1 BEFORE THE 2 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 3 4 In the Matter of : DOCKET NO. 961230-TP 5 Petition by MCI Telecommunications Corporation for arbitration with United Telephone Company of Florida and: Central Telephone Company of Florida concerning interconnection rates, terms, and conditions, pursuant to the : Federal Telecommunications Act 10 of 1996. 11 12 PROCEEDINGS: PREHEARING CONFERENCE 13 14 BEFORE: COMMISSIONER DIANE K. KIESLING 15 Prehearing Officer 16 Thursday, December 12, 1996 DATE: 17 TIME: Commenced at 9:40 a.m. 18 Concluded at 10:25 a.m. 19 PLACE: Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148 20 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 21 22 REPORTED BY: ROWENA NASH HACKNEY Official Commission Reporter 23 24 25 (13263-96) DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

## APPEARANCES:

RICHARD D. MELSON, Hopping Green Sams and Smith, Post Office Box 6526, Tallahassee, Florida 32314, appearing on behalf of MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCImetro Access Transmission Services, Inc.

JOHN P. FONS and J. JEFFRY WAHLEN, Ausley & McMullen, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on behalf of United Telephone Company of Florida and Central Telephone Company of Florida.

MARTHA CARTER BROWN and COCHRAN KEATING,
Florida Public Service Commission, Division of Legal
Services, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee,
Florida 32399-0870, appearing on behalf of the
Commission Staff.

1

## PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

(Hearing convened at 9:40 a.m.)

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: The hearing will come to order. Counsel, would you please read the notice?

MR. KEATING: Pursuant to notice, dated November 15, 1996, this time and place have been set for a Prehearing Conference in Docket No. 961230, petition by MCI Telecommunications Corporation for arbitration with United Telephone Company of Florida and Central Telephone Company of Florida concerning interconnection rates, terms, and conditions, pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Would you enter appearances, please?

MR. MELSON: Richard Melson of the law firm, Hopping Green Sams & Smith, P.A., Post Office Box 6526, Tallahassee, on behalf of MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCImetro Access Transmission Services.

Also appearing with me at the hearing will be Martha McMillin of MCI in Atlanta.

MR. FONS: I'm John Fons with the law firm of Ausley & McMullen, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, appearing on behalf of United Telephone Company of Florida and Central

Telephone Company of Florida.

Also appearing with me is J. Jeffry Wahlen of the same law firm.

MR. KEATING: Cochran Keating and Martha
Brown, appearing on behalf of PSC Staff, 2540 Shumard
Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850.

commissioner KIESLING: Thank you. What preliminary matters do we have to take up before we start going through the actual document?

MR. KEATING: I believe that the parties have some matters regarding issues and testimony that they need to bring before the Commission.

**COMMISSIONER KIESLING:** Okay. Who's going to speak?

MR. MELSON: I'll start. In terms of preliminary matters, the parties have reached a stipulation and agreement that resolves a great number of the issues that have been identified in this case and resolves them generally in accordance with the way those issues were resolved in either your -- the Commission's vote in either the MCI/BellSouth arbitration and/or the MCI/GTE arbitration.

We've got a partially executed agreement. I understand Sprint has agreed; they just haven't yet been able to get it to the right person to have the

signature fixed. When that's done, the parties intend to file this formally in the docket. And I guess we'd ask that reference in the section of the Prehearing Order relating to stipulations, reference be made to this agreement, and perhaps be attached to the Prehearing Order and be an item that the Commission could take up at the outset of the hearing to hopefully approve.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right. And that's acceptable to the rest of the parties?

MR. FONS: It is as far as United and Centel are concerned.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Staff's in agreement that that is an acceptable procedure?

MR. KEATING: Yes.

we'll deal with the stipulation and agreement by referencing it in the stipulation portion of the Prehearing Order. But rather than setting it forth in toto in the body of the order, we'll just attach it. And if Staff will indicate to the Chairman when we begin this hearing that this matter needs to be taken up as a preliminary matter and be approved, then that seems like a good way to handle it.

Any other preliminary matters?

