BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

In Re: Petition by Sprint Communications Company Limited) ORDER NO. PSC-96-1327-PCO-TP Partnership d/b/a Sprint for arbitration with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection rates, terms, and conditions, pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.

) DOCKET NO. 961150-TP) ISSUED: October 31, 1996

ORDER MODIFYING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE AND IDENTIFYING ISSUES

Procedural Schedule

On October 15, 1996, Order No. PSC-96-1282-PCO-TP was issued establishing the dates to govern the key activities in this docket. On October 24, 1996, Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership filed a Motion for Extension of Date for Filing Rebuttal Testimony.

Upon consideration, Sprint's Motion is granted. Further, due to the time constraints prescribed by 47 U.S.C. § 252, the date for filing briefs must be modified. Therefore, the procedural schedule outlined in Order No. PSC-96-1282-PCO-TP shall be modified as follows:

> Rebuttal testimony November 1, 1996, 12:00 PM and exhibits

Briefs Due December 16, 1996

Issues

On October 21, 1996, Commission staff held an issue identification workshop with the parties. Attached to this Order as Attachment "A" is the list of issues which have been identified.

Based upon the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner DIANE K. KIESLING, as Prehearing Officer, that Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership's Motion for Extension of Date for Filing Rebuttal Testimony is granted. It is further

ORDERED that Order No. PSC-96-1282-PCO-TP is modified as outlined in the body of this Order. It is further

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

11628 OCT 31 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDERED that Order No. PSC-96-1282-PCO-TP is reaffirmed in all other respects.

By ORDER of Commissioner Diane K. Kiesling, as Prehearing Officer, this <u>31st</u> day of <u>October</u>, <u>1996</u>.

Diane K. Kiesling, Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

WPC

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: 1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; 2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or 3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review Such of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

ATTACHMENT "A"

LIST OF ISSUES

- What services provided by BellSouth, if any, should be excluded from resale?
- What are the appropriate standards, if any, for performance metrics, service restoration, and quality assurance related to services provided by BellSouth for resale and for network elements provided to Sprint by BellSouth.
- 3. What is the appropriate remedy for breach of the standards identified in Issue 2?
- 4. Are meet point billing arrangements appropriate between BellSouth and Sprint?
- 5. Should BellSouth be required to provide real-time and interactive access via electronic interfaces as requested by Sprint to perform the following:
 - Pre-Service ordering
 - Service Trouble Reporting
 - Service Order Processing and Provisioning
 - (including identification of line option by LSO) Billing
 - Integrated Test Functionality
- 6. If BellSouth is required to provide real-time and interactive access via electronic interfaces for any of the items listed in Issue 5, what are the costs, and how should they be recovered?
- 7. Is it appropriate for BellSouth to provide customer service records to Sprint for preordering purposes?
- 8. When Sprint resells BellSouth's local exchange service or purchases unbundled local switching, is it technically feasible or otherwise appropriate to route 0+ and 0calls to an operator other than BellSouth's, to route 411 and 555-1212 directory assistance calls to an operator other than BellSouth's, or to route 611 repair calls to a repair center other than BellSouth's?
- 9. How should misdirected service calls be handled by BellSouth?

÷ .

- 10. When Sprint resells BellSouth's services, is it technically feasible or otherwise appropriate for BellSouth to brand operator services and directory services calls that are initiated from those resold services?
- 11. When Sprint uses BellSouth's operator services either on a resale basis or as an unbundled element, should BellSouth quote Sprint specific rates?
- 12. When BellSouth's employees or agents interact with Sprint's customers with respect to a service provided by BellSouth on behalf of Sprint, what type of branding requirements are technically feasible or otherwise appropriate?
- 13. Should BellSouth provide Sprint access to BellSouth's directory assistance and 911/E911 databases?
- 14. Should BellSouth be required to provide notice to its wholesale customers of changes to BellSouth's services? If so, in what manner and in what time frame?
- 15. How should BellSouth treat a PIC change request received from an IXC other than Sprint for a Sprint local customer?
- 16. Are the following items considered to be network elements, capabilities, or functions? If so, is it technically feasible for BellSouth to provide Sprint with these elements?
 - Local Loop
 - Network Interface Device
 - Local Switching
 - Operator Systems
 - Interoffice Transmission Facilities
 - Tandem Switching
 - Signaling and Call Related Databases
- 17. Should BellSouth make access to conduits, poles, ducts and rights-of-way available to Sprint on terms and conditions equal to that it provides itself?
- 18. What are the appropriate rates, terms and conditions for Sprint's interconnection with BellSouth's network?

- 19. What are the appropriate trunking arrangements between Sprint and BellSouth for local interconnection?
- 20. What are the appropriate wholesale rates for BellSouth to charge when Sprint purchases BellSouth's retail services for resale?
- 21. What is the price of each of the items considered to be network elements, capabilities, or functions?
- 22. What is the compensation mechanism for the exchange of local traffic between Sprint and BellSouth?
- 23. What are the appropriate rates, terms and conditions for Carrier Identification Parameter?
- 24. Should BellSouth make available any interconnection, service or network element provided under an agreement approved under 47 U.S.C. § 252, to which it is a party, to Sprint under the same terms and conditions provided in the agreement?
- 25. Should BellSouth be required to provide parity access to switch features, overflow/congestion conditions, equipment/interface protection, power redundancy, and sufficient spare facilities to ensure provisioning, repair, performance, and availability?
- 26. What should be the appropriate cost recovery mechanism, if any, for field surveys related to right-of-way use?
- 27. To the extent that this is not provided via electronic database access, should BellSouth be required to notify Sprint of resold customer disconnects within 48 hours of disconnection? How should that notification take place?