11							
1							
2	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION						
3	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION					
4							
5	In the Matter o	f : DOCKET NO. 970003-GU					
6	Purchased gas adju-	stment:					
7							
8							
9		The second					
10	PROCEEDINGS:	HEARING					
11	BEFORE:	CHAIRMAN JULIA L. JOHNSON					
12	BEFORE.	COMMISSIONER TERRY DEASON COMMISSIONER DIANE K. KIESLING					
13							
14	DATE:	Wednesday, February 19, 1997					
15	TIME:	Commenced at 9:30 a.m.					
16	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center					
17	121021	Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way					
18		Tallahassee, Florida					
19	REPORTED BY:	JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR					
20		Chief, Bureau of Reporting (904) 413-6732					
21		1 4 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4					
22							
23							

24

APPEARANCES:

JOHN ROGER HOWE, Deputy Public Counsel,
Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature,
111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee,
Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the
Citizens of the State of Florida.

WILLIAM COCHRAN KEATING, Florida Public

Service Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540

Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida

32399-0870, appearing on behalf of the Commission

Staff.

1	f				
1	INDEX				
2	MISCELLANEOUS				
3	ITEM	GE NO.			
4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	114			
5					
6	WITNESSES				
7	NAME:				
	ANNE V. WOOD				
8	Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	11			
9	Into the Record by Stipulation				
10	RAYMOND A. DeMOINE				
11	Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted Into the Record by Stipulation	25			
12					
13	GEORGE BACHMAN	109120			
14	Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted Into the Record by Stipulation	41			
15	MARC L. SCHNEIDERMANN				
16	Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted Into the Record by Stipulation	53			
17	W. EDWARD ELLIOTT				
18	Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	57			
19	Into the Record by Stipulation				
20	JERRY H. MELENDY, JR.				
21	Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	78			
22	Into the Record by Stipulation				
23	LOU J. DEFRAIN	5.0			
24	Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted Into the Record by Stipulation	91			
25					
- 1					

1	WITNESSES CONTINUED:		
2	NAME		PAGE NO.
3	STUART L. SHOAF		
4	Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted Into the Record by Stipulation		101
5	TOM GOODWIN		
6	Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted		107
7	Into the Record by Stipulation		
8	EXHIBITS		
9	NUMBER	ID.	ADMTD.
10	1 (Wood) AVW-1	10	10
11	2 (Wood) AVA-2	10	10
12	3 (DeMoine) RAD-1	10	10
13	4 (Bachman) Schedules A-1,	10	10
14	A-1/R, A-1 flex down, A-1 Supporting Detail, A-2, A-3,		
15	A-4, A-5, A-6 and A-7		
16	5 (Bachman) Schedules E-1, E-/R, E-2, E-3, E-4 and E-5.	10	10
17	6 (Powers) PGA Summary of	10	10
18	Estimates for the Projected Period		
19	7 (Powers) Calculation of	10	10
20	True-Up Amount Current Period (eight months actual and		
21	four months estimated)		
22	8 (Powers) Transportation Purchases System Supply and	10	10
23	End Use for the Projected Period		
24			
25			
1			resour

1	PANADA	TS CONTINUED:		
2	NUMBER		ID.	ADMTD.
-	NUMBER			
3	9	(Powers) Calculation of True-up Amount for the	10	10
4		Projected Period Based on the Prior Period and Current		
5		Period (eight months actual and four months estimated)		
6	10	(Powers) Calculation of	10	10
7		Therm Sales and Customer Data		
8		Name and American Street	10	10
9	11	(Elliott) EE-1	10	10
	12	(Elliott) EE-2	10	10
10	13	(Melendy) JHM-2	10	10
11	0.505.01		1212	
12	14	(Defrain) LD-1	10	10
13	15	(Defrain) April 1997 - March 1998 PGA Factor,	10	10
14		Schedules E-1 through E-5		
15	16	(Shoaf) SLS-1	10	10
16	17	(Shoaf) SLS-2	10	10
17	18	(Shoaf) SLS-3	10	10
18	19	(Shoaf) SLS-4	10	10
19	20	((Shoaf) SLS-5	10	10
20	21	(Shoaf) SLS-6	10	10
21	22	(Goodwin) TG-1	10	10
22	23	(Goodwin) Schedules E-1, E-1/R, E-1, E-3, E-4 and E-5	10	10
23				
24				
25				

PROCEEDINGS.

chairman Johnson: I'm going to call the
hearing to order. Could you please read the notice?

MR. KEATING: Pursuant to notice issued

January 13, 1997, this time and place has been set for
hearing in Dockets No. 970001-EI, fuel and purchased

power cost recovery clause and generating performance

incentive factor; 970002-EG, conservation cost recovery clause; 977003-GU, purchased gas adjustment

and 970007-EI, environmental cost recovery clause.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Take appearances.

MR. BEASLEY: James D. Beasley, with the law firm of Ausley & McMullen, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, representing Tampa Electric Company in the 970001, 2 and 7 dockets.

MR. McGEE: James McGee, P. O. Box 14042, St. Petersburg 33733, on behalf of Florida Power in the 01 and 02 dockets.

MR. HOWE: I'm Roger Howe with the Office of Public Counsel. With me is the Public Counsel, Mr. Jack Shreve, representing the Citizens of the State of Florida, in the 01, 03, 07 dockets.

MS. KAUFMAN: Vicki Gordon Kaufman,
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief and
Bakas, 117 South Gadsden, Tallahassee 32301. I'm

- 1	
1	appearing on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power
2	Users Group in 01, 02 and 07 dockets.
3	MS. JOHNSON: Vicki Johnson, appearing for
4	the Commission Staff 01 and 07 dockets.
5	MR. KEATING: Cochran Keating appearing on
6	behalf of Commission Staff in the 03 docket.
7	MS. WAGNER: Lorna Wagner appearing on
8	behalf of Commission Staff in the 02 docket.
9	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Are there any preliminary
10	matters? Have we established an order that we will
11	process the different dockets?
12	MR. KEATING: Yes. We'd like to start with
13	the 02, and then move on to the 03, 07 and then the 01
14	docket.
15	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Very well.
16	* * * *
17	MR. KEATING: Commissioners, all of the
18	issues in the 03 docket have been stipulated by the
19	parties. Staff requests that the testimony prefiled
20	in this docket, which appears on Page 5, the witnesses
21	that sponsored the testimony appear on Page 5 of the
22	Prehearing Order. Staff requests that this testimony
23	be moved into the record as though read.
24	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Could you then go through

25 the names of the witnesses, just in an abundance of

caution, to make sure that we have an accurate record.

MR. KEATING: The first witness, and Anne V. Wood, appearing for Chesapeake; second witness is Raymond DeMoine, appearing or City Gas. The third witness was George Bachman, submitted testimony for Florida Power. The fourth was Marc Schneidermann. Fifth, Brian Powers. Sixth, W Edward Elliott. Seventh J.H. Melendy. Eight L. Defrain. Nith, should Stuart L. Shoaf. Tenth, T.Goodwin.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Very good. Any questions? Seeing none, those will be stipulated into the record as though read. And any exhibits?

MR. KEATING: Let me just make a correction George Bachman submitted testimony for FPUC, not Florida Power.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Very good. That will be noted for the record.

MR. KEATING: The exhibits. Staff would also like marked for identification the exhibits listed on Pages 9 through 11 of the Prehearing Order and we can go through those. Not all of those are identified by initials and/or numbers so when we get to some that are not identified maybe I'll give you brief description.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Short title will be fine.

MR. KEATING: The exhibit identified as

AVW-1 will be marked as Exhibit 1. AVW-2 as Exhibit

2. RAD-1 as Exhibi 3. GMB- and there's not a number here it would be Schedules A1, A1R and the other A schedules to be marked as Exhibit 4. GNB- and there's no number here, this would be the E schedules; this would be marked as Exhibit 5.

The PGA summary of estimates for the projected period proffered by Indiantown would be marked as Exhibit 6. Calculation of true-up amounts for the current period submitted by Indiantown would be marked as Exhibit 7.

end use for the projected period -- I'm reading the descriptions from the Prehearing Order -- also submitted by Indiantown to be marked as Exhibit 8. A calculation of true-up amount for the projected period based on the prior period and current period to be marked as Exhibit 9. Calculation of therm sales and customer data as Exhibit 10. The exhibit identified as EE-1 is Exhibit 11. EE-2 is Exhibit 12. JHM-2 is Exhibit 13. LD-1 is Exhibit 14. LD- as Exhibit 15. SLS-1 as Exhibit 16; SLS-2, 17; SLS-3 is Exhibit 18. SLS-4 is Exhibit 19. SLS-5 is Exhibit 20. SLS-6 is Exhibit 21. TG-1 is Exhibit 22 and TG- and there's no

1	
1	number as Exhibit 23.
2	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: They will be marked as
3	read.
4	MR. KERTING: Staff would request those
5	exhibits be moved into the record.
6	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: They will be moved into
7	the record without objection.
8	(Exhibits 1 through 23 marked for
9	identification and received in evidence.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Purchased Gas Adjustment)	
(PGA) True-up)	DOCKET NO. 960003-GU

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ANNE V. WOOD

On Behalf of Florida Division Chesapeake Utilities Corporation

1	0	Please state your name,	occupation	and	husiness	address
1	· ·	ricase state your name,	occupation,	ZIII U	UUMIIIUSS	audi caa

- 2 A. My name is Anne V. Wood. I am Accounting and Rates Manager of the Florida Division
- 3 of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation. My business address is 1015 6th Street, N. W.,
- 4 Winter Haven, Florida 33882.
- 5 Q. Describe briefly your educational background and relevant professional background.
- 6 A. I have a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Business Administration/Accounting from Franklin
- 7 and Marshall College, Lancaster, Pennsylvania. I am also a licensed Certified Public
- 8 Accountant in New Jersey but am inactive at this time. I was employed by Chesapeake
- 9 Utilities in March of 1988 as a Corporate Accountant. In September 1989, I was
- 10 promoted to the position of Accounting Manager for the Florida Division. In January
- 11 1993. I also assumed the responsibilities of Rates Manager.
- 12 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 13 A. The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the final true-up for the period April 1995
- 14 through March 1996.
- 15 Exhibits
- 16 Q. Would you please identify the Composite Exhibit which you are sponsoring with this
- 17 testimony?
- 18 A. Yes. As Composite Exhibit AVW-1, I am sponsoring the following schedules with
- 19 respect to the final true-up for the period April 1995 through March 1996
- 20 A-1 Comparison of Actual Versus Original Estimate of the Purchased Gas Adjustment
- 21 Cost Recovery Factor October 1995 through September 1996
- 22 A-1(2) Purchased Gas Adjustment Cost Recovery Clause Calculation Schedule A-1
- 23 Supporting Detail October 1995 through September 1996.
- 24 A-2 Calculation of True-up and Interest Provision October 1995 through September
- 25 1996.

		1.3
1		A-3 - Transportation Purchases - October 1995 through September 1996.
2		A-4 - Transportation System Supply - October 1995 through September 1996.
3		A-5 - Therm Sales and Customer Data - October 1995 through September 1996.
4		A-6 - Conversion Factor Calculation - October 1995 through September 1996.
5		A-7 - Final Fuel Over/Under Recovery - April 1995 through March 1996.
6		Also attached are the individual PGA filings for the months of October 1995 through
7		September 1996.
8	Q.	Were these schedules prepared under your direction and supervision?
9	A.	Yes, they were.
10		Final True-Up April 1995 - March 1996
11	Q.	What were total therm sales for the period April 1995 through March 1996?
12	A.	Total therm sales subject to the PGA were 43,388,762 therms.
13	Q.	What were total therm purchases for the period April 1995 through March 1996?
14	A.	Total therm purchases were 40,529,387 therms.
15	Q.	What was the cost of gas to be recovered through the PGA for the period April 1995
16		through March 1996?
17	A.	The cost of gas purchased for the period was \$11,204,479
18	Q.	What was the amount of gas revenue collected for the period April 1995 through March
19		1996?
20	A.	The amount of gas revenue collected to cover the cost of gas was \$11,788,466.
21	Q.	What is the total true-up provision for the period April 1995 through March 1996?
22	A.	The total true-up provision, including interest, is an overrecovery of \$624,965 for the
23		period.
24	Q.	What is the amount of estimated true-up included for April 1995 through March 1996

in the April 1996 through March 1997 PGA factor calculation?