1	MR. MELSON: No other preliminary matters
2	from MCI.
3	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Any from
4	United/Centel?
5	MR. FONS: Are we going to address motions
6	as we get further down the line?
7	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Yes.
8	MR. KEATING: Yes, I was going to say that
9	that's
10	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: That is Staff's
11	preliminary issue.
12	MR. FONS: Okay.
13	MR. KEATING: Yes. We have a couple of
14	motions. Actually, a request from MCI for Mediation
15	Plus procedure still pending, and Sprint's Motion to
16	Dismiss is also still pending.
17	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. Since those
18	matters will relate to what the issues are that we are
19	going to talk about coming up, I'm going to take those
20	matters up out of order, and take them up first so
21	that we are all clear on what's going to be included
22	in this proceeding and what isn't.
23	And to the Mediation Plus, in my mind it's
24	not something that is subject to a Motion to Dismiss,
25	but we've made it clear in other proceedings that
	00000

because of the time constraints, it's not something we are able to take up. So rather than dismiss your request, I'm just going to deny your request for Mediation Plus for the reasons that have been expressed in prior cases.

On the Motion to Dismiss, I think there's four remaining items then, if I understand it correctly. One was the dim and dark fiber, whether that's going to be an element.

MR. FONS: That particular issue is removed. We have settled on that issue.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay.

MR. FONS: Actually, there will only be one issue left out of the Motion to Dismiss. You've already ruled on the Mediation Plus. The dim and dark fiber was settled and is included in our stipulation and agreement. And the request for liquidated damages, that has also been disposed of in our stipulation and agreement. The fifth issue, which is the failure to furnish necessary documentation, we're withdrawing that particular issue.

So the only issue left is the resale of voice mail and inside wire maintenance. The issue of calling card services is also disposed of in the stipulation and agreement. So it's just that one

1	issue remaining now.
2	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: So the only things
3	remaining which need to be addressed pursuant to your
4	Motion to Dismiss is Sprint's position that voice
5	mail, inside wire maintenance and inside wire
6	maintenance are not telecommunications services?
7	MR. FONS: That is correct.
8	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right. Let me
9	just ask Staff. Have we, in some of the prior
.0	arbitrations we've had, included voice mail and inside
.1	wire or not? Is this the
.2	MS. SHELFER: No, we have not.
.3	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: And is that because
4	we do not believe they are telecommunications services
.5	or just because they were not raised?
۱6	MS. SHELFER: There was no request.
17	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: There was no
18	request. Okay. Then does Staff have a position or
L9	recommendation on voice mail and inside wire
20	maintenance?
21.	MS. BROWN: May we have just a minute,
22	Commissioner?
23	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Sure. (Pause)
24	MR. KEATING: Staff's position is that those

25 two issues are arguably within the scope of what we

may arbitrate and what the Commission may arbitrate under the Act and that they should be left in for litigation.

take the position that I think it would not be -- I think that it would be better to have those two issues go to the full Commission. And if the full Commission in hearing this matter decide that they are not telecommunications services, then fine. But I don't think it would be appropriate for me to dismiss them out of this proceeding at this point being simply the Prehearing Officer on that.

So I am going to deny the Motion to Dismiss as to voice mail and inside wire maintenance and allow those to stay in as issues. And one of the issues is:

Is this one of the elements that is a telecommunications service or not, so --

Do I have other preliminary motions? I think there was a Motion to Compel. Do you want me to take that up now, or does it have any impact on the issues?

MR. WAHLEN: I understand that that is moot. We have reached an agreement on our discovery dispute, and so there's no need to discuss or rule on the Motion to Compel at this time.

1 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. You are in concurrence with that? 2 3 MR. MELSON: Yes, ma'am. 4 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. 5 Motion to Compel, you are going to withdraw? 6 MR. WAHLEN: Yes. 7 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. Then let's go 8 ahead and start through the -- are there any other 9 motions that I haven't dealt with that I don't know 10 about? Okay. Let's start going through the 11 Prehearing Order then. Case background, any changes, 12 13 additions, corrections? If not, then let's go to the order of witness. 14 15 And before we start them, I notice that the 16 MCI and MCImetro witnesses don't have issue numbers. 17 For whatever, if that testimony is going to remain, you need to furnish actual issue numbers. 18 19 MR. MELSON: We can do that today. If we could though, Commissioner, if we could go back to 20 21 Item 2, the procedure for handling confidential information. 22 23 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. MR. MELSON: MCI has notified Sprint, and I 24 guess would hereby notify the Prehearing Officer, we 25

000624

intend to use as exhibits during the hearing, two sets of work papers that were provided to us on a confidential basis during the deposition of Sprint's Witness Farrar. There may also be some additional confidential materials produced between now and the time of the hearing. The Order requires that we advise parties no later than the prehearing conference, and we are attempting to do that.

commissioner KIESLING: All right. And assuming this is adequate notification, it is still going to remain confidential, and you are going to deal with it as we usually do?

