FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Capital Circle Office Center e 2540 ghumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850



September 26, 1996

TO: DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYO)
FROM: DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS (HAWKIAS) Bff fy La DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (BROWN) $\mathrm{ACl} / \mathrm{Mi}$

RE: DOCKETS NOS.: 961058-TC, 961059-TC, 961060-TC, 961061TC, $961062-\mathrm{TC}, 961063-\mathrm{TC}, 961064-\mathrm{TC}, 961065-\mathrm{TC}, 961066-\mathrm{TC}$ and $961067-T C$ - CANCELLATION BY FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISBION FOR VIOLATION OF RULE 25-4.0161(2), F.A.C., REGULATORY ASSESSMENT PEES.

AGENDA: October 8, 1996 - REGULAR AGENDA - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES
NONE
SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: I:\PBC\CMU\WP\961058.RCM

## CASE BACKGROUND

Regulatory assessment fees for 1995 have not been remitted by the following persons/companies: Rhonda $S$. Porter 4123 , Bill Brunner 4132 , Kauffman Cigarette Service, Inc. 4150 , Mario Marion Bannister 4159 , Laurstar Telecommunications, Inc. 4172 , Daniel Patrick Stutz 4179 , Amtel Communications, Inc. d/b/a ACI Amtel 4228 , James D. Champion and Bruce Sellars d/b/a Sirron Communications \#4152, V R Communications $\$ 4195$ and Trans Continental Communication $\$ 4185$.

The Bureau of Fiscal Services mailed the appropriate forms and a delinquent notice to each of the above persons/companies and received no response.

The Division of Communications mailed certified letters and received the return receipt cards signed; however, no fees have been submitted.

```
DOCKETS NOS. 961058-TC, 961059-TC, 961060, 961061-TC, 961062-TC,
961063-TC, 961064-TC, 961065-TC, 961066-TC and 961067-TC
DATE: SEPTEMBER 26, 1996
```

The persons/companies listed owed less than $\$ 10,000$ for the preceding year, therefore, pursuant to Rule 24-4.0161(2) Telecommunication companies that owed gross regulatory assessment fees of less than $\$ 10,000$ for the preceding calendar year shall pay the fee and remit the appropriate form once a year. The regulatory assessment fee and appropriate form shall be filed no later than January 30 of the subsequent year for the current calendar year operations.

DOCKETS NOS. $961058-T C, 961059-T C, 961060-T C, 961061-\mathrm{TC}, 961062-\mathrm{TC}$, 961063-TC, $961064-$ TC, $961065-T C, 961066-T C$ and $961067-$ TC DATE: BEPTEMBER 26, 1996

## DIscussion or IssuEs

ISSUE 1: Should the pay telephone providers referenced on page 6 have their pay telephone certificates cancelled by the Florida Putlic Service Commission for violation of Rule 25-4.0161(2), Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees, if they fail to pay a $\$ 250$ fine and past due regulatory assessment fees?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. Staff recommends that the providers referenced on page 6 have their certificates cancelled if they fail to pay a $\$ 250$ fine and past due regulatory assessment fees within 30 days after the order becomes final. The fires are to be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to $364.285(1)$, Florida Statutes.

STAFF ANALYSLS: Rule 25-4.0161(2), Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunication Companies, Florida Administrative Code, states in pertinent part:
(2) Regulatory assessment fees and the applicable regulatory assessment fee return form for companies whose gross regulatory assessment fees of $\$ 10,000$ or more shall be filed twice a year. Companies whose gross regulatory assessment fees are less that $\$ 10,000$ shall file the appropriate form once a year; no later than January 30 of the subsequent year, and:
(a) Remit the total amount of its fee, or
(b) Remit an amount which the company estimates is its full fee, or
(c) Seek and receive from the Commission a 30 day extension of its due date.

