1	WITNESSES	
2	NAME	PAGE NO.
3	BOB MARPLE	
4	Direct Statement	321
5	JACK T. CARALL	
6	Direct Statement	325
7	ROBERT FORBES	
8	Direct Statement	328
9	ED SCARBOROUGH	
10	Direct Statement	330
11	NANCY DANCE	
12	Direct Statement	333
13	CANDY MONROE	
14	Direct Statement	334
15	WALTER MONROE	
16	Direct Statement	337
17	COMMISSIONER JACK NUGENT	
18	Direct Statement	340
19	DAVID HERTLE	
20	Direct Statement	347
21	DONALD A. GREEN	
22	Direct Statement	351
23	JOHN PETTY	
24	Direct Statement	353
25		

1	WITNESSES CONTINUED:
2	HARRY GILDRED
3	Direct Statement 355
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

(Hearing reconvened at 6:30 p.m.)

(Transcript follows in sequence from Volume 2.)

attention please? The hour has arrived to begin the evening customer hearing. I ask that you take your places. And with that we will call this session to order.

And while it may not be necessary, since we've already been in hearing all day today, we are going to go through some preliminaries, that being we're going to have the notice read, and after the notice is read I'm going to ask all of the attorneys to introduce themselves to the audience so that they know who the players are that are involved in this hearing. Notice read, please.

MR. EDMONDS: Pursuant to notice, this time and place has been designated for a hearing in Docket No. 951056-WS, application for rate increase in Flagler County by Palm Coast Utility Corporation.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: If you could please stand, or at least indicate who is speaking and who you are representing.

MR. GATLIN: My name is B. Kenneth Gatlin.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

With me is Wayne L. Schiefelbein of the law firm of Gatlin, Woods and Carlson, Tallahassee, Florida, representing the applicant, Palm Coast Utility Corporation.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you.

MR. HADEED: My name is Al Hadeed. I'm the county attorney Flagler County, and appearing with me as co-counsel is Mr. Arthur Sirkin, a resident of Palm Coast.

MR. REILLY: Steve Reilly with the Office of Public Counsel on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

MR. MELSON: Richard Melson with the law firm of Hopping, Green, Sams & Smith representing the Dunes Community Development District.

MR. EDMONDS: Scott Edmonds and Bobbie Reyes on behalf of the Commission Staff.

number of other Staff personnel that have been here at the hearing. Some of those have been excused to prepare for tomorrow's continuation of the technical hearings. However, there are still some other Staff members present. I'd ask for them to stand. There may be some that greeted you in the back of the auditorium. These persons are here to assist you. If

you have any questions you want to direct to a Staff member directly, please feel free to do so. Thank you.

Let me introduce myself. My name is Terry

Deason. I'm a member of the Public Service

Commission. I'll be chairing the hearing this

evening. With me on this panel of Commissioners to my

right is Diane Kiesling, and to my left is

Commissioner Julia Johnson.

I want to welcome you to this hearing this evening. As I indicated earlier, we started this hearing today at 10 a.m. with customer testimony, some three and a half hours of customer testimony, if I'm not mistaken. We then took a lunch recess and then we proceeded into what we refer to as the technical phase of the hearing where we are taking the expert witnesses on behalf of the Utility company and the various intervenors in this case. For those of you that came in during that process there was cross examination taking place of one of the company's expert witnesses.

After we conclude the customer hearing this evening, we will recess for the evening. We will reconven tomorrow morning at 8:45 to continue the technical phase of the hearing. If anyone cares to

join us at that time you're certainly welcome and are encouraged to come and to listen to the cross examination of the various expert witnesses.

The purpose of this hearing this evening is to give you, the customers of this utility, an opportunity to express your concerns directly to the Commission concerning the request that has been filed with the Commission for an increase in rates.

A lot of the background information that I think you would find helpful is contained in the green information sheet that is available to you in the rear of the auditorium. Hopefully, most of you picked that up as you entered the auditorium.

While I'm speaking about the green information sheet, let me indicate to you that the last page of this handout is designed to be detached and that is for those individuals who do not wish to give a formal statement here on the record this evening, to nevertheless provide some comments to the Commission. Those comments will not become part of the official record, but nevertheless they will be entered into the correspondence side of this docket. That is another opportunity which is being made available to you.

The procedure that we're going to follow

this evening is to swear in all of the witnesses who wish to provide testimony on the record. This is necessary so that your testimony will become part of the record. This is an official hearing of the Public Service Commission. Its being recorded by our court reporter and will become part of the record. Your testimony will become evidence upon which the Commission may rely upon making its decision in this cause.

Mr. Reilly of the Public Counsel's office will be calling members of the public forward to give their testimony. When he calls your name, we ask that you come forward to the microphone to my right, which is directly in front of the court reporter. We ask that you begin by giving us your name and your address, and if you think it would be helpful to the court reporter and to the rest of us, you may wish to spell your name so it will be accurate in the record.

