## Wublic Serbice Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: December 5, 1996
TO: Records and Reperting?
FROM: Jackie Gilchrist
RE: Docket No. 961263 SU - Disposition of Gross-up Funds Collected by North Fort Myers Utility, in Lee County.

Please place the attached documentation into the utility's docket file. As instructed, two copies are included with this memo.
cc: O'Sullivan
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Ms. Jackie Gilchrist
BY FACSIMILE (904) 413-7020
Regulatory Analyst
Division of Water \& Wastewater
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
Re: North Fort Myers Utility, Inc. - $1992 \& 1993$ Gross-up Reports (Fiscal Years Ended May 31, 1993 \& 1994)

Dear Jackie:
As requested, I reviewed your revised computations for the disposition of gross-up for North Fort Myers Utility, Inc. related to the years shown above.

We agree that no refunds are appropriate, although we continue to disagree as a matter of policy and theory for your adjustments related to first year's depreciation on CIAC.

I believe there is a small error in your calculations for 1993. In determining "Form 1120, Line 30 (Line 15)," the $\$ 4,300$ of interest income on gross-up deposits was deducted from income and should have been added. When corrected, this number would change from $\$ 520,210$ to $\$ 528,810$ and result in an under collection of gross-up of $\$ 10,190$. I realize this does not really matter, since no refund is due in any event; however, I believe the computation should be correct.
please contact me if you have any questions.
Very truly yours,
CRONIN, JACKSON, NIXON \& WILSON


Robert C. Nixon

## RCN/apf

cc: F. M. Deterding, Esq.
T. Reeves

# Cronin, Jackson, Nixon \& Wilson <br> CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS, P.A. 
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June 27, 1996

Ms. Jackie Gilchrist
Regulatory Analyst
Division of Water \& Wastawatar
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
Re: 1992 \& 1993 Gross-up Reports of North Fort Myers Utility, Inc. (Fiscal Years Ended May 31, 1993 and 1994)

Dear Ms. Gilchriatz
On behalf of North Fort Myers Utility, Inc., I am responding to your letter and schedules of June is, 1996.

Although we agree with the end result of your computations, for the record, I have set forth below the company's atrong disagreament with certain conclusions and assumptions contained in your calculations and discussed in your latter.

1. Net operating Lose (NOW) Deductions

Your letter indicates that Schedules No. 2, in the Company's reports, show below the line NOL deductions for tha respective fiscal years ended May 31 , 1993 and 1994. You hava asked whether any portion of these NOLs are above the line.

All of theso NoLs are below the line, as indicated in the Company's original filings. This ract can be demonstrated by a roview of the cumulative below the line losses nhown in the previous gross-up reports filed with the Commission and the orders disposing of gross-up for those years.

For your consideration, I have enclosed a schedule mich demonstrates that the wol carryforwards shown on the tax returns are less than the below the iine losses contained in the company's gross-up raports. Thus, tee NoLs on the tax return sust, by necessity, be below the inno losses.

Ms. Jackie Gilchrist June 27, 1996
page Two
2. Pirat Year's Dapregiation on CrAc - Year Ended May 32.1993

Your letter indicates that first yaar depreaiation on CIAC of $\$ 5,794$ should be an above the line item.

Respectfuliy, we cannot understand the logic or time consumed in making this adjustment. In the staff calculation, Line 2 is reduced by $\$ 5,794$, which is then added back on line 4, thus nuilifying the above the line classification on Line 1 . As you will note, the net result of these unnecessary adjustments is to end up at exactly the same point Staff started. Also, you will note that adjusted income beqore crac and gross-up on Line 7 is identical to the amount shown for this item in the Company's liling on Schedules No. 1 and 2.
3. Interest Earned on the Gross-up Egorow Account

North Fort Myers Utility, Inc. had gross-up escrow earnings of $\$ 3,478$ and $\$ 4,300$ for the fiscal yeare ended May 32, 1993 and 1994, respectively.