MR. MELSON: Yes, ma'am. We will deal with it in a way that does not disclose it verbally during the hearing.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay.

MR. FONS: We were just furnished, this morning, confidential information from MCI which we've not had an opportunity to analyze in how we would use that in the hearing, but we'll put MCI on notice that there's the possibility that we will use their confidential information during the hearing.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay.

MS. BROWN: And, Commissioner, if I may request that the parties inform the Staff of the

procedures they intend to use, so we are up to speed on it as well, for purposes of the hearing to protect the confidential information. Just let us know what you are going to do.

11.

MR. MELSON: Commissioner Kiesling, I would expect we will handle it the way we traditionally do. We'll furnish copies to the Staff and the Commission in red folders and ask questions in a way that does not disclose confidential numbers.

MS. BROWN: Okay. So we'll do it as we traditionally do it.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Then, to the witnesses.

MR. MELSON: MCI, as a result of -- assuming the stipulation is approved by the Commission, we will have a number of changes in witnesses, and I can go through those with you now, if you like.

**COMMISSIONER KIESLING:** Okay.

MR. MELSON: There will be a Mr. Price who is listed as our first witness, would not appear.

There would be a portion of his testimony that would be stipulated into the record. And we hope to have —

I've got a draft at this stage that indicates what pieces of testimony would be in and what would be out. As soon as that's finalized, we'll furnish that to the

Staff and, I guess, let them furnish it to the Commissioners so you don't read unnecessary testimony.

commissioner KIESLING: When you say parts are going to be stipulated, is that part of the direct and part of the rebuttal or is one of those gone completely?

MR. MELSON: I believe it's part of both direct and part of rebuttal.

commissioner Kiesling: Okay. When you furnish that, if you would also furnish the issues that he'll be addressing. And that goes for all of your witnesses since you have not identified specific issues.

MR. MELSON: The issues that he is addressing are issues that we have agreed to withdraw in the sense of the Commission's not being asked to rule on them at this time. They are issues where we are not yet in agreement, and where the competing — if we can't agree by the time we get to the end of the process and follow your posthearing procedures for filing of a comprehensive agreement, we might be filing competing agreements on those.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Wait a minute, now

I'm confused. I mean, when we are at hearing,

whatever is not agreed to and withdrawn or stipulated

to, you are going to have to litigate. And whatever you litigate, needs to be set out in the Prehearing Order.

MR. MELSON: Commissioner Kiesling, let me see if I can try to explain what the parties intended.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay.

MR. MELSON: There were at least two issues in the BellSouth case where the Commission indicated in its vote that it was not going to resolve the details in the arbitration, but instead would leave those to continue to be negotiated and would, at the end of the day, pick one agreement or another if the parties were unable to come to complete agreement.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay.

MR. MELSON: In essence, we've identified three issues that we would propose to have treated in the same way. Not asking the Commission to make a decision up front, but recognizing that we are not in agreement, that we may ask the Commission at the very end of the process when, if competing agreements are filed, the Commission would be choosing one or the other. And the intention was to allow into the record, on a stipulated basis and very limited, testimony that went to those issues so that if the Commission has to pick one version verses another,

there is some basis in the record on which you might do that. 2 3 As a result, they are issues that do not appear in the Prehearing Order. They appear in the 4 stipulation and agreement that would be attached as 5 issues that have been -- at least the procedures 6 7 resolving which have been set out by the parties. COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Well, I'm looking 8 9 over there at Staff hoping that someone can tell me 10 what it is that we are going to be doing with this. MS. BROWN: May we have just a minute? 11 12 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Absolutely. And, in fact, if we need to take, like five minutes or so, so 13 that you all can talk to them, too. Because I'm having difficulty understanding. 15 MS. BROWN: We did receive this stipulation 16 this morning, but we were not aware that this was 17 going to be part of what's in it. So it would be good 18 if we can have a minute -- five minutes. 19 20 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Go ahead and take 21 five minutes. 22 All right. MS. BROWN: Just turn off your 23 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: mikes because I'm not going to leave. 24

25

(Brief recess.)