After you give your name and your address, we ask that you proceed with your statement. We're not imposing any strict time limits on your statements, but we do ask that you be mindful that there are a number of people here who are waiting for their opportunity to address the Commission.

At the conclusion of your statement, wait

.

for just a moment because there may be some clarifying questions concerning your statement.

I believe that takes care of the preliminary matters, and with that I'm going to ask all members of the public who have signed up and wish to make a statement this evening to please stand and raise your right hand.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Where do you sign up?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: In the rear of the auditorium there's a yellow sign-up card that you can fill out and you can provide that to the personnel back there. Please raise your right hands.

(Witnesses sworn collectively.)

Thank you, please be seated. Mr. Reilly, you may call your first witness.

MR. REILLY: Okay. Before calling the first witness, we did have a man, James Tonges, who came by and could not -- who could not attend the 6:30 session but he has a little letter he would like to have entered into the correspondence side of the record.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: That would be find.

If you could provide that to the court reporter she'll see to it that it is entered on the correspondence side.

MR. REILLY: And this gentlemen handed me

his yellow form at the conclusion of the morning session so he could be the first to speak. So if he's still here, Bob Marple.

BOB MARPLE

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS MARPLE: My name is Bob Marple, like Agatha Christy, M-A-R-P-L-E, not O. I live at 3 Boxwood Lane, and have lived there for 13 years. During that time I've enjoyed the quality of water provided by PCUC. Being a golfer who goes all over Florida, I must say that the water quality here is better than the average.

I would like to give an interesting sidelight to begin with.

In February of 1941 Dr. Gillilan, a renowned chemical engineer of MIT gave a symposium on water at Franklin Institute in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. At that time he drew some graphs showing, and projecting, the cost of water. At the year 2000 he projected that water was going to become the most expensive commodity on the market. I think with this rate increase that I see here we're well on the way to reaching his

projections. I thought that was funny.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

I attended the previous rate hearing and I'm very pleased to see that none of the Commissioners that were on that hearing board are here at this time, at least I don't know of any who have been reappointed from the previous hearing. I think this gives us much more hope that we could have an equitable division. make this statement based on my 20 years experience as a Commissioner in Pennsylvania where I learned to read body language, and the body language of the last board gave me the impression when I left that the decision was preordained. Talking about body language, I noticed that Mr. Jim Martin's accusatory language toward the Commission stiffened several of your backs up there. Please consider his salient points; overlook the way he presented some accusations because I think that the data that he presented is very meaningful.

In my life I have based almost all of my total impressions on the deeds of people. With ITT's beautiful land planning, which drew me to Palm Coast, came some very negative encounters of greed and deceit. For instance, my early worries about water, since I had heard from Dr. Gillilan, I went to the welcome center, asked to talk to an engineer to find

out what the total water aquifer was in this area. The engineer told me that we have 40 well sites available for artisian wells, and these are available to be increased as necessary. During my 13 years here I'm still looking for that artisian well. Another instance occurred in my endeavors to get a municipal golf course. We approached ITT to purchase some land off Old Kings Road, and were quoted a price of \$7,500 an acre. If you look at the recent property transfer records, they indicate a sale price to Dimetri Developers (phonetic) of \$1,000 an acre. This suggests very creative accounting.

Having worked 38 years for a large chemical company, I saw and experienced both the pros and cons of creative accounting. I see it's your job to investigate this creative accounting, to discover with the help of the testimony we've received so far to look in very deeply into this matter, because it is there.

With large companies presently using bottom line accounting developed by Harvard Business School, recommendations are very careless about people. I tell you that it is your job to protect the people. You are the only line of defense between us and big business, and you have been reading a little bit about

downsizing. It has now become a big word. downsized in 1983 and I still try to stand tall.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would like to make two requests for you: Based on the testimony you have heard today I suggest that you vote in public your final decision, that you come back here and vote so we can see it. people disappeared in Tallahassee. Should you shy away from biting that bullet I have a second request. In your decision, would you please include a two-year privilege for the county Commissioners to purchase from either PCUC or the new owners for a price of \$1 over the transfer, should it occur of the sewer and water enclave that we are talking about. (Applause) Now, I'd like to conclude with just a little

personal remark.

I'm 74 years old. This won't hurt me too much. Bulletin PL 590 says I have about seven more years to live. That's the IRS bulletin. However, there are a lot of people who are trying to make both ends meet here, young people. They are trying to meet mortgages and food. Don't do it -- take it away from them. Give them a chance to live like I did. you. (Applause)

> COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you, sir.

MR. REILLY: Jack T. Carall.

1

2

JACK T. CARALL

3

the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of

4

testified as follows:

5

DIRECT STATEMENT

6

WITNESS CARALL: Good evening. My name is Jack T. Carall, C-A-R-A-L-L. I live at 8 Warren

7

8 Place, Palm Coast, in Florida.

9

now, a 34% increase when they are negotiating to sell?

