

Steel Hector & Davis ...

215 South Monnee Su GRIGINAL
tabasacce Famous a DON CONTROL
404 277 5400 FILE COPY

Charles A Guyton

May 6, 1997

By Hand Delivery

Blanca S Bayó, Director Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 4075 Esplanade Way, Room 110 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

17274416

Re: Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program

Dear Ms Bayó

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company are the original and fifteen (15) copies of Petition For Approval of Florida Power & Light Company's Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program

If you or your Staff have any questions regarding this filing, please contact me

Very truly yours,

Charles A Guyton

CAG/ld encs 1AL/19764-1

> Marie 105 577 7030 105 577 7911 Fax

West Paint Revi N 56.1 650 7200 561 655 1509 Fax Key West 305 - 92 7277 305 292 7277 444 DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

O4489 HAY-65



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition for Modification of)	Docket No.
Florida Power & Light Company's)	
Commercial/Industrial Building)	Filed: May 6, 1997
Envelope Program)	

PETITION FOR MODIFICATION OF FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL BUILDING ENVELOPE PROGRAM

Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL"), pursuant to Section 366-82(2), Florida Statutes (1995), hereby petitions the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") to (1) approve the modifications to FPL's Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program set forth in this petition and attachments, (2) allow FPL to recover reasonable and prudent expenditures for the modified Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program, and (3) include FPL's modified Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program as part of FPL's approved DSM Plan—The grounds for this petition are:

 FPL's address is 9250 West Flagler Street, Miami Florida, 33174 Correspondence, notices, orders and other documents concerning this petition should be sent to

Matthew M. Childs, P.A. Charles A. Guyton Steel Hector & Davis LLP Suite 601, 215 S. Monroe St. Tallahassee, Florida 32301 William G Walker Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Florida Power & Light Company 9250 West Flagler Street Miami, Florida 33174

 FPL is an investor-owned electric utility regulated by the Commission pursuant to Chapter 366, Florida Statutes. FPL is subject to the Florida Energy Efficiency Conservation Act

1

("FEECA"), Section 366.80-85, 413.519, Florida Statutes (1995), and its Energy Conservation

Cost Recovery ("ECCR") clause is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction. FPL has

Commission approved conservation goals. See, Order No. PSC-94-1313-FOF-EG issued on

October 25, 1994. The Commission has previously approved a FPL DSM Plan to meet the goals
approved for FPL. See, Order Nos. 95-1343-S-EG, 95-1343A-S-EG. As part of that DSM Plan
the Commission approved FPL's Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program. FPL has a
substantial interest in whether this program is modified as requested by FPL in this petition,
approved as part of FPL's DSM Plan, and authorized for cost recovery

- 3. The objective of the Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program is to reduce FPL's commercial and industrial heating ventilating, and air conditioning (HVAC) loads. Under this program FPL provides incentives to customers (or their designees) for installation of costeffective, high-efficiency building envelope measures and products. The Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program, as FPL proposes to modify it, is more fully described in Appendix A attached to this petition.
- 4. The Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program, as modified, will help advance the policy objectives set forth in Rule 25-17.001, Florida Administrative Code and the FEECA. As shown in Appendix A, the modified Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program will reduce cumulative summer peak demand by 19.1 mW and winter peak demand by 2.1 mW for the period 1998 through 2000. In addition, it will result in a reduction in annual energy consumption of 27.4 gWh by the year 2000.

- 5. The Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program, as modified, is projected to be cost-effective. Appendix B, attached hereto, shows the results of the cost-effectiveness analyses of the program using the Commission's methodology prescribed in Rule 25-17 008. Florida Administrative Code and supply option cost and performance assumptions from FPL's most recent resource planning study. FPL seeks to modify the Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program to make the program cost-effective under current planning assumptions. To make the Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program cost-effective, FPL has restructured the incentives to be paid for roof and ceiling insulation and for window treatments. The restructuring of these incentives has the effect of helping the Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program to achieve a benefit/cost ratio greater than 1.0 under the RIM and Participants tests.
- 6. The Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program, as modified, is directly monitorable and will yield measurable results. FPL's monitoring plan is described in Section VI of Appendix A. This is the same monitoring plan currently employed by FPL for the program, and it has yielded measurable results.
 - FPL is not aware of any disputed issues of material fact
- FPL respectfully requests that this petition be processed with the Commission's Proposed Agency Action procedure, which is recognized in Section 120.80(13)(b), Florida Statutes

WHEREFORE, FPL respectfully petitions the Commission to (1) approve the Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program, as modified, (2) allow FPL to recover reasonable and prudent expenditures for the Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program, as modified, through FPL's ECCR clause, and (3) approve the Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program, as modified, as part of FPL's approved DSM Plan

Respectfully submitted,

STEEL HECTOR & DAVIS LLP Suite 601, 215 S Monroe St Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1804

Attorneys for Florida Power & Light Company

Charles A. Guyton

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition for Modification of)	Docket No.
Florida Power & Light Company's)	
Commercial/Industrial Building)	Filed: May 6, 1997
Envelope Program)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this the 6th day of May, 1997, a copy of the foregoing Petition for Modification of Florida Power & Light Company's Commercial/Industrial Building Envelope Program was served by hand delivery* or First Class United States Mail on the following

Robert V. El.as, Esquire*
Chief of Electric & Gas
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Jack Shreve, Esquire Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel Room 812 111 West Madison Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

Charles A. Gurton

APPENDIX A

COMMERCIAL INDUSTRIAL BUILDING ENVELOPE PROGRAM

I. Program Description

The Commercial Industrial Building Envelope (CIBE) Program is designed to reduce the current and future growth of coincident peak demand and energy consumption of commercial and industrial customers. This program will encourage eligible commercial and industrial customers to increase the efficiency of qualifying portions of their building's envelope, which will reduce heating, ventilating, and air conditioning energy consumption and demand.