- 1 A. The amount of estimated true-up for the period April 1995 through March 1996 included
- 2 in the April 1996 through March 1997 PGA factor calculation was an overrecovery of
- 3 \$765,449.
- 4 Q. What is the final over/underrecovery for the April 1995 through March 1996 period to
- 5 be included in the April 1997 through March 1998 projection?
- 6 A. The final underrecovery for the April 1995 through March 1996 period to be included
- 7 in the April 1997 through March 1998 projection is \$140,484.
- 8 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 9 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Purchased Gas Adjustment)
(PGA) True-up) DOCKET NO. 970003-GU

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ANNE V. WOOD

On Behalf of Florida Division Chesapeake Utilities Corporation

Submitted for filing: January 14, 1997

- 1 Q. Please state your name, occupation, and business
- 2 address.
- 3 A. My name is Anne V. Wood. I am Accounting and Rates
- 4 Manager of the Florida Division of Chesapeake
- 5 Utilities Corporation. My business address is 1015
- 6 6th Street, N. W., Winter Haven, Florida 33882.
- 7 Q. Describe briefly your educational background and
- g relevant professional background.
- 9 A. I have a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Business
- 10 Administration/Accounting from Franklin and Marshall
- 11 College, Lancaster, Pennsylvania. 1 am also a
- 12 licensed Certified Public Accountant in New Jersey but
- am inactive at this time. I was employed by
- 14 Chesapeake Utilities in March of 1988 as a Corporate
- 15 Accountant. In September 1989, I was promoted to the
- 16 position of Accounting Manager for the Florida
- 17 Division. In January 1993, I also assumed the
- 18 responsibilities of Rates Manager.
- 19 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 20 A. The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the Florida
- 21 Division's calculation of its levelized purchased gas
- 22 cost factors for the twelve-month period April 1997
- 23 through March 1998.
- 24 Exhibits
- 25 Q. Would you please identify the Composite Exhibit which

1		you are sponsoring with this testimony?
2	A.	Yes. As Composite Exhibit AVW-2, I am sponsoring the
3		following schedules with respect to the April 1997
4		through March 1998 levelized purchased gas cost factor
5		projection:
6		E-1 - PGA Calculation, Original Estimate for the
7		Projected Period April 1997 - March 1998. (Total
8		Company)
9		E-1 - PGA Calculation, Original Estimate for the
10		Projected Period April 1997 - March 1998 (Firm Sales
11		Customers)
12		E-1 - PGA Calculation, Original Estimate for the
13		Projected Period April 1997 - March 1998
14		(Interruptible Sales Customers)
15		E-1/R - PGA Calculation, Revised Estimate for the
16		Period April 1996 - March 1997 (Total Company)
17		E-1/R - PGA Calculation, Revised Estimate for the
18		Period April 1996 - March 1997 (Allocated Firm)
19		E-1/R - PGA Calculation, Revised Estimate for the
20		Period April 1996 - March 1997 (Allocated
21		Interruptible)
22		E-2 - Calculation of True-up Amount for the
23		Current Period April 1996 - March 1997
24		E-3 - Transportation Purchases for the Projected
25		Period April 1997 - March 1998

- E-4 Calculation of True-up Amount, Projected
- 3 Period April 1997 March 1998
- 4 E-5 Therm Sales and Customer Data for the
- 5 Projected Period April 1997 March 1998
- 6 O. Were these schedules prepared under your direction and
- 7 supervision?
- 8 A. Yes, they were.
- 9 Revised Estimate April 1996 March 1997
- 10 Q. What is the revised estimate of total purchased gas
- 11 costs for the period April 1996 March 1997?
- 12 A. The revised projection of purchased gas cost for the
- 13 current period is \$13,166,905.
- 14 Q. What is the revised projection of gas revenue to be
- 15 collected for the current period?
- 16 A. As shown on Schedule E-2 the company estimates the
- 17 total gas revenue to be collected during the period to
- be \$11,567,863. This amount includes a refund of
- 19 prior period overcollections in the amount of
- 20 \$766,091. Therefore, the revenue collected to cover
- 21 the current period's gas cost is estimated to be
- 22 \$12,333,954.
- 23 Q. What is the revised true-up amount, including
- 24 interest, estimated for the April 1996 March 1997
- 25 period?

1	A.	The compa	ny estim	ates the	revised	true-up,	including
2		interest.	to be a	n underc	ollection	n of \$815	,801.

April 1997 - March 1998 Projection

- 4 Q. How did you develop your projection of the Florida
 5 Division's cost of gas for the April 1997 March 1998
- 6 period?

- 7 A. Our first step was to estimate our supply requirements
- g for each of the twelve months in the period. Our
- 9 projected supply requirements are based on our
- 10 projected sales and company use for each month. Once
- 11 we develop our supply requirements, we can then
- determine how these requirements will be met. In
- other words, we match our estimated requirements with
- 14 the gas supply that is available to us. All of our
- gas requirements will be met utilizing our "FTS" (firm
- 16 transportation service) contract entitlement for the
- 17 projected period of April 1997 March 1998.
- 18 Q. Please describe the general steps or mechanics of
- 19 projecting the total cost of gas for the April 1997 -
- 20 March 1998 period.
- 21 A. As shown on Schedule E-1 (Total Company) lines 1 11,
- 22 the total cost of gas consists of the cost of no-
- 23 notice transportation service (NNTS) on FGT, the
- 24 demand and commodity costs of firm transportation
- 25 service (FTS) on FGT, and the commodity cost of gas

estimated to be paid to our suppliers during the period.

The demand component of "NNTS" and "FTS" services (lines 2 and 5) is based on the Florida Division's contract levels with FGT and an estimation of FGT's demand rates for these two services. The demand rates utilized for NNTS, FTS-1, and FTS-2 service for the period are the current rates in effect. During the period of April 1997 - March 1998, our "FTS" contract entitlement exceeds our monthly gas requirements. Therefore, we will be paying demand charges in excess of the volumes actually transported for system supply. Whenever possible, the Florida Division will relinquish excess capacity in order to lower its gas cost to its ratepayers.

The commodity portions of transportation system supply are shown on Schedule E-1, lines 1 and 4. The commodity pipeline amount (line 1) is based on FGT's "FTS" commodity rate multiplied by the number of therms transported for system supply. The commodity rate utilized for the period is the current rate in effect.

The commodity other (line 4) is based on data shown on Schedule E-3 which details our projected direct supplier and/or producer purchases for the

- twelve-month period. We projected the "FTS" commodity
- 2 cost on line 4 using a combination of analyses. We
- 3 analyzed the 1995 and 1996 monthly prices of natural
- 4 gas delivered to FGT by zone as reported in <u>Inside</u>
- 5 FERC Gas Market Report. We also reviewed the recent
- 6 NYMEX postings for the period January 1997 through
- 7 March 1997. We developed our monthly index price of
- gas using the above data and allowing for seasonal
- 9 trends and current market pricing. To this average,
- 10 we added our suppliers' estimated margin and
- 11 compressor fuel.
- 12 Q. How did you project total firm and interruptible
- 13 sales?
- 14 A. Firm sales were projected based on historical averages
- in each firm rate class. The interruptible sales
- 16 projection was based on a combination of a survey
- 17 method (i.e., talking with the customer), historical
- 18 consumption and management judgment. These projected
- 19 therm sales are found on Schedule E-1, line 27.
- 20 Company use volumes are shown on line 26.
- 21 Q. How did you project company use volumes?
- 22 A. Company use volumes were estimated based on our
- 23 current level of compressed natural gas usage by
- 24 company vehicles.
- 25 Q. Based on the projected total cost of gas and projected

- sales, what is the system-wide average cost per therm
- 2 for the twelve-month period ended March 1998?
- 3 A. This figure is shown on Schedule E-1 (Total Company),
- 4 line 40, and is 37.384 cents per therm. To arrive at
- 5 the total PGA factor, the 37.384 cents per therm is
- 6 adjusted for the estimated total true-up through March
- 7 1997 (shown on Schedule E-4) and for revenue-related
- 8 taxes.
- 9 Q. What is the system-wide projected PGA factor for the
- 10 period April 1997 March 1998?
- 11 A. The projected system-wide PGA factor for the period is
- 12 40.601 cents per therm.
- 13 Q. The estimated total true-up for the twelve months
- 14 ended March 1997 as calculated on Schedule E-4 is
- included in the projected PGA factor for the period
- 16 April 1997 March 1998. Please explain how it was
- 17 calculated.
- 18 A. The final true-up amount for the period April 1995 -
- 19 March 1996 is added to the estimated end of period net
- 20 true-up for April 1996 March 1997. The April 1996 -
- 21 March 1997 estimated true-up is based on eight months'
- 22 actual data plus four months' projected data.
- Q. What is the impact of the total true-up for the twelve
- 24 months ended March 31, 1997 on the projected PGA
- 25 factor for the April 1997 March 1998 period?

- 1 A. The projected true-up as of March 31, 1997 is an
- 2 underrecovery of \$956,285 (Schedule E-4). Dividing
- 3 the underrecovery by the April 1997 March 1998
- 4 projected therm sales of 38,929,863 results in a
- 5 surcharge of 2.456 cents per therm to be included in
- 6 the proposed PGA factor.
- 7 Q. What is the maximum levelized purchased gas factor
- 8 (cap) that you are proposing for the April 1997 -
- 9 March 1998 period?
- 10 A. The maximum levelized purchased gas factor (cap) that
- 11 we are proposing for the period is 48.537 cents per
- 12 therm. This factor represents the projected firm
- "winter" average cost of gas, plus the true-up and
- 14 taxes. Allocation of demand and commodity costs
- 15 between firm and interruptible rate classes results in
- 16 projected PGA factors for the twelve-month period,
- including true-up and taxes, of 44.633 cents per therm
- and 37.709 cents per therm for firm and interruptible
- 19 classes, respectively, for the period. However, since
- 20 the Company historically has experienced higher gas
- 21 costs during the winter months, the Company has
- 22 calculated a firm "winter" average cost of gas for the
- 23 months of October 1997 through March 1998 for the
- 24 purposes of establishing the maximum levelized
- 25 purchased gas cost factor (cap). This methodology

- will allow us to minimize large underrecoveries during
- 2 the winter months, but allow us to flex downward in
- 3 the summer months in order to match current market
- 4 conditions and manage overrecoveries as well.
- 5 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 6 A. Yes, it does.

1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	In Re: Purchased Gas Adjustment) Docket No. 960003-GU (PGA) True-Up) Filed: November 19, 1996
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	DIRECT TESTIMONY
16	OF
17	RAYMOND A. DeMOINE
18	ON BEHALF OF CITY GAS COMPANY OF FLORIDA
19	(A DIVISION OF NUI CORPORATION)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	

1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	RAYMOND A. DEMOINE
4	ON BEHALF OF CITY GAS COMPANY OF FLORIDA
5	(A DIVISION OF NUI CORPORATION)
6	DOCKET NO. 960003-GU
7	
8	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
10 11	A My name is Raymond A. DeMoine. My business address is NUI Corporation, One Elizabethtown Plaza, Union, New Jersey 07083.
12	Corporation, one Elizabethtown Flaza, onton, new outse, order
13 14	Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
15	A. I am employed by NUI Corporation ("NUI") as Director, Rates and
16	Regulatory Affairs. City Gas Company of Florida ("City Gas" or "the
17	Company") is an operating division of NUI Corporation.
18	
19	Q. BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.
20	
21	A. I received a Bachelor of Science in Commerce degree, with a major in
22	Accounting, from Rider College in June 1983. In June of 1990, I
23	received a Master of Business Administration degree from Rider
24	College. I am a Certified Public Accountant in the State of New
25	Jersey, and a member of the American Institute of Certified Public
26	Accountants and the New Jersey State Society of Certified Public
27	Accountants.

10

Upon graduation from Rider College in 1983, I was employed by the 2 State of New Jersey as an Auditor/Investigator with the Division or 3 Criminal Justice. I audited various types of business entities, 4 primarily for the detection of fraud. In December of 1986, I accepted a 5 professional staff position with the certified public accounting firm of 6 Arthur Young (currently Ernst and Young), a worldwide accounting and 7 My experience with this public accounting firm 8 consulting firm. included auditing and consulting engagements on a variety of entities 9

In July 1989, I was hired as Administrator of External Reporting
by Elizabethtown Gas Company, NUI's New Jersey Division. In that role,
I was responsible for the coordination of the Accounting Department's
efforts in all regulatory filings.

and exposure to varied accounting systems.

In August 1993, I was assigned to NUI's Florida Division, City Gas
Company of Florida to coordinate the analysis, filing and prosecution of
its 1994 base rate case. In March 1995, I was promoted to Manager of
Regulatory Affairs. In July 1995, I assumed a supervisory roll for all
rate and regulatory matters in Elizabethtown's Rate Department.

On October 1, 1995, NUI centralized certain functions, which included the utility rate and pricing function. Therefore, I now have responsibility for the rate and pricing function in each of NUI's utility jurisdictions.

On November 1996, I was promoted to Director of Rates and Regulatory Affairs.