I believe that the same comments related to above the iine classification for first year's depreciation also apply to gross-up intorest earnings. As I understand, you will incraase income on Line 1 of the staff computation and then turn around and deduct that same amount on Line 5 . As noted above, this will result in exactly the same adjusted income before cTAC and grossup that was calculated and iiled by the Utility.
4. Eirst Year'f Depreciation on crac for Year Ended May 31,1994

Staff has imputed $\$ 20,008$ of first year depreciation on CIAC on the theory that "the cash CIAC collected has been used or should be used to pay off the Utility's ifine of credit, which in turn is being used to pay for plant additions."
The Company strongly aisagrees with this adjustment and the assumption on which it is based for the following reasons:

1. Staif's adjustment imputas a tax benefit for depreciation on CIAC which doas not exist.

Ms. Jackie Gilchrist
June 27, 1996
Page Three
2. Plant additions have been funded by the company's line of credit and Industrial Development Revenue Bonds. Such sources of financing bear interest and associated carrying costs. Under IRS regulations, construction of assets with these borrowings results in tax basis, which entitles the Company to the depreciation, irrespective of any reimbursement of investment through cash chic collections.
3. Payments on debt are applied first to interest and then to principal. The amount of dash chic collected in fiscal years 1993 and 1994 would not pay the interest, much less the principal, on the Utility's debt in these years.
4. There is no Commission rule or requirement that cash cIA is to be used for any specific purpose. staff is well aware that cash cIAc is used for all kinds of purposes, including the payment of current operating expenses.
5. Advances from Parent Company - Year Ended May 31, 1294

At May 31, 1994, the balance due to parent was $\$ 1,077,002$. The advances bear an interest rate of 8 percent. The amount of interest expense accrued and paid on these advances totalled $\$ 25,540$.
Should you have questions concerning the responses contained in this letter or attached schedule, please contact me.

Very truly yours,
CRONIN, JACKSON, NIXON \& WILSON


Robert C. Nixon
RCN/apf
Enclosure
cc: F. M. Deterding, Esq. (w/encl.)
T. Reaves (w/ancl.)
J. Schenkman (w/encl.)

North Fort Myors Uumity, Inc, Surnmary of Below the Line Losses and Above the Line Income and Grose-up Refunds

| Approved In PSC Order |  | Fiscal Year Ended |  | Below the Line Loss |  | bove the <br> ne Income <br> fore CIAC |  | CLAC | Total Above the Une Income |  | Gross-up Refunds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes (Frist | (1) started | 05/31/88 05/87) | \$ | $(758,881)$ | \$ | 22,184 | \$ | 40,507 | \$ 62,091 |  | - |
| Yes | (1) | 05/31/89 |  | (1,430,350) |  | (31.481) |  | 180,704 | 149,223 | \$ | 0.663 |
| Yes | (1) | 05/31/90 |  | (1.643,424) |  | $(13,624)$ |  | 08,077 | 84,453 |  | 21,415 |
| Yes | (2) | 05/31/91 |  | (2,137,771) |  | 45,350 |  | 282.885 | 328,235 |  | - |
| Yes | (2) | 05/31/92 |  | $(2,069,195)$ |  | 30,742 |  | 157,788 | 208,510 |  | . |
| Total thro | ugh 0 | 5/31/92 |  |  |  | 73,171 |  | 759,941 | 833,112 |  | 31,078 |
| No |  | 05/31/93 |  | $(3,748,484)$ |  | 50,675 |  | 1,129,778 | 1,180,453 |  | - |
| No |  | 05/31/94 |  | $(1,898,301)$ |  | $(56,189)$ |  | 409,690 | 353,501 |  | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  | S | 67,657 | 5 | 2,299,409 | \$ 2,367,066 | 5 | 31,078 |

(1) Order No. PSC-92-0251-FOF-SU
(2) Order No. PSC-94-0443-FOF-3U

State of Florida

DIVISION OF WATER \& WASTEWATER
CHARLES HILL

## DIRECTOR

(904) 413-6900

# Zlublic Service Commission 

June 18, 1996

Mr. F. Marshall Deterding
Rose, Sundstrom \& Bentley
2548 Blairstone Pines Drive
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Re: 1992 and 1993 CIAC Reports for North Fort Myers Utility, Inc.
Dear Mr. Deterding:
Pursuant to Order No. PSC-96-0686-FOF-WS, all current Contributions-in-aid-ofConstruction (CIAC) gross-up cases or any CIAC gross-up cases filed prior to any change in Commission policy, shall be processed under the provisions of Order Nos. 16791 and 23541. Therefore, the letter originally sent to you on February 15, 1996, requesting a response is being resubmitted. Please note, however, that the preliminary refund calculation and the letter originally sent to you on February 15, 1996, regarding this matter is incorrect and should be replaced with this letter and the attached preliminary calculation.