DOCKETS NOS. 961058-TC, 961059-TC, 961060-TC, 961061-TC, $961062-\mathrm{TC}$, $961063-\mathrm{TC}, 961064-\mathrm{TC}, 961065-\mathrm{TC}, 961066-\mathrm{TC}$ and $961067-\mathrm{TC}$ DATE: SEPTEMBER 26, 1996

The regulatory assessment fees due have not been remitted by any of the companies referenced on page 6 based on information provided by the Bureau of Fiscal Services. Furthermore, the companies referenced on page 6 have not requested an extension of time in order to comply with the Rule.

Therefore, since it appears that each company referenced on page 6 has violated Rule 25-4.0161(2), Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunication Companies, Florida Administrative Code, staff recommends that each company's certificate be cancelled pursuant to Rule $25-24.514(1)$, Cancellation of Certificate, Florida Administrative code. The rule states in pertirent part that:
(1) The Commission may cancel a company's certificate for any of the following reasons:
(a) Violation of the terms and conditions under which the authority was originally granted.
(b) Violation of the Commission rules or orders;
(c) Violation of Florida Statutes; or,
(d) Failure to provide service for a period of six (6) months.

Staff believes that these companies were given ample opportunity to comply with Rule 25-4.0161(2), Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunication Companies, Florida Administrative Code. The Bureau of Fiscal Services not only mails the appropriate forms annually, but they also mail a delinquent notice. The Division of Communications mailed certified letters and received the return receipt cards signed; however, no fees have been submitted. A provider that does not receive the form is still responsible for remitting the appropriate fees. Each company seeking certification acknowledges that it understands the rules $a \pm$ the time it applies by signing an acknowledgement card.

DOCKETS NOS. 961058-TC, $961059-T C, 961060-T C, 961061-T C, 961062-T C$, 961063-TC, 961064-TC, 961065-TC, 961066-TC and 961067-TC
DATE: SEPTEMBER 26, 1996

ISSUE 2: Should these dockets be closed?
RECOMIENDATION: Yes. If no person whose substantial interests are affected files a protest within 21 days of the issuance date of the order, the provider will have 30 days from the date the order becomes final to pay a $\$ 250$ fine and all past due regulatory assessment fees or their certificate will be cancelled without further commission action and the dockets should be closed. If any of these certificate nos. are cancelled, 4123, 4132, 4150, 4159, 4172, 4179, 4228, 4152, 4195 and/or 4185, the serving local exchange company shall be directed to discontinue service to all pay telephones operated by that company. A protest of one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final.

STAFF ANALYGIS: If the Commission adopts staff's recommendation in Issue 1, then the providers, referenced on page 6 will have 30 days from the date the Order becomes final to pay a $\$ 250$ fine and past due regulatory assessment fees or their certificate will be cancelled without further commission action. If no protest is filed in a docket, the docket should be closed after the conclusion of the 30 day period. A protest in one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final. If the Commission denied staff on Issue 1, these dockets should be closed.
DOCKETS NOS. $961058-\mathrm{TC}, 961059-\mathrm{TC}, 961050-\mathrm{TC}, 961061-\mathrm{TC}, 961062-\mathrm{TC}$,
$961063-\mathrm{TC}, 961064-\mathrm{TC}, 961065-\mathrm{TC}, 961066-\mathrm{TC}$ and $961067-\mathrm{TC}$
DATE: SEPTEMBER 26, 1996
COMPANIES WHO FAILED TO REMIT 1995 REGULATORY ASSESSMENT FEES

1. RHONDA S. PORTER
2. BILL BRUNNER
3. KAUFFMAN CIGARETTE SERVICE, INC.
4. MARIO MARION BANNISTER
5. LAURSTAR TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
6. DANIEL PATRICK STUTZ
7. AMTEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{a}$ACI AMTEL, INC.
8. JAMES D. CHAMPION \& BRUCE SELLERS d/b/a SIRRON COMMUNICATIONS
9. V R COMMUNICATIONSCERTIFICATE NO. 4195
10. TRANS CONTINENTAL COMMUNICATION, INC.
