NANCY B. WHITE General Attorney

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (404) 335-0710

July 31, 1996

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayo Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dear Mrs. Bayo: App Enclosed are an original and fifteen copies of BellSou Telecommunications, Inc.'s Direct Testimony of Jerry D. Her and A. J. Varner. Please file these documents in the captions docket.	
Enclosed are an original and fifteen copies of BellSou Telecommunications, Inc.'s Direct Testimony of Jerry D. Her and A. J. Varner. Please file these documents in the capti	
Telecommunications, Inc.'s Direct Testimony of Jerry D. Her	ıth
hase — and A. J. Varner. Please file these documents in the capti	drix
dochee.	oned
A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to Copies have been served on the parties shown on the attache Certificate of Service.	me. d

Sincerely,

Nancy B. White

CIH ____Enclosures

The state of the s

438 -----

cc: All Parties of Record

A. M. Lombardo R. G. Beatty W. J. Ellenberg

EPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Docket No. 920260-TL Docket No. 900960-TL

Docket No. 910163-TL Docket No. 910727-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by United States Mail this 31st day of July, 1996 to:

Robin Norton Division of Communications Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

* 50

Staff Counsel Division of Legal Services Florida Public Svc. Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Joseph A. McGlothlin Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter, Grandoff & Reeves 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 atty for FIXCA

Kenneth A. Hoffman Messer, Vickers, Caparello, Madsen, Lewis & Metz, PA Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302 atty for FPTA

Michael W. Tye AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. 101 N. Monroe Street Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Dan B. Hendrickson Post Office Box 1201 Tallahassee, FL 32302 atty for FCAN

Charles J. Beck Deputy Public Counsel Office of the Public Counsel 111 W. Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Michael J. Henry MCI Telecommunications Corp. 780 Johnson Ferry Road Suite 700 Atlanta, Georgia 30342

Richard D. Melson Hopping Boyd Green & Sams Post Office Box 6526 Tallahassee, Florida 32314 atty for MCI

Rick Wright Regulatory Analyst Division of Audit and Finance Florida Public Svc. Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Laura L. Wilson, Esq. Florida Cable Telecommunications Assn., Inc. 310 North Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 atty for FCTA

Benjamin W. Fincher Sprint Communications Co. Limited Partnership 3100 Cumberland Circle Atlanta, GA 30339

Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr. Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson & Dickens 2120 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037 Atty for Fla Ad Hoc

C. Everett Boyd, Jr.
Ervin, Varn, Jacobs, Odom
& Ervin
305 South Gadsen Street
Post Office Drawer 1170
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
atty for Sprint

Angela Green Florida Public Telecommunications Assn., Inc. 125 South Gadsden Street Suite 200 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Monte Belote Florida Consumer Action Network 4100 W. Kennedy Blvd., #128 Tampa, FL 33609

Joseph Gillan J.P. Gillan & Associates P.O. Box 541038 Orlando, FL 32854-1038

Mark Richard Attorney for CWA Locals 3121, 3122, and 3107 304 Palermo Avenue Coral Gables, FL 33134

Marsha E. Rule Wiggins & Villacorta P.A. 501 East Tennessee St. Suite B P.O. Drawer 1657 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Gerald B. Curington Department of Legal Affairs 2020 Capital Circle, SE Alexander Building, 2nd Floor Tallahassee, FL 32301

Mr. Douglas S. Metcalf Communications Consultants, Inc. 631 S. Orlando Ave., Suite 450 P. O. Box 1148 Winter Park, FL 32790-1148

Mr. Cecil O. Simpson, Jr.
General Attorney
Mr. Peter Q. Nyce, Jr.
General Attorney
Regulatory Law Office
Office of the Judge
Advocate General
Department of the Army
901 North Stuart Street
Arlington, VA 22203-1837

Mr. Michael Fannon Cellular One 2735 Capital Circle, NE Tallahassee, FL 32308

Floyd R. Self, Esq. Messer, Vickers, Caparello, Madsen, Lewis, Goldman & Metz Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876 Attys for McCaw Cellular