## 1992 . For the Fiscal Year ended May 31, 1993

Schedule No. 2 shows a NOL Deduction of $\$ 2,288,696$. The utility's annual reports for 1992 and 1993 shows that the utility operated at a loss in 1992 and 1993, therefore, please indicate how much of the $\$ 2,288,696$ NOL Deduction should be allocated above the line. In calculating Depreciation for 1993 (Schedule No. 3), total below the line depreciation includes first year's depreciation on CIAC in the amount of $\$ 5,794$. First year's depreciation should be included in above the line depreciation, therefore, above the line depreciation has been adjusted accordingly. Total above the line depreciation is $\$ 185,825$ and total below the line depreciation is $\$ 252,744$. Total above the line taxable income is $\$ 1,738,069$ and total below the line loss is $(\$ 3,742,670)$. How much interest was earned in 1992 and 1993 on the gross-up escrow account?

# Letter to Mr. Deterding 

June 18, 1996
Page Two of Three

## 1993 - For the Fiscal Year ended May 31. 1994

On Schedule No. 1, note (1), it is stated that the company did not add any depreciable contributed property to its assets in the fiscal year and that plant additions were funded through draws on the company's line of credit. What did the company do with the cash CIAC collected during the fiscal year? Is the cash CIAC being used to pay off the utility's line of credit? If not, how is the company paying off its line of credit? Staff has assumed that the cash CIAC collected has been used or should be used to pay off the utility's line of credit, which in turn is being used to pay for plant additions. Therefore, staff's refund calculation for 1993 includes an estimated amount for first year's depreciation on CIAC. If this amount appears to be unreasonable, please provide the first year's depreciation on CIAC.

Schedule No. 2 shows a NOL deduction of $\$ 1,368,646$. The utility's annual reports for 1993 and 1994 shows that the utility operated at a loss in both years, therefore, please indicate how much of the $\$ 1,368,646 \mathrm{NOL}$ deduction should be allocated above the line. The interest expense calculation for 1994 (Schedule No. 3) shows $\$ 9,864,623$ as total longterm debt. This amount includes a loan for $\$ 1,077,002$ and according to Statement 9 of the utility's tax return, $\$ 1,077,002$ is the balance due to the Parent Company. What is the interest rate on the $\$ 1,007,002$ and how much of the interest expense relates to the loan from the Parent Company? How much interest was earned in 1993 and 1994 on the grossup escrow account?

The information requested above should be filed by July 19, 1996, so that staff can finalize its refund calculation. If the information requested above should impact our preliminary analysis, the refund calculation will be recalculated and resubmitted to you accordingly. If the utility disagrees with staff's calculations, alternative or corrected amounts along with supporting documentation should be provided no later than July 19, 1996, as well.

Letter to Mr. Deterding
June 18, 1996
Page Three of Three

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.
Sincerely,


JG:tyg(deterdin.ltr)
Enclosure
cc: Division of Water and Wastewater (Hill) Division of Auditing and Financial Analysis (Causseaux)
Mr. Robert C. Nixon

## Wrth Fort Myers Utility

 STAFF CALCU ED GROSS-UP REFUND SOURCE: (Line references are from CIAC Reports)1 Form 1120, Line 30 (Line 15)
2 Less CIAC (Line 7)
3 Less Gross-up collected (Line 19)
4 Add First Year's Depr on CIAC (Line 8)
5 Add/Less Other Effects (Lines 20 \& 21)
6
7 Adjusted Income Before CIAC and Gross-up 8
9 Taxable CIAC (Line 7)
10 Less first years depr. (Line 8)
11
12 Adjusted Income After CIAC
13 Less: NOL Carry Forward 14
15 Net Taxable CIAC
16 Combined Marginal state \& federal tax rates
17
18 Net Income tax on CIAC
19 Less ITC Realized
20
21 Net Income Tax
22 Expansion Factor for gross-up taxes
23
24 Gross-up Required to pay tax effect
25 Less CIAC Gross-up collected (Line 19)
26
27 (OVER) OR UNDER COLLECTION
28
29
30 TOTAL YEARLY REFUND
31
32
33 PROPOSED REFUND (excluding interest)


JAMES L CARLSTEDT, C.P.A. JOHN H. CRONIN, JR., C.P.A. ROBERT H. JACKSON, C.P.A. BRENDA W. MCBARRON, C.P.A. ROBERT C. NIXON, C.P.A. HOLLY M. TOWNER C.P.A. JAMES L WILSON, C.P.A.