First, it comes to my -- I keep thinking why

Τſ

Would it be more advantageous for them to let it go,

11

let the new people come in and try to get the

13

increase? But that's one way or the other.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next thing I'd like to say is I think we ought to get rid of the fact that all people in Palm Coast are rich. Now there are the poor and the affluent, and you have the in-between people that are struggling to make the ends meet that can't get either help from the government or help from the town. So it's -- I feel that you should consider these people, and we're not rich that we can afford another \$45 a month or \$300 a year in additional -- of expenditures.

The government has dropped interest rates, I guess, the last three or four times that they met, which was, I assumed, to make it easier for large

businesses to borrow without going overboard, maybe helping people get a better price on their product. So I don't see why a corporation like the Utility has to raise their money -- has to raise money to -- you have to figure I'm not a public speaker -- to expand themselves. If they're getting a lower interest rate. Just a little thing.

When I first opened my savings account 55
years ago, I was getting interest of, I think, 1.75%.
I get interest on my account now, 55 years later, it's
2.3%. You know we're digressing and the big company
is getting all the money. My Social Security check
increased 2.6%, which was \$17 a month. They're asking
for 34% which is -- whatever.

I don't see how they can ask for something that's so high and we're getting something that's so low. I don't see how we can afford their increase.

I don't see anybody saying anything about how much the ivory tower gets in salaries and perks. Do they get an automobile to drive around? Do they get two cocktail lunches and dinners? Do they have a parachute pension plan? These things -- I'd like to know what their expenditures are; how they spend their money. They know how I spend my money because they take it from me.

that says the president gets whatever, 100,000,
200,000, 300,000 a year, plus stock options and things
like that. Because I read in the papers where
presidents, vice presidents that take stock options
sell them three, four months later and get \$3 million
or \$4 million in profits. I think that's what they're
doing to us. Sticking it to us. (Applause)

They're talking about a return for their stockholders. I get a return on my income tax which is nothing. I get a return on my Social Security which is 2.6%. Why should we worry about a company going under because of mismanagement, which it has to be mismanagement from what I hear. Why should we have to subsidize stockholders so they can get an 8-point-something return on their money? I don't get that at my bank. I don't get that on the few stocks I own. So I don't see why we have to supplement anybody. If they want to buy stocks into a corporation, they have to assume that they're going to either make money or lose money. If they lose money, they're going to have to accept it.

When I lived on Long Island, the Long Island Railroad increased their fares by 17%. They lost 50% of their riders. A year later they had to increase

their fare another 10% and they lost another 15% of their riders. Here you raise the water bills, you're going to lose a certain amount of usage. Waste is going to be waste no matter what. But water usage is going to lose. And if they lose, they're going to come back for another increase and they're going to lose some more and come back for another increase. I say please, turn them down for the raise.

Thank you very much. (Applause)

MR. REILLY: Robert Forbes.

ROBERT FORBES

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS FORBES: Good evening, everyone. My name is Robert Forbes, just like the magazine. I live at 46 Frederick Lane, Palm Coast, Florida.

This letter addresses the anticipated rate increase for the Utility here. And in doing this, I went back to look at my former bills covering about eight to ten years since I've moved down to Florida here from Memphis, Tennessee. Examining my water and sewage bills I can conclude the following: Since 1987

water and sewer rates have been raised six times in nine years. Six times. The cost per 1,000 gallons over this time span has almost tripled. With 1987 as an index of 100, 1995 stood at 287. Sewer costs have paralleled this trend. Assuming a modest household usage rate of 4,000 gallons per month, water and sewer cost combined for a two-person household annually would amount to over \$700.

The Utility changed its billing format recently by breaking out for the first time base and consumption charges. This allows the Utility to raise each charge separately. For most industries, greater output volume produced means lower cost per unit.

Greater consumption of water and sewage should result in lower cost per gallon. The population of Palm Coast, since 1987, has increased by 92%. What is the reason for these constant increases in water rates with the water rates going up and the population going up? What is the reason for that? I ask the committee here and the PSC to look into this.

The cost per unit for this service should be down, not up. Your deliberations on the request for a rate increase should bear in mind the points I've listed. The Utility has to make an effort to better control costs and reduce expenses, thus becoming more

efficient. Otherwise, rates will triple again in the near future. And I see evidence of this as I walk around the community. Every time I see a Palm Coast truck come by, it's a new truck. What is the reason for all of this? Have we looked into this? Have we looked into this? Have we looked into the salaries? Let's examine the operation of this outfit and see where they can make some efficiencies.

Thank you very much. (Applause)

MR. REILLY: Thank you. I don't believe there's any questions. Thank you, sir.

D. Scarborough.

13

14

17

18

19

201

21

22

23

24

25

2 l

3 l

4

5 |

61

7

8

9

10

11

12

ED SCARBOROUGH

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS SCARBOROUGH: My name is Ed Scarborough, and I live at 8 Crossview Lane. The name is spelled S-C-A-R-B-O-R-O-U-G-H. My comments will be rather brief.