This program will provide incentives to customers, or their designees, for the installation of costeffective high-efficiency building envelope measures and products, such as window treatments and
roof/ceiling insulation. The CIBE participating customer will also receive all energy, demand, and
operational savings from the installation of the higher efficiency measures

FPL plans to make commercial and industrial customers aware of this program through dealers, distributors, contractors, and other trade allies, as well as direct contact with potential participants by FPL personnel.

II. Summary of Program Changes

Based on an analysis of building envelope technologies and their cost effectiveness, the program incentive structure will change to a range not to exceed \$155 to \$288 per kw of summer demand reduction, depending on the technology.

III. Description of Program Administration

The CIBE program will be available to commercial and industrial customers who are currently receiving electric service from FPL and whose facility is a completed building for which a Certificate of Occupancy or equivalent approval for occupancy, has been issued Participating customers must either replace specific existing building envelope components with higher efficiency products, or enhance these components with higher efficiency retrofit measures.

All measures and products will be required to meet technical eligibility requirements, which will be detailed in the CIBE Program Standards. The Program Standards will be subject to periodic review and may be modified over time in response to factors such as, but not limited to, changing program delivery strategies, market needs, program evaluation results, and incentive amounts

In order to qualify for the CIBE program, a customer must provide assurance that the portion of the building for which an incentive is being provided is conditioned by an HVAC system using electricity as its primary fuel source. This HVAC system must operate during FPL's on-peak hours, from 3 P.M. to 6 P.M., weekdays for the months of April through October

Products and measures which are required by or necessary to meet the requirements of any applicable federal, state, or local municipal building or energy codes are not eligible for CIBE program incentives.

Eligible installations shall be open to inspections before and after installation for verification of qualifying criteria, as well as for monitoring and assessment of the impact of the installed measures and products. The Program Standards will detail all qualifying requirements for participation in the CIBE program.

The CIBE program incentives will be based on a range not to exceed \$155 to \$288 per kw of summer demand reduction, depending on the technology, which is supported by the cost-effectiveness analyses shown in Appendix A. Incentive payments will be tracked in a computer database over the lifetime of the CIBE program. Within cost-effectiveness parameters, incentives may be adjusted over the program's lifetime in response to program evaluation results, changing market conditions, and the emergence of new technologies.

Incentive amounts to the customer will be based upon the efficiency of existing building envelope components as well as the efficiency of the installed measures or products. Incentive amounts for individual participants will be limited to the actual incremental installation cost of the building envelope measures or products.

Incentive certificates are issued to qualifying customers by an FPL representative or by the contractor.

It is expected that these certificates will be submitted by the customer to either the contractor or to FPL for payment of the incentive. Prior to payment of incentives, FPL will require proper documentation of all installations and will make the final determination as to eligibility and applicability.

Qualifying measures and products must be installed on or after the date the CIBE program is approved.

IV. Projected Participation and Savings

The projected demand savings for the period 1998 through 2000 are 19 1 mW of summer peak demand reduction and 2.1 mW of winter peak demand reduction. In addition, the annual reduction in energy consumption by the year 2000 will be 27.4 gWh. The energy consumption and demand reduction projections are based on engineering assumptions and calculations

V. Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

FPL has used the Commission approved cost-effectiveness methodologies required by Rule 25-17 008 to determine the cost-effectiveness of this program. These cost-effectiveness analyses can be found in Appendix B. These analyses show the following benefit-cost ratios for the Commercial / Industrial Building Envelope Program: 1.55 Participants, 1 03 RIM, 1 36 TRC

VI. Program Monitoring and Evaluation

The impact of this program on demand and energy consumption will be evaluated over time by FPL.

Baseline data will be developed from non-participants, and participants' data will be compared against non-participants' data to establish usage patterns and demand impacts and to validate engineering assumptions.

FPL will utilize any or all three major impact evaluation analysis methods in a manner that most costeffectively meets the overall impact evaluation objectives -- engineering analysis, statistical billing
analysis, and on-site metering research. As these evaluations proceed, the components to be analyzed
and the periods for which data is available will increase, resulting in continual enhancements in the
scope and accuracy of reported evaluation results.

