BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Environmental Cost

Recovery Clause

DOCKET NO. 960007-EI

PROCEEDINGS:

PREHEARING CONFERENCE

BEFORE:

J. TERRY DEASON

PLACE:

Betty Easley Conference

Center

4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida

TIME:

Commenced at 10:46 a.m. Concluded at 11:05 a.m.

DATE:

Monday, February 12, 1996

REPORTED BY:

SARAH B. GILROY, CP, RPR Notary Public, State of

Florida at Large.

BUREAU OF REPORTING RECEIVED 2-15-96

APPEARANCES:

VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, ESQUIRE, McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davison, Rief & Bakas, 117 South Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

JEFFREY A. STONE, ESQUIRE, Beggs & Lane, 700 Blount Building, 3 West Garden Street, Post Office Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida 32576, appearing on behalf of Gulf Power Company.

MATTHEW M. CHILDS, ESQUIRE, Steel, Hector & Davis,
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee, Florida 32301,
appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.

JOHN ROGER HOWE, ESQUIRE, Deputy Public Counsel,
Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West
Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400,
appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

VICKI D. JOHNSON, ESQUIRE, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

PROCEEDINGS

(The proceedings commenced at 10:46 a.m.)

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. We will move into the 07 docket. Are there any preliminary matters in the 07?

MS. JOHNSON: Staff is not aware of any.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. We will address the prehearing order. Section one, case background; section two, confidential information; section three, prefiled testimony; section four, order of witnesses.

MR. CHILDS: Commissioner, there were a couple of number differences with Staff here and also later on in the order. We have given them to Staff. It's just glitches in the way the draft was prepared.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: So you've given those to Staff?

MR. CHILDS: That's right.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Section five, basic positions; section six, issues and positions. We will begin with issue one.

MS. JOHNSON: Staff has a correction for FPL. Our position should be 583,626 over-recovery. And Staff is in agreement with both FPL and Gulf on that issue.

MR. HOWE: Public Counsel takes no position.

MS. KAUFMAN: FIPUG takes no position.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Show then that 1 issue one is a proposed stipulation. Issue two. Does 2 Staff is have a position on issue two? 3 MS. JOHNSON: Staff has no changes. 4 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Issue number three, 5 any changes? Staff takes no position; is that correct? 6 MS. JOHNSON: Can I have just a moment? 7 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Sure. 8 MS. JOHNSON: I have a correction with issue two. 9 Staff's position with respect to Gulf is 669,969 10 under-recovery. We're in agreement with the company's 11 numbers. 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: So you're in agreement with 13 Gulf, but there is still disagreement with FPL? 14 MS. JOHNSON: That's correct. 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does Public Counsel take no 16 17 position on Gulf? MR. HOWE: We will take no position with respect to 18 Gulf, yes, sir. 19 MS. KAUFMAN: No position. 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: With respect to Gulf; is that 21 22 right? 23 MS. KAUFMAN: Yes. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Issue three? 24 MS. JOHNSON: Issue three, Staff's position should 25

be corrected to reflect, refund of 30,760. And, again, 1 we're in agreement with the company's number for Gulf. 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Public Counsel take no 3 position with reference to Gulf? 4 MR. HOWE: Yes, sir. 5 MS. KAUFMAN: Same for FIPUG. 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Issue four? 7 MS. JOHNSON: Staff's position for issue four for 8 Gulf Power Company should read 5,928,949. 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: So there is agreement with 10 Gulf? 11 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: And Public Counsel takes no 13 position with reference to Gulf? 14 MR. HOWE: That's correct. 15 16 MS. KAUFMAN: Same. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Same with FIPUG. Very well. 17 Issue five, it appears that issue can be stipulated; is 18 that correct? 19 MS. JOHNSON: That's correct. 20 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Issue six likewise; is that 21 22 correct? MS. JOHNSON: Yes. That's correct. 23 MR. HOWE: Public Counsel would take no position on 24 issue six. 25