I'm sure that the members of the Commission, after looking at your educational background will understand how easy it is to show a low rate of return

for a corporation. Having operated one for a short period of time, I can tell you from experience that the difficult part is to show a profit. It's very easy to show a loss. And in the private portion of industry, you get no brownie points for claiming a slow rate of return. 1.64 rate of return is fairly low, but I'm sure you understand how easy it is to achieve that while at the same time making large amounts of money. Excessive salary can be paid. Excessive costs for plant and the equipment can be engineered with indirect benefits accruing to the people participating in this scheme. Contingency funds can be overfinanced. And the list goes on and on and on. This is very easy to do.

20 l

I don't pretend to be an expert in this particular business, but I find it unusual that the last time I checked, which was some time ago -- it may have changed -- but the last time I checked two small communities who get their drinking water by the most expensive means possible, namely reverse osmosis, desalinization of sea water, Beverly Beach and Palm Bay, have lower water rates than we have here in Palm Coast. And they do not have the economies of scale that Palm Coast Utility has.

Their claim seems to be that the higher

their customer base becomes, the higher rate base they charge. Think how ridiculous it would appear to be if General Motors came out and claimed that since they sold so many cars last year, that they now have to raise their prices. No, quite the contrary. I agree with one of the previous speakers who said that the rates here should be going down, not up. And I urge you -- and I know that you have the ability to do this -- I urge you to look into the books and see the real reasons for these rate increases.

And I'd like to close by saying I'm not an expert in water companies. I know very little about it. But I'm also not a Doctor, and I'm not an expert in medical affairs, just I feel justified in criticizing the brain surgeon when his knife slips and cuts off the patient's toe -- I cleaned that up a little bit for the benefit of the straight-laced people in the audience. And Palm Coast Utility's knife has definitely slipped. And I'm sure we can count on you to correct the situation.

Thank you very much.

MR. REILLY: Thank you. Nancy Dance.

1

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

25

NANCY DANCE

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS DANCE: Good evening. My name is Nancy Dance, just like you tap dance. I live at 3800 Old Kings Road. I'm Chairman of the Flagler County School Board. And I'm here this evening on their behalf.

We have currently five centers that are users of PCUC. We have a new K through 8 center which will be two schools under one roof coming on board this fall. All toll that will make eight sites currently that are using these utilities. Our total average monthly bill currently is \$5,472.21. rate increase goes into effect it will be \$6,779.34 per month. Over our fiscal year that will amount to \$15,685.44.

In 1995-96 the legislature granted us a 2% increase for operations. In '96-97 the legislature granted us 1.5% increase for operations. It does not take a genius to see that if these rate increases take effect, our students are going to suffer. Costs will have to be cut somewhere.

We understand that everyone has operational increases. What we are asking for is a deep, hard look at how much you put a burden on the school system and the taxpayers of this county. Thank you.

MR. REILLY: I have Walter and Candy Monroe.

I'm not sure if one or either/or if we're going to get
a panel. Candy.

CANDY MONROE

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

Monroe, M-O-N-R-O-E. I live at 5 Llethorne Place,
Palm Coast. I've lived here a year. New
construction. And I don't know as much as these other
people, but I'm just an average people here in Palm
Coast. And I'm sure there's a lot of young people
that couldn't be here tonight that I want you to know
that there are families here that really depend on the
water. I have a son that has new construction here
that's lived here six months. I have a daughter
that's lived here two years. They have children that
will be going to the schools here, and both my

son-in-law and my son work two jobs to support their mortgages, new mortgages on new construction. And the average pay up here is not anywhere near supportive of what our water rates are right now.

8 |

I feel that new construction is important up here. And if you look around you see that there's a lot of builders, there's a lot of realtors, there's a lot of people that care about the new construction.

And as far as I can see, nobody will come to Palm Coast if the water goes any higher than it is right now. I feel it's too high right now.

When we first came to Florida -- we have been here 14 years -- we were told the water rates were being raised because of conservation. So we all kind of buckled down and we conserved and they raised them more, and more, and more.

I just believe that there's no end to this. It's just going to snowball. I believe the schools the church, restaurants, every place is going to be affected, and water is not something like cable. All right. We don't have cable. We have an antenna. My son has an antenna. My daughter has an antenna. We chose to save our money that way. You have to have water. We try to conserve all we can but there is just no other way to make ends meet. Our pay has not

gone up as much as Palm Coast Utility's raises have gone up, their increases.

15 l

16l

I was so irritated about this and so upset because we had just moved in and I've never written any letters to the editor, the paper. I've never been that way. I'm one of these I'll just sit home and I'll just bite my nails about it and maybe somebody else will talk about it.

Well, I wrote to the editor, I wrote to the Public Service Commission. I called the Public Service Commission. And went door to door and I tried to do everything I could, and everybody, everybody I spoke to, was really upset about it. And I don't think they would have been upset about just a small raise. This is a large raise. And they just cannot afford it. I don't think it's justified.