Appendix B

Cost-effectiveness Run

PROGRAM METHOD SELECTED NEV, REQ PROGRAM MARE Commercial Policies Building Envelope

AVOIDED GENERATOR AND TAD COSTS

2

PROGRAM DEMAND SAVINGS & LINE LOSSES

ପ୍ର ଅଟେ ଅଟେ	(2) GENERATOR NW REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER CHAIN LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE	W 21.1	(2) BESERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT (3) BESERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED TED	2001
66 5 6	AND THE LOSS PERCENTAGE	7.5		1006-2001
6 5 6	AW LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE		CO. SECRETARY TOWN AND THE CO.	1007.488
€ 6				
图	IN CENERATOR WAS REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER	1 528 B 1995	(4) BASE YEAR AVOICED GENERATING COST	WAT OF
1	TO MAKE I CHEE DESICENTACE	a 27.0	(5) BASE YEAR AVOIDED TANSABSSION COST	AND DE
	tal part can control and total and take site	9000	(6) BASE YEAR DISTRIBUTION COST	MW OF
E 6	III CHANGE LINE LAND MAN IN LAND AN LAND AN LAND AND AN LAND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	900 000	(7) GEN TRAN & DIST COST ESCALATION RATE	- 18 87
(1)	COSTORICA STREET PROPERTY AT THE COST		IN GENERATOR FIXED O & M COST	8 SANATR
	Section of the Control of the Contro		(%) GENERATOR FORD DAM ESCALATION RATE	- N X X
2	CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE		(10) TRANSAESSION FORED O & MICOST	2.73 SAW
7000	ALL RED RIV BEBIND FOR THE CONTERNATION PROGRAM	23 TEARS	(11) DISTRIBUTION FORED O & M COST	13.01 \$AW
. 6	CONTRACTOR SCONDARC (#F	30 YEARS	(12) TAD FORED DAM ESCALATION RATE	134 KT
5 6			(13) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O & M COSTS	0 030 CENTSAME
1.5	La vice de la companie de la compani	1.61229	(14) GENERATOR VARIABLE DAM COST ESCALATION RATE	24 %"
		1 44767	(15) GENERATOR CAPACITY FACTOR	30% = (in-service year)
ě.	A PACIFICATION OF THE PACI		(16) AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT FUEL COST	1 88 CENTS PER KMPT (In-service year)
5	UTRUTY & CUSTOMER COSTS		117) AVOIDED GEN UNT FUEL COST ESCALATION HATE	3 0 K
	THE LITTLETY NOW RECLUSIONS COST PER CLISTOMER	- scust	V NON-FUEL ENERGY AND DEMAND CHARGES	
. 6	COUNTLITY RECURSIONS COST PER CUSTOMER	- MOUST		
6	CHUTELTY COST ESCALATION RATE		(1) NON FUEL COST IN CUSTOMER BILL	- CENTSAWA
9	CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COST	- MOUST	(2) NON-FUEL COST ESCALATION RATE	*1
. 8	I CLISTOMER EQUIPMENT ESCALATION RATE	- %	CIT DESMAND CHARGE IN CUSTOMER SILL	- SAWAND
9	CLISTOMER O & W COST	- MOUSTAN	(4) DEMAND CHARGE ESCALATION RATE	*1
6		-%-		
€		- SCUSTAR		
. 6		181		
	CHOILUTEUTY DISCOUNT PATE	8 ZZ 8		
	THI UTILITY ARUDO RATE	10.70 %		
	CLES UTILITY NON RECURSIONS RESATE/INCENTIVE	- Incust		
	(13) UTLITY RECURRANG RESATEMOENTIVE	- scust		
	(14) UTLITY REDATE/INCENTIAL ESCALATION RATE.	*1		

* SAFUT DATA - FART 1 CONTINUED PRICEDAM METHOD SELECTED REV, REQ PRICEMM MARE: CommenceThansim Building Emerge

	6	(3)	đ	141	(S) E MERICY	DEMAND	5	Ē.	(8)	2
a.	CORAM COSTS	77.00	OTHER	ALC: N	CHARGE	CHANGE	PARTICIPANT	PARTICIPANT	OTHER	1014
	WEDSOUT	UTLITY	COSTS	PROCEAM COSTS	REVENUE	LOSSES	COSTS	000 2000	COSTS	PARTICPA COSTS
VE A.R.	10000		1000	(000)\$	\$(000)	\$(000)	\$000	\$1000)	(000)	PODD!
1.000	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	O	٥	
1.007		0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	
-	5	1 867	0	2.657	193	200	\$22.8	0	o	4
000	400	1 571	0	2.067	296	960	4 450	a	0	٠
9000	200	1250	0	1.667	100	2	3545	10	13	•
3000	0	0	0	0	906	108	0	0	0	
2000	a	0	0	0	1,000	ŭ	0	0	o	
2002	0	0	0	o	1 023	818	0	0	0	
1	0	a	0	0	100	827	0	a	0	
		0	0	0	1,049	914	a	o	0	
1	0		0	0	1 083	603	a	a	0	
2000	0	0	o	0	1,101	698	0	0	0	
-	N	250	0	260	1.13	679	2,196	a	0	218
	163	200	0	470	1 157	1 008	1,879	0	a	•
2010	3	×	0	185	1,258	1,000	126	0	a	7
	0		0	0	1.256	*11.4	0	9	0	
200	0	0	0	0	1,288	1.128	a	0	0	
3043	0	0	0	0	1,297	7.7	0	9	0	
			0	0	1347	1,185	0		0	
		0	0	o	1.356	1,166	•	•	•	
3046	a	0	0	0	1,383	1,174	0	0		
	9	0	0	0	1411	1,107	0	0	0	
	200	1,680	0	2.873	3	1,201	9,037	0	•	*
	1 5	181	0	2 415	1,470	1.214	127.7	0	0	177

-	10,336	0	14,623	20,214	22,919	42,088	0	9	1
1 423	4 500	0	6 722	8.459	7,570	15.243	0	0	15,243

SUPPLEMENTAL BEORGATION NOT SPECIFIED IN WORMBOOK
 MEGATIVE COSTS WILL BE CALCULATED AS POSITIVE BENEFITS FOR TRC AND HIM TESTS

CALCULATION OF GENERACTOR PROCRAM METHOD SELECTED REV. REQ.

