



Marceil Morrell**
Vice President & General Counsel - Florida

Associate General Counsel Anthony P. Gillman** Leslie Reicin Stein*

Attorneys*
Kimberly Caswell
M. Eric Edgington
Ernesto Mayor, Jr.

Licensed in Florida
 Comfied in Florida as Authorized House Course

GTE Telephone Operations

One Tampa City Center 201 North Franklin Street, FLTC0007 Post Office Box 110 Tampa, Florida 33601 813-483-2606 813-204-8870 (Facsimile)

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records & Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

May 9, 1997

Re: Docket No. 950699-TL

Resolution by City Commission of Haines City Requesting Extended Area Service From Haines City Exchange to All Exchanges Within Polk County

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Please find enclosed for filing an original and fifteen copies of GTE Florida Incorporated's Request for Confidential Classification and Motion for Protective Order regarding Late-Filed Exhibits 7 and 9, which were requested by Staff at the hearing in the above matter. Service has been made as indicated on the Certificate of Service If There are any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (813) 483-2617.

AFP _____Very truly yours,

ACK

AFA

CAL

OTH _

CT : Kimberly Caswell

KC:tas

Enclosures

RECEIVED & FILED

A part of OTE

EMC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

04679 HAY -95

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Resolution by City Commission of
Haines City requesting extended aree service
(EAS) from Haines City exchange to all
exchanges within Polk County
•

Docket No. 950699-TL Filed: May 9, 1997

GTE FLORIDA INCORPORATED'S REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Under Commission Rule 25-22.006, GTE Florida Incorporated (GTEFL) seeks confidential classification and a protective order for late-filed exhibits 7 and 9, requested by Commission Staff at the hearing in this matter. While a ruling on this Request is pending, GTEFL understands that the information at issue is exempt from Florida Statutes section 119.07(1) and Staff will accord it the stringent protection from disclosure required by Rule 25-22.006(3)(d).

Highlighted, unredacted copies of the confidential material are attached to only the original of this Request as Exhibit A. Redacted copies of these items are attached to this Request as Exhibit B.

Atl of the information for which GTEFL seeks confidential treatment falls within Florida Statutes section 364.183(3)(e), which defines the term, "proprietary confidential business information," to include "information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of information."

The confidential information covered in this filing concerns GTEFL's toll calling statistics. This kind of information has been customarily granted confidential protection in past extended area service (EAS) cases. Order No. PSC-97-0361-CFO-TL, in this appument number-part

04679 HAY-95

FPSC-RECURDS/REPURTING

docket, was issued March 31, 1997 granting confidentiality to traffic data on three of the routes under study.

Exhibit 7 (consisting of three pages) is an extended area service traffic study of the Haines City to Frostproof, Indian Lake, and Polk City exchanges, respectively. It shows celling distribution and messages associated with each of these routes for both business and residence subscribers. Revenue figures are also included.

Both local and intraLATA toll service are now competitive under Florida and federal law. There are scores of alternative local exchange carriers (ALECs) certified on a statewide basis; GTEFL has negotiated and arbitrated local interconnection agreements with numerous entities—including such well-financed companies as AT&T, MCI, and Sprint, and the capability for 1+ intraLATA presubscription is now available in all of GTE's service territory. In this environment, it is not hard to understand that knowledge of the confidential toll traffic dat, shown on Exhibit 7 would allow competitors—both toll providers and competitive local exchange companies—to design calling plans and tailor calling scopes to ensure their success in competing with GTE. This advantage is unfair because it would be gained through the regulatory process, rather than through the trial and error that is the hallmark of an openly competitive marketplace.

Exhibit 9 is entitled "Economic Impact of EAS and ECS Under Old Assumptions." It shows the difference between GTEFL's current toll revolues and revenues projected under three scenarios: (1) EAS; (2) extended calling service (ECS); and (3) ECS with 50% stimulation. (GTE emphasizes that the calculations under these three scenarios use assumptions that no longer hold true, as noted in the cover page to Exhibit 9.) Again,

disclosure of this toll-related information would unfairly disadvantage GTEFL and undermine market efficiency. If competitors know GTEFL's existing toll revenues, as well as projected revenues under the toll alternatives of EAS and ECS, they can devise marketing, expansion and entry strategies that will produce the most revenue with the least amount of work.

In a competitive business, any knowledge obtained about a competitor can be used to the detriment of the entity to which it pertains, often in ways that cannot be fully anticipated. GTEFL emphasizes that while it has fully supported this request with explanations of the most likely uses to which competitors may put GTEFL's data, it is impossible for GTEFL to know precisely how a particular competitor can use this information. GTEFL's competitors are, of course, most familiar vith their own operations, objectives, and marketing plans, and only they can know how best to use these data to their advantage. GTE, L's inability to anticipate fully how competitors might use its confidential information only exacerbates the problems inherent in forcing GTEFL to disclose it publicly. The resulting, unfair advantage afforded GTEFL's competitors will skew the operation of the market, to the ultimate detriment of the telecommunications consumer. It is critical to prevent these regulation-induced distortions now before any further market entry occurs.

If the Commission orders public disclosure of GTEFL's competitively sensitive information in this environment, GTEFL will certainly be harmed, as will the market, which cannot operate efficiently if regulators introduce non-market handicaps for some competitors. The Commission can never correct for the market share and other losses to

GTEFL. This effect is particularly troublesome and unfair because ICI, AT&T, MCI, Sprint, and GTEFL's other ALEC competitors are under no obligation to make their competitively sensitive market information known to GTEFL.

The above-described rationale for confidential protection applies to the following, specific lines in Exhibits 7 and 9.