2580 GULF-TO-BAY BOULEVARD SUITE 200
CLEARWATER. FLORIDA $34625-4419$
(813) 791-4020

TELECOPIER
(813) 797.3602

April 6, 1995
officers and Directors
North Fort Myers Utility, Inc.
In accordance with your request, we have prepared the accompanying Special Report of North Fort Myers Utility, Inc., consisting of Schedules No. 1 through No. 5. This report is intended solely for use in fulfilling certain reporting requirements related to collections of tax impact charges on contributions in aid of construction (CIAC), for the fiscal year ended May 31, 1994, to be filed with the Florida Public Service commission. We have not audited or reviewed this Special Report and express no opinion or any other form of assurance on it.


CRONIN, JACKSON, NIXON \& WILSON

```
Line
No.
    1 II. Composite non-used and useful percentage
```

```
II. Composite non-used and useful percentage Total plant costs per above \(\$ 5,482,082\) \$ 922,053 Percent non-used and useful 69.80\% c7.35\%
```

Amount non-used and useful $\$ 3,826,493 \$ 897,619$

```Total non-used and useful plant costs\((\$ 3,826,493+\$ 897,619)\)Divide by total depreciable plant\(\$ 4.724 .112\)\$9,120,841
```

Composite non-used and useful percentage ..... 51.79\%
Composite used and useful percentage ..... 48.21\%
North Ft. Myers Utility, Inc.
Income Tax on CIAC and Proposed Gross-up Refund Fiscal Year Ended May 31, 1994
Line
No.
1 Total above the line taxable income (Schedule No. 2)
2 Less: Gross-up collected (Schedule No. 2)
3 Net taxable CIAC (1)
4 Combined Federal and State tax rate
5 Income tax on CIAC
6 Tax expansion factor for gross-up
Gross-up required to pay tax on CIAC
213,274
7
8
9


North Ft. Myers Utility, Inc. Schedule of Above and Below the Line Taxable Income (Loss) Fiscal Year Ended May 31, 1994

Line
No.
Above the line taxable incomeGross receipts/sales (Line 1c)\$ 1, 026, 290
Cost of goods sold (Line 2, Schedule A, Statement 3):cost of laborUtilitiesRepairs and maintenanceSupplies

226,931 70,904 20,250 25,634 343,719

Gross profit 682,571

Deductions:
Salaries and wages (Line 13a)
132,419
Repairs and maintenance (Line 14) 6,233
Rents (Line 16)
Taxes and licenses (Line 17) (Schedule No. 3)
Interest (Line 18) (Schedule No. 3)
Depreciation (Line 21b) (Schedule No. 3)
Other deductions (Line 26) (Schedule No. 4)
Above the line taxable income before CIAC
7,123
90,291
167,745
216,672
118, 277
738,760
$(56,189)$
CIAC
409,690
Gross-up
191, 017
600,707
Total above the line taxable income
544.518

Below the line taxable income (loss)
Income:
Interest (Line 5)
33,504
Miscellaneous (Line 10, Statement 1)

Deductions:
Cost of goods sold (Line 2, Schedule A, Statement 3):
Security
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Engineering and testing } & 221,749\end{array}$
officers compensation (Line 12)
Taxes (Line 17) (Schedule No. 3)
Interest (Line 18) (Schedule No. 3)
Depreciation (Line 21b) (Schedule No. 3)
Other deductions (Line 26) (Schedule No. 4)

Total below the line tax loss
$(1,898,301)$
Total taxable income (loss) (Line 28)
$(1,353,783)$
Below the line net operating loss deduction (Line 29c) $(1,368,646)$
Total taxable income (loss) (Line 30)

North Ft. Myers Utility, Inc. Above and Below the Line Taxes, Interest, and Depreciation Fiscal Year Ended May 31, 1994

Line No.
(A) Taxes
(1) Property taxes

Total property taxes $\$ 21,699$
Composite non-used and useful percent (Schedule No. 5)
51.79\%