1.

11. 

21.

- - - - -

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, I think we have a resolution of your concerns, and I think I'm going to ask that the parties describe it to you.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay.

MR. MELSON: Commissioner Kiesling, we would identify Mr. Price as addressing Issues 3d, 16 and 19 and suggest that a footnote be placed next to those to cross reference Paragraph 2 of the attached stipulation and agreement for a discussion of how the parties intend those issues to be handled from a procedural point of you.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. Let's get to the substance of the footnote when we actually get to those issues then. Is that where --

MR. MELSON: Those issues are no longer listed in the draft Prehearing Order because they are issues that the parties --

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: So where do you want the footnote?

MR. MELSON: I would put it here in the order of witnesses just next to the identification of those issues.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. And you and Staff are going to be able to agree on the language

- 11	
1	for that footnote?
2	MR. MELSON: Yes, ma'am.
3	MS. BROWN: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. And then
5	Mr. Murphy.
6	MR. MELSON: Mr. Murphy will address
7	Issues 2, 21, and 23.
8	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: And, therefore, I
9	assume some of his testimony is going to be withdrawn
10	when he takes the stand?
11	MR. MELSON: Yes, correct.
12	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay.
13	MR. MELSON: We are withdrawing
14	Mr. Martinez's testimony in its entirety at this time.
15	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay.
16	MR. MELSON: Mr. Wood will address Issues 2,
17	3b and 3c, and we will offer only Mr. Wood's direct
18	testimony. We are withdrawing his rebuttal and
19	supplemental rebuttal.
20	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay.
21.	MR. MELSON: Mr. Cabe will address Issue 2
22	and 3b, and we will be withdrawing pieces of his
23	testimony.
24	Mr. Darnell will address Issues 7, 8, and 9,
25	and we will be withdrawing pieces of his testimony as

1	well.
2	And in terms of order of witnesses, I prefer
3	to start with Mr. Murphy, number one; Mr. Cabe, number
4	two; Mr. Darnell, number three; and Mr. Wood, number
5	four.
6	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. Who after
7	Wood then? Where does Mr. Price go?
8	MR. MELSON: Mr. Price's will be stipulated.
9	He will not be making an appearance.
10	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. Now I'm with
11	you.
12	Okay. Is everybody clear on that then?
13	Then how about starting with Sprint. Mr. Hunsucker.
14	MR. FONS: We will be withdrawing portions
15	of Mr. Hunsucker's direct and rebuttal testimony. He
16	will continue to address and I don't have the
17	specific issues which he will address in that. But
18	I'm fairly confident that they will mirror those same
19	issues that have been identified for MCI. And we can
20	provide those to you.
21.	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. And you'll
22	provide an updated issues list?
23	MR. FONS: Yes, yes.
24	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: And Mr. Dunbar.

MR. FONS: Mr. Dunbar, his testimony will

1	
1	remain intact, and he will continue to provide
2	testimony on Issue 3b.
3	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Farrar.
4	MR. FONS: Farrar, all of his testimony will
5	remain, and he will continue to provide testimony on
6	3b and 9.
7	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Just so that I'm
8	clear then, is it fair for me to assume that of the
9	issues that are not going to be withdrawn, that
10	Mr. Hunsucker will be the witness who will provide
11	testimony on, for example, 7, 8, 3c, 21, 22 I mean,
12	21, 23, or 2, whatever
13	MR. FONS: Yes, that is correct.
14	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: since the other
15	two are quite limited?
16	MR. FONS: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. When will you
18	be able to provide that clarifying information to
19	Staff?
20	MR. FONS: In about five minutes, if I can.
21	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. That's fine.
22	That's soon enough.
23	On the basic positions I've received a
24	revised basic position from MCI.
25	MP. MRISON: Commissioner Kiesling we have