26

I	Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY
2	
3	A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the comparison of Actual
4	versus Original estimate of the purchased gas adjustment cost
5	recovery factory and true-up provision for the period October, 1995
6	through September, 1996 for City Gas.
7	Q. HAS THE COMPANY PREPARED THE FORMS PRESCRIBED BY THIS COMMISSION FOR
8	THIS PURPOSE?
9	A. Yes. The forms prescribed by the Commission, Schedules A-1 through
10	A-6 for the months of October 1995 through September 1996, Schedule A-7
11	and schedule E-4, are attached to my testimony.
12	
13	Q. HAS CITY GAS PREPARED A SCHEDULE WHICH SHOWS THE ACTUAL GAS COSTS
14	ASSOCIATED WITH THE GAS ADJUSTMENT COST RECOVERY FACTOR?
15	
16	A. Yes. City Gas prepared Schedule A-7, attached, which describes the
17	total fuel cost for the period in question, recovery of such cost
13	from ratepayers through the Gas Adjustment Cost Recovery Factor, and
19	remaining over-or under-recovery of gas cost.
20	
21	Q. WHAT WAS THE TOTAL GAS COST INCURRED BY THE COMPANY DURING THE TWELVE
22	MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 1996?
23	
24	A. As shown on Schedule A-7, Line 1, the total cost of gas for the
25	twelve months ended September 30, 1996 is \$ 31,204,696.
26	Tables represented to the property of the state of the second of the state of the s
27	Q. WHAT WAS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF GAS COST RECOVERED BY THE COMPANY DURING

THE TWELVEMONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 19967

1		
2	Α.	The Company recovered \$ 30,074,339.
3		
4	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S ACTUAL TRUE-UP FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED
5		SEPTEMBER 30, 1996?
6		
7	Α.	The actual true-up amount, including interest, is an over-recovery of
8		\$ 587,520, as shown on the September 1996 Schedule A-2, "Actual"
9		column, line 11.
10		
11	Ω.	CAN YOU EXPLAIN HOW YOU ARRIVED AT THAT AMOUNT OF OVER-RECOVERY?
12		
13	A.	Yes. As shown on Schedule A-7, the total under-recovered amount for
14		the twelve month period ending September 30, 1996 is \$ 811,957. The
15		beginning balance on September 30, 1995 was an over-recovery of \$
16		1,338,247. The sum of these two balances vields over-recorded
17		balance of \$ 526,290. By adding \$ 61,230, which is the amount that
18		City Gas refunded its customers during the period in question, one
19		arrives at the total over-recovered amount of \$ 587,520.
20		
21	Ω.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
22		
23	Α.	Yes.
24		
25		

1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	In Re: Purchased Gas Adjustment) Docket No. 960003-GU (PGA) True-Up) Filed: November 26, 1996
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	DIRECT TESTIMONY
16	OF
17	RAYMOND A. DeMOINE
18	ON BEHALF OF CITY GAS COMPANY OF FLORIDA
19	(A DIVISION OF NUI CORPORATION)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
20	

1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	RAYMOND A. DeMOINE
4	ON BEHALF OF CITY GAS COMPANY OF FLORIDA
5	(A DIVISION OF NUI CORPORATION)
6	DOCKET NO. 960003-GU
7	
8	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
10	A. My name is Raymond A. DeMoine. My business address is NUI Corporation, One Elizabethtown Plaza, Union, New Jersey 07083.
12	
13	Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
15	A. I am employed by NUI Corporation ("NUI") as Director, Rates and
16	Regulatory Affairs. City Gas Company of Florida ("City Gas" or "the
17	Company") is an operating division of NUI Corporation.
19	Q. BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE.
21	A. I received a Bachelor of Science in Commerce degree, with a major in
2.2	Accounting, from Rider College in June 1983. In June of 1990, I
23	received a Master of Business Administration degree from Rider
24	College. I am a Certified Public Accountant in the State of New
25	Jersey, and a member of the American Institute of Certified Public
26	Accountants and the New Jersey State Society of Certified Public
. 7	

Upon graduation from Rider College in 1983, I was employed by the State of New Jersey as an Auditor/Investigator with the Division of Criminal Justice. I audited various types of business entities, primarily for the detection of fraud. In December of 1986, I accepted a professional staff position with the certified public accounting firm of Arthur Young (currently Ernst and Young), a worldwide accounting and consulting firm. My experience with this public accounting firm included auditing and consulting engagements on a variety of entities and exposure to varied accounting systems.

In July 1989, I was hired as Administrator of External Reporting
by Elizabethtown Gas Company, NUI's New Jersey Division. In that role,
I was responsible for the coordination of the Accounting Department's
efforts in all regulatory filings.

In August 1993, I was assigned to NUI's Florida Division, City Gas Company of Florida to coordinate the analysis, filing and prosecution of its 1994 base rate case. In March 1995, I was promoted to Manager of Regulatory Affairs. In July 1995, I assumed a supervisory roll for all rate and regulatory matters in Elizabethtown's Rate Department.

On October 1, 1995, NUI centralized certain functions, which included the utility rate and pricing function. Therefore, I now have responsibility for the rate and pricing function in each of NUI's utility jurisdictions.

On November 1996, I was promoted to Director of Rates and Regulatory Affairs.

1	Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY
2	
3	A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the comparison of Actua
4	versus Original estimate of the purchased gas adjustment cos
5	recovery factory and true-up provision for the period April 199
6	through March 1996 for City Gas.
7	B. HAS THE COMPANY PREPARED THE FORMS PRESCRIBED BY THIS COMMISSION FO
8	THIS PURPOSE?
9	A. Yes. The forms prescribed by the Commission, Schedules A-1 throug
10	A-6 for the months of April 1995 through March 1996, Schedule A-7 an
11	schedule E-4, are attached to my testimony.
12	
13	Q. HAS CITY GAS PREPARED A SCHEDULE WHICH SHOWS THE ACTUAL GAS COST
14	ASSOCIATED WITH THE GAS ADJUSTMENT COST RECOVERY FACTOR?
15	
16	A. Yes. City Gas prepared Schedule A-7, attached, which describes th
17	total fuel cost for the period in question, recovery of such cos
18	from ratepayers through the Gas Adjustment Cost Recovery Factor, an
19	remaining over-or under-recovery of gas cost.
20	
21	Q. WHAT WAS THE TOTAL GAS COST INCURRED BY THE COMPANY DURING THE TWELV
22	MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 1996?
23	
24	A. As shown on Schedule A-7, Line 1, the total cost of gas for th
25	twelve months ended March 31, 1996 is \$ 26,610,021.

Q. WHAT WAS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF GAS COST RECOVERED BY THE COMPANY DURING THE TWELVEMONTES ENDED MARCH 31, 1996? 2 A. The Company recovered \$ 24,798,260. 3 4 Q. WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S ACTUAL TRUE-UP FOR THE TWELVE MOWTHS ENDED 5 MARCH 31, 1996? 6 A. The actual true-up amount, including interest, is an under-recovery 8 of \$1,378,495, as shown on the March 1996 Schedule A-2, "Actual" 9 10 column, line 11. 11 Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN HOW YOU ARRIVED AT THAT AMOUNT OF UNDER-RECOVERY? 12 13 A. Yes. As shown on Schedule A-7, the total under-recovered amount for 14 the twelve month period ending March 31, 1996 is \$ 1,422,567. The 15 beginning balance on March 31, 1995 was an over-recovery of \$76,672. 16 The sum of these two balances yields under-recorded balance of 17 \$1,345,895 . By adding \$30,600 , which is the amount that City Gas 18 refunded its customers during the period in question, one arrives at 19 the total under-recovered amount of \$1,376,495. 20 21 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY? 22 23 A. Yes. 24 25

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		RAYMOND A. DeMOINE 970003-64
5		
6	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
7	A.	My name is Raymond A. DeMoine. My business address is One Elizabethtown
8		Plaza, Union, New Jersey 07083.
9	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED, AND IN WHAT CAPACITY.
10	A.	I am currently employed as Director of Rates and Regulatory Affairs for NUI
11		Corporation which includes the Florida operating division, City Gas Company of
12		Florida.
13	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PRIOR UTILITY RELATED EXPERIENCE.
14	A.	Upon graduation from Rider College in 1983, I was employed by the State of New
15		Jersey as an Auditor/Investigator with the Division of Criminal Justice I audited
16		various types of business entities, primarily for the detection of fraud. In
17		December 1986, I accepted a professional staff position with the certified public
18		accounting firm of Arthur Young (now Ernst and Young), a worldwide accounting
19		and consulting firm. My experience with this public accounting firm included
20		auditing and consulting engagements on a variety of entities and exposure to
21		varied accounting systems.

In July 1989, I was hired as Administrator of External Reporting by 22 Elizabethtown Gas Company. In that role, I was responsible for the coordination 23 of the Accounting Department's efforts in all regulatory proceedings. 24 In March 1995, I was promoted to Manager of Regulatory Affairs in the 25 Company's Rate Department, in July 1995, I assumed additional responsibility as 26 Manager of Rates and Regulatory Affairs. 27 In November 1996, I was promoted to Director of Rates and Regulatory 28 Affairs. 29 PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND. O. 30 received a Bachelor of Science in Commerce degree, with a major in A. 31 Accounting, from Rider College in June 1983. In June 1990, I received a Master 32 of Business Administration degree from Rider College. I am a Certified Public 33 Accountant in the State of New Jersey, and a member of the American Institute of 34 Certified Public Accountants and the New Jersey State Society of Certified Public 35 Accountants 36 MR. DeMOINE, WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY? Q. 37 The purpose of my testimony is to present the revised estimate of the Company's A 38 projection of gas costs for the period April 1996 through March 1997 I will 39 describe generally the Company's projection of gas costs and the development of 40 the maximum rate to be charged to customers for the period April 1997, through 41 March 1998. 42

- 43 Q. HAS THE COMPANY PREPARED THE FORMS AS PRESCRIBED BY
 44 THIS COMMISSION FOR THIS PURPOSE.
- 45 A. Yes. The forms prescribed by the Commission are being filed at this time. Copies

 46 are attached to my testimony.

47 Q. CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE PROJECTION METHODOLOGY?

- Yes. Under this methodology, which was adopted by Order No. PSC-93-0708-A. 48 FOF-GU of this Commission on May 10, 1993, gas companies are to project their 49 gas costs each twelve months for the ensuing twelve-month period ending in 50 March. A rate per therm is developed for the weighted average cost of gas 51 (WACOG). this rate, based on the average of the winter and summer seasons 52 would lead to over or underrecoveries of gas costs in the two seasons 53 problem is mitigated by establishing a maximum levelized purchased gas factor 54 based on the company's expected winter cost of gas, thereby eliminating the large 55 underrecovery in that season. The Company is then able to flex downward in the 56 summer in order to match market conditions and eliminate the potential for a large 57 overrecovery for the reminder of the period. 58
- 59 Q. WHAT IF THE ACTUAL COST EXCEEDS THE MAXIMUM RATE AS
 60 PROJECTED?
- 61 A. If re-projected gas costs for the remaining period exceed projected recoveries by at
 62 least 10% for the twelve-month period, a mid-course correction may formally be
 63 requested by the Company.

64	Q.	WHAT HAPPENS TO THE DIFFERENCES THAT RESULT FROM
65		MISESTIMATES, THAT IS, THE MISMATCHES BETWEEN ACTUAL
66		AND ESTIMATED COSTS?
67	Α.	The forms take this into consideration. Form E-2 calculates the projected
68		differences using estimated figures, and form E-4 calculates the final true-up using
69		actual figures. These difference are flowed back to customers through the true-up
70		factor included in gas costs billed in the subsequent twelve-month period
71	Q.	ARE ANY FGT RATE CHANGES PROPOSED WHICH ARE
72		REFLECTED IN THIS FILING?
73	A.	Yes. On August 30, 1996, FGT filed with the FERC for a general rate increase in
74		Docket No. RP96-366. The FERC subsequently issued an Order accepting FGT's
75		filing and suspending the proposed rates for five months. The suspension period
76		will end on March 1, 1997, at which time FGT's proposed rates will go into effect
77		This filing incorporates the rates contained in FGT's filing as of that date
78	Q.	HAVE YOU MADE ANY MODIFICATIONS TO THE COMMISSION
79		PRESCRIBED FORMS?
80	A.	Yes. On Form E-2, lines 4a and 4b were added. The reason these lines were
81		added was that gas costs rose significantly in the period December 1996 through
82		March 1997. In order to recover the level of revenues presented on line 4, City
83		Gas would have had to charge a PGA rate in excess of its cap. Therefore, line 42
84		represents the difference in revenue between the Company's cap of \$0.42184 and
25		the amount which would have been charged if the Company could exceed its cap

86 Q. CAN YOU SUMMARIZE THE CONTENTS OF THE SCHEDULES 87 SUBMITTED AS PART OF THIS FILING?

A.

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

Yes. For the projected period April 1997 through March 1998, we estimate the gas purchases for resale will be 78,469,076 therms at a total cost of \$35,356,950. with a resulting WACOG of 45.058 cents per therm (before the application of the true-up factor and the regulatory assessment fee). The difference between the estimated actual and actual true-up for the prior period April 1995 through March 1996 is an underrecovery of \$1,223,433. The projected true-up for the current period April 1996 through March 1997 is an underrecovery of \$3,290,895. The total true-up as shown on Schedule E-4 is an underrecovery of \$4,514,328 for a true-up factor of 5.753 cents per therm that would be applied during the projected period. This true-up factor increases the gas cost factor during the April 1997 through March 1998 period, to 50.811 cents per therm (before the regulatory assessment fee). With the regulatory assessment fee added, the PGA factor is 51.003 cents per therm based on the average of the winter and summer seasons. City Gas however has chosen to establish a maximum levelized purchased gas factor based on the Company's expected winter cost of gas as follows:

Winter Average

104	Total Cost (Line 11)	\$20,240,298
105	Total Therm Sales (Line 27)	42,427,535
106	(11/27)	\$0.47706
107	True-up	0.05753

108		Before Regulatory Assess.	0.53459
109		Revenue Tax Factor	1.00376
110		Purchased Gas Factor	\$0.53660
111		As shown above, the maximum le	evelized purchased gas factor based on the
112		Company's expected winter cost of	f gas is 53.459 cents per therm before the
113		regulatory assessment fee. This is the	e maximum gas cost factor that City Gas may
114		charge its customers for the period A	pril 1997 through March 1998.
115	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR	TESTIMONY?
116	A.	Yes, it does.	

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 960003-GU DETERMINATION OF PURCHASED GAS/COST RECOVERY FACTOR

Direct Testimony of George Bachman On Behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	Α.	George Bachman, 401 South Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, FL
3		33401.
4	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
5	Α.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company as the
6		Director of Accounting.
7	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony at this time?
8	Α.	To advise the Commission of the actual over/under recovery of
9		the Purchased Gas Adjustment for the period April 1, 1995
10		through March 31, 1996. As compared to the true-up amount
11		previously reported for that period which was based on eight
12		months actual and four months estimated.
13	Q.	Please state the actual amount of over/under recovery of the
14		Purchased Gas Adjustment for April 1, 1995 through
15		March 31,1996.
16	Α.	The Company over-recovered \$176,229 during April 1995 'hrough
17		March 1996. This amount is substantiated on Schedules A-2 and
18		A-7.
19	Q.	How does this amount compare with the estimated true-up amount
20		which was allowed by the Commission during the February 1996
21		hearing?