Stan Greer Division of Communications Florida Public Svc. Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Patricia Kurlin
Intermedia Communications Inc.
3625 Queen Palm Drive
Tampa, FL 33619

Nancy B. White

(Away)

unla	ii.i.L
FILE	COSA

1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JERRY D. HENDRIX
3		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4		DOCKET NO. 920260-TL
5		JULY 31, 1996
6		
7		
8	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, EMPLOYER, POSITION AND
9		BUSINESS ADDRESS WITH BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS,
10		INC. ("BELLSOUTH" OR "THE COMPANY")
11		
12	A.	My name is Jerry D. Hendrix. I am employed by BellSouth
13		Telecommunications, Inc., (hereinafter referred to as "BellSouth" or "the
14		Company"). My position is Manager - Interconnection Services Pricing
15		in the Interconnection Customer Business Unit. My business address
16		is 675 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30375.
17		
18	Q.	PLEASE GIVE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF YOUR BACKGROUND
19		AND EXPERIENCE.
20		
21	A.	I graduated from Morehouse College in Atlanta, Georgia in 1975 with a
22		Bachelor of Arts Degree. I began employment with Southern Bell in
23		1979 and held various positions in the Network Distribution Department
24		before joining the BellSouth Headquarters Regulatory organization in
25		1985. On January 1, 1996 my responsibilities moved to

1		Interconnection Services Pricing in the Interconnection Customer
2		Business Unit.
3		
4	Q.	HAVE YOU TESTIFIED PREVIOUSLY?
5		
6	A.	Yes. I have testified in proceedings before the Alabama, Florida,
7		Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, South Carolina, and
8		Tennessee Public Service Commissions and the North Carolina Utilities
9		Commission.
10		
11	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
12		
13	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to explain why BellSouth's proposed
14		tariffs to reduce switched access by \$56.4 million as filed on May 31,
15		1996 are appropriate and should be approved. In addition, I will
16		explain why BellSouth's proposal to zone price Terminating Carrier
17		Common Line, Local Switching and certain Switched Transport
18		services using \$16.4 million of the \$56.4 million switched access
19		reduction is appropriate.
20		
21	Q.	IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE COMMISSION'S ORDER NO. PSC-94-
22		0172-FOF-TL IN DOCKET NUMBER 920260-TL WHICH APPROVED
23		THE STIPULATION AND IMPLEMENTATION AGREEMENT,
24		BELLSOUTH HAS BEEN REQUIRED TO REDUCE SWITCHED
25		ACCESS RATES TO THE DECEMBER 29,1993 EFFECTIVE

1		INTERSTATE LEVELS OVER A THREE YEAR PERIOD. PLEASE
2		DESCRIBE THE SWITCHED ACCESS RATE REDUCTIONS THAT
3		HAVE BEEN OR WILL BE IMPLEMENTED IN COMPLIANCE WITH
4		THIS ORDER.
5		
6	A.	In compliance with the Commission's Order No. PSC-94-0172-FOF-TL,
7		dated February 11, 1994, approving the Stipulation and Implementation
8		Agreement, BellSouth agreed to reduce switched access rates to the
9		December 29, 1993 effective interstate levels over a three year period.
10		The first year, BellSouth reduced switched access rates by \$50 million
11		effective July 1, 1994. The second year yielded a switched access rate
12		reduction of \$55 million effective October 1, 1995. The third and final
13		year of the stipulated agreement includes a \$36M switched access
14		reduction to be effective on October 1, 1996. In order to file the rates
15		previously provided to this Commission as shown in Attachment JDH-1
16		(a summary of the stipulated rate reductions over this three year
17		period) the switched access reduction based on 1996 demand yields a
18		\$40 million reduction.
19		
20	Q.	WHY DID BELLSOUTH DECIDE TO REDUCE SWITCHED ACCESS
21		BY \$56.4 MILLION EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1, 1996?
22		
23	A.	The Stipulation and Agreement in Docket 920260-TL required
24		BellSouth to reduce gross revenues by \$84 million on an annualized
25		basis. Of the \$84 Million, \$36 million, which has now grown to \$40

