URIEMAL FILE COP

	1		RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY OF ARSEN	IO MILIAN, P.E.
	2		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVI	CE COMMISSION
	3		REGARDING THE RULES FOR MARGI	N RESERVE AND
	4		IMPUTATION OF CIAC ON MARG	IN RESERVE
•	5	(ON BEHALF OF THE FLORIDA WATERWO	ORKS ASSOCIATION
	6		DOCKET NO. 9	S
	7			
	8	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADD	RESS FOR THE
	9		RECORD.	
	10	A.	My name is Arsenio Milian.	
	11			
	12	Q.	DID YOU FILE DIRECT TESTIMONY IN	THIS CASE ON
	13		BEHALF OF THE FLORIDA WATERWO	RKS ASSOCIATION
	14		(FWA)?	
	15	A.	Yes, I did.	
	16			
	1 7	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR RES	PONSIVE
ACK	18		TESTIMONY?	
VFA	19	A.	I would like to respond to certain comments ma	ade by Gerald Hartman and
	20		Richard Harvey on behalf of Southern States U	tilities, Inc. I agree with
	21		the comments each of them filed, but there are	several in particular which I
	22		feel merit further discussion.	
	23			
n an	. 24	Q.	ON PAGE 7, STARTING LINE 13, OF MR.	. HARTMAN'S
	25	TESTIMONY, HE EXPLAINS THE FLAW IN THE		
WAS	•		1	DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
)104 <u></u>	,			121-26 NOV 15 8
				FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

.

•-

COMMISSIONS MARGIN RESERVE PERIOD. DO YOU AGREE WITH HIS OBSERVATIONS?

3 Α. Yes, absolutely. The Commission has equated the margin reserve period 4 to the average plant construction duration. As Mr. Hartman observes, the 5 Commission treats margin reserve as a "surrogate" for future plant 6 expansion, but does not include the actual, higher cost of future plant. 7 However, we are not suggesting that margin reserve be equal to actual future construction costs to be incurred in the next five years, but to 8 include only a portion of already incurred historical cost which benefits 9 current customers over that period. The margin reserve period of five 10 11 years represents the period of time necessary to have plant planned, 12 designed, permitted and constructed in order to serve the next customer to connect. That process usually averages five years, and as Mr. Hartman 13 14 points out, sometimes more.

15

5

Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. HARTMAN'S STATEMENT ON PAGE 17, LINE 19, THAT THE COMMISSION'S POLICIES ON MARGIN RESERVE SERVE AS A DISINCENTIVE TO

19 ECONOMIES OF SCALE?

A. Yes, I do. In my own experience as a utility manager for many years, and
as a result of the survey my firm conducted, I see over and over again that
the final choices made by utilities hinge strongly on the treatment they
expect from the Commission. If the Commission wants utilities to make
the prudent economic choices, they should not send the opposite signal.
When the Commission allows a margin reserve period of only one or two

2

1		years, then how can they expect utilities to do anything other than			
2		construct in small one to two year increments, that will inevitably result in			
3		higher rates to existing and future customers.			
4					
5	Q.	ON PAGE 9, MR. HARTMAN DEFINES WHY MARGIN			
6		RESERVE IS NECESSARY. DO YOU AGREE?			
7	A.	Yes, I do. He has summarized the key issues we have raised to explain			
8		why it is necessary to allow a five year margin reserve. We have			
9		performed a study which proves that margin reserve encourages			
10		economies of scale, for which there is a significant economic benefit to			
11		both the utility and the customers. Furthermore, if margin reserve is			
12		inadequate, the utilities will be compelled to construct in small increments,			
13		and always be on the edge of regulatory compliance. In effect, this will			
14		result in an increase in the cost to the customers as the utility must be in a			
15		state of managing multiple projects, defending regulatory compliance, and			
16		filing rate applications.			
17					
18	Q.	ARE YOU IN AGREEMENT WITH COMMENTS MADE BY MR.			
19		RICHARD HARVEY WITH RESPECT TO THE IMPACT OF			
20		ECONOMIC REGULATION ON ENVIRONMENTAL			
21	-	COMPLIANCE?			
22	А.	Yes, I am. I have long advocated protection of the environment, but			
23		became more aware and supportive after serving as a Board Member on			
24		the South Florida Water Management District. Legislation has been			
25		enacted which establishes the importance of protecting our resources at the			

.

3

1		local, state and national levels. However the Commission may not realize
2		the serious potential impact of their rules on the environment. On
3		numerous occasions, utilities are forced to struggle between environmental
4		compliance and economic survival. While at the District, our staff
5		actively participated in efforts to educate the public, similar to Mr.
6		Harvey's own experiences. But despite the efforts of the Districts and
7		DEP, the Commission has not recognized that when environmental
8		protection legislation is enacted, the economic choice has been made. No
9		one is well served by forcing utilities to live on the edge, barely meeting
10		environmental requirements, for the purpose of artificially holding down
11		rates on a short term. Any Commission policy that would in effect
12		disallow prudent utility investment to protect our environment is short
13		sighted and should be discontinued. The Commission must encourage
14		utilities to operate in a manner that enables them to adhere to the goals of
15		State and the protection of our natural resources. Their proposed rule will
16		not accomplish this.
17		
18	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
19	А.	Yes.
20		
21		
22		
23		

•7