

VOTE SHEET

DATE: APRIL 1, 1997

RE: DOCKET NO. 961428-WU - Response to Commission order to show cause by Forest Hills Utilities, Inc. in Pasco County.

Issue 1: Recommendation that Forest Hills Utilities, Inc.'s request for a waiver be denied. Pursuant to Order No. PSC-96-1226-POF-WS, the utility should have filed the information required by Rule 25-30.425(1)(a) through (f), F.A.C., along with a calculation of the rate reduction. The Commission should reach a decision regarding the proposed decrease based on the information presently available.

No vote was needed on this issue, because staff was able to obtain the information elsewhere.

Issue 2: Recommendation that the Commission has the authority to reduce Forest Hills Utilities, Inc.'s rates to reflect a reduction in purchased water and/or wastewater costs only if the utility meets or exceeds the minimum of its authorized range of return on equity.

MODIFIED Issue will be treated as PAA. Commissioner Kiesling dissented.

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Handwritten signatures under MAJORITY: Susan Clark, Terry Dean

Handwritten signature under DISSENTING: [Signature]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

Commissioner Kiesling dissented on Issue 2

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03485 APR-46

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Alternative Recommendation: Recommendation that the Commission has the authority to reduce Forest Hills Utilities, Inc.'s rates because Section 367.081(4) (b), F.S., requires a utility's rates to be reduced to reflect a reduction in purchased water and/or wastewater costs.

DENIED

Issue 3: Recommendation that Forest Hills Utilities, Inc.'s rates not be reduced to reflect a reduction in purchased water costs to bulk water customers in Pasco County. Regardless of the Commission's vote in Issue No. 1, the reduction in purchased water cost will not change the utility's rates.

APPROVED

Issue 4: Recommendation that the Commission has the authority to require Forest Hills Utilities, Inc. to refund excess purchased water costs collected from April 1, 1996 to the effective date of the new rates.

*No vote was necessary on this issue because
no refund was necessary.*

Issue 5: Recommendation that Forest Hills Utilities should not be required to refund the \$31.50 windfall resulting from the reduction in purchased water expense.

APPROVED

Vote Sheet
Docket No. 961428-WU
April 1, 1997

Issue 6: Recommendation that, upon expiration of the protest period, if a timely protest is not received from a substantially affected person, the docket should be closed.

APPROVED