- A. We had estimated an over-recovery of \$1,371,194 as of March
- 2 1996.
- 3 Q. Have you prepared any exhibits at this time?
- A. We prepared and pre-filed Schedules A-1, A-2, A-3, A-4, A-5,
- 5 A-6, and A-7.
- 6 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Disk 19
- 9 GBTST-10.PGA

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 960003-GU DETERMINATION OF PURCHASED GAS/COST RECOVERY FACTOR - MID-COURSE CORRECTION

Direct Testimony of George Bachman On Behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

Please state your name and business address.

1 Q.

	0.00	220 04-1-0-20 04-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0
2	A.	George Bachman, 401 South Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, FL 33401.
3	Q.	By whom are you employed?
4	Α.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company.
5	Q.	Have you previously testified in this Docket?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony at this time?
8	Α.	I will summarize our projected gas recoveries computations which were contained
9		in composite exhibit GMB-3 which supports the April 1996 - March 1997 Mid-
10		Course Correction.
11	Q.	Were the schedules filed by your Company completed under your direction?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	Which of the staff's set of schedules has your Company completed and filed?
14	Α.	We have prepared composite exhibit GMB-3 which contains Schedules E1, E2,
15		and E3, for our consolidated gas division. These schedules support the
16		calculation of the Mid-Course Correction purchased gas adjustment factors for

1		April 1996 - March 1997. The Mid-Course Correction will be effective February
2		1, 1997, through March 31, 1997.
3	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
4	A.	Yes.
5		ISSUE 1: What is the appropriate Mid-Course Correction levelized
6		purchased gas adjustment recovery (cap) factor for the period February, 1997
7		through March, 1997?
8		COMPANY POSITION: The Purchased Gas Cost Recovery Factor will be a
9		maximum of 66.333¢ per therm.
10		ISSUE 2: What should be the effective date of the new purchased gas
11		adjustment charge for billing purposes?
12		COMPANY POSITION: The Mid-Course factor should be effective for all
13		meter readings on or after February 1, 1997, beginning with the first or applicable
14		billing cycle for the period February, 1997 - March, 1997.
15		
16		
17 18 19		GA-COR.TEST A 12/96

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 970003-GU DETERMINATION OF PURCHASED GAS/COST RECOVERY FACTOR

Direct Testimony of George Bachman On Behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	George Bachman, 401 South Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, FL 33401.
3	Q.	By whom are you employed?
4	A.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company.
5	Q.	Have you previously testified in this Docket?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony at this time?
8	Α.	I will summarize our projected gas recoveries computations which are contained
9		in composite exhibit GMB-3 which supports the April 1997 - March 1998
10		projected purchased gas adjustments for our consolidated gas division. In
11		addition, I will advise the Commission of the projected differences between the
12		revenues collected and the purchased gas costs allowed in developing the
13		levelized purchased gas adjustment for the periods April 1995 - March 1996 and
14		April 1996 - March 1997. From these two periods I will establish a "true-up"
15		amount to be collected or refunded during April 1997 - March 1998.
16	Q.	Were the schedules filed by your Company completed under your direction?
17	Α.	Yes.

1	Q.	Which of the staff's set of schedules has your Company completed and filed?
2	A.	We have prepared and pre-filed True-Up Schedules A-1, A-2, A-3, A-4, A-5, A-6,
3		A-7 and A-8 in addition to composite exhibit GMB-3 which contains Schedules
4		E1, E1/R, E2, and E3, E4 and E5 for our consolidated gas division. These
5		schedules support the calculation of the purchased gas adjustment factors for
6		April 1997 - March 1998.
7	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
8	A.	Yes.
9	PUR	CHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT ISSUES
10		ISSUE 1: What is the appropriate final purchased gas adjustment true-up
11		amount for the period April, 1995 through March, 1996?
12		COMPANY POSITION: The final purchased gas adjustment true-up amount
13		for the period April 1995 - March 1996 is an under-recovery of \$1,194,965.
14		ISSUE 2: What is the estimated purchased gas adjustment true-up amount for
15		the period April, 1996 through March, 1997?
16		COMPANY POSITION: We have estimated that we will have under-
17		recovered \$2,468,967 for the period April 1996 - March 1997.
18		ISSUE 3: What is the total purchased gas adjustment true-up amount to be
19		collected during the period April, 1997 through March, 1998?
20		COMPANY POSITION: The total net under-recovery to be collected during
21		the period April 1997 - March 1998 is \$3,663,932.
22		ISSUE 4: What is the appropriate levelized purchased gas adjustment
23		recovery (cap) factor for the period April , 1997 through March, 1998?

1	COMPANY POSITION: The Purchased Gas Cosi Recovery Factor will be a
2	maximum of 57.569¢ per therm.
3	ISSUE 5: What should be the effective date of the new purchased gas
4	adjustment charge for billing purposes?
5	COMPANY POSITION: The factor should be effective for all meter readings
6	on or after April 1, 1997, beginning with the first or applicable billing cycle for
7	the period April 1997 - March 1998.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12 13 14	GMB-PGA-2-97.TEST disk PGA 12/96

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 960003-GU DETERMINATION OF PURCHASED GAS/COST RECOVERY FACTOR - REVISED MID-COURSE CORRECTION

Direct Testimony of George Bachman On Behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	George Bachman, 401 South Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, FL 33401.
3	Q.	By whom are you employed?
4	A.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company.
5	Q.	Have you previously testified in this Docket?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony at this time?
8	A.	I will summarize our projected gas recoveries computations which were contained
9		in composite exhibit GMB-3 which supports the April 1996 - March 1997
10	¥.	Revised Mid-Course Correction.
11	Q.	Were the schedules filed by your Company completed under your direction?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	Which of the staff's set of schedules has your Company completed and filed?
14	A.	We have prepared composite exhibit GMB-3 which contains revised schedules
15		E1, E2, and E3, for our consolidated gas division. These schedules support the
16		calculation of the Revised Mid-Course Correction purchased gas adjustment

1		factors for April 1996 - March 1997. The Revised Mid-Course Correction will
2		be effective February 1, 1997, through March 31, 1997.
3	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
4	A.	Yes.
5		ISSUE 1: What is the appropriate Revised Mid-Course Correction levelized
6		purchased gas adjustment recovery (cap) factor for the period February, 1997
7		through March, 1997?
8		COMPANY POSITION: The Purchased Gas Cost Recovery Factor will be a
9		maximum of 66.333¢ per therm.
10		ISSUE 2: What should be the effective date of the new purchased gas
11		adjustment charge for billing purposes?
12		COMPANY POSITION: The Revised Mid-Course factor should be effective
13		for all meter readings on or after February 1, 1997, beginning with the first or
14		applicable billing cycle for the period February, 1997 - March, 1997.
15		
16	,	
17 18 19		RPGA-COR.TEST GA 12/96

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 970003-GU DETERMINATION OF PURCHASED GAS/COST RECOVERY FACTOR

Direct Testimony of George Bachman On Behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

Please state your name and business address.

1 O.

		111 - Carlot Control - Carlot Control - Carlot Control - Carlot Control - Carlot - C
2	A.	George Bachman, 401 South Dixie Highway, West Palm Beach, FL 33401.
3	Q.	By whom are you employed?
4	A.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company.
5	Q.	Have you previously testified in this Docket?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony at this time?
8	Α.	I will summarize our revised projected gas recoveries computations which are
.9		contained in composite exhibit GMB-3 which supports the April 1997 - March
10	6)	1998 revised projected purchased gas adjustments for our consolidated gas
11		division. In addition, I will advise the Commission of the projected differences
12		between the revenues collected and the purchased gas costs allowed in developing
13		the levelized purchased gas adjustment for the periods April 1995 - March 1996
14		and April 1996 - March 1997. From these two periods I will establish a "true-up"
15		amount to be collected or refunded during April 1997 - March 1998.
16	Q.	Were the schedules filed by your Company completed under your direction?
17	A.	Yes.

Which of the staff's set of schedules has your Company completed and filed? 1 Q. We have prepared and pre-filed True-Up Schedules A-1, A-2, A-3, A-4, A-5, A-6. 2 A. A-7 and A-8 in addition to composite exhibit GMB-3 which contains Revised 3 Schedules E1, E1/R, E2, and E3, E4 and E5 for our consolidated gas division. 4 These schedules support the calculation of the purchased gas adjustment factors 5 for April 1997 - March 1998. 6 7 O. Does this conclude your testimony? 8 A. Yes. 9 PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT ISSUES What is the appropriate final purchased gas adjustment true-up 10 ISSUE 1: amount for the period April, 1995 through March, 1996? 11 The final purchased gas adjustment true-up amount COMPANY POSITION: 12 for the period April 1995 - March 1996 is an under-recovery of \$1,194,965. 13 What is the estimated purchased gas adjustment true-up amount for 14 ISSUE 2: the period April, 1996 through March, 1997? 15 COMPANY POSITION: We have estimated that we will have under-16 recovered \$41,418 for the period April 1996 - March 1997. 17 What is the total purchased gas adjustment true-up amount to be 18 ISSUE 3: collected during the period April, 1997 through March, 1998? 19 COMPANY POSITION: The total net under-recovery to be collected during 20 the period April 1997 - March 1998 is \$1,236,383. 21

٠

22

23

ISSUE 4:

What is the appropriate levelized purchased gas adjustment

1	COMPANY POSITION: The Purchased Gas Cost Recovery Factor will be a
2	maximum of \$52.114¢ per therm.
3	ISSUE 5: What should be the effective date of the new purchased gas
4	adjustment charge for billing purposes?
5	COMPANY POSITION: The factor should be effective for all meter readings
6	on or after April 1, 1997, beginning with the first or applicable billing cycle for
7	the period April 1997 - March 1998.
8	
9	
10	
1	
12 13 14	GMB-RPGA-2-97.TEST disk PGA 12/96

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 970003-GU DETERMINATION OF PURCHASED GAS/COST RECOVERY FACTOR

Direct Testimony of Marc L. Schneidermann on Behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company

1	Q.	Please state your name and buciness address.			
2	Α.	Marc L. Schneidermann, 401 South Dixie Highway,			
3		West Palm Beach, FL 33402.			
4	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?			
5	Α.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company			
6		(FPU) as the Manager of Engineering and Gas			
7		Supply.			
8	Q.	How long have you been employed by FPU?			
9	A.	Since February 1989.			
10	Q.	Have you previously testified before this			
11		Commission?			
12	A.	Yes, I testified in the Purchased Gas Cost			
13		Recovery Dockets Numbers 960003-GU, 950003-GU,			
14		940003-GU, 930003-GU, 920003-GU and 910003-GU, as			
15		well as Docket Numbers 940620-GU and 900151-GU,			
16		the most recent filings for rate relief for the			
17		Company's gas operations.			
18	Q.	What are the subject matters of your testimony in			
19		this proceeding?			
20	Α.	My testimony will relate to two specific matters.			
21		First, I am responsible for forecasting the			

1		demand, transportation and commodity costs of gas
2		to be purchased by the Company. Secondly, I am
3		also responsible for developing the projected
4		weighted average cost of gas for the Company's
5		traditional non-transportation firm and
6		interruptible classes of customers.
7	Q.	What is the projection period for this filing?
8	A.	The projection period starts on April 1, 1997 and
9		ends on March 31, 1998.
10	Q.	Who prepared the forecasts of sales to FPU's
11		customers?
12	Α.	The Company's Marketing Department prepared such
13		forecasts. This responsibility was transferred
14		from my department to Marketing during 1995. To
15		the best of my knowledge, the Marketing Department
16		followed the methodology I described in my
17		prefiled testimony in Docket 950003-GU.
18	Q.	Please describe how the forecasts of gas costs
19		were developed for the projection period.
20	A.	The purchases for the gas cost projection model
21		were based on using Marketing's projection of
22		sales. For the projection period, Florida Gas
23		Transmission Company's (FGT) FTS-1, FTS-2, NNTS-1,
24		PTS-1 and ITS-1 rates were to remain unchanged
25		from the current levels as listed in FGT's tariff
26		Sheets Nos. 8A and 8A.01 effective April 1, 1997.

The expected cost of natural gas purchased by FPU 1 and delivered to FGT, for transportation to the 2 Company and for FGT's 3.06% compressor fuel use, 3 during the projection period was developed using 4 the highest monthly New York Mercantile Exchange 5 natural gas futures closing prices for like months 6 since June 1992, inflated by 25% due to pricing 7 volatility. 8

- 9 Q. Please describe how the forecasts of the weighted
 10 average costs of gas were developed for the
 11 projection period.
- FPU's sales to traditional non-transportation firm 12 A. and interruptible customers were allocated all of 13 the monthly pipeline demand costs and were 14 allocated all of the projected pipeline and 15 supplier commodity costs. The sum of these costs 16 were divided by the projected sales level to said 17 customers resulting in the projected weighted 18 average cost of gas for traditional non-19 transportation firm customers and interruptible 20 customers and ultimately the Purchased Gas Cost 21 Recovery Factor (PGCRF) shown on Schedule E-1. 22 Capacity shortfalls, if any, would be satisfied 23 with the most economic dispatch combination of 24 acquired FTS-1 and/or FTS-2 demand levels 25 relinquished by another FGT shippor, Rate ITS-1 26

1		services and/or PTS-1 services whenever required -
2		and available. Obviously, if other services
3		become available and it is more economic to
4		dispatch supplies under those services, the
5		Company will utilize those services as part of its
6		portfolio.
7	Q.	Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?
8	A.	Yes.
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 Q. Please state your name, business address, by whom you are employed and in
- 2 what capacity.
- 3 A. My name is Brian J. Powers and my business address is 16600 S.W. Warfield
- 4 Blvd., Indiantown, Florida 34956. I am employed by Indiantown Gas Company
- 5 in the capacity of General Manager.
- 6 Q. What are your responsibilities in that position?
- 7 A. I am responsible for the general operation of the company including;
- 8 rates, regulatory matters, budget preparation, pension plan, gas
- 9 management, E.D.P., safety compliance, construction, and service
- 10 department.
- 11 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 12 A. To briefly discuss the final true-up for the period April 95 March 96.
- 13 Q. What were the total therm sales for the period April 95 March 96?
- 14 A. Total therm sales were 11,585,938 therms.
- 15 Q. What were the total therm purchases for the period April 95 March 967
- 16 A. Total therm purchases were 11,305,680 therms.
- 17 Q. What was the cost of gas to be recovered through the PGA for the period
- 18 April 95 March 96?
- 19 A. The total actual fuel cost for the period April 95 March 96 was
- 20 \$3,208,654.
- 21 Q. What was the total amount collected for the period April 95 March 967
- 22 A. The total actual fuel revenue was \$3,145,631.
- Q. What is the total true-up provision for the period April 95 March 967
- 24 A. The total true-up provision, including interest, is an underrecovery of
- 25 \$62,059.