Exhibit 7

Pages 1-3, Columns B-H, Lines 1-28: Toll traffic data, broken down by messages, accounts, and type of service, for the Haines City to Frostproof, Indian Lake, and Polk City routes, respectively.

Exhibit 9

Page 1, Columns B-D, Lines 1-21: Existing toll revenues on routes at issue in this docket, and projected revenues under various alternatives for toll relief.

For the reasons set forth in this filing, GTEFL asks the Commission to grant this request for confidential classification of the specified information and to issue a permanent protective order with regard to these data. GTEFL further requests return of these data when they are no longer useful to the Staff.

Respectfully submitted on May 9, 1997.

Kimberly Caswell Anthony P. Gillman

P. O. Box 110, FLTC0007

Tampa, FL 33601 (813) 483-2617

Attorneys for GTE Florida Incorporated

20 21 22 23 24 25 26+ TOTAL

37746いとのか

1314

16

END OF REPORT WHEN

REDACTED

EMIRIT

```
PROCESSING DATE: 95/05/17
                                                                   NETWORK PROFILE SYSTEM
                                                                                                                                           PAG
       TIME: 15:27:00
REPORT ID: MPS09030
                                                                        E A S STUDY
POINT 10 POINT
STUDY TITLE
                  - ANNUAL CUSTOMER EAS TOLL STUDY
STUDY DATE
                  - D4/95
        COMPANY - (0328)
STATE - (FL)
                             (STE FLORIDA, INCORPORATED) (FLORIDA )
        FROM EXCHANGE:
                              (HAINES CITY
                             CINDIAN LAKE
          TO EXCHANGE:
                                                                                                                  6
                    301
                                                    3 U
                                                           5
CALLS.
               ACCOUNTS
                                MESSABES
                                                    ACCOUNTS
                                                                                        ACCOUNTS
                                                                                                                              REVENUE
  00
  01
  02
  03
04
05
  06
```

HHHH END OF REPORT HEHE

15 16 17

TOTAL

REDACTED

Dochet No. 960699-TL
Devid E. Robinson - GTE Florida
Late-Filed Exhibit No. 7

```
PAGE
                                                                  NETWORK PROFILE SYSTEM
PROCESSING DATE: 95/05/17
                                                                      E A S STUDY
POINT TO POINT
            TIME: 15:27:01
      REPORT ID: NPS09030
STUDY TITLE
                 - ANNUAL CUSTOMER EAS TOLL STUDY
                 - 04/95
STUDY DATE
        COMPANY - (8328)
                             (GTE FLORIDA, INCORPORATED)
          STATE - (FL)
                             (FLGRIDA
        FROM EXCHANGE:
                             CHAINES CITY
                                                                                                               G
CALLS
               ACCOUNTS
                               MESSAGES
                                                   ACCOUNTS
                                                                   MESSAGES
                                                                                      ACCOUNTS
                                                                                                                           REVENUE
  00
  Οļ
  02
  03
  04
05
  04
  07
  08
  09
  10
  11
12
13
14
15
  16
  18
  19
  20
  21
22
23
24
25
26+
```

NARN END OF REPORT WHILE

TOTAL

.

REDACTED

Devid E. Robinson - GTE Florida Lata-Filed Exhibit No. 7 Parts 3 of 1

Docket No. 950699-TL

David E. Robinson - GTE Florida

Late-Filed Exhibit No. 9

Economic Impact of EAS and ECS Under Old Assumptions

Staff has asked GTEFL to calculate the revenue impact on the Company of EAS and ECS on the routes at issue in this docket. Such financial impact calculations have been feasible to do in the past because they rested on the assumptions that if EAS is ordered, it will foreclose toll competition and that the local exchange company will have 100% of the local (as well as the intraLATA toll) market. These assumptions are no longer true. Under Chapter 364 and the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, the local exchange has been opened to competition.

In this new environment, it is impossible to know how much market share and revenue GTEFL will lose to its local competitors, which include such formidable challengers as AT&T, MCI, and Sprint. As such, it is impossible to do reliable calculations of revenue impact of EAS and ECS. This exhibit is submitted under the understanding that GTEFL will use the "old" assumptions that held true when GTEFL had a local exchange monopoly. GTEFL emphasizes, however, that it should not and cannot be used as evidence of the revenue impact of mandatory EAS or ECS on GTEFL

Docket No. 950699-TL David E. Robinson - GTE Florida Late-Filed Exhibit No. 9 Page 1 of 1

Economic Impact of EAS and ECS Under Old Assumptions

.

A. EAS	A	B	C	D
	Haines City to:	EAS Revenue	Toll Revenue	Difference
173450	Bartow Frostproof Indian Lake Lakeland Mulberry Polk City			
ブ	All			
B. ECS				
	Haines City to:			
8 9/0 1/12 13	Bartow Frostproof I.idian Lake Lakeland Mulberry Polk City			
14	Ali			
ECS	(Stimulated 50%)			
	Haines City to:			
18 14 20	Bartow Frostproof Indian Lake Lakeland Mulberry Polk City			
21	All			

C. Economic Impact of GTE Local Calling Plan

The Local Calling Plan put forth in this docket is designed to be revenue neutral. The rates for usage as well as the line rates are designed to generate approximately the same amount of revenue as was generated in a toll environment.

REDACTED

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that copies of GTE Florida Incorporated's Request for Confidential Classification and Motion for Protective Order regarding Late-Filed Exhibits 7 and 9 in Docket No. 950899-TL were sent via U. S. Mail on May 9, 1997, to the parties listed below.

Cochran Keating
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Charles J. Beck
Deputy Public Counsel
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Robert Nettleton, City Attorney P. O. Box 277 Haines City, FL 33845-0277

> J. Jeffry Wahlen Ausley & McMuilen 227 S. Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

> > Kimberly Caswell