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July 31,1996

Ms. Blanca S. Bayou
Director, Division of Records and Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd
Betty Easley Conference Center, Rm. 110
Tallahassee, 11. 32399-0850

Dear Mrs Bayo:

Enclosed please find an original and fifteen copses of BellSouth Telecommunications. Inc.'s Petition for Declaratory Statement, or in the Alternative, for Waiver, which we ask that you file in the captioned docket

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Ce"uticale of Service

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sincerely. } \\
& \text { Phechp carven ( } \Delta \alpha \text { ) } \\
& \text {, Phillip Carver }
\end{aligned}
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## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

DOCKET NO. $960816-$

I HIRREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail this $3^{35}$ day of July , 1996 to:

Staff Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Betty Easley Conference Center, Rm. 110
Tallahassee, Fl. 32399-0850


## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| In re: Petition of BellSouth | Docket No. $\mathbb{T} 0876-T L$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Telecommunications, Inc. for |  |  |
| Declaratory Statement, or in the | ; |  |
| Alternative, for Waiver. |  |  |
|  | Filed: July 31, 1996 |  |

## BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. 'S PETITION FOR DECLARATORY STATEMENT, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR WAIVER

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth"), pursuant to Rule 25-22.020, Florida Administrative Code, seeks a Declaratory Statement as to the applicability of Rule $25-4.115$, F.A.C., to directory assistance calls from a caller's home Numbering Plan Area ("NPA") to a foreign NPA where both the home and foreign NPAs are in the same LATA. In the alternative, pursuant to Rule $25-24.505(3)$, F.A.C., BellSouth petitions the Florida Public Service Commission for a waiver of the Rule.

In support of this Petition, BellSouth states the following:

1. BellSouth is a telephone company lawfully doing business in the state of Florida, the regulated operations of which are subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission pursuant to Chapter 364, Fla. Stat.
2. BellSouth's principal place of business in Florida is 150 W . Flagler St.. Suite 1910, Miami, Florida, 33130.
3. Pleadings and process in this matter may be served upon:

Robert G. Beatty<br>J. Phillip Carver<br>Stephen M. Klimacek<br>c/o Nancy Sims<br>150 So. Monroe Street, Ste. 400<br>Tallahassee, FL 32301

4. Under Rule 25-4.115, F.A.C., charges for directory assistance calls (and the associated routing requirements) are determined by the home NPA of the caller "IClalls within a local calling area or wit un a customer's |home NPA| shall be a، rates prescribed in the General Service Tariff of the local exchange company originating the call. . . ." Rule 25-4.115(2), F.A.C. On the other hand, "intrastate calls to directory assistance outside of the caller's |home NPA shall be at rates prescribed in the General Service Tariff of the interexchange companies. ..." Rule 25-4.115(3), F.A.C.
5. When Rule 25-4.115 was implemented, BellSouth could route directory assistance traffic on either a LATA-wide or NPA-wide basis and still be in full compliance with the Rule, because there were no LATAs that contained more than one NPA. ${ }^{1}$
6. Because of changed circumstances arising from the recent protieration of NPAs in Florida (since 1986, the number of NPAs has grown from three to eight), strict application of the Rule will produce a result that may have been unintended at the time the Rule was developed. This could work a hardship on end users calling for directory assistance on numbers outside their local calling area.
7. At the time the Rule was developed, there were only three NPAs in all of
[^0]Florida. A directory assistance call from a caller's home to a foreign NPA would, in all cases, involve a call to a different LATA. That is not the case today.
8. Presently, three separate NPAs $(305,954$ and 561) are fully contained within the Southeast LATA, which runs from Key West to Sebastian Inlet. In 1986, the only NPA in that LATA was 305. Consequently, at any time from the development of the Rule in 1986 until August 1, 1996 (when the 954 NPA becomes mandatory in Broward County), a person calling directory assistance frol.1, for example, the Homestead exchange and seeking a number in the Ft . Lauderdale exchange would be charged BellSouth's rate of 25 C. After the 954 NPA becomes mandatory, that same directory assistance call would be outside the caller's home NPA, but within the same LATA. Applying a strict construction of Rule 25-4.115, the caller would be routed to an interexchange carrier and charged the interexchange carrier's rate, which in most cases is higher than BellSouth's rate.
9. Carrying the Rule to its extrome, a local call could be routed to an interexchange carriet. For example, a further split of NPA 305, which appears likely. could cause a directory assistance request originating in the Homestead exchar ye for a listing in North Miami Beach to be routed to an interexchange carrier. BellSouth does not believe this result was ever intended when the Rule was implemented. Moreover, under BellSouth's existing tariff, callers receive their first three local directory assistance calls free. If, however, these local calls are routed to an interexchange carrier. customers would pay the interexchange carrier's rate for such calls.
10. Based on the foregoing, it is obvious that circumstances have changed since the original implementation of Rule 25 4.115. Strict adherence to the Rule today would result in routing and billing directory assistance calls in a way that differs
markedly from the result of applying the Rule at its inception. Strict application of the Rule to current circumstances would thus yield a result that appears to conflict with the intended result of the Rule. To mitigate against any billing/routing anomaly created by a strict application of the Rule, the Commission should interpret Rule 25 4. 115 to authorize BellSouth to route to itself directory assistance calls from a caller's home NPA to a foreign NPA where both the home and foreign SPAs are in the same IATA.

Alternatively, if this Commission determines that the Rule cannot be interpreted in this manner, it should grant BellSouth a waiver from this Rule to allow BellSouth to route directory assistance calls as described above.

WHEREFORE, BellSouth respectfully requests the Commission to issue a Declaratory Statement that Rule $25-4.115$, F.A.C., authorizes Bell South to route to itself, and charge its tariff rate for, directory assistance calls from a caller's home NPA to a foreign NPA where both SPAs are in the same LATA. In the alternative, BellSouth requests the Commission to grant it a waiver of Rule 25-4.115 to allow routing and billing as described above.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.


Robert G. Beatty
J. Phillip Carver

Stephen M. Klimacek coo Nancy H. Sims
150 S. Monroe St., Ste. 400
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(305) 347-5561


[^0]:    1 Prige to the Commission'sadoptionot Rule $25.4 \quad 115$, Judge Greene gave BellSouth the option of providingdirectory assistanceon an NPA-widebasis UnitedStatesy. WesternElectric C0, Inc. No B2.0193(D D. C July 12, 1985) Therefore where an NPA crossedLATA boundaries. BellSouthcould. if it so elected, handle call to directoryassistancemadefroma caller on one side of the LATA boundary seeking a numberin the same NPA, but on the other side of the LATA boundary

