RIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMI ION

VOTE SHEET

March 18, 1997 DATE:

RE: DOCKET NO. 960847-TP - Petition by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with GTE Florida Incorporated concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Issue 1: Should the Commission approve the sections of AT&T and GTEFL's arbitrated agreement identified in Exhibit A? Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should approve the sections identified in Exhibit A. The sections are consistent with Section 251 of the Act and the Commission's arbitration order.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY
Jusan of Clark
A. Ten Dean
REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 02911 MAR 205 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

DISSENTING

Vore Sheet Docket No. 960847-TP March 18, 1997

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should the Commission incorporate language in the AT&T and GTEFL arbitration agreement for the disputed sections identified in Exhibit B that were <u>not</u> considered in the arbitration proceeding?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. The Commission should not incorporate language in the arbitrated agreement for the disputed sections identified in Exhibit B that were not part of the arbitration proceeding. These sections should be eliminated from the final agreement approved by the Commission.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 3</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of AT&T and GTEFL for those sections of Preface and General Terms and Conditions that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding?

<u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in the analysis portion of staff's March 12, 1997 memorandum.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 4</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of AT&T and GTEFL for those sections of Part I (Local Services Resale) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding? <u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in staff's analysis.

Vote Sheet Docket No. 960847-TP March 18, 1997

<u>Issue 5</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of AT&T and GTEFL for those sections of Part II (Unbundled Network Elements) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding? <u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in staff's analysis.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 6</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of AT&T and GTEFL for those sections of Part III (Ancillary Functions) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding?

<u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in staff's analysis.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 7</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of AT&T and GTEFL for those sections of Attachment 2 (Services Description: Unbundled Network Elements) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding?

<u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in staff's analysis.

Vote Sheet Docket No. 960847-TP March 18, 1997

<u>Issue 8</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of AT&T and GTEFL for those sections of Attachment 3 (Service Description: Ancillary Functions) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding?

<u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in staff's analysis.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 9</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of AT&T and GTEFL for those sections of Attachment 7 (Provision of Customer Usage Data) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding? <u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the

arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in staff's analysis.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 10</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of AT&T and GTEFL for those sections of Attachment 11 (Definitions) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding?

<u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in staff's analysis.

Vote Sheet Docket No. 960847-TP March 18, 1997 1⁷ • .

<u>Issue 11</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of AT&T and GTEFL for those sections of Attachment 14 (Pricing) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding? <u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in staff's analysis.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 12</u>: What language should the Commission include in the arbitrated agreement of AT&T and GTEFL for those sections of Attachment 15 (Reciprocal Compensation for Call Termination) that are in dispute and were included in the arbitration proceeding?

<u>Recommendation</u>: The Commission should direct the parties to include in the arbitrated agreement the language for the specific sections that are identified in staff's analysis.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 13</u>: When should AT&T and GTEFL file a signed agreement incorporating the Commission's decision? <u>Recommendation</u>: The parties should file a signed agreement incorporating the Commission's decisions in this recommendation two weeks after issuance of this order, to become effective on that date.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 14</u>: Should this docket be closed? <u>Recommendation</u>: No. This docket should remain open until the parties have filed their signed arbitration agreement, and the Commission has completed its review of GTEFL's cost studies that were required to be filed pursuant to the order in this proceeding.