MS. KAUFMAN: Same for FIPUG. 1 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Issue seven. 2 3 MS. JOHNSON: Issue seven I have a correction from FPL. What's shown under issue seven for FPL is actually 4 5 FPL's position with respect to issue eight. FPL's position for issue seven should be, agree with Staff. 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm sorry now. For issue 7 seven what you have listed for FPL's position is 8 incorrect. And what should be listed is that FPL agrees 9 with Staff's position? 10 MS. JOHNSON: That's correct. 11 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Mr. Childs, I 12 assume that's correct? 13 MR. CHILDS: That's correct. 14 MR. HOWE: Public Counsel takes no position. 15 MS. KAUFMAN: FIPUG takes no position. 16 17 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Then issue seven can be shown as a stipulation. Issue number eight. 18 MS. JOHNSON: Issue eight for FPL, the numbers that 19 are shown under issue seven, it's my understanding that 20 that is FPL's position for issue eight. 21 MR. CHILDS: Correct. 22 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Does Staff have a 23 position on issue eight? 24 MS. JOHNSON: Yes. Staff's position for issue 25

eight are the same factors that are shown under Gulf's 1 position. We don't have a position at this time for FPL 2 pending resolution of the company-specific issue. 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Public Counsel take no 4 position with reference to Gulf? 5 MR. HOWE: That's correct. 6 MS. KAUFMAN: Same for FIPUG. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Issue number 8 nine? Does Florida Power & Light have a position? 9 Mr. Childs, do you have a position on issue nine? 10 MR. CHILDS: Yes. We agree with Staff, but we 11 would begin with the next true-up filing in May of '96. 12 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is that Staff's 13 understanding? 14 MS. JOHNSON: That's Staff's understanding, and 15 Staff is in agreement with that. The wording of Staff's 16 position can be revised to reflect our agreement to 17 18 defer it. COMMISSIONER DEASON: You will just incorporate 19 that change; is that correct? 20 MS. JOHNSON: That's correct. 21 22 MR. HOWE: No position. 23 MS. KAUFMAN: No position. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Issue number 24 25 ten.

MR. STONE: Commissioner -- go ahead, Ms. Johnson.

MS. JOHNSON: It's my understanding that Staff -
Staff handed out a position for issue ten. It's very

lengthy. A copy was provided to the court reporter.

But it's my understanding that the company is not in agreement with our wording. So we still need to work out the wording of that issue to see if we can come to some resolution prior to the hearing.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Go ahead, Mr. Stone.

MR. STONE: It is my understanding that we are in agreement, that there are no dollars affected by this issue, in terms of this filing.

And our concern with the wording relates to the paragraph that seems to imply that updates of studies are not recoverable through the clause. And we're not certain that that was a policy statement that's been made in the past.

And it is our understanding that that language was an attempt to paraphrase the FERC accounts that are listed later in Staff's position. We would have a greater measure of comfort and ability to stipulate to this issue if that paragraph were omitted from the position and leave that issue until it becomes ripe.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does Staff have a response to that concern?

1 2

3 4

5 6

8

9

7

10

11 12

13 14

> 15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

MS. JOHNSON: Staff believes that we can reach agreement with that. We just wanted to take some time to sit down with the company to work out the wording.

MR. STONE: That would be fine.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I would invite then all the parties to -- that wish to be involved in that discussion, and to the extent there can be an agreement, it will be shown in the prehearing order. To the extent that there is not agreement, I guess that the positions as stated would have to be stated, and we will discuss the differences to the extent necessary.

Anything further on issue ten? Issue 11A.

MS. JOHNSON: Issue 11A, I just wanted to make Commissioner Deason aware that today FP&L has made available certain documents that Staff has not had time to review, and that our position is pending review of those documents that we anticipate doing today, and if possible, if we could come to some resolution of that, we would request that it can be reflected in the prehearing order.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Likewise, FIPUG and Public Counsel to the extent they wish will be involved in those discussions as well. Issue 11B. There are Staff positions that have been provided for 11B and 11C; is that correct?