Below the line property taxes
11,238
(2) Payroll taxes

Officers salary subject to social security tax 85,100
Payroll tax rate
6.2 \%
officers salary subject to Medicare tax
Medicare tax rate

Total below the line payroll taxes
7,632
Below the line taxes $\quad 18,870$
Above the line taxes $\quad 90,291$
Total taxes
$\$ \quad 109,161$
(B) Interest

Total long-term debt $\quad \$ 9,864,623$
Rate base $\$ 1,358,718$
Less: Customer deposits
$(65,692)$
Rate base supported by long-term debt \$1,293.026

Long-term debt in excess of rate base $\$ 8,571,597$

Percentage excess 86.89\%

Interest expense, net of $\$ 4,369$ interest on customer deposits
$\$ 1,246,191$
Below the line interest expense 1,082,815
Above the line interest expense
167,745
Total interest expense
\$1,250,560

Line
No.
Above the line "Other deductions": ..... Insurance $\quad \$ 52,901$
Accounting ..... 12,951
Office expense and bank charges ..... 22.425
Total above the line "Other deductions" ..... 118,277
Below the line "Other deductions": Accounting ..... 18,517
Legal ..... 122,553
Travel and entertainment ..... 19,533
Amortization ..... 176,073
Total below the line "Other deductions" ..... $\$ 294,350$
13

North Ft. Myers Utility, Inc. Schedule of Rate Base and Composite Non-Used and Useful Percentage Fiscal Year Ended May 31, 1994

## Line

No.
I. Rate base

| Utility plant in service | $\$ 9,120,841$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Land | 252,453 |
| Non-used and useful plant | $(4,036,447)$ |
| Accumulated depreciation | $(1,246,641)$ |
| CIAC (net) | $(2,731,488)$ |
| Rate base | $\$ 1,358,718$ |

Note (1): Non-used and useful plant was calculated as follows:
(A) Treatment plant

Peak average month flow (March, 1994)(mgd) $\quad .604$
Divide by plant capacity (mgd)
Percent used and useful 30.20\%

Percent non-used and useful
Total
100\%
(B) Deepwell

Peak average month flow to deepwell (March, 1994) (mgd)
Divide by deepwell capacity (mgd)
Percent used and useful 2. $65 \%$

Percent non-used and useful 97.35

## Total

100\%
(C) Amounts non-used and useful

Total plant costs
Accumulated depreciation
Net plant
Percent non-used and useful
Treatment $\$ 5,482,082$

Deepwell
\$ 922,053
$\frac{(846,247)}{4,635,835}-\frac{(99,624)}{822,429}$
69.80\%
$\begin{array}{r}97.35 \\ \hline\end{array}$
Net non-used and useful plant
$\$ 3,235,812 \$ 800,635$

Total net non-used and useful plant
$\$ 4,036,447$

## 

2540 BURSTONE PINES DRIVE
TILLAHUSSEE, FLORIDA 32301
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July 14, 1994
VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanco S. Bayo
Director of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission Fletcher Building 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: North Fort Myers Utilities, Inc. Proposed Disposition of Gross-up Funds our File No. 16319.29

Dear Mr. Bayo:
Attached is one copy of tax return information submitted on behalf of North Fort Myers Utilities, Inc., to justify its proposed disposition of gross-up funds collected during the tax year ended May 31, 1993. In accordance with the letter received from chuck Hill, this tax return is confidential information pursuant to order No. 16971 and is being submitted directly to you in conjunction with the filing of an annual CIAC gross-up report for disposition of funds filed today with the Division of Water and Wastewater.

Should you have any questions in this regard, please let me know.