MED TEAR MATE BASE FOOD 1222 1222 6.874 6.874 8.823 8.823 8.823 8.823 8.823 8.823 8.823 8.823 8.823 8.823 8.824 4.770 4.710 2.200	00.004 45.2 45.2 45.2 45.2 45.2 45.2 45.2 45.								PRESENT	
AND TOWN AND TOWN 17.222 18.00000 18.00000 18.00000 18.00000 18.00000 18.00000 18.00000 18.00000 18.00000 18.000000	10000 10000		-	-	OTHER		DEFFERED	FOTAL	FINED	CUMMAATM PW FOED
\$ 10000 \$ 1,0000 \$ 1,000	2 8 2 2 1 2 2 8 8 8 1 2 8 8 1 1 1 1 1 1	STOCK	FOURT	TAUES	NSURANCE	DEPREC	TAMES	CHARGES	OWNORS	CHANGES
	E 8 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1,000	(OOC)	0000	2000	(ODD)	and and	200	No.	1.436
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	0	487	S	101	. :	2 5			
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	0	478	187	101	7.	04.	290	1273	
	****	0	4	187	ğ	20	2	133	1 122	3.63
	* * * * * * * *	0	Ş	18	ğ	2	DJ.	(R)	101	4
	E M B B C P	0	10	8	5	2	8	124	872	2.88
	ad the state of th	0	NA.	ĭ	101	36	2	1186	\$	4 40
	885.2	0	900	192	101	343	¥	27.5	6.78	214
	ā ī. ē	0	×	180	101	2	×	100	200	2.7
	17.	0	329	35	5	34	X	1,008	120	200
	181	0	300	100	101	342	z	1 029	ğ	27.00
		0	280	155	101	20	*	500	ğ	11.0
	181	0	271	144	101	2	X	3	282	*
	140	0	252	123	101	20	×	800	213	9.80
	130	0	233	2	101	ğ	7	198	273	10.0t
	110	0	214	100	101	20	X	818	238	10.31
	100	0	195	18	101	20	X	27.8	202	20.01
	8	0	9/1	58	101	342	X	N.	94.	10,705
	28	0	157	r	101	ž	X	909	25	10.86
	11	0	138	9	101	30	X	653	2	10,98
	8	0	119	2	101	25	*	612	*:-	11,10
	25	0	102	8	101	20	(22)	878	2	11.20
	2	0	8	153	101	20	(90)	3	8	11,282
	*	0	2	146	101	242	(96)	ă	2	11.367
966	R	0	3	140	101	20	(98)	58	8	5:
3034	H	0	3	133	101	20	(M)	478	S	11,401
200 BOT	R	0	15	120	101	ž	(96)	455	8	1.54
2027	7	0	32	5	101	30	(98)	8	1	11,584
3000	22	0	R	113	101	30	(96)	604	Я	11 622
230		0	92	101	101	20	(96)	N	я	11,650
2000		•	•	901	101	30	(90)	R	n	11,663

K.F.ACTOR + CPWPC / BN-SVC COST +

888

SOURCE WEIGHT
DEST
DOST
PS
ON
COS
SOURCE
SOU

IN SERVICE CORT (\$000)
IN SERVICE YEAR
BOOK LEFT (YES)
EFFEC TAX RATE
DISCOURT RATE
OTAX & 845 RATE

50051

1.61223

DEFENDED TAX AND MID YEAR HATE BASE CALCULATION PROCESSAR METHOD SELECTED. REV. REQ. PROCESSAR MARK Commerciality active. Basting fine.

