BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 960001-EI FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

NOVEMBER 19, 1996

IN RE: LEVELIZED FUEL COST RECOVERY

FINAL TRUE-UP

APRIL 1996 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1996

ACK ____

CAF _____

AG ____

WAS ____

OTH ____

TESTIMONY & EXHIBITS OF:

R. MORLEY

12336 NOV 19 %

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

TESTIMONY OF R. MORLEY

DOCKET NO. 960001-EI

November 19, 1996

1	Q.	Please state your name, business address, employer and
2		position.
3	A.	My name is Rosemary Morley, and my business address is 9250
4		West Flagler Street, Miami, Florida, 33174. I am employed by
5		Florida Pover & Light Company (FPL) as Manager of Rates and
6		Tariff Administration.
7		
8	Q.	Have you previously testified in this docket?
9	A.	Yes, I have.
10		
11	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
12	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to present the schedules necessary
13		to support the actual Fuel Cost Recovery Clause (FCR) Net True-
14		Up amount for the period April 1996 through September 1996. The
15		Net True-Up for FCR is an underrecovery, including interest, of

1 \$13,513,839. I am requesting Commission approval to include this 2 true-up amount in the calculation of the FCR factor for the period 3 April 1997 through September 1997. 4 5 Q. Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your 6 direction, supervision or control an exhibit in this proceeding? 7 A. Yes, I have. It consists of Appendix I which contains the FCR 8 related schedules. FCR Schedules A-1 through A-13 for the April 9 1996 through September 1996 period have been filed monthly with 10 the Commission, are served on all parties and are incorporated 11 herein by reference. 12 13 Q. What is the source of the data which you will present by way 14 of testimony or exhibits in this proceeding? Unless otherwise indicated, the actual data is taken from the books 15 A. and records of FPL. The books and records are kept in the regular 16 17 course of our business in accordance with generally accepted 18 accounting principles and practices, and provisions of the Uniform 19 System of Accounts as prescribed by this Commission. 20 Q. Please explain the calculation of the Net True-up Amount.

21

22

23

24

A.

Appendix I, page 3, entitled "Summary of Net True-Up", shows the calculation of the Net True-Up for the six-month period April 1996 through September 1996, an underrecovery of \$13,513,839, which I am requesting be included in the calculation of the Fuel Cost Recovery Factor for the period April 1997 through September 1997.

The calculation of the true-up amount for the period follows the procedures established by this Commission as set forth on Commission Schedule A-2 "Calculation of True-Up and Interest Provision".

The actual End-of-Period underrecovery for the six-month period April 1996 through September 1996 of \$162,549,386 shown on line 1, less the estimated/actual End-of-Period underrecovery for the same period of \$149,035,547 shown on line 2 that was included in the calculation of the Fuel Cost Recovery Factor for the period October 1996 through March 1997, results in the Net True-Up for the six-month period April 1996 through September 1996 shown on line 3, an underrecovery of \$13,513,839.

- Q. Have you provided a schedule showing the variances between actuals and estimated/actuals?
- 19 A. Yes. Appendix I, page 4, entitled "Calculation of Final True-up
 20 Variances", shows the actual fuel costs and revenues compared to
 21 the estimated/actuals for the period April 1996 through September
 22 1996.

24 Q. What was the variance in fuel costs?

As shown on Appendix I, page 4, line A7, actual fuel costs on a Total Company basis were \$16.8 million higher than the estimated/actual projection. This variance is primarily due to a \$12.0 million increase in the Fuel Cost of System Net Generation, a \$3.7 million increase in the Fuel Cost of Purchased Power and a \$5.6 million increase in Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities, offset by a \$2.9 million decrease in the Fuel Cost of Power Sold and a \$5.7 million decrease in the Energy Cost of Economy Purchases.

A.

The increase in the Fuel Cost of System Net Generation was primarily due to an 6.1% increase in natural gas usage to meet higher than projected sales. The increase in the Fuel Cost of Purchased Power was primarily due to higher than projected Unit Power Sales (UPS) purchases from Southern Company due to the unavailability of low cost economy energy due to hot weather throughout the Southeast. The increase in Energy Payments to Qualifying Facilities (QF's) was primarily due to higher than anticipated deliveries from the Indiantown Cogeneration Limited (ICL) and Cedar Bay contracts. The decrease in the Energy Cost of Economy Purchases was primarily due to the unavailability of low cost economy energy due to hot weather throughout the Southeast.

