JACK SHREVE PUBLIC COUNSEL

STATE OF FLORIDA

FILE COPY

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street Poom 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 904-488-9330

May 19, 1997

Ms Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0870

RE:

Docket No. 970171-EU

Dear Ms. Bayó:

ACK

Enclosed are an original and fifteen copies of the Prehearing Statement of the Office of Public Counsel for filing in the above referenced docket.

Also Enclosed is a 3.5 inch diskette containing the Prehearing Statement of the Office of Public Counsel in WordPerfect for Windows 6.1 format. Please indicate receipt of filing by date-stamping the attached copy of this letter and returning it to this office. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

l/dsb
losures
The state of the s
RECEIVED & FILED
e - who down that I
SPSC-DUREAU OF RECORDS

John Roger Howe
Deputy Public Counsel

05004 MAY 19 &

FPSC-RECORDS / REPORTING

FILE CUPY

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re Determination of appropriate cost)	
allocation and regulatory treatment of)	
total revenues associated with wholesale)	DOCKET NO 970171-EU
sales to Florida Municipal Power Agency)	FILED: May 19, 1997
and City of Lakeland by Tampa Electric)	
Company)	
	Υ.	

PREHEARING STATEMENT OF THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

The Citizens of the State of Florida, through the Office of Public Counsel, pursuant to the Order Establishing Procedure in this docket, Order No. PSC-97-0350-PCO-EU, issued March 27, 1997, submit this Prehearing Statement.

APPEARANCES

JOHN ROGER HOWE, Esquire
Deputy Public Counsel
Office of the Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400
On behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida

A. WITNESSES:

HUGH LARKIN, JR. Larkin & Associates 15728 Farmington Road Livonia, MI 48154

B. EXHIBITS:

Appendix I to the Direct Testimony of Hugh Larkin, Jr (Qualifications of Hugh Larkin, Jr.)

C. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

The wholesale contracts at issue in this proceeding were submitted to, and approved by, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), the only agency authorized to decide whether the revenues Tampa Electric Company receives from the wholesale jurisdiction are adequate. Since

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

Tampa Electric has decided unilaterally, and for its own purposes, that assets previously available to serve residential customers should now be committed to the wholesale jurisdiction, the Public Service Commission's job is to assure, to the extent possible, that none of the wholesale costs are borne by, and no return on wholesale assets is earned from, the retail jurisdiction

D. STATEMENT OF FACTUAL ISSUES AND POSITIONS.

- ISSUE 1: Does the off-system sale agreement to the Florida Municipal Power Agency provide net benefits to Tampa Electric Company's general body of rate payers?
 - OPC: No. Retail customers receive no benefit from supporting assets or paying for fuel used by wholesale customers.
- ISSUE 2 How should the non-fuel revenues and costs associated with Tampa Electric Company's wholesale schedule D sales to the Florida Municipal Power Agency be treated for retail regulatory purposes?
 - OPC: If the Commission determines that the FMPA sales are similar to sales which were separated in Tampa Electric's last rate case, then all non-fuel revenues and costs associated with the FMPA sales should also be fully separated. If, however, the Commission concludes that these sales are not of a type separated in the last case, then all non-fuel revenues should be flowed back to retail customers through the fuel adjustment mechanism.
- ISSUE 3: How should the fuel revenues and costs associated with Tampa Electric Company's wholesale schedule D sales to the Florida Municipal Power Agency be treated for retail regulatory purposes?
 - OPC: To the extent that fuel revenues are less than average fuel cost, the Commission should impute the difference in calculating retail fuel cost responsibility
- ISSUE 4: Does the off-system sale agreement to the City of Lakeland provide net benefits to Tampa Electric Company's general body of rate payers?
 - OPC: No. Retail customers receive no benefit from supporting assets or paying for fuel used by wholesale customers.