1139	ACCUMALATED DEFENSED TAX	-1	(69)	F.,	91.1	8	×	ži.	P. C.		7	57	Ď,	ĭ	873	612	0.0	188	716	100	704	614	TE TE	ē	616	5	9	H	ň	178	Ħ	o
7	DEFENSED TAK (P)-(12)-(13)	40004	15	2	ĸ	8	8	10	8	я	X	X	x	z	X	X	X	*	*	*	*	X	(2)	(80)	(90)	(96)	(96)	(00)	(H)	(36)	(98)	(36)
(13)	SALVAGE TAX RATE	\$(000)		0	0	0	0	a	e	ø.	0.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0							
	(101711) TAX PATE	10000	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	o	a	0	a	a	o	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1111	BOOK DEPR	MONUS 14.FE	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	o	0	a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
2	FOTA FOUR MADE	1000	403	402	603	Ęţ	9	ğ	â	ğ	402	ğ	402	40	402	402	ğ	â	4	402	â	9	ğ	ğ	209	200	403	4	403	5	403	-
E	DEFENSED TAX DUE TO KINECATION	\$(000)	1.5	110	22	Ħ	8	25	¥	я	X	z	×	X	X	X	X	X	*	X	Ä	z	(27)	(99)	(90)	(98)	(000)	(10)	(90)	(90)	(16)	-
ŧ	ACCUMIANTED DEFENED BOOK DEW TAX FOR DUE TO PETTRANDAL DEPRECATION	\$1000	228	959	100	813	1,141	1 300	1.547	1,825	2002	2,283	2.808	2.738	2,905	3.194	3.422	3,650	3.678	4.106	4 335	4,503	A.79	5.019	5247	5,475	\$,703	5.921	6,160	6.366	8.818	
6	DEMECATION FOR		228	27	228	E22	73	877	22	822	F72	907	877	22	228	228	228	M22	228	223	228	977	877	677	872	22	228	228	222	228	228	
180	SOM LATED BOOK	(000)	25	CB9	E	900	1,208	1 445	1.5001	1, 922	2.174	2.415	2.857	2.590	3,140	3 362	3,623	3,865	4 106	4.348	4.509	4.831	5.072	5,314	3,565	5,797	8 038	6.280	6.522	6.763	7 000	
(2)	N NOW	1000	20	20	36	2	20	20	30	342	36	200	20	200	342	242	30	200	90	2	342	20	200	36	30	363	30	200	200	90	200	
Ŷ	ACCUMBATED TAX		'n	280	1,256	1,695	2,101	2.477	2.625	31.0	1.454	1,781	4.000	4416	472	1,031	5.368	5.605	6003	6 320	6.638	6.905	7.114	7.114	7.114	7114	2.114	7.114	2114	7 114	71.6	
6	37	MODEL	R	\$14	475	9	8	376	7	221	317	317	317	317	317	317	317	317	11.1	347	217	317	159	0	0	. 0						
6	3	SCHECATION DEPRECATION SCHECKE MODE	37976	1 22%	4 60%	201.0	877.8	233	487	4.524	4.40%	4.00%	4 22.0	* 40%	1 100	1.60	-	-	1		100	100	227%	9000	0.00%	9000	0.000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
(1)	,	12.46	1000	6000	Soot	3000	3000	2000	3007	3008	3008	3010	1100	3013	3013	1	*****	9000		i i	2	5000	TO A			l				ì	1	

BLAL VACIE / REMOVAL COST	00
DOLLAR BAN CACHE I COURT OF BEADDAM	2005
ı i	1000
CAPERSON TAKES DURING COMPTRICE TAIN (DUE 1764C 3)	
BOTAL EQUITY AND CONTALCED (SEE PAGE 5)	209
TE - MASSETUL LF	3.37%
The same of the sa	The second named in column 2 is not a se

			200					
90 M	TAX TAX DEMECATION DEMECATION	DEFERRED	MET N MET IN MET IN	ACCIMENATED ACCIMENTED DEPRESATED	ACCUMALATED DEF TAXES	REGINANO YEAR RATE BASE	ENDING OF YEAR RATE BASE	MOYEM RATE BASE
SOROAL	100	Name of	7 006	30	(80)	7,390	7,094	1222
	100	110	6.763	699	Γ.	7.094	6.742	6.918
-	473	8	6.522	T.	116	6742	6 405	6.574
	3		6.280	908	25.	6.605	6 082	6.244
21.6	8	8	6.038	1,208	200	6,062	\$772	2.927
5	27	23	2787	1,440	ň	\$772	5,473	5.623
100	7	¥	5,255	1.691	22	1473	5.106	122
10	-	×	5.314	1.922	909	5,186	4,008	5.047
100	317	×	5.072	2.174	3	4 908	4 612	4.770
400	317	7	123	2.415	5.0	4,632	4.336	4 494
-	317	X	4 588	2.657	808	4,356	4 080	4.218
1.007	317	X	24	2,898	3	4 080	1804	385
407	317	X	4 108	3.140	\$78	3,804	122	3,606
4.60.7	317	×	3,865	1367	612	3,526	222	3,390
101	217	×	3,623	1523	E.	3.15	2.976	3,114
4.40%	317	X	3,382	3.865	18	2.976	2,700	2,636
1.00.1	317	X	3140	4 108	716	2,700	2.04	2,983
1.46%	317	X	2.898	4348	72	2.424	2148	2,286
4.40%	317	*	2.857	4.589	704	2148	1,872	2.010
4.60.4	317	*	2.415	4,831	619	1,872	1,597	7.
2 22%	150	6	2,174	5.077	Te.	1,597	26	1.400
0000	0	(00)	1,932	5,214	20	1,382	1,228	1 304
0.00%	0	(300)	1.691	2,555	618	1,228	1,075	1.151
0.00%	0	(000)	1.449	5,797	8	1,075	921	900
D DOW	0	(1947)	1,208	8,038	9	128	768	3
0.00%	a	(30)	900	6.280	200	768	410	100
800W	0	CORE	12	6.522	284	614	18	122
0.00%	0	(66)	CD2+	6.763	27	1.04	Ŕ	Ř
0.00%	0	(00)	342	7,005	8	307	152	22
0.00%	0	(8)	6	1 7.244	0	153	o	1