If you looked into what the average pay is, the average family pay -- I realize there are some people that have a lot of money up here -- even the people that have a lot of money don't want to spend a lot of money on water. Water is a necessity. Like I said, it's not your dish that's outside, it's not your Cadillac in your garage; it's something we all need, our children, our grandchildren. I'm talking about the future of Palm Coast. If we're going to have a

future up here we have to keep the rates down.

We want to keep the growth in. We want to keep new people coming in. Whether they are retired -- and retired people don't get raises, and average working people are not getting raises. I just believe there's no end to this and I believe rates are too high and there's got to be an answer and we're relying on the Commission. We're relying on them to help us. That's where we're supposed to go is to the Commission and that's what we've done. We've put it all before you. Thank you. (Applause)

MR. REILLY: This would be Walter Monroe.

8 l

WALTER MONROE

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS W. MONROE: My name is Walter

Monroe. I live at 5 Llethorne Place. My wife said we have been here a year and we were drawn up here because of our children. We wanted to be near our grandchildren.

This is an attractive and a beautiful community. We have spoken freely to many of our

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

friends about moving up and building. The contractors seem to be doing their part. The banks seem to be doing their part to keep this an attractive community to come to for future people; retirees, young couples just starting out. I'm just afraid that as these increases go wild, the word is going to get out sooner or later and this will no longer be such an attractive community.

The retired people, such as myself, usually -- not always but usually get 2 to 3% per year. I really don't know a whole lot of people that would look down on Palm Coast Utilities for increasing their rates at a normal increase. But this is just outrageous. I don't know if they just thought they could slap us in the face and get away with it.

But I'm not a normal person that comes to these meetings and usually speaks out, I let somebody do it for me. But I'm here tonight. Thank you.

MR. REILLY: Thank you. Fred Mazella.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He passes.

MR. REILLY: Commissioner Jack Nugent.

MR. GATLIN: I believe the county is participating in this proceeding, and I don't have Mr. Nugent listed as a witness.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is this an objection?

12 l

18 l

MR. GATLIN: Yes, it is an objection. I'm sorry.

13 l

MR. REILLY: I would strongly urge that the witness be allowed to speak. It's very common at these public hearings that officials from local government do come and speak as to the effects on the community, and I think that tradition should be continued here. (Applause)

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Does the county attorney have anything to add to the --

MR. HADEED: He's not -- the Commissioner is not a witness in the technical portion of the case.

He's just expressing the views of the community as expressed through their elected officials.

MR. GATLIN: If he's a customer, that's fine. But he's an official of the county and these two gentlemen here are his lawyers. He must have been listed as a witness.

MR. HADEED: Well, he is a customer.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm going to overrule the objection. I'm going to allow the Commissioner to testify -- (Applause) -- with the understanding that he is not here as a technical expert. He is here as an elected official to provide input to the Public Service Commission in his elected capacity as

representing the people of this community.

MR. REILLY: And he is also a customer.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Commissioner Nugent, you are also a customer.

COMMISSIONER JACK NUGENT: Yes, I am.

6

2

3

4

5

COMMISSIONER JACK NUGENT

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

COMMISSIONER JACK NUGENT: Thank you. name is Jack Nugent. I am a Commissioner of Flagler County, but I happen to live in Palm Coast and represent the people in District 1 in Palm Coast.

One of the things I'd like to do, and I realize -- and I welcome you here tonight. I'm glad that you came and that you're listening to all of these people here from Palm Coast that do have an objection to this increase. But you're the Public Service Commission, and as such your sworn duty is to serve and protect the public. And today, that public is here represented by the citizens and taxpayers of Palm Coast and Flagler County.

They are totally dependent upon Palm Coast

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

7

8

9

10

11

13 l

12

16

17 l

18

19 20

21

221

23

25

Utility Corporation for their most necessary drinking 2 water. I did some research. I'm not a lawyer. Commissioner. But I'm not standing for reelection. 3 took an oath to act in their best interest. You know this. But let me read from the Florida Statutes, 5 l 366.01, legislative declaration. It says and I quote, 6 "The regulation of public utilities as defined herein 7 I is declared to be in the public interest and this 8 chapter shall be deemed to be an exercise in the police power of the state for the protection for the public welfare and all of the provisions hereof shall 11 be liberally construed for the accomplishment of that 12 13 purpose."

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And in 367.0822, Limited Proceedings -- and this is interesting. I'm sure you're aware of this, but I know that my colleagues out here are not. It says: "Upon petition by its own motion, the Commission may conduct limited proceedings to consider, and act upon, any matter within its jurisdiction. The Commission shall determine the issues to be considered during such a proceeding, and may grant or deny any request to expand the scope of the proceeding to include other related matters." So you do have the power to consider what I'm about to ask of you.

The air we breathe cannot be sold for profit; it can only be regulated to ensure its cleanliness. And potable water, like air, is a basic vital necessity, and if there's no other alternative you are empowered to regulate and limit the profit of a privately owned utility.