million, was to be applied to intrastate switched access rates as agreed to in the Stipulation and Implementation Agreement. With \$16.4 million of the remaining \$44 million, BellSouth has proposed to decrease and zone price certain switched access rates. The \$16.4 million coupled with the stipulated \$40 million will bring a total benefit to the interexchange carriers of more than \$56 million in switched access reductions effective October 1, 1996. When summed with the reductions provided over the previous two years, the total switched access reductions in the state of Florida equal more than \$161 million. Q. THE FLORIDA STATUTE REQUIRES THAT BELLSOUTH'S INTRASTATE SWITCHED ACCESS RATES BE REDUCED BY 5% UNTIL PARITY IS REACHED WITH DECEMBER 31, 1994 INTERSTATE RATES. HOW DOES BELLSOUTH PLAN TO SATISFY THIS REQUIREMENT? On July 1, 1995, the amended Florida State Statute Chapter 364 Α. became effective. According to Chapter 364, beginning October 1, 1996, switched access rates are to be reduced by 5% until parity is reached with the December 31, 1994 interstate rates. The initial 5% reduction is being satisfied with the October 1, 1996 \$40 million reduction being made in compliance with the Stipulation and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Implementation Agreement. The \$40 million reduction brings the

interexchange access rates to a level that is in parity with the

December, 1993 interstate access rates. See JDH -1. With the

1		proposed \$15.4 million switched access reduction, the average (across
2		all zones) switched access rate of \$.05632 is below the composite
3		December, 1994 interstate switched access rate of \$.05811 for
4		switched DS1 service. See JDH -2.
5		
6	Q.	BELLSOUTH IS PROPOSING TO ZONE PRICE SWITCHED
7		ACCESS. HOW DID THE CONCEPT OF ZONE PRICING COME
8		INTO BEING?
9		
10	A.	In the FCC's Expanded Interconnection Order in Docket No. 91-141,
11		Local Exchange Companies (LECs) were granted greater flexibility to
12		price access to reflect traffic density. The FCC believed that the
13		combination of expanded interconnection and increased pricing
14		flexibility for LECs would establish an equitable frame work for
15		promoting competition.
16		
17	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN BELLSOUTH'S ZONE PRICING SWITCHED
18		ACCESS TARIFF REVISIONS.
19		
20	A.	On February 6, 1996 the Zone Pricing structure for switched transport
21		became effective in Florida. With the May 31, 1996 tariff filing,
22		BellSouth is proposing to zone price Terminating Carrier Common Line
23		Local Switching and certain transport services. The zone pricing plan
24		divides Florida into three density zones. The zone pricing plan
25		introduces exchange areas for assignment of rate zone based on DS1

equivalent circuits in an exchange area. Zone 1 is the most dense area with more than 4,000 DS1 equivalent circuits; Zone 2 has 1,000 to 4,000 DS1 equivalent circuits, and Zone 3 has the least dense with fewer than 1,000 DS1 equivalent circuits. Consistent with the FCC findings, this filing allows more flexibility in the pricing of switched access rate elements.

8 Q. WHY DID BELLSOUTH CHOOSE TO ZONE PRICE THESE RATE
9 ELEMENTS?

A. Zone pricing of these elements will allow BellSouth to strategically establish prices that meet competitive pressures in the more dense areas of Florida. A growing number of Alternative Access Vendors (AAVs) have entered the access transport market deploying fiber optic rings, microwave systems and other transport service options to service large business customers. AAVs generally target the more dense markets where their cost of providing service would justify a rate that is below the LEC's averaged rates. BellSouth chose to zone price the usage elements as well as certain transport services in response to customer requests for reduced switched access rates, especially Carrier Common Line and Interconnection.