Sincerely,


FMD/lts
Enclosure
cc: Mr. Chuck Hill
Mr. Jack Schenkman
Ms. Joann Boley
Mr. Tony Reeves
Robert C. Nixon, CPA

# Cronin, Jackson, Nixon \& Wilson <br> CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS, PA. 

JAMES L CARLSTEDT, C.P.A.<br>JOHN H. CRONIN, JR, C.P.A. ROBERT H. JACKSON, C.P.A. BRENDA W, McBARRON, C.P.A. ROBERT C. NIXON, C.P.A. HOLLY M. TOWNER C.P.A.<br>2560 GULF-TO-BAY BOULEVARD<br>SUITE 200<br>CLEARWATER FLORIDA $34625-4419$<br>(813) 791 - 1020<br>TELECOFIER<br>(813) 797-3502

Officers and Directors
North Fort Myers Utility, Inc.
In accordance with your request, we have prepared the accompanying special Report of North Fort Myers Utility, Inc., consisting of Schedules No. 1 through No. 6. This report is intended solely for use in fulfilling certain reporting requirements related to collections of tax impact charges on contributions in aid of construction (CIAC), for the fiscal year ended May 31, 1993, to be filed with the Florida Public Service commission. We have not audited or reviewed this Special Report and express no opinion or any other form of assurance on it.


CRONIN, JACKSON, NIXON \& WILSON
Total above the line taxable income (Schedule No. 2) ..... $\$ 1,7 \cdot 3,863$
Less: Above the line taxable income before CIAC (Schedule No. 2) ..... ( $5.0,675$ )
CIAC gross-up (Schedule No. 2) ..... ( $5 t 3,410$ )
Taxable CIAC ..... 1,129,778
Less: First year depreciation on CIAC property (Schedule No. 3) ..... $(5,794)$
Net taxable CIAC1,123,984
Effective combined tax rate ..... 0.3763
Income tax on CIAC ..... 422,955
Expansion factor for gross-up ..... 1.6033
Gross-up required to pay tax on CIAC ..... 678,124
Actual gross-up collected ..... (563,410)
Under collection of gross-up (Note 1) ..... $\$ \quad 114,714$Note (1): No refund is required since gross-up collected was less than that required to pay tax.

North Fort Myers Utility, Inc.
Schedule of Above and Below the Line Taxable Income (Loss)
Year Ended May 31, 1993
Above the line laxable income
Gross receipts/sales (line 1c)Cost of goods sold (line 2, Schedule A):Cost of labor158,536
Utilities ..... E3,338
Repairs \& Maintenance ..... 33,524
Supplies ..... 7,838
Other above the line income:
Miscellaneous service income (Statement 1) ..... 6,099
Amortization of pre 1987 CIAC (Note 1)
Amortization of pre 1987 CIAC (Note 1)570,391
Gross above the line profit28.236
505,811
Deductions:
Salaries \& wages (line 13c) ..... 150,135
Rents (line 16) ..... 7,123
Taxes (line 17, Schedule No. 3) ..... 70,528
Interest (line 18, Schedule No, 3) ..... 19,083
Depreciation (line 21b, Schedule No, 3) ..... 180,031
Other deductions (line 26, statement 2, Schedule No. 4) ..... 02,816519,716
Above the line income before CIAC50,675
CIAC (line 10, statement 1, note 1) ..... 1,129,778
CLAC gross-up (line 10, note 1)563,4101,693,188
Total above the line taxable income ..... $1,743,863$
Below the line taxable income (loss)
Income:
Interest (line 5) ..... 52,789
Deductions:
Cost of goods sold (line 2, Schedule A)
Security ..... 700
Enginearing \& testing ..... 136,791
Officers compensation (line 2) ..... 166,144 ..... 166,144
Taxes (line 17, Schedule No. 3) ..... 18,364
Interest (line 18, Schedule No. 3)
258,538
Depreciation (line 21b, Schedule No, 3) .....
171,488 .....
171,488 ..... ,512,557
Other deductions (line 26, statement 2, Schedule No. 4)
Other deductions (line 26, statement 2, Schedule No. 4)Below the line income (loss) before NOL deduction(1,459,768)
Below the NOL deduction (see previous years filings) (line 29c)$(2,288,696)$
Total below the line taxable income (loss)$(3,748,464)$
Total taxable income (loss) (line 30)
Note (1): A breakdown of "Other Income" shown on line 10 and statement 1 of the tax return is as follows:

| CIAC | $\$ 1,129,778$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| CIAC gross-up | 563,410 |
| Amortization of pre | 58,481 |
|  |  |
|  | 1987 CIAC |
| Miscellaneous | $6,751,669$ |
| Total (line 10) | $\$ 1,757,768$ |

All line references relate to page 1 of the Federal income tax return. Statement references relate to documents attached to and filed with the Federal tax return.