1	Q.	What is the amount of estimated true-up for the period April 95 - March 9
2		included in the March 97 projection calculation?
3	Α.	The total estimated true-up was a \$51,846 overrecovery
4	Q.	What is the final over/underrecovery for the period April 95 - March 96
5	А	The final underrecovery for the period April 95 - March 96 is \$113,905
6		
7		
8		
9		
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
.7		
. 8		
9		
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
:5		

INDIANTOWN GAS COMPANY DOCKET NO. 970003-GU

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		BRIAN J. POWERS
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name, business address, by whom you are
7		employed, and in what capacity.
8		
9	A.	My name is Brian J. Powers and my business address is 16600 S.W.
10		Warfield Blvd., Indiantown, Florida 34956. I am General Manager
11		of Indiantown Gas Company.
12		
13	Q.	What are your responsibilities in that position?
14	Α.	I am responsible for the general operation of the company
15		including: rates, regulatory matters, budget preparation, gas
16		management, E.D.P., billing and service department.
17	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony.
18	A.	To briefly summarize the projected levelized maximum purchased gas
19		cost recovery factor (LPGCR) calculations for the period April
20		1997 through March 1998.
21	Q.	Have you prepared any exhibits in conjunction with your testimony
22	A.	Yes. Schedules E-1, E-2, E-3, E-4 and E-5 were filed on
23		January 13, 1997.
24		

1		
2	Q.	Please explain the calculation for the total true-up amount to be
3		collected or refunded during the April 1997 through March 1998
4		period.
5	A.	We have projected that at the end of March 1996, based on eight
6		months actual, we will have over-recovered \$107,779 for the
7		April 1996 through March 1997 period. Also at the end of
8		March 1997, we will have under-recovered \$63,203 for the
9		April 1995 through March 1996 period. The total net under-
10		recovery to be collected during the April 1997 through March
11		1998 period is \$5,386. Based on estimated sales for the period
12		April 1997 through March 1998, it will be necessary to add
13		.107 cents per therm to collect this under-recovery. See Schedule
14		E-4 for details of this computation.
15	Q.	What (LPCGR) Factor does Indiantown Gas Company seek approval
16		through its petition for the period April 1, 1997 through
17		March 31, 1998?
18	A.	\$0.36174 per therm.
19	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
20	A.	Yes
21		
22		
23		

P - A

- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 2 A. My name is W. Edward Elliott. My business address
- 3 is Suite 1600, 111 East Madison Street, Tampa,
- 4 Florida 33602.
- 5 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 6 A. I am Manager of Gas Accounting for Peoples Gas
- 7 System, Inc. ("Peoples").
- 8 Q. Please summarize your educational background and
- 9 professional qualifications.
- 10 A. I graduated from the University of South Florida in
- 11 1972 with the degree of Bachelor of Arts in
- 12 Accounting. I have over 8 years of experience in
- 13 the utility field.
- 14 Q. What are your primary responsibilities in your
- 15 present position with Peoples?
- 16 A. As Manager of Gas Accounting, I am responsible for
- 17 recording the Company's cost of gas.
- 18 Q. Have you previously testified in regulatory
- 19 proceedings?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Have you prepared or caused to be prepared certain
- 22 schedules for use in this proceeding?
- 23 A. Yes. As Composite Exhibit EE-1, I prepared the
- 24 following schedules with respect to the final true-
- up for the period April 1995 through March

1		1996:
		The state of the s
2		A-1 Comparison of actual versus original
3		estimate of the purchased gas adjustment cost
4		recovery factor;
5		A-1 Supporting Detail Purchased gas
6		adjustment cost recovery clause calculation;
7		A-2 Calculation of true-up and interest
8		provision;
9		A-3 Transportation purchases - system
10		supply and end use;
11		A-4 Transportation system supply;
12		A-5 Therm sales and customer data;
13		A-6 Conversion factor calculation; and
14		A-7 Final fuel over/(under) recovery.
15		The referenced schedules comprising
16		Composite Exhibit EE-1 include data for Peoples'
17		entire system.
18	Q.	Were these schedules prepared under your direction
19		and supervision?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	What was Peoples' cost of gas to be recovered
22		through the PGA clause for the period April 1995
23		through March 1996?
24	Α.	As shown on Schedule A-7, p. 8 of 85 in EE-1, the

25

cost of gas purchased, adjusted for company use,

- 1 was \$110,314,315.
- Q. What was the amount of gas revenue collected for
- 3 the period April 1995 through March 1996?
- 4 A. The amount of gas revenue collected to cover the
- 5 cost of gas was \$103,047,078.
- 6 Q. What was the final true-up amount for the period
- 7 April 1995 through March 1996?
- 8 A. The final true-up amount for the period, including
- 9 interest and including adjustments of \$94,659 for
- 10 August 1995, \$106,176 less \$71,183 for October
- 11 1995, \$439,959 for November 1995, and \$1,920 for
- December 1995, is an underrecovery of \$6,622,565.
- 13 O. Is this amount net of the estimated true-up for the
- 14 period April 1995 through March 1996, which is
- included in the April 1996 through March 1997 PGA
- 16 factor calculation?
- 17 A. No. The final true-up net of the estimated true up
- for the period April 1995 through March 1996 is an
- underrecovery of \$731,791.
- 20 Q. Is this the final underrecovery amount to be
- included in the April 1997 through March 1998
- 22 projection?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 2 A. My name is W. Edward Elliott. My business address
- 3 is Suite 1600, 111 East Madison Street, Tampa,
- 4 Florida 33602.
- 5 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 6 A. I am Manager of Gas Accounting for Peoples Gas
- 7 System, Inc. ("Peoples").
- 8 Q. Please summarize your educacional background and
- 9 professional qualifications.
- 10 A. I graduated from the University of South Florida in
- 11 1972 with the degree of Bachelor of Arts in
- 12 Accounting. I have over 8 years of experience in
- 13 the utility field.
- 14 Q. What are your primary responsibilities in your
- 15 present position with Peoples?
- 16 A. As Manager of Gas Accounting, I am responsible for
- 17 recording the Company's cost of gas.
- 18 Q. Have you previously testified in regulatory
- 19 proceedings?
- 20 A. Yes. I have just submitted testimony in this docket
- 21 for the Final PGA True-Up for the period April 1,
- 22 1995 through March 31, 1996.
- 23 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this
- 24 docket?
- 25 A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe

1		generally the components of Peoples' rost of
2		purchased gas, and to explain how Peoples'
3		projected weighted average cost of gas ("WACOG")
4		for the April 1997 through March 1998 period was
5		determined.
6	Q.	Please summarize your testimony.
7	A.	I will address the following areas.
8		1. How Peoples will obtain its gas
9		supplies during the projected period.
10		2. Estimates and adjustments used to
11		determine the amount of gas to be
12		purchased from Peoples' various available
1.3		sources of supply during the projected
1.4		period.
15		3. Projections and assumptions used to
16		estimate the purchase price to be paid by
17		Peoples for such gas supplies.
18		4. The components and assumptions used to
19		develop Schedules E-3 (A) through (G) of
20		Composite Exhibit EE-2.
21		5. The components and assumptions used to
22		develop Schedule E-1 of Composite
23		Exhibit EE-2, including how Peoples'
24		projected WACOG was determined.
25	Q.	Have you prepared or caused to be prepared certain

- schedules for use in this proceeding?
- 2 A. Yes. Composite Exhibit EE-2 was prepared by me or
- 3 under my supervision.
- 4 Q. Please describe how Peoples will obtain its gas
- 5 supplies during the projected period of April 1997
- 6 through March 1998.
- 7 A. All natural gas delivered through Peoples'
- 8 distribution system is received through two
- 9 interstate pipelines. Gas is delivered by Florida
- 10 Gas Transmission Company ("FGT") and, in Peoples'
- 11 Jacksonville Division, also by South Georgia
- 12 Natural Gas Company ("South Georgia").
- 13 Q. In general, how does Peoples determine its sources
- of supply?
- 15 A. Peoples evaluates sources of supply on the basis of
- its "best value" gas acquisition strategy. For a
- 17 source of supply to be identified as a "best
- value," it must offer the best combination of
- 19 price, reliability of supply and dependable
- 20 operations, consistent with Peoples' obligation as
- 21 a public utility to provide safe, adequate and
- 22 efficient service to the general public. Toward
- this end, Peoples has developed, and is continuing
- 24 to enhance, a portfolio of supply sources which
- 25 reflect a balance between cost, reliability and

operational flexibility. Peoples obtains its gas
entirely from third party supplies which include
both firm and interruptible purchases, various
pricing mechanisms and purchase periods, and
include spot, short term and long term
arrangements.

Q. Could Peoples purchase all third party supplies in advance for a long term at the lowest available fixed price in order to avoid rising gas prices and provide increased stability to its cost of gas?

7

8

9

10

11 No. Peoples' requirements for system supply gas at A. 12 its gate stations vary significantly, not only from 13 season to season or month to month, but from day to 14 day as well. Often, the demand for gas on the 15 Peoples system can vary dramatically within a month 16 from the lowest to the highest requirement of its customers. In addition, since Peoples transports a 17 large portion of its throughput of gas for end-user 18 customers who purchase their own supplies directly 19 from producers and marketers, Peoples receives 20 large quantities of transportation gas purchased by 21 its transportation customers for delivery to 22 Peoples' gate stations on a relatively uniform 23 basis from day to day within a month, while the 24 actual takes of gas out of the Peoples system by 25

its transporting customers at their end-use meters can and does vary significantly from day to day. Since a significant portion of the total throughput volumes is received by Peoples at a uniform daily rate, Peoples is forced to increase or decrease the purchases of its own system supply volumes by significant increments in order to maintain a balance between receipts and deliveries of gas each day As a consequence, Peoples must buy a significant portion of its total system requirements under swing contract arrangements, and meet extreme variations in delivered volumes by relying on swing gas, peaking gas, pipeline balancing charge volumes, pipeline penalty charge volumes and pipeline no notice service at the prevailing rates for such services.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. How did Peoples estimate the amount of gas to be purchased from various sources during the projected period of April 1997 through March 1998?
- A. We began with total actual throughput of therms
 delivered to customers during a "base period" of
 April 1995 through March 1996, including both sales
 of Peoples' system supply and transportation
 deliveries of third party gas purchased by endusers of Peoples. Next, all volumes purchased or

- transported for major electric power generation
- 2 were deducted, since such usage is expected to be
- 3 delivered entirely as transportation volumes during
- 4 the projection period.
- Q. What adjustments were made to the remaining actual system throughput volumes?
- 7 A. Peoples anticipates additional end-users will be
- 8 converted from sales to transportation service
- 9 during the projection period. The total actual
- 10 system throughput, less major electric power
- 11 generation volumes, was decreased to allow for such
- 12 conversions to transportation. The resulting
- 13 remaining amount, therefore, is adjusted projected
- 14 period throughput.
- 15 Q. What other adjustments were then made?
- 16 A. Based on the amount of firm transportation capacity
- 17 expected to be used by end-users, total estimated
- 18 end-user transportation volumes (other than for
- 19 major electric generation) for the projection
- 20 period were deducted from the adjusted projected
- 21 throughput. The remaining amount represents the
- 22 total estimated amount of system supply volumes to
- 23 be purchased by Peoples from third party sources
- for transportation through FGT and SGNG. Separate
- 25 estimates, based on available cransportation

1	capacity,	were made for the following categories of
2	purchases	by Peoples:
3	1.	Third party transportation volumes
4		purchased for direct delivery at the
5		South Georgia gate station near
6		Jacksonville.
7	2.	Third party transportation volumes
8		purchased at various FGT receipt points
9		and transported to Peoples' gate stations
10		via firm FTS-1 and FTS-2 transportation
11		service.
12	3.	Third party transportation volumes
13		purchased at various FGT receipt points
14		and transported to Peoples' gate stations
15		via preferred interruptible PTS-1
16		transportation service.
17	4.	No Notice Transportation Service (NNTS),
18		FGT Balancing Charge volumes and FGT
19		Operational Flow Order Penalty Charge
20		Volumes will be utilized on FGT Alert
21		Days and Operational Flow Order Days, if
22		necessary, when Peoples is required by
23		FGT to keep actual deliveries within
24		scheduled deliveries (within tariff
25		tolerance levels)

- 1 Q. How were the No Notice Transportation Service
- 2 (NNTS), FGT Balancing Charge volumes and FGT
- 3 Penalty Charge volumes -- item 5 above -- deter
- 4 mined?
- 5 A. Peoples purchases its allocated quantity of MNTS
- 6 whether or not the service is actually used. FGT
- 7 Balancing Charge volumes may be purchased on as
- 8 many as 10 days per month during the projected
- 9 period.
- 10 Q. Does Peoples expect to utilize all of its available
- firm transportation capacity during the projection
- 12 period?
- 13 A. That depends on the amount of gas purchased or
- 14 transported for electric power generation and the
- 15 level of market demand for firm transportation
- 16 capacity in the pipeline capacity release market.
- 17 The projection period volumes are based upon the
- 18 assumption that the demand for gas from such
- 19 markets will be relatively weak as a result of
- 20 lower oil prices, higher gas prices, and the
- 21 uncertainties inherent in forecasting demand for
- 22 gas for electric generation.
- 23 Q. How did you estimate the purchase price to be paid
- 24 by Peoples for each of its available sources of
- 25 supply?