000634

furnished that to the Staff on diskette as well. It simply takes some things out that have now been 2 resolved by the parties in their stipulation. 3 Okay. Then the COMMISSIONER KIESLING: revised position will be inserted in the place of the 5 position of the statement that's in there. 6 7 How about for Sprint? Are you going to do a revised one to reflect the agreements that you've 8 9 reached? MR. FONS: I do not believe that our basic 10 11. position has to be changed, nor do our positions change with regard to the individual issues. 12 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right. 13 let's start with Issue 1 and see what we still have to 14 Issue 1 withdrawn? litigate. 15 Issue 1 is gone, yes, ma'am. 16 MR. MELSON: 17 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. 2 is still 18 in. MR. MELSON: 19 Correct. I would just suggest COMMISSIONER KIESLING: 20 a slight rewording of 2. Instead of saying what 21 should be, say what is the appropriate compensation 22 mechanism, since I think that's the standard we are going to be using, "appropriate." Is that acceptable?

Do you agree with that?

1	
1	MR. KEATING: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: 3b, any changes?
3	MR. MELSON: No, ma'am.
4	MR. FONS: No, ma'am.
5	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: 3c.
6	MR. KEATING: Could I have just a minute
7	with Staff?
8	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Sure. (Pause)
9	MR. KEATING: Staff would like to move the
10	list of unbundled elements that were included in the
11	original Issue 3a into 3b.
12	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay.
13	MR. KEATING: And into 3c.
14	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: So what are the
15	issues going to say then?
16	Where it says each of the items considered
17	to be network elements, you are going to list them
18	like you did in 3a.
19	MR. KEATING: Yes. Instead of "these are
20	the items," it would say, "each of the following
21	items."
22	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. And then
23	rather than list them in 3c, you will just refer back
24	to the list?
25	MR. KEATING: Yeah, that sounds fine.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: And 7.

MR. MELSON: MCI has a minor change to its position in Issue 7. The last sentence that begins with the word "specifically," we would strike the words "Lifeline and LinkUp services." We would -- after "voice mail service," we would insert the word "and."

So it would read "voice mail service and inside wire maintenance service," and then we would strike the words, "and calling card service."

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right.

MR. FONS: On our response, our position on Issue 7, similarly, "voice mail," strike the comma and insert "and." And then after "maintenance," strike the comma and the language "and calling card services."

So it will read, "Voice mail and inside wire maintenance are not telecommunications services under the Act," et cetera. And then I believe we will strike the balance of our position.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right. Issue 8.

MR. MELSON: MCI has a corresponding change to its position on Issue 8. At the end of the first sentence where it says "resale of services," we would change the period to a comma and add the words

\*including voice mail and inside wire maintenance services," period. 2 3 And then we would strike the remainder of that position. Strike the sentence beginning with "No 5 restrictions." 6 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Any change to 7 Sprint's? 8 MR. FONS: No change to Sprint's. 9 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Issue 9. MR. MELSON: No change. 10 11 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: And Issue 21. MR. MELSON: MCI has a change. In the 12 13 second sentence, "MCI should have the ability to collocate equipment of its choice, including" -strike "digital loop carrier and." Continue with the 15 sentence "remote digital line units," period. And 16 strike the remainder of the position. 17 18 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right. Any 19 change on Sprint's? 20 No change on Sprint's. MR. FONS: 21 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Issue 23. 22 MR. MELSON: No change. 23 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right. And will there be any changes on the exhibits having reached 25 agreement on a number of things?

There may be a change in Sprint's 1 MR. FONS: 2 position on Issue 23. 3 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. 4 MR. FONS: It may take me a moment to make 5 that change. I'll provide that. 6 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Take your time. 7 MR. FONS: I'm going to have to eliminate some language, but I'm going to have to add some 8 9 language. So if I can do that --10 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Go for it. 11 MR. FONS: Not right now. I'm saying it will take me more than just a few moments to do that 12 in order to capture our position on the remaining 13 aspects of this issue. 14 15 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Are you going to be able to provide that today so that the Staff can get 16 17 the order out? 18 MR. FONS: Shortly, yes. And while we are 19 at it, I can provide the Commissioner and the Staff 20 with the positions, the issues that will be addressed 21 by Mr. Hunsucker. 22 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right. 23 MR. FONS: That will be Issues 2, 3b, 3c, 24 25 Wait a minute. COMMISSIONER KIESLING:

