VOTE SHEET

DATE: ___March 18, 1997

RE: DOCKET NO. 960980-TP - Petition by MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with GTE Florida Incorporated concerning resale and interconnection under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission approve the sections of MCIm and GTEFL's arbitrated agreement identified in Exhibit A?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. The Commission should approve the sections identified in Exhibit A. The sections are consistent with Section 251 of the Act and the Commission's arbitration order.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY	DISSENTING
January John I	
/ Susant Clark	
A A Superior	
Jean K. Tush	
REMARKS DISSENTING COMMENTS:	•

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02910 MAR 205

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Vote Sheet Docket No. 960980-TP March 18, 1997

Issue 2: Should the Commission incorporate language in the MCIm and GTEFL arbitration agreement for the disputed sections identified in Exhibit B that were not considered in the arbitration proceeding?

Recommendation: No. The Commission should not incorporate language in the arbitrated agreement for the disputed sections identified in Exhibit B that were not part of the arbitration proceeding. These sections should be eliminated from the final agreement approved by the Commission.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 3</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of MCIm and GTEFL for those sections of Article IV (Interconnection) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding?

<u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified the analysis portion of staff's March 12, 1997 memorandum.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 4</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of MCIm and GTEFL for those sections of Article VI (Unbundled Network Elements) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding?

<u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in staff's analysis.

Wote Sheet Docket No. 960980-TP March 18, 1997

<u>Issue 5</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of MCIm and GTEFL for those sections of Article VII (Ancillary Services) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding?

Recommendation: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in staff's analysis.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 6</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of MCIm and GTEFL for those sections of Article VIII (Business Process) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding?

<u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in staff's analysis.

MODIFIED approved with corrections made by staff

<u>Issue 7</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of MCIm and GTEFL for those sections of Article X (Rights of Way) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding?

<u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in staff's analysis.

Vote Sheet Docket No. 960980-TP March 18, 1997

<u>Issue 8</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of MCIm and GTEFL for those sections of Article XI (Numbering Resources and Portability) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding?

<u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in staff's analysis.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 9</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of MCIm and GTEFL for those sections of Article Appendix C (Pricing) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding?

<u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in staff's analysis.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 10</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of MCIm and GTEFL for those sections of Appendix E (Reciprocal Compensation) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding?

Recommendation: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in staff's analysis.

Tote Sheet Docket No. 960980-TP __ March 18, 1997

<u>Issue 11</u>: When should MCIm and GTEFL file a signed agreement incorporating the Commission's decision?

<u>Recommendation</u>: The parties should file a signed agreement incorporating the Commission's decisions in this recommendation two weeks after issuance of this order, to become effective on that date.

APPROVED

Issue 12: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. This docket should remain open until the parties have filed their signed arbitration agreement, and the Commission has completed its review of GTEFL's cost studies that were required to be filed pursuant to the order in this proceeding.