* Column not specified in workbook

123	Š m	YEAR DAIL	1006	1987	1900	1,900	2000				×		YEAR 84-	*****	1001	1,000	1000	2000				N 555	K.	9	
6	NO YEARS REFORE	PA-SERVICE	**	7	?	7	7				NO YEARS	BEFORE	IN-SERVICE	*	,	7	7	•				IN SERVICE YEAR	PLANT COSTS	TOTAL TOTAL	
(3)	PLANT	RATE	0000	2.55%	2 50%	2.67%	2 89%		€	CLARKATIVE	SPENDING	WITH APUDC	(TAW)	900	000	000	58.57	218 30				1002	2005		
Ť	CUMBATM	FACTOR	1 000	100				1.5	je je		DEBT	WUDC	(BANK)	80					90.01					7.	
e,	TAMEY	ê	1000	4000	1000	AL W	402 Z3%	A'00 001	į.	CUMBLATIVE	DEBT	WUDC	(TAW)	8		80		27.0	*			CONSTRUCTION CASH	EQUITY AFUDC	E	TOTAL
ũ	NUMBER	(BARM)	90 0	8 0	00 0	113.15	200 20	M COS	Œ	TEMEY	TOTAL	APUDC.	(Saleste)	900	8 0	98 0	909	N N	g R			CASH			
6	AVERAGE	(Sware)	800	8	8 8	72.86	222		(30)	CUMBATIVE	TOTAL	AFUDC	(\$4.46)	80	000	80	606	23.62			SIGNE BASIS	6,620	8 2		7.345
									(36)	CONSTRUCTION	PERIOD	WTEREST	(MW)	000	8	80	4.81	18.53	n n	1000	FOR DEF TAX		224		0.544
									.77		CUMBATIVE	5	(SAM)	80	000	000	=	23.74			TAX BASIS	6.620		403	7.113
									(94),		DEFERRED	TAXES	(Saw)	900	800	80	E .	(3.80)	(28.47)	_					
									ě	CUMBRATIVE	DEFENSIO	TARES	(\$4.44)	80	8 0	8 0	8	(4.82)	200						
									6	PICREMENTAL	YEAR-END	BOOK WALUE	(Aww)	080	80			223.77	NO.						
									(i)	CUMULATIVE	YEAR-DID	BOOK VALUE	(SWAD)	800	800	80	100	342.67							

* Column not apacified in workbook

=	6	(5)	UTLUTY	Ġ	Te de	141		
848	CUMMATIVE TOTAL PARTICE/ATHO	CUMMATIVE ADJUSTED TOTAL CUMMATIVE PARTICIPATING PARTICIPATING PARTICIPATING CUSTOMERS	SYSTEM FUEL COST (CAMA)	NACIDED NARGRIAL FUEL COST	MARCHALD MARCHAL FUEL COST (CAWN)	HEPLACEMENT FUEL COST (CAWN)	PROCPAMINY PROGRAMINA E./ECTNENESS EFFECTIVENESS FACTOR FACTOR	# 5
1,906	0	0	080	271	7 %	000	81	
1997	9	0	000	2.74	2 39	000	8	
1999	760	1642	000	2 28	2 48	000	8	
1999	14 008	14,006	80	11.6	2 48	000	8	
3000	19,106	19 106	80	3 49	2 20	800	8	
1000	19,106	18 106	80	8	273	5.26	8	
2002	10,100	19,106	800	3.80	2.76	2.50	8	
2002		18.108	80	3.74	274	248	8	
900		18 106	89	7.7	7.12	2.88	8	
2005		19,106	8	Z,	200	322	8	
3000		18,108	8	4 23	323	345	8	
2002		19.106	000	4 83	140	376	8	
2008		19 106	8	8	3.50	167	8	
2008		19.106	8	5.28	375	3 63	8	
2010		19,106	80	5.67	700	439	8	
2011		19,106	80	5.91	4.10	24	8	
2012		19.106	8	6.23	4.35	474	8.	
2013	207	19,106	000	27.9	445	\$00	8	
2014		19,100	000	6 63	4.30	\$ 08	8	
2015		19,108	000	7 16	4 08	5.67	8	
2016	277	19.108	000	1.40	808	5.80	81	
2017		19,105	80	7 63	522	\$ 95	8-	
2018		19,106	000	808	145	633	8	
2019		19,100	000	8 32	2.00	24.0	8	
-	35	18 106	000	8 40	8.78	653	8-	

* THIS COLUMN IS USED ONLY FOR LOAD SHIFTING PROGRAMS WHICH SHIFT CONSUMPTION TO OFF-PEL-X-PERIODS. THE VALUES REPRESENT THE OFF PEAK SYSTEM PLEL COSTS.

AVOICED UESIGNATING DENEFITS PROCIANA METHOD SELECTED REV. REG. PROCINAL NAME. Commercial/Phalatrial Building Enverses

200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	GEN LINET REPLACEMENT GEN LINET FUEL COST FUEL COST BENEFITS \$1000) \$000)
000003:000370=*110109	0
00002222200232	0
0083:000320**32020	0
**************	0
:000110110109	0
1:000110=*110109	1,041 1,248
	800,1 028
000110110109	207
00110110108	126 827
2372-*112122	1 058
110110108	1,095
10115168	1230 1314
5115168	1251 1251
310108	1,091
*117722	627 709
110108	656 R24
10108	1,236 1,456
5108	1241 1455
3 09	1.007
0.0	101 101
9	1,168 1,385
	1,137
417 53 179	1110 11347
17 21 171	1,131 1,327
10, 10	1.063

2	20,230	6,230	2	8	23,929	
2	7 145	1,740	22	6.243	7.214	7 996

AVOIDED TAD AND PROGRAM FUEL SAVINGS PROGRAM METHOD SELECTED REV. REQ PROGRAM NAME Commonstraturum Busking Eminor