1	Q.	What was the variance in retail (jurisdictional) Fuel Cost
2		Recovery revenues?
3	A.	As shown on line D1, actual jurisdictional Fuel Cost Recovery
4		revenues, net of revenue taxes, were \$2.3 million higher than the
5		estimated/actual projection. This increase was due to higher
6		jurisdictional kWh sales. Jurisdictional sales were 633,438,727
7		kWh (1.6%) higher than the estimated/actual projection.
8		
9	Q.	How is Real Time Pricing (RTP) reflected in the calculation of
10		the Net True-up Amount?
11	A.	In the determination of Jurisdictional kWh sales, only kWh sales
12		associated with RTP baseline load are included, consistent with
13		projections (Appendix I, page 4, Line C3). In the determination of
14		Jurisdictional Fuel Costs, revenues associated with RTP
15		incremental kWh sales are included as 100% Retail (Appendix I,
16		page 4, Line D4c) in order to offset incremental fuel used to
17		generate these kWh sales.
18		

Does this conclude your testimony?

19

20

A.

Yes, it does.

APPENDIX I FUEL COST RECOVERY TRUE-UP CALCULATION

RM-1
DOCKET NO. 960001-EI
FPL WITNESS: R. Morley
EXHIBIT ____
PAGES 1-4
November 19, 1996

12336 NOV 19 %

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

APPENDIX I FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE	DESCRIPTION						
3	SUMMARY OF NET TRUE-UP AMOUNT						
4	CALCULATION OF FINAL TRUE-UP VARIANCES						

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE SUMMARY OF NET TRUE-UP FOR THE SIX MONTH PERIOD APRIL 1996 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1996

- End of Period True-up for the six month period
 April 1996 through September 1996 (from page 4, lines D7 & D8)

 \$(162,549,386)

 Less Estimated/Actual True-up for the same period * (149,035,547)
- 3 Net True-up for the six month period April 1996 through September 1996 \$ (13,513,839)
 - () Reflects Underrecovery
- Approved in FPSC Order No. PSC-96-1172-FOF-EI dated September 19, 1996