Q. WHAT IMPACT WILL BELLSOUTH'S ZONE DENSITY PRICING
 TARIFF REDUCTION HAVE ON ITS CUSTOMERS?

1	A.	With the introduction of zone pricing, no switched access customer will
2		pay rates that are higher than current effective rates. Furthermore,
3		zone pricing will benefit not only the access customers but also the
4		general body of ratepayers.
5		
6		Assuming total flow through by the Interexchange Carriers, with zone
7		pricing, BellSouth will be better situated to compete with AAVs for high
8		volume access customers. Prohibiting BellSouth from competing
9		effectively could result in the loss of high volume access customers and
10		revenue for access services which provide substantial contribution to
11		basic service.
12		
13	Q.	IN THE JOINT PROPOSAL FOR THE DISPOSITION OF \$48 MILLION
14		IN UNSPECIFIED RATE REDUCTIONS SCHEDULED FOR 10/1/96,
15		FLORIDA AD HOC TELECOMMUNICATIONS USERS' COMMITTEE
16		("AD HOC"), MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION ("MCI"),
17		AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHERN STATES, INC.
18		("AT&T"), THE FLORIDA INTEREXCHANGE CARRIERS
19		ASSOCIATION ("FIXCA"), SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY,
20		LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ("SPRINT"), AND MCCAW
21		COMMUNICATIONS OF FLORIDA, INC. ("MCCAW") ADVOCATE
22		THAT THE COMMISSION SHOULD ELIMINATE THE
23		INTERCONNECTION CHARGE, VALUED AT APPROXIMATELY \$35
24		MILLION. WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S RESPONSE TO THE JOINT
25		PROPOSAL?

2 A.

Over the past three years, BellSouth has reduced switched access rates by more than \$161 million. In addition, with the October 1, 1996 tariff filing, we have met the requirement to reach December 31, 1994 interstate rate levels in compliance with the Florida Statutes Chapter 364. Of the \$16.4 million switched access reduction, \$12 million, or 75%, is being proposed to reduce the Interconnection Charge. In short, BellSouth does not support the joint proposal and would strongly urge the Commission to approve BellSouth's proposal.

Q. WHY IS IT NOT APPROPRIATE TO REDUCE THE RESIDUAL INTERCONNECTION CHARGE BY \$35 MILLION?

A.

It is not appropriate to reduce the Interconnection Charge by \$35 million because this reduction does not afford BellSouth the flexibility it needs to compete in the marketplace. A single across the board reduction, which eliminates the Interconnection Charge, leaves BellSouth vulnerable in those areas where competition is the greatest. Competitors are targeting our more dense areas and BellSouth should be allowed to strategically zone price a variety of switched access rate elements to meet the competition in these areas. To deny BellSouth the flexibility to zone price the proposed switched access elements is simply playing in the hands of our competitors, while at the same time hamstringing BellSouth.

7	Œ.	IN THE PETITION AND PROPOSAL OF FLORIDA CABLE
2		TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION, INC. FOR DISPOSITION
3		OF 1996 UNALLOCATED RATE REDUCTIONS, THE FLORIDA
4		CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION, INC. ("FCTA")
5		PROPOSES THAT BELLSOUTH SHOULD ELIMINATE NON-
6		RECURRING CHARGES FOR THE INTERCONNECTION TRUNKS
7		THAT ALTERNATIVE LOCAL EXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS
8		COMPANIES (ALECS) ORDER FROM BELLSOUTH AND NON-
9		RECURRING CHARGES FOR DEDICATED, SWITCHED CIRCUIT S
10		ALECS ORDER OUT OF THE BELLSOUTH SPECIAL ACCESS
11		TARIFF. WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S RESPONSE TO THIS
12		PROPOSAL?
13		
14	A.	BellSouth does not support utilizing any of the \$48 million to eliminate
15		non-recurring charges for interconnection trunks ordered by ALECs.
16		BellSouth believes the cost of installing interconnection trunks is
17		appropriately recovered through its non-recurring charges and reflects
18		costs incurred to perform these functions. Further, end user customers
19		should realize direct benefit from the \$48 million in rate reductions.
20		BellSouth cannot identify any immediate direct benefit to the end user
21		from eliminating non-recurring charges.
22		
23	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.
24		
25		

1 A. In summary, 67% of the \$84 million reduction has been applied to switched access rates. Additionally, BellSouth has zone priced switched access rates to meet competitive pressures in the market place which will benefit the Florida ratepayers. Further, BellSouth believes that a wide array of customers, not just the interexchange carriers, should benefit from any proposed rate changes. Therefore, BellSouth's proposals should be approved as filed with the Commission on May 31, 1996. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY? Q. YES. Α.