sorry, I was still turning my pages. 1 I'm sorry. 2 MR. FONS: COMMISSIONER KIESLING: 2 --3 4 MR. FONS: 3b, 3c, 7, 8, 9, 21, and 23. COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. And I 5 neglected to ask you at the time, the order of your 6 7 three witnesses. Is this the order you want: Hunsucker, Dunbar and Farrar? 8 9 MR. FONS: We may want to reverse the order on Dunbar and Farrar. COMMISSIONER KIESLING: When are you going 11 to know that? 12 MR. FONS: Why don't we do that now. 13 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. And back over 14 to the exhibits then. Will some of these exhibits disappear? 16 MR. MELSON: Commissioner Kiesling, none of 17 MCI's exhibits will disappear. There were three 18 exhibits -- items that have been exhibits to the petition that we had identified in our prehearing 20 statement that inadvertently got left out of the draft 21 Prehearing Order. They are Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, and 22 Exhibit 3. 23 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Whose exhibits are 24 they going to be?

1	MR. MELSON: Mr. Price was going introduce
2	them. I believe Sprint will stipulate them in at this
3	point.
4	MR. FONS: We will so stipulate.
5	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. Then that
6	will be another preliminary matter to take up at the
7	beginning of the hearing for Staff.
8	MS. BROWN: Yes, that would be fine. I
9	would like the parties to repeat what exhibits those
10	are for us.
11	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: If I understand
12	correctly, it was Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 to the
13	petition.
14	MR. MELSON: Petition Exhibits 1, 2, and 3,
15	they are shown on Page 2 of our prehearing statement
16	with their titles.
17	MS. BROWN: Okay, great. Thanks.
18	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. Other than
19	that, none of your other witness's exhibit numbers are
20	going to change in any way?
21	MR. MELSON: That's correct.
22	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Sprint, any changes
23	to your exhibits?
24	MR. FONS: No changes to our exhibits.
25	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. Well, we've

already dealt with one major stipulation. Are you anticipating the possibility of reaching any further stipulations before the hearing?

MR. MELSON: There is that possibility. We are continuing to negotiate.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay.

MR. MELSON: I also need to tell you that I'm pretty confident we will not reach a complete stipulation. There will remain some issues to be litigated.

commissioner Kiesling: Sure. All I am going to do, again, is just say if you do reach additional stipulations, you'll need to bring those up at the beginning of the hearing since they won't be included here. And I'm not going to put a continuing burden on Staff to continue to update this as things develop. We have got to have a final one that I can sign.

And I've already dealt with the pending motions. Are there any other matters that need to be taken up?

MR. WAHLEN: The request for confidential classification, No. 3, I've talked with Staff this morning about using confidential information. And it's our opinion that before any rulings are made on

those, we ought to see what goes in the record first. So we don't think that this needs to be addressed at this time. 3 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right. 4 5 MS. BROWN: Staff agrees. COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. And nothing 6 7 else? MR. WAHLEN: Could we have just a half a 8 9 second? COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Sure. You can have 10 a whole one. 11 MR. FONS: We may have a procedural; we may 12 have a substitutive issue here. You denied our Motion to Dismiss on inside wire and voice mail, and I don't believe in your ruling that you have decided the 15 ultimate fact of whether or not these are 16 telecommunications services. 17 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: No. In fact, I 18 thought I indicated that I thought that was an issue 19 that the whole Commission should address as opposed to 20 21 the Prehearing Officer. 22 MR. FONS: You have disposed of our issue then, thank you. 23 **COMMISSIONER KIESLING:** Anything else? 24 any other changes to your position you will have to

Staff by --

MR. FONS: Hopefully before we leave here this morning.

commissioner KIESLING: I just want to be sure it gets to them today so that they can get a document out.

MR. FONS: Yes. Right.

**COMMISSIONER KIESLING:** Anything further from Staff?

MR. KEATING: Yes. If I could have just one more minute with Staff?