			FLORIDA PO	WER &	LIGHT CO!	MPA	NY				
			FUEL COS	T RECO	VERY CLA	USE					
1			CALCULATION OF FINAL TRUE-UP VARIANCES								
+			FOR THE PERIOD APRIL 1996 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1996								
Т					(1)		Т	(2)	Т	(3)	(4)
LD	NE						\vdash	UPDATED	1	MARIANO	
NO.					ACTUAL		ESTIMATE (a)		\vdash	AMOUNT	%
T	1	Fuel Cost of	lystem Net Generation	S	750,299			738,295,159	S	12,004,581	1.6 1
T		Nuclear Fuel	Disposal Costs		9,402	203	1	9,494,835		(92,632)	(1.0) 4
1		Coal Cars Dep	reciation & Return		2,484	.844		2,484,843		1	0.0
Т		Gas Pipelines	Depreciation & Return		1,835	,690	\Box	1,835,691		(1)	0.0
Т		DOE Deconta	ninstion &Decommissioning Fund Payment			0		0		0	N/A
T	2				(19,071	,488)		(21,996,751)		2,925,263	(13.3)
Т	3	Fuel Cost of P	archased Power		80,081	,109		76,342,258		3,738,851	4.9
1		Energy Payme	nts to Qualifying Facilities		72,386	,621		66,820,049		5,566,572	8.3 4
T	4		Economy Purchases		32,493	,005		38,226,498		(5,733,493)	(15.0)
т	5	HE WITH THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE P	as & Net Power Transactions	2	929,911		5	911,502,582	5	18,409,142	2.0
\top	6	Adjustments to	Fuel Cost:								
1		Sales to Fla K	rys Elect Coop (FKEC) & City of Key West (CKW)	\$	(12,288,	.079)	S	(10,841,861)	5	(1,446,218)	13.3
T			'oltage Control Fuel Revenues		and the same of th	,186)		0	1	(31,186)	N/A
$^{+}$		Inventory Adj	that was Respondent to the first through the second		the same of the sa	211		27,735	1	10,476	N/A
+			ole Oil/Tank Bottoms	_		790	-	227,918		(186,128)	(81.7)
+	_	A STREET, SALES OF THE PARTY OF	y [fuel cost] - PSL 1 Ford Explorer event)	_		(000)		0		(50,000)	N/A
$^{+}$	7		Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	\$	917,622	inneren en e	_	900,916,374	5	16,756,086	1.9
+	Ĥ	1-,	Total Control of the		711,012,	, 100	-	700,710,514	-	10,730,080	1.5
+		Jurisdictiona	LAID F. L.	-	40 674 606	200	-	10.042.249.122	-	622 430 222	
+	1		The state of the s	-	40,673,696,	Annie I marie	-	40,042,258,172	-	633,438,727	1.6
+	2	Sale for Resale		-	241,155,	-	-	195,679,294	-	45,476,045	23.2
+	3		xcluding RTP Incremental)	_	40,916,852,	,238	-	40,237,937,466	-	678,914,772	1.7
+	4	Jurisdictional	Sales % of Total kWh Sales (Line B-6)	-	N/A	_	-	N/A	-	N/A	N/A
+		Tools Made	Part Day of the Part of the Pa		051104	403		649 927 (01	-	2244	
+	1		Fuel Revenues (Net of Revenue Taxes)	\$	854,582,	_		852,237,691	-	2,344,712	03 4
+			nue-up Provision Leverage Taxes (b)	-	(97,684,			(97,684,026)		0	0.0
+			evenues, Net of revenue taxes	-	(2,124,		-	(2,124,901)	-	0	0.0
+	3		Fuel Revenues Applicable to Period	2	754,779	,900	•	752,432,847		1,816	0.3
+	-			_	The second second	1	\$1000	THE RESERVE TO SHARE THE PARTY OF THE PARTY		2,346,528	
+			Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions (Line A-7) expense - 100% Retail	\$	917,622	_	3	900,916,374	3	16,706,086	1.9
+	_		tal Fuel -100% Retail	-	- Aurilia i servi	,821	-	94,589	-	52,232	55.2
+	_		yments -100% Retail (Line A 1 e)		144,	,739	-	68,689	-	76,050	110.7
+			Costs & Net Power Transactions - Excluding 100% Reta			0	-	0	-	0	N/A
	- 1	Items (D4a-D-			017770	000		000 213 007		14 400 004	1.8
+-	6		Total Fuel Costs & Net Power Transactions	\$	917,330,	-		900,753,096 897,165,246		15,774,620	10
+	7	-			712,727	,000	-	897,103,240	-	13,774,620	1.8
	-	The state of the s	ion for the Period- Over/(Under) Recovery (Line D3 - Liz		(250.1/0	4000		(144 772 700)		(12 120 001)	
+	-	D6)	- f - 1 - 1 - 1	2			-	(144,732,399)		(13,428,091)	9.3
+	8		on for the Month	-	(4,388,	,896)	-	(4,303,148)	-	(85,748)	2.0
	9	1 rue-up as im	rest Provision Beg. of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	- 1	100.754	020		107 (01 00 (1		
+	-	Defend Tour	Periodica of Build Countil day Burney	_	(97,684,	-	-	(97,684,026)	-	0	0.0
١,	10	+	up Beginning of Period - Over/(Under) Recovery	-	(17,157,	inerverdic	-	(17,157,052)	-	0	0.0
-	10	and the second lateral party and the second l	ne-up Collected/(Refunded) This Period Net True-up Amount Over/(Under) Recovery (Lines D7	-	97,684,	,020	-	97,684,026	-	0	0.0
<u> </u>	11	through D10)	The True-up Amount Over (Under) Recovery (Lines D7		/170 704	4200		(166 100 600)		(13 413 630)	
	-	natorigu (210)		\$	(179,706,	(86.0	2	(166,192,599)	3	(13,513,839)	8.1
	-						-		-		
		(a) Per Revised Estimated/Actual Schedule E-1b, filed August 20, 1996.									
		(b) Generation Performance Incentive Factor Reward (Per Order No. PSC-96-0353-FOF-EI)									
1	7	of \$2,159,086 x 98.4167% Revenue Tax Factor = \$2,124,901.									
		or a	LITER TO T TO SID / TO MEVERUE LET PACION H 3	4.144.3	rul.						