1.0

In Flagler County, the City of Flagler Beach owns their own water utility. The City of Bunnell owns their own water utility. And the citizens and the farmers in west Flagler pump their own water out of the ground. Unfortunately, the citizens in Palm Coast cannot do that. They are not allowed to drill their own wells. They are slaves to the PCUC for water and for wastewater. They are captives to a water company which its present owners, ITT, want to sell it in order to fund their new business in Las Vegas. If they can get a rate increase, they can command a higher price.

Recently a group of administrators and elected officials in Volusia County put together a docket called the Volusia Alliance, and roughly, in order for all of the cities and municipalities over there to agree to control fairly the available water supply. One paragraph stated that the executive committee of this alliance, simply by a vote of those

2

3

5

7

9

8 |

11

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

24

25

members present, could agree to sell water to an outside entity at a retail price, not share their water with other less fortunate counties, but sell it to them at a retail price.

The gentlemen from De Land rose up and thanked the legal department from Southern States Utilities for helping them draft the agreement. of the people that have the option to purchase Palm Coast Utility happens to be Southern States Utilities. And I guess you might wonder whether the ability to acquire Palm Coast Utility might also be the ability for Southern States Utilities, which has at least 100 other utilities in southern Florida, to be able to link them together and take this water we have, which we're calling a shortage and we have to watch it, to take that water and sell it at a retail price to someone else. In a spare moment you might want to investigate whether Southern States Utilities is seeking to control Florida's vital but very limited water supply.

As you know, there's a public apathy group in Washington that writes that the Florida Public Service Commission is one of the three most compliant PSC's in the United States. I don't believe that. When I came to Florida seven years ago I found all

government and everybody connected with it amenable to the public and to do what they want to do and to best serve them. I don't think that that particular statement is good but it's been made up there. And it's a bad wrap for a state that needs to attract commerce and industry and more citizens. I think you

change that now, today.

To show them wrong, to show service to the public -- and I'll read again that part of Chapter 366.0822 wherein you have the ability to expand the scope of the proceeding to include other related matters.

can prove that otherwise. But you have the power to

So I ask you to expand the scope of these proceedings and to include the question of who should really own PCUC? Actually, I believe it's the citizens of Palm Coast. (Applause)

It's the people who rely on that pumping system for their only available water. Set aside the option agreement for the moment, for the day. Set aside the idea of the rate increase. You're allowed to do that under this chapter I just read, under this paragraph, and consider the idea of how you can help Palm Coast citizens acquire PCUC. Help the people of Palm Coast and Flagler County to agree. Instruct your

Staff to help them with the revenue bond process. You have the power to prove to all America that the 2 Florida Public Service Commission is dedicated to 3 4 service to the public. Please take action. 5 you. (Applause) 6 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Gatlin has 7 questions. MR. GATLIN: Commissioner, may I ask you 8 91 just a couple of questions? 10 COMMISSIONER JACK NUGENT: 11 MR. GATLIN: Is the county in the process of engaging a consultant to determine the value of Palm 12 Coast Utility Corporation? 13 14 COMMISSIONER JACK NUGENT: I think they have gone out for bids to hire a consultant to find out what it is worth. 16 17 MR. GATLIN: With the idea of purchasing Palm Coast Utility Corporation. COMMISSIONER JACK NUGENT: That's further 19 20 down the road. The idea of whether they can purchase it or not depends upon the price that it may acquire. That's why I'm asking the Service Commission here to 22 help us to find out how to do it, to find a way. 23 24 MR. GATLIN: But you're taking the next 25 necessary steps to get to that end, aren't you, that

is hiring a consultant?

13 l

COMMISSIONER JACK NUGENT: We haven't hired a consultant. We've gone out for bids to see what the consultant will cost.

MR. GATLIN: And you've narrowed the list down to five consultants, haven't you?

COMMISSIONER JACK NUGENT: I'm not aware of that. I'd have to check with the administrator. They have not come back -- we had a meeting this morning, as a matter of fact, of the Commission, and that particular item was not discussed. It's further down the road than that. I don't know if that is and I'm not making that up. It simply has not come to us yet as an item on our agenda.

MR. GATLIN: The bids are in, are they not?

COMMISSIONER JACK NUGENT: I can't answer
that. I don't know whether Mr. Shenault (phonetic) is
here tonight. Mr. Shenault is our administrator.

Again it has not been reported to the County Commission. As you well know, sir, we're under the sunshine law. Unfortunately, we don't get to communicate with one another very easily.

MR. GATLIN: When will it be on the agenda, do you know?

COMMISSIONER JACK NUGENT: I don't know.