MS. JOHNSON: That's correct. 1 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does FPL have a position for 2 11B and 11C? 3 MR. CHILDS: I think -- I believe we're in 4 agreement with Staff. 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Do you wish to confirm that, 6 or do you wish to have the order reflect that you are in 7 8 agreement? MR. CHILDS: It should reflect that we are in 9 agreement. 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Public Counsel? 11 MR. HOWE: No position. 12 MS. KAUFMAN: No position. 13 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Show then that issues 11B and 14 11C can be shown as stipulations. Are there any other 15 issues in the 07 docket? Very well. 16 Section seven addresses the exhibit list. Changes 17 or corrections to the list? Section eight addresses 18 proposed stipulations. What is the status of Gulf's 19 witnesses in this 07 docket? 20 MR. STONE: Commissioner Deason, again, with regard 21 to the numbers themselves that came out of issue ten, we 22 appear to be in complete agreement with Staff. It's a 23 question of the wording of that -- of Staff's position. 24 COMMISSIONER DEASON: I guess my question is, is 25

that of such a nature that it would necessitate having witnesses appear? It seems to me that it would not.

MR. STONE: I would agree. If anything, if there is a disagreement, it may be an argument between counsel, and it may be resolved in that fashion without witnesses.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask you this: Do any parties see the need for Gulf witnesses to appear at the hearing 07 docket?

MS. JOHNSON: No.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Hearing nothing further, I take it then that the Gulf witnesses can be excused and that testimony will be inserted into the record along with the accompanying exhibits, and cross-examination will be waived.

MS. JOHNSON: I would add that the only outstanding issue for FP&L is issue 11A, and that affects the calculations in issues two, three and four.

MR. CHILDS: Commissioner, if I could observe on that, I believe there were a number of issues as we went through where Staff doesn't have a position because of this outstanding issue 11A.

I believe that all of those issues are simply mathematical fallout and wonder if it isn't appropriate to simply agree that even if -- and I think we will

satisfactorily address 11A before hearing.

But if we don't, on that slight chance I would like to have it understood that it's a mathematical computation, so that any adjustment necessary would not require the witnesses to travel here for a hearing.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: So it's your proposal that even if the language concerning 11A can't be agreed to, it's not of such a nature that it would necessitate the appearance of your witnesses?

MR. CHILDS: It may necessitate witnesses on that issue, but not witnesses on calculations, if there is any difference between them.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does Staff agree with that, as far as the fallout? The fallout calculations would still be a fallout, and it would not be necessary for those witnesses to appear?

MS. JOHNSON: Staff agrees. Staff just notes that if we are able to come to agreement, we would need new schedules from the company, schedules showing the calculation of the fallout.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is that your understanding as well, Mr. Childs?

MR. CHILDS: My understanding was that if there was a disagreement, we would need to schedule it. But we can make sure that we calculate it either way to show

what the adjustment is. COMMISSIONER DEASON: So if necessary you will be providing that information? MR. CHILDS: Absolutely. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Anything further then concerning the 07 docket? MS. JOHNSON: Staff is not aware of anything. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Show then the 07 docket is concluded. Did we leave anything pending in any of the other dockets? I think Mr. Horton was going to confirm a number. Anything else? If not, this prehearing conference is adjourned. Thank you all. (The proceedings were concluded at 11:05 a.m.)

1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	
5	I, SARAH B. GILROY, CP, RPR
6	
7	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Prehearing Conference on
8	Docket No. 960007-EI was heard by the Florida Public Service
9	Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is further
10	
11	CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said
12	proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct
13	supervision; and that this transcript, consisting of 13 pages,
14	constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said
15	proceedings.
16	
17	DATED this 15th day of February, 1996.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	SARAH B. GILROY
24	Registered Professional Reporter
25	