A. The estimating procedures used for each category of
 purchases by Peoples are as follows:

R

- 1. Third party direct transportation volumes were estimated based on an evaluation of published prices for the last several years for spot gas delivered to the FGT and Southern Natural Gas Company ("SONAT") systems and futures market prices for the projection period of April 1997 through March 1998. These prices were then adjusted to reflect the potential for unexpected increases in natural gas prices from the base period to the projection period.
 - 2. NNTS, FGT Balancing Charge and FGT Penalty Charge volumes purchased from FGT were estimated based on FGT's most current rates on file with the FERC and reasonable expectations for the possible cost of gas utilized by FGT as provided for in the recently effective Balancing Tools Settlement.
- Q. Referring to Schedules E-3 (A) through (G) of
 Composite Exhibit EE-2, please explain the
 components of these schedules and the assumptions

which were made in developing the Company's projections.

A. Schedule E-3 (G) is a compilation of the monthly
data which appear on Schedules E-3 (A) through (F)
for the corresponding months of April 1997
through March 1998.

In Schedules E-3 (A) through (F), Column (A) indicates the applicable month for all data on the page.

In Column (B), "FGT" indicates that the volumes are to be purchased from third party suppliers for delivery via FGT transportation.

"MARKETER" indicates that the volumes are to be purchased from a third party supplier for delivery via SONAT and South Georgia Natural Gas ("South Georgia"). "THIRD PARTY" indicates that the volumes are to be purchased directly from various third party suppliers for delivery into FGT or SONAT.

In Column (C), "PGS" means the purchase will be for Peoples' system supply and will become part of Peoples' total WACOG. None of the costs of gas or transportation for end-use purchases by end-use customers of Peoples are included in Peoples' WACOG.

Column (D), purchases of pipeline In transportation services from FGT under Schedule FTS-1 and FTS-2 are split into two components, commodity (or "usage") and demand (or"reservation"). Both Peoples and end-users pay the usage charge based on the actual amount of gas transported. The FTS-1 and FTS-2 commodity costs shown include all related transportation charges including usage, fuel, ACA and GRI. The FTS-1 and FTS-2 demand component is a fixed charge based on the maximum daily quantity of FTS-1 and FTS-2 firm transportation capacity reserved. End-users reimburse Peoples or directly pay FGT for all FTS-1 reservation charges associated with the transportation capacity which Peoples reserves and their behalf. Similarly, uses on transportation rates of SONAT and South Georgia also consist of two components, a usage charge and a reservation charge.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

20 .

Also in Column (D), "NO NOTICE TRANSPORTATION SERVICE" (or "NNTS") means FGT's no notice service provided to Peoples on a fixed charge basis for use when Peoples' actual use exceeds scheduled quantities. "SWING SERVICE" means the demand and commodity component of the cost of third party

supplies purchased to meet Peoples "swing" requirements for supply which fluctuate on a day-to-day basis. "COMMODITY" means third party purchases of gas transported on FGT, SONAT or South Georgia, and does not include any purchases of sales volumes from FGT.

Column (E) shows the monthly quantity in therms of gas purchased by Peoples for each category of system supply.

Column (F) shows the gas purchased by endusers for transportation.

Column (G) is the total of Columns (E) and (F) in each row.

Columns (H), (I), (J) and (K) show the corresponding third party supplier commodity costs, pipeline transportation commodity costs, pipeline transportation reservation costs, and other charges (e.g., balancing charges), respectively. These costs are determined using the actual amounts paid by Peoples. In the case of end-user transportation, these costs are reimbursed to Peoples or paid directly to FGT. All ACA, GRI and fuel charges are included in the commodity costs in Column (I) and, therefore, are not shown in Column (K).

1		Column (L) in each row is the sum of Columns
2		(H), (I), (J) and (K) divided by Column (G).
3	Q.	Referring to Schedule E-1 of Composite Exhibit EE-
4		2, please explain the components of these schedules
5		and the assumptions which were made in developing
6		the Company's projections.
7	Α.	Schedule E-1 consists of three pages. Page 1
8		relates to Cost of Gas Purchased, Page 2 relates to
9		Therms Purchased, and Page 3 relates to Cents per
10		Therm, or Cost of Gas Purchased divided by
11		Therms Purchased.
12		The categories or items on lines 1 through 14
13		on Page 1 correspond to the similar categories or
14		items on lines 15 through 27 on Page 2, and to the
15		similar categories or items on lines 28 through 45
16		on page 3.
17		The data shown on Page 1 through Page 3 of
18		Schedule E-1 are taken directly from Schedules E-3
19		(A) through (F) for the months of April 1997
20		through March 1998. The average cost per therm for
21		the total projected period for each item is listed
22		in lines 28 through 37 on Page 3 of Schedule E-1.
23	Q.	What information is presented on Schedule E-1/R of

A. Schedule E-1/R of Composite Exhibit EE-2 shows

Composite Exhibit EE-2?

24

- eight months actual and four months estimated data
- 2 for the current period from April 1996 through
- 3 March 1997.
- Q. What information is presented on Schedule E-2 of
- 5 Composite Exhibit EE-2?
- 6 A. Schedule E-2 of Composite Exhibit EE-2 shows the
- 7 amount of the prior period over/underrecoveries of
- 8 gas costs which are included in the current PGA
- 9 calculation.
- 10 O. What is the purpose of Schedule E-4 of Composite
- 11 Exhibit EE-2?
- 12 A. Schedule E-4 of Composite Exhibit EE-2 simply shows
- 13 the calculation of the estimated true-up amount for
- 14 the April 1996 through March 1997 period. It is
- 15 based on actual data for eight menths and four
- 16 months of projected data.
- 17 Q. What information is contained on Schedule E-5 of
- 18 Composite Exhibit EE-2?
- 19 A. Schedule E-5 of Composite Exhibit EE-2 is
- 20 statistical data which includes the projected therm
- 21 sales and numbers of customers by customer class
- for the period from April 1997 through March 1998.
- Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 24 A. Yes, it does.

RE: Purchsed Gas Adjustment)

(PGA) True-Up) DOCKET NO: 970003-GU

OF
JERRY H MELENDY, JR

ON BEHALF OF

SEBRING GAS SYSTEM, INC

1	Q.	Please state your name, business address, by whom you are_	
2		employed and in what capacity.	
3	Α.	My name is Jerry H. Melendy, Jr., my business address is	
4		3515 U.S. Highway 27, South, Sebring, Florida 33870-5452	
5		I am the Vice President of Sebring Gas System, Inc. (the Company),	
6		a Florida Corporation	
7	Q.	What are your responsibilities in that position?	
8	A.	I an responsible for all areas of finance, rates, accounting	
9		and taxes as well as general management responsibilities	
10		of the company	
11	Q.	Please state your educational background	
12	A.	I have an Associate of Arts degree from Polk Community	
13		College. I have a Bachelor of Arts degree from the University	
14		of South Florida	
15	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?	
16	Α.	The purpose of my testimony is to present the calculation of Sebring Gas	
17		System's levelized purchased gas cost for the upcoming twelve-month	
18		period April 1997 through March 1998.	
19	Q.	Has the Company prepared the Schedules as prescribed by	
20		this Commission for this purpose?	
21	Α.	Yes, it has. The Schedules prescribed by the Commission are	
22		being filed at this time. Copies are attached to my testimony	
23	Q	Would you please identify the Schedules which you are filing?	
24	A.	Yes. The Purchased Gas Adjustment Schedules presently filed	

1		are as follows		
2		E-1 - PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT COST RECOVERY		
3		CLAUSE CALCULATION, Original Estimate for the Projected		
4	Period April 1997 through March 1998			
5		E-1/R - PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT COST RECOVERY		
6		CLAUSE CALCULATION, Revised Estimate for the Period April 1996		
7		through March 1997.		
8		E-2 - CALCULATION OF TRUE-UP AMOUNT for the		
9		Current Period April 1996 through March 1997.		
10		E-3 - TRANSPORTATION PURCHASES, SYSTEM SUPPLY		
11		AND USE for the Period April 1997 through March 1998 Because		
12		Sebring Gas does not purchase transportation gas, this schedule, although		
13		included in this filing, is not applicable		
14		E-4 - CALCULATION OF TRUE-UP AMOUNT,		
15		PROJECTED PERIOD April 1997 through March 1998		
16		E-5 - THERM SALES AND CUSTOMER DATA for		
17		the Projected Period April 1997 through March 1998		
18	Q.	Were these schedules prepared under your direction and		
19		supervision?		
20	A.	Yes, they were.		
21		REVISED ESTIMATES FOR THE PERIOD		
22		APRIL 1996 - MARCH 1997		
23	Q.	What is the revised estimate of total purchased gas cost for the		
24		period April 1996 through March 1997?		
2.5	A.	The revised estimate of purchased gas cost for the current period		

1		April 1996 through March 1997 is \$189,748			
2	Q.	What is the revised estimate of gas revenue to be collected for the			
3		current period April 1996 through March 1997?			
4	A.	The revised estimate of gas revenue to be collected for the current			
5		period April 1996 through March 1997 is \$201,724			
6	Q.	What is the revised true-up amount, including interest,			
7		estimated for the current period April 1996 through March			
8		1997?			
9	A.	The company's estimate for the revised true-up, including			
10		interest, to be an overcollection of \$8,621			
11		PROJECTIONS FOR THE PERIOD			
12		APRIL 1997 THROUGH MARCH 1998			
13	Q.	How was the projections for the period April 1997 through			
14		March 1998 determined?			
15	A.	The estimated gas supply requirements for each month of the			
16		twelve month period were determined initially. These requirement			
17		estimates were based upon the estimated sales to ultimate customers			
18		for the period.			
19	Q.	What steps were used to determine the estimated cost of gas for the			
20		projected period April 1997 through March 1998?			
21	A.	As reported on Schedule E-1, lines 1 through 11, the total cost of			
22		gas consists of the cost of demand and commodity costs of firm			
23		transportation service(FTS) on FGT, the commodity cost of gas paid to			
24		our supplier, Pennisula Energy Services Co (PESCO) and the cost of ga			

1	transportation as billed by the City of Sebring	
2	The demand portion of services (line 5of Schedules E-1 E1/R) is based on	
3	Sebring Gas System's contract with FGT and an estimate of FGT's demand	
4	rates for these services The commodity portion of the Cost of Gas	
5	Purchased is shown as Lines 1 and 4 of Schedule E-1	
6	The rate utilized for the projected period April 1997 through March 1998	
7	are the current rates in effect by PESCO.	
8	The Commodity (Other), (line 4) is based on amount of therms	
9	purchased by Sebring Gas, multiplied by the current rate per therm,	
10	plus a management fee. The rate is based upon the price paid by	
11	our supplier PESCO, plus the management fee of \$250.00 per month	
12	The management fee allows Sebring Gas to benefit from the work	
13	research of the gas procurement department of PESCO for	
14	obtaining the best gas price Because of Sebring Gas' small	
15	size and lack of experience in acquiring gas, the best use of the	
16	company's funds and resources is to contract PESCO to	
17	provide gas for the company.	
18	Other charges for the Cost of Gas Purchased is represented on	
19	Line 6 of Schedule E-1. This figure consists of a charge for	
20	transportation by the City of Sebring, any Western Division	
21	Revenue Sharing Credit from FGT and PGA Reporting Fees	
22	The City of Sebring transports the company's gas supply through	
24	a 7 mile pipeline connected to FGT's gatestation in Avon Park	
25	and ending at Sebring Gas' gatestation in Sebring. The pipeline	

	is presenting owned by Tampa Electric Company (TECO), who
	allows the City of Sebring to operate and maintain. The rate for
	transportation is based on a contract with the city for \$ 035 per
	MCF transported
Q.	How was the projected total firm sales determined?
A.	The company has only firm sales at this time. Subsequently,
	the total sales and firm sales are the same. The total sales were
	determined by using historical averages for both classes, residentia
	and commercial, customers, with a growth factor added. The total
	sales are shown on Line 27 of Schedule E-1 The total estimated
	sales for the Projected period April 1997 through March 1998 is
	639,000 therms.
Q.	What is the company's average cost per therm for the Projected
	period Aprill 1997 through March 1998?
A.	Shown on Line 40 of Schedule E-1, the company's average cost
	of gas for the Projected period April 1997 through March 1998
	is estimated to be 39.445 cents per therm. To arrive at the Total
	PGA Factor, the average cost of gas is adjusted for the estimated
	total true-up through March 1997, as shown on Schedule E-4,
	and for revenue-related taxes.
Q.	What is the projected PGA Factor for the Projected period
	April 1997 through March 1998?
Α.	The projected PGA Factor for the projected period is 39.324
	cents per therm, as shown on Line 45 of Schedule E-1
	Q. A.