1 MR. GATLIN: Will it be on the agenda? 2 COMMISSIONER JACK NUGENT: I'm sure, if the bid comes back in, you know, and the bids are in, and 3 4 it's under discussion, it will be put under agenda. 5 MR. GATLIN: Thank you. 6 COMMISSIONER JACK NUGENT: You're welcome. 7 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Further questions? Thank you, Commissioner. 8 9 MR. REILLY: Thank you. (Applause) David Hertle. 10 11 DAVID HERTLE 12 13 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 14 testified as follows: 15 DIRECT STATEMENT 16 WITNESS HERTLE: I'm David Hertle. I live 17 at 70 Covington Lane, Palm Coast. I have been a 18 resident in Palm Coast for three years coming from upper New York state. When I came here I compared my 20 utility bills in New York with the utility bills down 21 22 here. I was surprised to find they are higher here in 23 Palm Coast, not substantially higher, but higher. COMMISSIONER KIESLING: I don't want to 24

interrupt you -- I'm right here -- could you spell

25

your last time.

WITNESS HERTLE: H-E-R-T-L-E. Okay?

I did write a letter on January 3rd to the Florida Public Service Commission outlining some of my concerns. And I just want to reiterate them here tonight.

When we came here one of the attractions in Palm Coast was a beautiful area, well planned, reasonable taxes and reasonable utilities. And Flagler County is, I believe, the fastest growing county in the United States. Although it's a small county, percentage-wise it's the fastest growing. And the greatest growth, of course, is in Palm Coast, which is the bulk of Flagler County. And the reason it has attracted so many people, young families and people who have been downsized, people who are retired, is because of the ambience and the reasonable cost of housing and the reasonable taxes and utilities.

In my letter to the Commission I asked that the Commission compare the water and sewer rates in Palm Coast with other near surrounding areas in this area, such as Ormond Beach, St. Augustine, Daytona Beach as examples, and determine for this general area of north Central Florida what are the comparative

rates? What are some benchmarks that could be used to compare in this particular area.

2 |

3

4

5]

6

7

8 l

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I did not receive a response to my letter.

I'm hoping that that will be done so that there will
be some equity in term of the area. What is happening
in this area relative to rates?

My concern is that with the added capacity that has been put in for utilities here in Palm Coast, and the fact that over the last few years, at least, that the increase in population that has increased significantly compared to what it had been when Palm Coast was moving at a much slower pace, it would seem to me that whoever owns the water and sewer company would want to see the customer base grow substantially. And I believe that if the rates are continually raised, and especially at this pace, that it's going to discourage people from moving to Palm Coast. It will not only be reflected in their water and sewer bills, but it will have to be reflected in their taxes which pay for the schools and everybody else here who is dependent on water. It's going to increase everybody's rates; and, therefore, it's going to have an act cumulative effect, not just on your monthly utility bills, but on everything else, all the other businesses who charged fees and services in Palm Coast will raise their rates accordingly. So it will become more and more expensive to live here and, therefore, the rate of growth and the attractiveness of living here will be decreased.

So my request is benchmark with other communities in the north Central Florida area. Find out how the percent rate increase over time compared to what they've done and where they are presently, and come up with something that is reasonable and equitable for this area. Thank you. (Applause)

MR. REILLY: Donald A. Green.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Green, before you begin, were you here when I swore in witnesses or did you come in a little later?

WITNESS GREEN: Late.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm going to ask you to stand and raise your right hand. Likewise, if there is anyone else who has entered the auditorium after I swore in witnesses, and you do intend to testify, if you'd please stand and raise your right hand.

(Witnesses collectively sworn.)

19 l

DONALD A. GREEN

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS GREEN: My name is Don Green. I've lived at 26 Cheyenne Court in Palm Coast for almost 13 years.

Ladies and gentlemen of the Florida Public Service Commission, I appreciate this opportunity to appear before you tonight to make a brief statement, and provide you with a copy of an open letter to the Flagler County Board of Commissioners, which recently appeared in our local newspaper and is pertinent to this hearing.

In essence, it strongly urges the Commissioners to actively pursue acquisition of the Palm Coast Utility Corporation. This effort was initiated in a less formal basis late last year to no avail. No progress today. If it had been pursued, and it is still not too late, this hearing would be irrelevant and unnecessary.

This letter applies to the subject of this hearing in that it emphasizes a situation whereby profit motivated private ownership of a water and

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TO

wastewater facility is not in the best interest of the public because of what appears to us to be uncontrollable and unreasonable service charges.

We'd like to make it clear that we are not criticizing the private enterprise system in the United States, including the Utility. It's the best system in the world from which we all benefit. However, there must be reasonable limits placed on monopolistic, no alternative public services provided by private interests.

When charges for water and wastewater services in an actively growing community with hundreds of new hookups per year, such as Palm Coast, trend towards the highest in the entire state of Florida and exceed our local tax bills on an annual basis, it is evidence that something is seriously out of balance. In your capacity as the Florida Public Service Commission it is your moral and legal obligation to thoroughly investigate situations such as this, and protect the public with fair and reasonable utility charges.

Thank you for your time and attention. (Applause)

MR. REILLY: Thank you. John Petty.

JOHN PETTY

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS PETTY: Sir, there's a correction.

