

STATE OF FLORIDA

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

c/o The Florida Legialature 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 904-488-9330

March 21, 1997

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 950669-TL

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced docket are the original and 15 copies of the Citizens' Prehearing Statement. A diskette in WordPerfect 6.1 is also submitted.

Please indicate the time and date of receipt on the enclosed duplicate of this letter and return it to our office.

/	Sincerely,
ACK	
AFA	Charles
APP	Observe I Book
CAF	Charles J. Beck Deputy Public (
CMU Sheller	Deputy Fublic (
CTRCJB:bsr	
EAG	
LEGEnclosures	
LIN 3	
OPC	
RCH RECEIVED & FILED	
SEC AA	

PESC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

OTH _

DOCUMENT NUMBER - GATE

03030 MAR 21 5

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING





In Re: Resolution by City)	
Commission of Haines City)	
requesting extended area service)	Docket No. 950699-TL
(EAS) from Haines City exchange)	
to all exchanges within Polk)	Filed: March 21, 1997
County.)	
-	j	

CITIZENS' PREHEARING STATEMENT

In accordance with Commission Order PSC-96-1034-PCO-TL issued August 8, 1996, the Citizens of Florida, by and through Jack Shreve, Public Counsel, file this Prehearing Statement.

1. All Known Witnesses

The Citizens filed direct and rebuttal testimony by Mr. R. Earl Poucher. Mr. Poucher addresses the criteria used by the Commission in EAS cases and applies those criteria to the routes at issue in this docket.

2. All Known Exhibits

Exhibit REP-1 accompanies the Direct Testimony of R. Earl Poucher, and exhibits REP-2 through REP-19 accompany the rebuttal testimony of R. Earl Poucher. The exhibits are as follows:



REP-1	Community of Interest Standards for Extended Area Service
REP-2	Holmes, Jackson, Okaloosa Walton County ECS
REP-3	Franklin County EAS/ECS
REP-4	Mount Dora ECS
REP-5	Gilchrist County ECS
REP-6	Gulf County ECS
REP-7	Bradford County ECS
REP-8	Glendale/Paxton ECS
REP-9	Putnam County ECS
RE7-10	St. Johns County ECS
REP-11	St. Augustine / Green Cove Springs ECS
REP-12	Levy County ECS
REP-13	Vernon / Bonifay / Westville ECS
REP-14	Pocket Area Dockets
REP-14A	Liberty County Dockets
REP-15	ECS is Most Appropriete
REP-16	ECS is Best Alternative
REP-17	Tampa Bay ECS
REP-18	PSC Countywide Decision

REP-19 ECS is Best Method

3. Statement of Basic Position

A vote should be conducted to determine whether EAS should be ordered on the routes at issue in this docket. If the vote fails, ECS calling should be implemented.

4. Issues and positions

Issue 1. Is there a sufficient community of interest to justify implementing EAS, as currently defined in the Commission rules, or implementing ECS, or an alternative toll proposal on any of the following routes:

Haines City/Lakeland**
Haines City/Polk City
Haines City/Bartow*
Haines City/Mulberry
Haines City/Frostproof
Haines City/Indian Lekes
Haines City/Fort Meade

- County seat of Polk County
- ** State and Federal offices serving the area

Citizens' Position: There is a sufficient community of interest to warrant a vote on EAS for each of the routes. If the vote fails, ECS should be implemented on each of the routes.

Issue 2. What other community of interest factors should be considered in determining if either EAS, ECS, or an alternative toil plan should be implemented?

Citizens' Position: Yes, there are other community of interest factors.

Exhibit REP-1 sets forth ten specific community of interest factors: (1) Governmental Services, (2) Medical Services, (3) Professional Services, (4) Commerce, (5)

Employment, (6) Transportation, (7) Social Interaction, (8) Schools, (9) Countywide Calling, and (10) Natural Barriers.

- the sufficient community of interest is found on any of these routes, what is the economic impact of each plan on the customer and the company (summarize in chart for, and discuss in detail)?
 - A) EAS with 25/25 plan and regrouping
 - B) Alternative toll plan
 - C) ECS; and
 - D) Other (specify)

Citizens' Position: Existing toll rates inhibits economic development along the routes. EAS or ECS will have a positive economic impact on the community.

Issue 4. Should subscribers be required to pay an additive as a prerequisite to implementation of EAS? If so, how much of a payment is required and how long should it. last?

Citizens' Position: The Commission should put the 25/25 plan to a vote.

Any increase should last no more than 4 years.

Issue 5. If a sufficient community of interest is found, what are the appropriate rates and charges for the plan to be implemented on these routes or route?

Citizens' Position: The 25/25 plan for EAS can be calculated from existing rates. ECS would not change local rates.

5. Other Matters

There are no pending motions by the Citizens, and no issues have been stipulated. There are to requirements set forth in the order establishing procedure that cannot be complied with.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles J. Beck Deputy Public Counsel

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Cuarley Brok

Attorney for the Citizens of the State of Florida

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 950699-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida has been furnished by U.S. Mail or hand-delivery to the following parties on this 21st day of March, 1997.

Charles J. Beck

Cochran Keating, Esq.
Division of Legal Services
Fla. Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Ann Shelfer
Communications Department
Fla. Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Robert Nettleton City Attorney P.O. Box 277 Haines City, FL 33845-0277 J. Jeffrey Whalen, Esq. Ausley and McMullon 227 S. Calhoun Street P.O. Box 391 Tailahassee FL 32301

Kimberly Caswell
GTE Florida Incorporated
One Tampa City Center
P.O. Box 110, MC 7
Tampa, FL 33601-0110