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Sure. (Pause)

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, Staff would like some clarification with respect to the changes in positions for Issue 7. What Staff wants some confirmation of is that the only issues to be resolved with respect -- remaining to be resolved with respect to Issue 7 are whether Sprint should provide voice mail and inside wire maintenance service. And Staff is interested in hearing from the parties whether the other issues that -- other services that have specifically been identified have been resolved in the stipulation.

commissioner kiesling: Let me try to
interpret that. Does that mean that Staff would like

1	to see the language of Issue 7 change to make it clear
2	that all other services have been resolved, but that
3	those are the two remaining services?
4	MS. BROWN: That would be great. That would
5	be an effective way to do that. And I suppose hear
6	some proposed language change, should Sprint provide
7	voice mail and inside wire maintenance service as
8	services for resale for MCI, or something like that.
9	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right.
10	Mr. Melson.
11	MR. MELSON: That's fine.
12	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Do you have any
13	other language you might prefer?
14	MR. MELSON: I'm looking at Page 2 of our
15	stipulation. We might say: What is the scope of
16	Sprint's obligation, if any, to resell voice mail
17	service and inside wire maintenance service.
18	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Could you repeat
19	that so I can write at the same time?
20	MR. MELSON: Sure.
21	Is that okay with you guys?
22	MR. FONS: That's fine.
23	MR. MELSON: What is the scope of Sprint's
24	obligation, if any, to resell voice mail service and
25	inside wire maintenance service.

1	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Then how about we
2	leave out the "service," and just say voice mail and
3	inside wire services.
4	MR. MELSON: Inside wire maintenance
5	services.
6	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: That's what I meant.
7	MR. MELSON: Fine.
8	MR. FONS: We don't consider them to be
9	services.
LO	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. So this is
11	the new issue. What is the scope of Sprint's
12	obligation, if any, to resell voice mail and inside
L3	wire maintenance services.
L4	MR. FONS: I'm tempted to say if you would
15	remove the word "services" at the end, that we'd be
L6	perfectly happy with that issue.
L7	MR. MELSON: And we've got no objection. We
18	are obviously arguing about whether they are services
19	within the meaning of the Act.
20	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. Then we'll
21	remove the word "services."
22	MR. FONS: Wasn't the idea to combine 7 and
23	8 or to get rid of one? Replace what we've just given
24	for 7 and then eliminate 8?

25

MR. MELSON: That would be fine.

ì	
1	MS. BROWN: Eliminate 8 all together?
2	MR. MELSON: Yes.
3	MR. FONS: Yes.
4	MS. BROWN: All right.
5	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Yes? So the
6	language we just came up with for 7 will solve 8?
7	MR. FONS: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Or will encompass 8?
9	MR. FONS: Right.
10	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: So 8 will be
11	withdrawn. And are the parties still happy with their
12	stated positions on 7 then? Are they inclusive
13	enough?
14	MR. FONS: Sprint's is inclusive enough.
15	MR. MELSON: MCI's is inclusive.
16	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Then I guess back up
17	here in who is going to testify on what issues, we'll
18	just remove 8 from Mr. Darnell and from
19	Mr. Hunsucker's list of issues.
20	If we keep whittling away at them, we may
21	get down to just one or two of them. This is a good
22	start. And I would encourage the parties to continue
23	to talk, obviously, and see what they can resolve.
24	As I indicated earlier, obviously, if you
25	can reach a resolution that satisfies both of you, you

1	are in better shape than if you leave it to us to
2	decide.
3	MR. FONS: We understand.
4	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: I know. Anything
5	else?
6	MR. MELSON: Nothing from MCI.
7	MR. FONS: Nothing for
8	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. Then I thank
9	you very much. And the hearing is adjourned.
10	(Thereupon, the hearing concluded at 10:25
11	a.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

STATE OF FLORIDA) 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON 2 I, ROWENA NASH HACKNEY Official Commission 3 Reporter, 4 DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Prehearing Conference in Docket No. 961230-TP was heard by the 5 Prehearing Officer at the time and place herein stated: it is further 6 CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported 7 the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript, consisting of 33 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings 9 10 DATED this 12th day of December, 1996. 11 12 13 ROWENA NASH HACKNEY Official Commission Reporter 14 (904) 413-6736 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

25