-10-

FLORIDA

FGD SWITCHED ACCESS RATE COMPARISON FLORIDA RATE CASE STIPULATION AND IMPLEMENTATION AGREEMENT ORDER NO. PSC-94-0172-FOF-TL

	. INTE	RSTATE	FLORIDA INTRASTATE					
RATE ELEMENTS				(\$50M)	(\$55M)	(\$40M)*		
	12/29/93	CURRENT	CURRENT	7/1/94	10/1/95	10/1/96		
Carrier Common Line								
Originating	\$0.01000	\$0.01000	\$0.01061	\$0.02600	\$0.01061	\$0.01000		
Terminating	\$0.01853	\$0.01197	\$0.02927	\$0.02927	\$0.02927	\$0.01853		
Local Transport				į				
0 - 1	\$0.00610			\$0.00706 **	\$0.00706 **			
1 - 8	\$0.00610			\$0.00706 **	\$0.00706 **			
8 - 16****	\$0.00701			\$0.00706 **	\$0.00706 **			
16 - 25	\$0.00828			\$0.00706 **	\$0.00706 **			
25 - 50	\$0.00998		i	\$0.00706 **	\$0.00706 **			
Over 50	\$0.11650			\$0.00706 **	\$0.00706 **			
Switched Transport		1			1			
DS1 Local Channel		\$0.00062	\$0.00062		***************************************	\$0.00062		
Interconnection	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$0.004392	\$0.005159		*******	\$0.005159		
DS1 Interoffice Channel		\$0.00148	\$0.00105			\$0.00105		
Local Switching 1	\$0.00839	\$0.00755	\$0,00876	\$0.01160	\$0.00876 ***	\$0.00876		
Local Switching 2	\$0.00839	\$0.00755	\$0.00876	\$0.01160	\$0.00876 ***	\$0.00876		
Information Surcharge (per MOU)	\$0.00037	\$0.00032						
Composite FGD****								
Originating	\$0.02577	\$0.024364	\$0.026199	\$0.04466	\$0.02643	\$0.025589		
Terminating	\$0.03430	\$0.026334	\$0.044859	\$0.04793	\$0.04509	\$0.034119		
Total	\$0.06007	\$0.050698	\$0.071058	\$0.09259	\$0.07152	\$0.059708		

^{*}With the (\$40M) filing, the Florida 10/1/96 switched access total composite rate will be at parity with 12/93 interstate composite rate.

^{**}Transport in Ft. was not distance sensitive. \$0,00706 was the average transport rate for Ft.

^{***}The Ft. Local Switching rate = 12/93 Interstate Local Switching + Information Surcharge rate (per 100 MOU) (\$0.00839 + \$0.00037 = \$0.00876)

^{****}Composite rates include Carrier Common Line, Local/Switched Transport, LS2 and Information Surcharge (per 100 MOU). With Switched Transport, the rates per MOU for DS1 Local Channel and DS1 interoffice Channel assume 9,000 minutes of use per voice grade equivalent and interoffice transport of 10 miles.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. FPSC Docket No. 920260-TL Witness: Hendrix Direct Testimony Attachment JDH - 2 Exhibit Page 2 of 2