TAN TENS	озооли	-	1014			1		PROCESAM
2 ji 2	CAP COST	Therefacision Odd COST	TRANSMISSON COST MODO	AVOIDED DISTRIBUTION CAP COST \$10001	DISTRIBUTION DAM COST SCOOL	AVOIDED DISTRIBUTION COST \$1000)	PROCESSA FUEL SAVINGS \$(000)	OFF-PEAK PAYBACK \$(000)
180	0	0	0	0	o	9	0	0
1996	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
	0	0	0	0	0	8	R	0
1 800	110	17	135	Ľ	111	691	*11	0
3000	180	4	7	35	212	×	728	0
3001	, W	8	NA.	27.5	ā	63	87%	59
2002	'n	T.	800	168	74	24	818	9
2003	247	r.	H	Tight.	H	9	28	9
2000	237	78	315	155	Ä	484	1,004	0
3003	228	=	310	ħ	355	800	1,000	
3000	22	12	ă	14	170	\$14	1,097	0
2000	211	2	8	138	R	a	1,174	0
3000	R	g	19.	8	8	2	1,306	
3000	II.	28	Ĭ,	20	ā	3	1,282	
3010	187	8	282	127	609	28	1,388	
3011	178	100	CBC	117	459	575	1,438	
3012	5	110	95	112	5	580	1.519	
200	3	113	112	8	8	400	1.807	
3014	3	8		104	8	å	1.619	
3015	146	ŭ		H	3	3	1.744	
3016	138	151	200	8	172	299	11811	
3047	20	136	200	ĸ	200	28	1,860	
2018	151	24	Ř	£.	Fg	150	1,973	
6102	114	34	M	74	275	22,		
9000	107	7	M	Ľ	573	245	2,083	

* THESE WALLES REPRESENT THE COST OF THE INCREASED FUEL CONSUMPTION DUE TO GREATER OFF-PEAK. ENGING USAGE USED FOR LOAD SHIPTING PROGRAMS ONLY.

TOTAL MESOLNICE COST TEST PROCEAUM METHOD SELECTED REV, MED PROCEAUM NAME Commercial/Ystative Building Envertee

	6	đ	₹.	Ü	ê	6.5			100	Fred		100
	MONEAND		PARTICIPANT			GROOM	AVOIDED		0.750.0000)	CUMAKATM
	SLOWLY COSTS	PROCRAM	PROGRAM	COSTS	COSTS	GEN UNIT BENEFITS	BENEFITS TOTAL	FUEL SAVINGS	DENEFITS SCHOOL	BENEFITS MODE	MENESTITS.	MET MEMERIT
TEAR	(con)s	П	Botto	1	-	0	0	a	0	0	0	
1998	0	0	9								•	
1981	٥	0	0	0	0	0	D	9		9		
1000	0	270	Ties.	0	3,736	0	0	2	0	9	0.82	4,742
1 000	0	107	6.480	0	4,936	0	212	415	0	736	(4.202)	7.36
9000	0	300	1.646	0	4.044	0	280	728	0	1.316	(2, 728)	11.86
1	- 10	0	0	0	0	1451	118	628	a	3.241	3,241	P. (2)
		- 0		0	0	1,399	810	818	0	2127	2,127	0.16
			. 0	0	0	1 483	810	608	0	1200	1.22	4.22
	. 6	• •	0	0	0	34	812	1 100	0	3163	3,163	(2,86)
		. 0	0	0	9	in.	914	1,000	0	3.176	3,176	(1,232)
	. 0	. 0	9	0	0	(30)	:	1,047	8	178	1,200	1.2
		10	. 10	0	•	1,341	528	1.174	0	1338	3,339	異し
		180	2.186	ø	2.386	1,425	22	200	0	340	1,067	r.
		9	1.679	0	2.041	100	94	207	a	3,417	1.376	2.18
200		1 :	9		1.663	1.167	246	1 385	0	3,424	1,741	2.00
2 :					0	1.0	823	15°	0	3,429	143	1.80
100			- 0	a	0	1,067	678	1,519	0	3447	3,447	4.648
				a	0	1041	863	1,867	۵	3.491	3.491	1.22
2 1				0	0	100	788	1,619	0	2,540	3.540	3,950
			. 0	0	a	708	81.8	1.745	0	1,663	1,653	6.63.9
2 1			- 40	0	0	100	008		٥	3,696	3,000	7.288
200	9 0		0	0	0	7	M.		0	3.754	2,764	7,856
		200	9 000	0	10.004	818	188	193	0	3.856	(80,108)	6.871
		104	1221	0	8.570	708	ž	2.044	0	3,921	14,639]	0.302
										4 844	12 046.61	*

NON	0	4.46%	42,088	0	46.531	23.44	18,383	29.929	0	71,768
Š	0	1,623	15,243	0	16,866	7,996	6,008	8.898	0	22 857
i	100				8 22 8					
8 8	netaCost Fato (Col	(11) / Col(6))		Ц	1.38					

0 1000

PAGEGAMA METHICO SELECTED REV. REQ PROCENA METHICO SELECTED REV. REQ PROCENA NAME Commercial/Yokarum Bustony Enversor