1	Q.	The estimated total true-up for the period April 1996 through
2		March 1997, as shown on Schedule E-4 is included in the
3		projected PGA Factor for the period April 1997 through March
4		1998. How was the figure calculated?
5	Α.	The final true-up amount for the period April 1995 through
6		March 1996 is added to the estimated end of period net true-up
7		for April 1996 through March 1997. The April 1996 through
8		March 1997 estimated true-up is based on eight months' actual
9		plus four months' projected data
10	Q.	What is the impact of the total true-up for the period April 1996
11		through March 1997 on the projected PGA Factor for the
12		Projected period April 1997 through March 1998?
13	A.	The projected true-up for the period, ending March 1997 is an
14		overrecovery of \$8,621, which is shown on line 4, Column
15		4 of Schedule E-4. Dividing the overrecovery of \$5,487 by
16		the projected therm sales of 639,000 therms results in a
17		refund of .85869 cents per therm to be included in the proposed
18		PGA Factor
19	Q.	What is the maximum levelized purchased gas factor (cap)
20		that you are proposing for the projected period April 1997
21		through March 1998?
22	A.	The maximum levelized purchased gas factor (cap) that Sebring
23		Gas is proposing for the period is 51.231 cents per therm
24		This factor represents the estimated cost of gas for the month

of January, 1997, the month with the highest estimated cost of
gas, less the true-up refund of 85869 per therm, and the
total of the two figures multiplied by the tax revenue factor 1 01911

O Does this conclude your testimony?

Yes, it does

In RE: Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) True-Up

Docker No. 960003-GU

OF
JERRY H. MELENDY, JR.

On Behalf Of SEBRING GAS SYSTEM, INC.

1	Q	Please state your name, business address, by whom you are	
2		employed and in what capacity	
3	A.	My name is Jerry H Melendy, Jr , my business address in	
4		3515 U.S. Highway 27, South, Sebring, Florida 33870-5452	
5		I am the Vice President of Sebring Gas System, Inc. (the Company),	
6		a Florida Corporation	
7	Q	What are your responsibilities in that position?	
8	A.	I an responsible for all areas of finance, rates, accounting	
9		and taxes as well as general management responsibilities	
10		of the company	
11	Q	Please state your educational background	
12	A.	I have an Associate of Arts degree from Polk Community	
13		College. I have a Bachelor of Arts degree from the University	
14		of South Florida	
15	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?	
16	A	The purpose of my testimony is to present the final true-up	
17		for the period APRIL 1994 through MARCH 1995	
18	Q	Has the Company prepared the Schedules as prescribed by	
19		this Commission for this purpose"	
20	A	Yes, it has The Schedules prescribed by the Commission are	
21		being filed at this time Copies are attached to my testimony	
22	Q	Would you please identify the Schedules which you are filing?	
23	A.	Yes The Schedules are identified as Composite Exhibit JHM-1	
24		and are as follows	
25		A-1 - COMPARISON OF ACTUAL VERSUS ORIGINAL	
26		ESTIMATE OF THE PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT	

1		COST RECOVERY FACTOR - APRIL 1995 THROUGH
2		MARCH 1996
3		A-1S - PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT COST RECOVERY
4		CLAUSE CALCULATION SCHEDULE A-1 SUPPORTING
5		DETAIL - APRIL 1995 THROUGH MARCH 1996
6		A-2 - CALCULATION OF TRUE-UP AND INTEREST
7		PROVISION - APRIL 1995 THROUGH MARCH 1996
8		A-3 - TRANSPORTATION PURCHASES SYSTEM
9		SUPPLY AND END USE - APRIL 1995 THROUGH
10		MARCH 1996. This form is not applicable for Sebring Gas System
11		A-4 - TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM SUPPLY - APRIL
12		1995 THROUGH MARCH 1996 Also not applicable for Sebring
13		A-5 - THERM SALES AND CUSTOMER DATA- APRIL.
14		1995 THROUGH MARCH 1996
15		A-6 - CONVERSION FACTOR CALCULATION
16		APRIL 1995 THROUGH MARCH 1996
17		A-7 - FINAL FUEL OVER/UNDER RECOVERY - APRIL 1995
18		THROUGH MARCH 1996
19		Also attached are the INDIVIDUAL PGA filings for the months of
20		April 1995 through March 199 These filing include, along
21		with the above mentioned PGA Schedules, copies of the Company's
22		commodity gas bill, the Company's charge for No Notice Reservation.
23		the Company's Billing Transaction and the Company's transportation
24		charges.
25	Q	Were these schedules prepared under your direction and
26		supervision?

1	Α	Yes they were Beginning in April 1994, the PGA schedules were
2		prepared by myself Prior to this, the schedules were prepared by
3		my consultants, Regulated Industry Consultants, with my furnishing
4		the data and final approval
5	Q.	What were the total therm sales for the period April 1995
6		through March 1996?
7	A	The total therm sales for the period April 1995 through
8		March 1996 were 591,016
9	Q.	What were the total therm purchases for the period April
10		1995 through March 19969
11	Α.	The total therm purchases for the period April 1995
12		through March 1996 were 594,330
13	Q	What was the cost of gas to be recovered through the PGA
14		for the period April 1995 through March 1996?
15	A	The cost of gas purchased for the period April 1995 through
16		March 1996 was \$182,327
17	Q	What was the amount of gas revenue collected for the period
18		April 1995 through March 1996?
19	A	The amount of gas revenue collected to cover the cost of gas
20		for the period April1995 through March 1996 was
22		\$168,144
23	Q	What is the total true-up provision for the period April 1995
24		through March 1996?
25	A	The total true-up provision, including interest, for the period
26		April 1995 through March 1996, is an underrecovery of
27		\$6,938

1	Q	Can you explain how you arrived at the underrecovery amount?	
2			
3	Α	Yes, I can. As indicated on the accompanying Schedule A-7,	
4	the total underrecovery amount for the twelve month period		
5		ending March 31, 1996 was \$3,081 The Beginning of Period	
6		True-up and Interest was an overrecovery of \$7,147	
7		The sum of these two figures is an overrecovery balance of	
8		\$4,066. Combining this figure,\$4,066, with the amount of true-up	
9		refunded during the previous twelve month period, which equals	
10		\$11,004, nets an underrecovery of \$6,938, as indicated on line	
11		number 11, Period to Date, actual, Schedule A-2, March 1996	
12	Q	Does this conclude your testimony?	
13	Α	Yes it does	

In re: Purchased Gas Adjustment)		
(PGA) True-up)	DOCKET NO.	960003-GU

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF LOU J. DEFRAIN

On Behalf of South Florida Natural Gas Company

1	Q.	Please state your name, occupation, and business address
2	A.	My name is Lou J. Defrain. I am Secretary-Treasurer of South Florida Natural Gas Co
3		("SFNG"). My business address is 101 Northwest 202 Terrace, Miami, Florida 33169
4	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
5	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the final true-up for the period April 1995
6		through March 1996.
7		Exhibits
8	Q.	Would you please identify the Composite Exhibit which you are sponsoring with this
9		testimony?
10	A.	Yes. As Composite Exhibit LD-1, I am sponsoring the following schedules with respect
11		to the final true-up for the period April 1995 through March 1996
12		A-1 - Comparison of Actual Versus Original Estimate of the Purchased Gas Adjustment
13		Cost Recovery Factor - October 1995 through September 1996
14		A-2 - Calculation of True-up and Interest Provision - October 1995 through September
15		1996.
16		A-3 - Transportation Purchases - October 1995 through September 1996
17		A-4 - Transportation System Supply - October 1995 through September 1996
18		A-5 - Therm Sales and Customer Data - October 1995 through September 1996
19		A-6 - Conversion Factor Calculation - October 1995 through September 1996
20		A-7 - Final Fuel Over/Under Recovery - April 1995 through March 1996
21		Also attached are the individual PGA filings for the months of October 1995 through
22		September 1996.
23		Final True-up April 1995 - March 1996
24	Q.	What were total therm sales for the period April 1995 - March 1996?
25	A.	Total therm sales subject to the PGA were 2,493,883 therms

What were total therm purchases for the period April 1995 through March 1996? Q. 1 Total therm purchases were 2,402,460 therms 2 A. What was the cost of gas to be recovered through the PGA for the period April 1995 3 Q. through March 1996? 4 The cost of gas purchased for the period was \$664,431. 5 A. What was the amount of gas revenue collected for the period April 1995 through March 6 Q. 1996? 7 The amount of gas revenue collected to cover the cost of gas was \$684,045 8 A. What is the total true-up provision for the period April 1995 through March 1996? Q. 9 The total true-up provision, including interest, is an overrecovery of \$31,854 for the A. 10 period. 11 What is the amount of estimated true-up included for April 1995 through March 1996 12 Q. in the April 1996 through March 1997 PGA factor calculation? 13 The amount of estimated true-up for the period April 1995 through March 1996 included 14 A. in the April 1996 through March 1997 PGA factor calculation was an overcollection of 15 \$41,430. 16 What is the final over-underrecovery for the April 1995 through March 1996 period to 17 Q. be included in the April 1997 through March 1998 projection? 18 The final underrecovery for the current period to be included in the April 1997 through 19 A. 20 March 1998 projection is \$9,576.

21

22

Q.

A.

Does this conclude your testimony?

Yes, it does.

In re: Purchased Gas Adjustment)
(PGA) True-up) DOCKET NO. 970003-GU

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF L. DEFRAIN

On Behalf of South Florida Natural Gas Company

Submitted for filing: January 15, 1997

- 1 Q. Please state your name, occupation, and business
- 2 address.
- 3 A. My name is Lou J. Defrain. I am Secretary-Treasurer
- of South Florida Natural Gas Co. ("SFNG"). My
- 5 business address is 101 Northwest 202 Terrace, Miami,
- 6 Florida.
- 7 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 8 A. The purpose of my testimony is to discuss SFNG's
- calculation of its levelized purchased gas adjustment
- 10 factor for the period April 1, 1997 through March 31,
- 11 1998.
- 12 Q. Would you please identify the Composite Exhibit which
- 13 you are sponsoring with this testimony?
- 14 A. Yes. As Composite Exhibit LD-1, I am sponsoring
- 15 Schedules E-1, E-1/R, E-2, E-3, E-4, and E-5.
- 16 Q. Were these schedules prepared under your direction and
- 17 supervision?
- 18 A. Yes, they were.
- 19 Revised Estimate April 1996 March 1997
- 20 Q. What is the revised estimate of total purchased gas
- 21 costs for the period April 1996 March 1997?
- 22 A. The revised projection of purchased gas cost for the
- 23 current period is \$973,327.
- 24 Q. What is the revised projection of gas revenue to be
- 25 collected for the current period?

- 1 A. As shown on Schedule E-2, the company estimates the
- 2 total gas revenue to be collected during the period to
- 3 be \$782,235. This amount includes a refund of prior
- 4 period overcollections in the amount of \$112,492.
- 5 Therefore, the revenue collected to cover the current
- 6 period's gas cost is estimated to be \$894,727.
- 7 Q. What is the revised true-up amount, including
- 8 interest, estimated for the April 1996 March 1997
- 9 period?
- 10 A. The company estimates the revised true-up, including
- interest, to be an undercollection of \$75,329.
- 12 April 1997 March 1998 Projection
- 13 Q. How did you develop your projection of SFNG's cost of
- 14 gas for the April 1997 March 1998 period?
- 15 A. Our first step was to estimate our supply requirements
- 16 for each of the twelve months in the period. Our
- 17 projected supply requirements are based on our
- 18 projected sales and company use for each month. Once
- we develop our supply requirements, we can then
- 20 determine how these requirements will be met. In
- other words, we match our estimated requirements with
- 22 the gas supply that is available to us. All of our
- 23 gas requirements will be met utilizing firm
- 24 transportation service on FGT for the projected period
- of April 1997 March 1998. Due to the complexity of

- transporting on FGT's system after implementation of
 FERC Order 636, and the new gas control requirements
 on all transporters, we have contracted with an energy
 services firm who furnishes our gas supply, arranges
 for transportation of the supply to our system,
 monitors volumes and adjusts receipts/deliveries as
 necessary, and makes all nominations and balancing
 arrangements.
- 9 Q. Please describe the general steps or mechanics of 10 projecting the total cost of gas for the April 1997 -11 March 1998 period.
- As shown on Schedule E-1 lines 1 11, the total cost 12 Α. of the cost of no-notice consists 13 transportation service (NNTS) on FGT, the demand and 14 commodity costs of firm transportation service (FTS) 15 on FGT, and the commodity cost of gas estimated to be 16 paid to our supplier during the period. 17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The cost of NNTS service (line 2) is based on SFNG's contract level with FGT and an estimation of FGT's reservation charge for this service. The reservation charge utilized for the period is the current rate in effect.

The demand and commodity portions of transportation system supply are shown on Schedule E1, lines 1, 4, and 5. The commodity pipeline amount

(line 1) is based on FGT's current FTS commodity rate multiplied by the number of therms projected to be transported for system supply.

The commodity other (line 4) is based on data shown on Schedule E-3 which details our projected direct supplier purchases for the twelve-month period. We projected the "FTS" commodity cost on line 4 using a combination of analyses. We analyzed the 1995 and 1996 monthly prices of natural gas delivered to FGT by zone as reported in Inside FERC Gas Market Report. We also reviewed the recent NYMEX postings for the period January 1997 through March 1997. We developed our monthly index price of gas using the above data and allowing for seasonal trends and current market pricing. To this index, we added compressor fuel and our supplier's estimated margin for first of the month pricing and swing service.