## (A) Taxes

Below the line taxes:

| Emergency excise tax | 72 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Property taxes | 15,685 |
| Composite non-used and useful percentage | $62.55 \%$ |
| Below the line property taxes | 9,811 |
| Payroll taxes: |  |
| Officer's salaries subject to payroll tax | 110,860 |
| Payroll tax rate | $7.65{ }^{\prime}$ |
| Below the line payroll taxes | 8,481 |

Total below the line taxes
Above the line taxes $\quad 70,528$
Total taxes (line 17)
$\$$
88,892
(B) Interest

Total long term debt
8,724,404

## Rate base (Schedule No. 5) <br> \$ 221,860

Less: Customer deposits
$(43.533)$
Rate base supported by long term debt
$\$$
178,327
Debt in excess of rate base
$\$ \quad 8,546,077$
Percent excess $\quad 97.96 \%$
Total interest expense (line 18)
\$ $\quad 779,615$
Less: interest on customer deposits
$(3,245)$
Interest on long term debt
776,370
Percent in excess of rate base
97.96\%

Below the line interest
760,532
Above the line interest
19,083

Total interest expense
$\$$ 779,615
(C) Depreciation

Tax depreciation on treatment plan
\$ $\quad 342.392$
Tax depreciation on deep well
61,675
404.067
Composite non-used and useful percentage
$62.55 \%$
Below the line depreciation
Add first year depreciation on CIAC
252,744

Total below the line depreciation
258,538
Above the line depreciation
180,031
Total depreciation (line 21b)
$\$$
438,569

## Schedule of Above and Below the Line "Other Deductions*

## Year Ended May 31, 1993

Above the line "other deductions"
Insurance ..... \$ $\quad 33.737$
Repairs \& maintenance ..... 8,177
Accounting ..... 20,300
Telephone ..... 2,100
Uniforms ..... 4,317
Miscellaneous ..... 15,250
Office expenses \& bank charges ..... 8,935
Below the line "other deductions"
Accounting ..... 41,433
Legal ..... 97,294
Telephone ..... 3,173
Travel \& entertainment ..... 20.894 ..... 20.894
Amortization (loan costs) ..... 8,694
Total "other deductions* $\$$ ..... 264,304

Year Ended May 31, 1993
I. Rate base

Utility plant in service $\quad \$ 7.769,097$
Land
Non-used \& useful plant (note 1)
162, 149
$(4,271,196)$
Accumulated depreciation \& amortization
( $1,031,6,82$ )
CIAC (net)
( $2,406,508$ )

Rate base
$\$ 221,860$

Note (1): Non-used and useful plant was calculated as follows:
(A) Treatment plant

| Peak average month flow (Oct. 1993) (mgd) | 0.549 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Divide by plant capacity (mgd) | 2.000 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Percent used and useful } & 27.45 \%\end{array}$
Percent non-used and useful $\quad 72.55$
Total
$100 \%$
(B) Deepwell

Peak average months flow io deep well (Oct. 1993) (mgd)
Divide by deep well capacity (mgd)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Percent used } \& \text { useful } & 3.55 \%\end{array}$
Percent non-used and useful $\quad 96.45$

Total
100\%
(C) Amounts non-used and useful

Total plant costs
Accumulated depreciation

| Treatment | Deepwell |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$ 5,479,004 | \$ 917.141 |
| (709,234) | $(76,573)$ |
| 4,769,770 | 840,568 |
| 72.55\% | 96.45\% |

Percent non-used and useful
$\$ 3,460,468 \quad \$ \quad 810,728$

Total net non-used and useful plant
\$ $4,271,196$
II. Composite non-used and useful percentage

Total plant costs per above
Percent non-used and useful
Amount non-used and useful
Total non-used and useful plant costs $(\$ 3,975,017+\$ 884,582)$
Divide by total depreciable plant
Composite non-used and useful percentage
\$ $5,479,004$
$72.55 \%$
$\$ 3,975,017$
\$
917.141 96.45\%
$\$$
884.582
$\$ 4,859,599$
\$ $7,769,097$
$62.55 \%$

# Detailed Statement of Tax Impact Account 

Balance at beginning of fiscal year ..... \$ 195,325
Gross-up collected ..... 533,410
Refunds (principal only) ..... [1.181)
Amounts retained ..... (176,018)
Balance, end of fiscal year ..... \$ $\quad 561.536$