ISSUE 5 How should the non-fuel revenues and costs associated with Tampa Electric Company's wholesale schedule D sales to the City of Lakeland be treated for retail regulatory purposes?

OPC: If the Commission determines that the City of Lakeland sales are similar to sales which were separated in Tampa Electric's last rate case, then all non-fuel revenues and costs associated with the City of Lakeland sales should also be fully separated if, however, the Commission concludes that these sales are not of a type separated in the last case, then all non-fuel revenues should be flowed back to retail customers through the fuel adjustment mechanism

ISSUE 6: How should the fuel revenues and costs associated with Tampa Electric Company's wholesale schedule D sales to the City of Lakeland be treated for retail regulatory purposes?

OPC: To the extent that fuel revenues are less than average fuel cost, the Commission should impute the difference in calculating retail fuel cost responsibility

ISSUE 7: How should the transmission revenues and costs associated with Tampa Electric Company's wholesale sales to the Florida Municipal Power Agency and the City of Lakeland be treated for retail regulatory purposes?

OPC: All revenues should be flowed through to the retail customer

Will the Commission's treatment of the City of Lakeland and Florida Municipal Power Agency wholesale sales have an impact on Tampa Electric Company's refund obligation under the stipulation in Docket No. 950379-EI, Order No. PSC 96-0670-S-EI, approved by the Commission?

OPC: No.

. .

E. STATEMENT OF LEGAL ISSUES AND POSITIONS.

ISSUE 9: Would the Commission exceed its jurisdiction if it were to allow Tampa Electric Company to earn a return through retail rates for its wholesale sales to the Fiorida Municipal Power Agency and to the City of Lakeland?

OPC Yes. The Federal Power Act, 16 USC §§ 824, et seq., was enacted in 1935 to provide the federal regulation of electric utilities found to be outside the domain of state

regulators in the case of <u>Public Utilities Commission of Rhode Island v. Attleboro Steam & Electric Co.</u>, 273 U.S. 83, 71 L.Ed.549, 47 S.Ct. 294 (1927). The Public Service Commission cannot invade FERC's jurisdiction even if it believes doing so will provide an incentive for electric utilities to provide retail service at the lowest reasonable cost. The Commission cannot cross the "bright line" drawn by Congress between state and federal jurisdiction. <u>Federal Power Commission v. Southern California Edison Co.</u>, 376 U.S. 205, 215-216 (1964) ("... Congress [in the Federal Power Act] meant to draw a bright line easily ascertained, between state and federal jurisdiction, making unnecessary [] case-by-case analysis ")

- F. STATEMENT OF POLICY ISSUES AND POSITIONS: None.
- G. STIPULATED ISSUES: None.
- H. PENDING MOTIONS: None.
- 1. STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE.

There are no requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure with which the Office of Public Counsel cannot comply.

Respectfully submitted,

JACK SHREVE Public Counsel

John Roger Howe Deputy Public Counsel

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

(904) 488-9330

Attorneys for the Citizens of the State of Florida

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 970171-EU

I HEREBY certify that a copy of the foregoing PREHEARING STATEMENT OF THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL has been served by *hand delivery or U S Mail to the following parties of record on this 19th day of May, 1997.

Mr. Gary Lawrence, Esquire 501 East Lemon Street Lakeland, FL 33801-5079

Robert Williams, Esquire 7201 Lake Ellionor Drive Orlando, FL 32809

John W. McWhirter, Jr., Esquire McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas Post Office Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601

James A. McGee, Esquire Florida Power Corporation Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042

Lee L. Willis, Esquire James D. Beasley, Esquire Ausley & McMullen 227 South Calhoun Street Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 *Leslie Paugh, Esquire Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Ms. Angela Llewellyn, Esquire Regulatory and Business Strategy Post Office Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111

Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esquire Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esquire McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

G. Edison Holland, Esquire Jeffrey A. Stone, Esquire Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576

John Roger Howe Deputy Public Counsel

A 970171 PHS