FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MEMORANDUM
April 4, 1996


CRITICAL DATES: NONE
SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: I:\PSC\CMU\WP\960359.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

- Pro Telecom, Inc. is a provider of pay telephone service and was certified by this Commission July 14, 1987. According to local exchange company records, Pro Telecom owns and operates approximately 426 pay telephones in Florida, of which approximately 157 are located in the Tallahassee area. Pro Telecom reported gross operating revenues of $\$ 63,797.83$ on its latest Regulatory Assessment Fee Return for the period ending June 30, 1995. As a provider of pay telephone service in Florida, pro Telecom is subject to the rules and regulations of the Florida Public Service Commission (Commission) and has previously paid a $\$ 100$ fine for its failure to file an annual report and respond to staff inquiries (Docket No. 910334-TC, Order No. 24521-B).
- From 1994 through February, 1996, staff performed routine service evaluations on pay telephones operated by Pro Telecom and found numerous violations of the Commission's service standards.
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- Prior to staff opening a show cause docket, Pro Telecom contacted staff and expressed concern about the apparent violations and requested a meeting regarding corrective measures it had begun implementing.
- Staff met with Pro Telecom and its attorneys twice to discuss the violations and corrective measures. Although it disputes several violations, pro Telecom submitted its proposed settlement offer of $\$ 2,000$ and agreed to take the necessary corrective action (Attachment 1). Therefore, staff believes the following recommendation is appropriate.
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## DISCUBSION OF IBSUES

I8sue 1: Should the Commission accept the settlement offer proposed by Pro Telecom, Inc. to resolve the apparent violations of Rule 25-24.515, Florida Administrative Code, Service Standards, and Rule $25-4.043$, F.A.C., Response Requirement?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes.
STAFP ANALYBIB: In recognition of its apparent violation of numerous service standards, Pro Telecom submitted the following settlement offer:

- Bring all of its pay telephones into compliance with Rule 25-24.515 (13), Florida Administrative Code, Wheelchair Accessibility, within 90 days of the date the Commission approves this offer of settlement;
- Bring all of its pay telephones into compliance with Rule 25-24.515 (7), F.A.C., IntraLATA Routing, within 90 days of the date the commission approves this offer of settlement;
- Temporarily delay installing new pay telephones until all existing pay telephones are in compliance;
- Provide a written response to all staff notices within 15 days of the date of the Commission inquiry;
- Pay $\$ 2,000$ to the Commission, which will be forwarded to the office of the State Treasurer for deposit in the General Revenue Fund.

Staff believes the terms of the settlement agreement as summarized in this recommendation are fair and reasonable. Staff intends to conduct follow-up inspections of Pro Telecom's pay telephones after the 90 days. Continued violation of the Commission's service standards may result in staff opening another docket to recommend additional action.
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IRSUE 2: Should this docket bo closed?
RECOMMENDATION: Yes, this docket should be closed with the approval of Issue 1 and remittance of the $\$ 2,000$ fine.

STAFF ANALYEIS: If the Commission accepts staff's recommendation in Issue 1 and upon remittance of the $\$ 2,000$ fine by pro Telecom, Inc., this docket may be closed.
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March 6, 1996

Mr. Robert Pierson<br>Florida Public Service Commission<br>Division of Legal Services<br>2540 Shumard Onk Boulovard<br>Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Protolocom, Inc.
Dear Mr. Pierson:
I am writing on behalf of Protelocom, Inc. Thls letter will confirm my conversation with Staff earlier today, during which we discussed further Protelecort, Inc.'s response to certain notices of violations, and Staff's position regarding thern. As we have stated, Protelecom, Inc. respectfully disagroes with Staff concorning aspects of the matters that have been the aubjects of meetinge and correspondende between Staff and Protelecom rocently. Other items are direotly attributable to dolays in deployment of equipment occusioned by the lingering impact of Hurricane Opal gn Protelecom's oporations in Panama City, a factor that we ask Staff and Commisslonets to take into account. However, In recognition that certain Items of non-compliande with Commission rules occurred, and in the Interest of settiement, Protolecom confirms its offer to settie these matters by payment of a fine in the amount of \$2000. Protelecom would also commit to correcting all known ltems of noncompliance with handicap access criterla, and to oomplete its doployment (now under way) of software and hardware needed to comply with the requirement that intraLATA
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calls be directed to the LEC without utilizing the "spleshback" methodology, within 90 deys of the date the Commlesion approves this offer of settioment.

Yours truly,

JAM/jai
cc: Mr. Michael Jett
Mr. Richard W. Moore
Mr. Alan Taylor
Mr. Rick Moses