5	Œ	5	(*)	Ŷ.	ĝ	6		E	(10)	613	
	SAVNOS IN PARTICIPARTS BRLS	CHEDITS	UTLITY	ODER BENEVITS	BENEFITS MODE	EQUIPMENT COSTS	CUSTOMER OLM COSTS SCOON	COLTS RCXII	101AL COSTS \$1000)	NET BENEFITS \$7000)	49
1	- Comple	C. Concile	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
ı			. 6	o	0	0	0	0	0		0
1	700		1.867	0	2,350	\$225	0	a	177	2	2
1	901	0	181	o	2.891	4.450	0	0	4.450	Li Li	3
	1 900		120	0	3347	164	0	0	3.6-46	~	200
1	3 380	0		0	2,280	0	0	0	0	*	異
1	2 380	- 65	0	0	2.283	0	9	0	0	H	異
1	2 301	0	0	o	2,301	0	0	0	0	24	ä
	2 227	0	•	0	2337	0	0	0	0	2	123
1		0	a	9	2332	0	0	0	o	7	2 332
2		0	9	0	2 403	0	ø	0	0	2.	8
100		0	0	0	2.445	0	0	0	0	2.0	Ŧ
1		0	270	0	2.880	2,198	0	0	2.136	•	ş
			309	0	2.679	1,679	0	0	1,679	10	8
1		. 0	30	0	3.036	22.0	0	0	2	7	147
i				0	2.811	0	0	0	0	7	Ε
i	2 868	. 0	a	9	2.008	0	0	0	9	2.0	3
i		e	0	0	2.807	0	0	0	0	2.0	8
i		0	0	0	3,005	0	a	0	0	3,005	8
Š		. 6	0	0	1,000	۰	o	0	0	3.0	8
i		0	9	0	3,042	0	0	o	0	30	3
8		(4)	a	0	2,093	a	0	0	0	36	暴
Š		0	1,887	0	5,003	9,037	0	a	8,037	Ŧ	簽
8		0	15	6	4771	1227	0	0	1,727		뿧
1		634	-	•	4 616	2 162	c	0	8.358	17 8477	ä

NOW NEV	18,015	00	4,598	0 0	22,613	1520	0 0
e e	Jervice of Gen Unit. Discourt Rate:			L	1002		

15,243

PROCEAM METHOD SELECTED REV_RED PROCEAM MAKE Commercial/holoster Bucking Envisor

(1)	G	(5)	Ť	ē	ĝ	(2)	ii.	ě	(10)	1111	C*L	67.1	
•	SUPPLY SUPPLY	PROCESAM	MCNTMS	MENEMA	COSTS	±01.00 0.00518	AVOIDED GEN UNIT & FUEL BENEFITS	AVOIDED 14.0 BENEFITS	HEVENLE	OTHER RENEFITS	TOTAL	MET BENEVITS	CUMALATIVE DISCOUNTED NET BENEFIT
15.48	10000	NOOO)	\$1000h	(000)	(000)4	(cocit	1000	\$(000)	\$(0x.0)	1,000)	\$(000)	10000	\$(000)
1000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
100	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	a	0	
1		5	1.667	300	0	2.863	139	0	0	0	138	(2,714)	(227)
		909	101	1 122	0	3.179	415	219	0	0	7.	(2 445)	(4.152)
ı	. 0	200	527	1.000	0	130	728	98	0	0	1,316	(2.027)	13.57
			0	1 829	0	1 828	2.439	H	0	0	1341	1311	E T
1		a	0	1 930	0	1 830	2,317	018	0	0	3,127	1.197	(4 02)
1				1 842	0	1.942	2.305	910	0	G	3,205	1,363	96.0
2000				1 870	0	1 973	2.351	812	0	0	3.163	1,193	27.50
				1 864	0	- 364	2.301	1	0	0	3176	1,212	(2.20)
1			. 0	2 022	0	2.022	2 400	612	0	a	1,789	1347	(1.500)
			0	2.008	9	2.059	2,515	23	0	0	3.336	1,280	1 20
		9	170	2 112	a	167	2.611	200	0	a	3.45	E	T.
		1 5	2	3 165	0	2 635	2.577	940	0	0	3,417	781	(083)
		3 3	2	2 2 3 3 4	0	2 718	2.576	248	0	0	3.424	706	(487)
200	9 0			2 370	0	2.370	2 570	602	a	10	3 429	1,059	900
	9 6	. 0	. 0	2 416	0	2.416	2.576	23	0	0	3447	1,031	•
			10	2 451	0	2.451	2.500	500	0	0	3.491	90	12
	9 6			2.632	0	2.522	2.842	182	0	a	3,560	1,008	9
	9 6		0	2.534	0	2534	2740	913	0	0	3.653	1.129	ě
				2 656	0	2.558	2,768	003	0	0	3,000	1,142	8
2 2	9 6			2 500	0	2 500	2,817	747	0	0	3,764	1,186	1,072
	9 6	. 20	1,000	2.640	0	\$ 513	2.892	18	0	0	3,856	(1,887)	2
200		3		2.004	0	5,099	2,948	ň	0	0	1,921	(1,167)	8

4,500 14,039		22,381	16,852	100	0 0	0 0	22,857
	TH. CO.	W. Carlo	10 mm	10777	10777	10777	10777

Discourt Rate
Berwils/Cost Rato (Cos(12) / Cos(7)):

1.63