In addition, plant in service should be decreased by \$42,870 to record retirements of plant associated with the proforma adjustments. Corresponding adjustments should also be made to reduce accumulated depreciation by \$32,283 associated with the plant retirements. The adjustment for the test year depreciation is included in the calculation for the change in water depreciation rates (see item number 11).
  - b. Plant in service wastewater should be increased by \$17,401 to include proforma adjustments to plant on a going forward basis. The adjustment for test year depreciation is included in the calculation for the change in wastewater depreciation rates (see item number 12).
- Land and land rights water should be adjusted as stated in Audit exception number 1, as follows:
  - a. An adjustment should be made to remove engineering survey costs of \$990 from the land account. In addition, plant in service should be increased by this same amount to reclassify the survey costs into the transmission and distribution lines account. A corresponding adjustment should also be made to increase accumulated depreciation by \$179. The adjustment to record test year depreciation for the plant increase is incorporated in the adjustment for Audit exception number 9.
  - b. The land account should be decreased by \$8,515 for additions that were incorrectly recorded on the books.
  - c. The land account should be increased by \$24,804 to reflect utility land never recorded on the books.

ORDER NO. PSC-95-1193A-FOF-WS DOCKET NO. 950170-WS PAGE 3

Tim Vaccaro August 14, 1995 Page 5 of 8

- 15. CIAC wastewater should be increased by \$32,891 to reflect total CIAC of \$159,141. CIAC was originally recorded on the company's books net of amortization. The company has been calculating yearly amortization expense using the net amount.
- The utility's amortization rate for CIAC wastewater should be adjusted as set forth in Rule 25-30.140, Florida Administrative Code (Audit exception number 16).
  - a. In calculating the refund amount, an adjustment should be made to increase accumulated amortization of CIAC and CIAC amortization expense by \$44,057 and \$2,822, respectively, in order to reflect the adjustment made to CIAC wastewater (item number 15 above) and to adjust the amortization rate.
  - b. On a prospective basis, the appropriate adjustment should be to increase accumulated amortization of CIAC and CIAC amortization expense by \$43,714 and \$2,479, respectively, in order to reflect the adjustment made to CIAC wastewater (item number 15 above) and to adjust the amortization rate. The difference is due to a change in the composite depreciation rates calculated when the proforma adjustments are included.
- 17. Net Debit Deferred Taxes in the amount of \$59,150 should be included in the water rate base for the deferred income tax asset applicable to CIAC.
- 18. Working capital allowance was calculated using the balance sheet approach, pursuant to Rule 25-30.433, Florida Administrative Code, which states that this is the appropriate method for Class A utilities:
  - a. For refund purposes, the appropriate working capital allowance is zero.
  - b. On a prospective basis, the appropriate working capital allowance is \$702 and \$21 for water and wastewater, respectively. The positive balances are the result of including the average unamortized balances calculated over four years for rate case expenses and a deferred debit for a proforma expense.
- 19. The appropriate test year rate base should be:
  - a. For purposes of calculating the refund amount, the appropriate test year rate base should be \$675,258 and (\$91) for water and wastewater, respectively.
  - b. On a going forward basis, the appropriate test year rate base should be \$765,344 and \$8,316 for water and wastewater, respectively.

ORDER NO. PSC-95-1193A-FOF-WS DOCKET NO. 950170-WS PAGE 4

Tim Vaccaro August 14, 1995 Page 7 of 8

27.

The following expense adjustments should be included on a prospective basis only:

- Operation and maintenance expenses water should be increased by \$6,548 to . incorporate expense increases on a prospective basis. These expense adjustments should be allocated in accordance with the utility's common expenses (see item 28 below).
- Operation and maintenance expenses wastewater should be increased by \$6,045 to b. incorporate expense increases on a prospective basis.
- 28. The company does not allocate common expenses to the wastewater system or to the nonregulated wastewater system; therefore, these expenses should be allocated based on number of connections:
  - In calculating the refund amount, operation and maintenance expenses water should 2. be decreased by \$4,654 (Audit exception number 14). In addition, operation and maintenance expenses for wastewater and nonregulated wastewater should be increased by \$2,503 and \$2,151, respectively.
  - On a prospective basis, operation and maintenance expenses water should be b. decreased by \$4,874 (Audit exception number 14). In addition, operation and maintenance expenses for wastewater and nonregulated wastewater should be increased by \$2,695 and \$2,179, respectively.

## **REVENUE REQUIREMENT**

- 29. The appropriate revenue requirement should be:
  - In calculating the refund amount, the appropriate revenue requirement for the 2. water system is \$779,834, which results in a decrease in revenues of \$83,826. or a 9.71% decrease.
  - On a prospective basis, the appropriate revenue requirement for the water system is b. \$795.038, which results in a decrease in revenues of \$68,622, or an 7.95% decrease.

## RATES

- The total amount of overearnings for the test year ending June 30, 1994 equals \$83,826 or 30. 10.07% of operating revenues, excluding miscellaneous service charge revenues.
- The utility should refund overearnings totaling 10.07% of water service revenues, which are 31. being held subject to refund, pursuant to Order number PSC-95-0424-FOF-WS, issued March 29, 1995. These refunds will be made as required by Rule 25-30.360. Florida Administrative Code.