PLANNED ZONE PRICING SWITCHED ACCESS - FLORIDA RATE COMPARISON

	INTERSTATE Tandem Swit					S1 Dedicated		DS3 Dedicated		
Rate Elements	12/31/94	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3
CCL - Originating	\$0.01000	\$0.01000	\$0.01000	\$0.01000	\$0.01000	\$0.01000	\$0.01000	\$0.01000	\$0.01000	\$0.01000
CCL - Terminating	\$0.01585	\$0.01760	\$0.01807	\$0.01853	\$0.01760	\$0.01807	\$0.01853	\$0.01760	\$0.01807	\$0.01853
Local Switching 2	\$0.00832	\$0.00832	\$0.00854	\$0.00876	\$0.00832	\$0.00854	\$0.00876	\$0.00832	\$0.00854	\$0.00876
Information Surcharge (per 100 MOU)	\$0.03729									
Switched Local Channel-Monthly Rate-VG (4W)	\$45.24									
—VG (4W) Rate per MOU	\$0.00503									
Switched Local Channel-Monthly Rate-DS1	\$133.81	\$133.81	\$133.81	\$133.81	\$133.81	\$133.81	\$133.81			
-DS1 Rate per MOU	\$0.00062	\$0.00062	\$0.00062	\$0.00062	\$0.00062	\$0.00062	\$0.00062			
Switched Local Channel-Monthly Rate-DS3	\$2,165.87							\$2,100.00	\$2,100.00	\$2,100.0
DS3 Rate per MOU	\$0.00036							\$0.00035	\$0.00035	\$0.0003
Access Tandem Switching	\$0.000780	\$0.000475	\$0.000488	\$0.000500						
Interconnection	\$0.005230	\$0.002500	\$0.003700	\$0.005034	\$0.002500	\$0.003700	\$0.005034	\$0.002500	\$0.003700	\$0.005034
Common Transport - Facility Termination	\$0.00039	\$0.00036	\$0.00036	\$0.00036						
Common Transport - IOC Facility (10 Miles)	\$0.00050	\$0.00038	\$0.00039	\$0.00040						
VG - Facility Termination	\$23.30									
VG -IOC Facility (10 Miles)	\$19.00									
VG IOC Rate per MOU	\$0.00470									
DS1 - Facility Termination	\$90.47				\$59.75	\$ 59.75	\$59.75			
-IOC Facility (10 Miles)	\$253.30				\$160.00	\$165.00	\$167.50			
DS1 IOC Rate per MOU	\$0.00159				\$0.00102	\$0.00104	\$0.00105			
DS3 - Facility Termination	\$1,541.84							\$1,200.00	\$1,200.00	\$1,200.00
-IOC Facility (10 Miles)	\$2,000.00							\$1,550.00	\$1,650.00	\$1,750.00
DS3 IOC Rate per MOU	\$0.00059							\$0.00045	\$0.00047	\$0.00049
Channelization Equipment - (DS3 TO DS1)	\$1,049.51							\$970.00	\$970.00	\$970.00
Equivalent MOU Rate	\$0.00017							\$0.00016	\$0.00016	\$0.00016
Originating Rate - Tandem Switched	\$0.026213	\$0.022655	\$0.024098	\$0.025674						
Terminating Rate - Tandem Switched	\$0.032063	\$0.030255	\$0.032168	\$0.034204						
Total Rate - Tandem Switched	\$0.058276	\$0.052910	\$0.056266	\$0.059878						
Originating Rate - Voice Grade Dedicated	\$0.033650									
Terminating Rate - Voice Grade Dedicated	\$0.039500									
Total Rate - Voice Grade Dedicated	\$0.073149									
Originating Rate - DS1 Dedicated	\$0.026133				\$0.022460	\$0.023900	\$0.025464			
Terminating Rate - DS1 Dedicated	\$0.031983				\$0.030060	\$0.031970	\$0.033994			
Total Rate - DS1 Dedicated	\$0.058116				\$0.052520	\$0.055870	\$0.059458			
Originating Rate - DS3 Dedicated	\$0.025041							\$0.021780	\$0.023220	\$0.024794
ferminating Rate - DS3 Dedicated	\$0.030891							\$0.029380	\$0.031290	\$0.033324
Total Rate - DS3 Dedicated	\$0.055932							\$0.051160	\$0.054510	\$0.058118

AVERAGE SWITCHED ACCESS RATE ACROSS ALL ZONES IS \$.05632.

ASSUMPTIONS:

9,000 Minutes of Use per Voice Grade Equivalent

Interoffice Transport of 10 miles

Assume DS1 Local Channel for Tandem Switched (Common) Transport