The demand component of SFNG's cost of gas (line 5) for the months of April 1997 - March 1998 is calculated by multiplying SFNG's contract level for capacity with FGT by FGT's current FTS demand rate.

- 22 Q. Based on the projected total cost of gas and projected 23 sales, what is the weighted average cost per therm for 24 the twelve-month period ended March 1998?
- 25 A. This figure is shown on Schedule E-1, line 40, and is

- 1 40.008 cents per therm. To arrive at the total PGA
- factor, the 40.008 cents per therm is adjusted for the
- 3 estimated total true-up through March 1997 (shown on
- 4 Schedule E-4) and for revenue-related taxes.
- 5 Q. What is the projected PGA factor for the period April
- 6 1997 March 1998?
- 7 A. The projected PGA factor for the period is 43.569
- 8 cents per therm.
- 9 Q. The estimated total true-up for the twelve months
- 10 ended March 1997 as calculated on Schedule E-4 is
- included in the projected PGA factor for the period
- 12 April 1997 March 1998. Please explain how it was
- 13 calculated.
- 14 A. The final true-up amount for the period April 1995 -
- 15 March 1996 is added to the estimated end of period net
- 16 true-up for April 1996 March 1997. The April 1996 -
- 17 March 1997 estimated true-up is based on eight months'
- 18 actual data plus four months' projected data.
- 19 Q. What is the impact of the total true-up for the twelve
- 20 months ended March 31, 1997 on the projected PGA
- 21 factor for the April 1997 March 1998 period?
- 22 A. The projected true-up as of March 31, 1997 is an
- underrecovery of \$84,906 (Schedule E-4). Dividing the
- 24 overrecovery by the April 1997 March 1998 projected
- 25 therm sales of 2,499,000 results in a surcharge of

- 3.398 cents per therm to be included in the proposed
- 2 PGA factor.
- 3 Q. What is the maximum levelized purchased gas factor
- 4 (cap) that you are proposing for the April 1997 -
- 5 March 1998 period?
- 6 A. The maximum levelized purchased gas factor (cap) that
- 7 we are proposing for the period is 43.569 cents per
- 8 therm.
- 9 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 10 A. Yes, it does.

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		
3	In R	e: Purchased Gas Recovery) Docket No. 960003-GU Submitted for filing November 19, 1996
4		November 19, 1990
5		
6		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF STUART L. SHOAF ON
7		BEHALF OF ST. JOE NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.
9	Q.	Please state your name, business address, by whom
10		you are employed and in what capacity.
11	Α.	Stuart L. Shoaf, 301 Long Avenue, Port St. Joe,
12		Florida 32456, St. Joe Natural Gas Company in the
13		capacity of President and Regulatory Affairs.
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
15	Α.	My purpose is to discuss the final true-up for the
1.6		period April 1995 through March 1996.
17		Exhibits
18	Q.	Would you please identify the Composite Exhibit which
19		you are sponsoring with this Testimony?
20	Α.	Yes. As Composite Exhibit SLS-1, I am sponsoring the
21		following schedules with respect to the final true-up
22		for period April 1995 through March 1996:
23		A-1 - Comparison of Actual vs. Original Estimate
24		or Revised Estimate of the Purchased Gas Adjustment
25		Cost Recovery Factor.

1		A-1 detail.
2		A-2 - Calculation of True-Up and Interest Provision
3		A-3 - Transportation Purchases System Supply and End
4		Use.
5		A-4 - Transportation System Supply.
6		A-5 - Therm Sales and Customer Data.
7		A-6 - Conversion Factor Calculation
8		A-7 - Final Fuel Over/Under Recovery.
9	Q.	Were these schedules prepared under your direction and
10		supervision?
11	Α.	Yes, they were.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	Final	True-Up	April	1995	- March	1996
---	-------	---------	-------	------	---------	------

- Q. What were the total therm sales for the period April
- 3 1995 through March 1996?
- 4 A. Total therm sales were 21,839,311 therms.
- 5 O. What were total therm purchases for the period April
- 6 1995 through March 1996?
- 7 A. Total therm purchases were 21,813,376.
- 8 Q. What was the cost of gas to be recovered through
- 9 the PGA for the period April 1995 through March 1996?
- 10 A. The cost of gas purchased for April 1995 through
- 11 March 1996 was \$6,236,739.
- 12 Q. What was the amount of gas revenue collected for the
- period April 1995 through March 1996?
- 14 A. The amount of gas revenue collected to cover the cost
- 15 of gas was \$6,205,953.
- 16 Q. What is the total true-up provision for the period
- 17 April 1995 through March 1996?
- 18 A. The total true-up provision, including interest, is an
- underrecovery of \$34,464.29 for the period.
- 20 O. What is the amount of estimated true-up included for
- 21 April 1995 through March 1996 in the April 1996 through
- 22 March 1997 PGA factor calculation?
- 23 A. The amount of estimated true-up for the period April
- 24 through March 1996 included in the April 1996 through
- 25 March 1997 PGA factor calculation was an underrecovery

1		of \$55,504.00.
2	Q.	What is the final over/underrecovery for the April 1995
3		through March 1996 period to be included in the
4		April 1997 through March 1998 projection?
5	Α.	The final overrecovery for the current period to be
6		included in the April 1997 through March 1998 pro-
7		jection is \$21,039.71.
8	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
9	Α.	Yes
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		±0
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		
3	In R	Docket No. 970003-GU) Submitted for filing January 14, 1997
5		
6		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF STUART I. SHOAF ON
7		BEHALF OF ST. JOE NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.
8		
9	Q.	Please state your name, business address, by whom
10		you are employed and in what capacity.
11	Α.	Stuart L. Shoaf, 301 Long Avenue, Port St. Joe,
12		Florida 32456, St. Joe Natural Gas Company in the
13		capacity of President and Regulatory Affairs.
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
15	A.	My purpose is to submit known and estimated gas
16		costs and therm sales from April 1, 1997 through
17		March 31, 1998, used in developing the maximum twelve
18		month levelized purchased gas cost factor to be applied
19		to customer bills from April 1, 1997 through
20		March 31, 1998.
21	Q.	Have you prepared any exhibits in conjuction with
22		your testimony?
23	A.	Yes, I have prepared and filed on Jan. 14, 1997
24		Schedules E-1 through E-5.
200	^	What Dirchaged Gas Cost Recovery Factor does

St. Joe Natural Gas seek approval through its petition for the period April 1, 1997 through March 31, 1998? A. 67.600 cents per therm Q. Does this conclude your testimony? A. Yes Э

- 2 -

, ·

	٠		

BEFORE THE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 960003-GU

5

6

7

8

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

TOM GOODWIN

ON BEHALF OF WEST FLORIDA NATURAL GAS COMPANY

- 10 Q. Please state your name and address.
- 11 A. My name is Tom Goodwin. My business address is 301 Maple
- Avenue, Panama City, Florida. I am employed as
- Coordinator of Gas Management by West Florida Natural Gas
- 14 Company. My responsibilities include the development of
- therm sales projections, calculation of PGA true-up
- 16 factors, and calculation of the monthly weighted average
- 17 cost of gas (WACOG).
- Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 19 A. The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the final true-
- up numbers for the periods April 1995 through March 1996.
- Q. Would you please identify the composite exhibit which you
- are sponsoring with this testimony?
- 23 A. Yes. I am sponsoring the following schedules with
- respect to the final true-up numbers for the period April
- 25 1995 through March 1996. A-1, A-1R, A-2, A-3, A-4, A-5,

- A-6 and revised A-7. A-1 support schedules are included where applicable.
- Q. Were these schedules prepared under your direction and supervision?
- A. Yes, they were. The schedules are attached to my testimony and identified as composite exhibit TG-1.
- Q. What were the total therm sales for the period April 1995 through March 1996.
- 9 A. Total therm sales excluding end-use transportation
 10 volumes for the period April 1995 through March 1996 were
 11 27,592,231...
- Q. What were total therm purchases during the periods April 1995 through March 1996.
- A. Therm purchases excluding end-use transportation volumes for April 1995 through March 1996 were 30,067,343.
- Q. What was the cost of gas to be recovered through the purchased gas cost recovery clause for the periods April 18 1995 through March 1996.
- A. The cost of gas purchased for the period April 1995 through March 1996 was \$9,503,502.
- Q. What was the amount of gas revenue collected for the periods April 1995 through March 1996.
- A. The amount of gas revenue collected for the period April
 1995 through March 1996 was \$9,109,884.
- Q. What is the total true-up provision for the periods April

- 1 1995 through March 1996.
- A. The total true-up provision, as shown on schedule A-7,
 for the period April 1995 through March 1996 is an
 underrecovery of \$183,415.
- 5 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 6 A. Yes.

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	4	_
2		DOCKET NO. 970003-EG	1	0
3		REVISED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF		
4		TOM GOODWIN		
5		ON BEHALF OF WEST FLORIDA NATURAL GAS COMPANY		
6	Q.	Please state your name and address.		
7	A.	My name is Tom Goodwin. My business address is 301 Maple		
8		Avenue, Panama City, Florida. I am employed as Coordinator-		
9		Gas Management by West Florida Natural Gas Company. My		
10		responsibilities include the development of therm sales projections.		
11		calculation of the monthly weighted average cost of gas (WACOG).		
12	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?		
13	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to describe how the sales		
14		estimate of 26,261,143 therms for the period April 1997		
15		through March 1998 was determined and to explain the		
16		factors considered in the development of our maximum PGA		
17		factor for this period.		
18	Q.	Please explain how the sales estimate was developed.		
19	A.	The estimate of 26,261,143 therms consists of projected		
20		firm gas sales totaling 22,232,251 therms and		
21		interruptible over-run sales from system supply to our		
22		transportation customers totaling 4,028,892 therms. In		
23		arriving at the firm sales estimate, a projected growth		
24		factor of 1.5% for residential consumption and 1.5% for		
25		commercial consumption was applied to the estimated sales		

1	for the projected period as determined by our forecasting
2	model. Firm industrial sales will reflect the continuing
3	movement to Transportation. Sales of overrun gas to our transportation
4	customers, in excess of their firm transportation entitlements, is expected
5	to continue to decrease due to the availability of additional
6	transportation capacity for these customers through the capacity
7	release system now in effect.
8 Q.	Please explain the development of the maximum PGA factor.
9 A.	Development of a maximum PGA factor for this annual projection
10	required consideration of all known and anticipated factors affecting
11	the actual total cost of gas supply. Factors such as balancing charges or
12	credits and possible penalty charges or credits from FGT were not
13	considered in this estimate. Total cost of gas has been determined by
14	multiplying estimated purchases from all sources by the appropriate
15	estimated commodity charge rate. Reservation charges have been
16	calculated for pipeline transportation based on revised contract levels
17	and entitlements. Usage and reservation rates are as reflected in
18	Florida Gas Transmission's latest filed tariff sheets. I have also
19	included expected costs or credits associated with no-notice
20	transportation entitlements. My estimates are based on known
21	and/or anticipated rates and past seasonal trends, with the
22	expectation that mid-course adjustments may be required. The
23	calculated total estimated cost of gas supply considers the effect
24	of transportation fuel usage at 3.06% to arrive at the average
25	cost per therm, which was then adjusted for true-up and taxes to

1		develop the purchased gas cost recovery factor.	112
2	Q.	What are the true-up totals for the period April 1, 1995 through	
3		March 31, 1996 and April 1, 1996 through March 31, 1997?	
4	A.	As shown on Schedule E-4 our true-up was an underrecovery of	
5		\$183,415. for the period April 1, 1995 through March 31, 1996.	
6		The estimated true-up for the period April 1, 1996 through	
7		March 31, 1997 is an underrecovery of \$438,185. based upon	
8		eight months actual and four months estimated data	
9	Q.	What is the total true-up for the projected period April 1, 1997	
10		through March 31, 1998?	
11	A.	The final true-up for the projected April 1, 1997 through	
12		March 31, 1998 is an underrecovery of \$621,600. as detailed on	
13		Schedule E-4. A true-up collection factor of 2.367 cents per therm	
14		has been calculated for the period, as is also shown on Schedule E-4	
15	Q.	What is the total purchased gas cost recovery factor to be applied	
16		to customer bills during the period April 1997 through March 1998?	
17	A.	We project the maximum purchased gas cost recovery factor,	
18		including WACOG, true-up factor and related taxes to be 53.340	
19		cents per therm for the April 1997 through March, 1998 period	
20	Q.	Are you sponsoring any schedules in this Docket?	
21	A.	Yes, I am sponsoring Schedules E-1/R, E-2, E-3 and E-5 for the current	
22		period and Schedules E-1, E-3, E-4 and E-5 for the projected	
23		period.	
24	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?	
25	A.	Yes.	

- 1	
1	CHAIRNAN JOHNSON: Any other matters?
2	MR. KEATING: Staff is present for any
3	questions.
4	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Commissioners?
5	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Move we approve the
6	stipulated issues.
7	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Show they are approved
9	without objection.
10	(Whereupon, the proceedings in Docket
11	970003-GU were concluded.)
12	* * * *
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON 2 I, JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR, Chief, Bureau of 3 Reporting, Official Commission Reporter, 4 DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Hearing in Docket No. 970003-GU was heard by the Florida Public Service 5 Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is 6 further CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported 7 the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript, consisting of 113 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. and the insertion of the prescribed prefiled testimony of the witnesses 10 DATED this 20th day of February, 1997. 11 12 13 LY, CSR/ Chief, Bureau of Reporting 14 Official Commission Reporter (904) 413-6732 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24