As you can see I'm not very pretty. The same is petty, P-E-T-T-Y. I live at 92 Westchester Lane, in Palm Coast.

MR. REILLY: It looks like an "R" there. WITNESS PETTY: Oh. That's all right.

I have a few questions that I'd like to ask. I'm not really appearing as a witness, but based on the schedule of increases provided by PCUC, I took a few of my back bills and compared them with the rate today, with the proposed rate. And I don't know, I came up with something like a 37.5 or a 38% increase rather than a 34. And I'd like to have somebody explain that to me, if I may.

I have another question: The bills are broken down into a base and usage rate. Why is the base rate being increased?

The people that are coming down here from up north, snowbirds as they are commonly called, don't use a drop of water during the months that they are up

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

_ `

1 4

north, but they are paying a base rate. Now, that base rate is going to be increased. Now I'm a full year resident. I'm a year around resident down here, I'm a Floridian now. But I can't understand why that base rate is being increased. If you're going to increase the usage rate, the sewage rate, that's one thing. But why the base rate? I'd like somebody to explain that to me.

3 |

As a gentlemen pointed out earlier, this thing is going to snowball. The increase is going to schools, the increase is going to businesses, and it's going to be reflected in the resident's taxes. And we're going to go into a store and pay a higher price because they are not going to eat that increase. They are going to roll that right back on to us. And we don't have anybody we can increase our prices to, okay? We're stuck with it. Like Harry used to say, "The buck stops here" and we're the ones that are going to be swallowing up this increase.

I just wanted to finish off and tell you that when I have visitors at my house, they better not ask for water. They want scotch, they want gin, they want vodka, fine. But water is the highest priced thing I've got in my house and they are not going to get it. (Applause)

Thank you.

MR. REILLY: Harry Gildred.

HARRY GILDRED

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS GILDRED: I'm Harry Gildred. I live at 29 Medford Drive in Palm Coast and have been a permanent resident since '89, and in '87 and '88 we decided to try this area, and it turned out to be a marvelous place. We were vacationers, snowbirds for '87 and '88, and the tide turned, and we became permanent in '89.

I like to think that the United States is a democratic institution in a sense. And all of us at sometime or other have worked for companies, either private or public. Normally one would think that such companies operate in a competitive atmosphere. Now it's true that there are some organizations that, as in this particular case, have a monopoly. But let's take a look at the spirit of this country. Basically when an organization begins it is to stand on its own two feet and operate competitively. And if they

cannot operate competitively, they have to face up to the situation and make their own internal adjustments.

Now, let's take a look at the utility here.

They are really a monopoly. Now, when they began,
they set up an infrastructure that was to take care of
a very large scope of customers. It is perhaps
unfortunate that the total number of customers did not
arrive.

Now, if they were a normal company operating here, what happens? Marketing people, sales people, they get together and say we're just going to keep our product and our rates up where they were because we have creditors who have invested money with us, and so we will continue to keep our rates up. What would happen? That company and organization would not be continuing very long.

Now, take a look at this particular set up.

The management apparently has decided that they want
to take care of their creditor and investors. They
are wishing to keep their rates up and they feel they
can do it because they have no competition. Now, does
that sound reasonable? I don't think so.

Now, if the rate continues at the value that it is, or I should say at the amount that it is, there have been written reports that their return on the

investment is down around 3%. They would like 9%, which they are getting. That's very unfortunate, but this is a business operation and the mere fact that they are not going in the red, they are operating, they are returning a 3%, it seems to me that with cost reductions, work simplification they could perhaps increase their rate of return. Merely because they are monopolistic and a monopoly, I don't think they have the right to go ahead and get up an arbitrary unilateral decision that ensures that their rate of return is going to continue at the original level. This is not a Democratic spirit. They are taking advantage of a large group of people who are held captive by this situation. They're not going to be really hurt if this rate increase does not come through. They are receiving -- they have admitted at least a 3% return, a 3% return is a plus. They're not going to go down the drain or anything like that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

I would like to recommend, as a private citizen, that the Public Commission here consider this background. I think it's very important that the public commission also represent all of us people who are really a captive audience.

Thank you very much. (Applause)
COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you.

MR. REILLY: That concludes the requests to 1 2 speak that we've received so far. 3 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you, Mr. Reilly. 4 Mr. Reilly has indicated that is all of the 5 individuals who have signed up to speak this evening. 6 I want to take this opportunity to thank 7 everyone for coming out this evening, and for those 8 who may have been in attendance and testified at the morning session as well. Let me indicate that we're 9 going to recess for the evening, but well reconvene tomorrow morning at 8:45 and you're certainly welcome to come and attend that hearing then. 13 This hearing is adjourned for the evening. 14 Thank you all. 15 (Thereupon, the hearing adjourned at 7:45 16 p.m. to reconvene at 8:45 a.m., on July 2, 1996, at 17 the same address.) 18 (Transcript continues in sequence in 19 20 Volume 4.) 21 22 23 24 25